

By J. Menoutis, Ph.D., F.A.I.C., C.P.C., C.Ch.E. and A. I. Parisi

A great deal of effort is spent at establishing specifications for the active ingredient and that of the finished product during a product's development cycle. Specifications for the raw materials must also be established. Failing to do can result in manufacturing delays and production lot failures attributable to problems with the raw materials used in the product.

Why Raw Material Can Fail

Many raw materials suppliers do only a fraction of their business with pharmaceutical, personal care products or cosmetics companies. A raw material thus may have multiple uses. Consequently specifications may be set to ensure consistency of the material from lot to lot. However, the specification boundaries set by a particular supplier, although consistent, can be much wider than those acceptable for GMP manufacturing purposes.

If the supplier's specifications are inadequate for a GMP manufacturing process the final product may fail lot clearance while the original material was accepted for use because it was within specifications. This approach of adopting the supplier's specification often stems from a sense of inadequacy in defining the material specifications in the first place. By not confronting the problem of defining adequate GMP raw material specifications early in the process, a manufacturer is forced to confront this issue later in the development process when their time and effort are better used with other activities.

Use of Compendial Test Methods

Before finished pharmaceutical dosage forms are produced, the identity, purity, and quality of raw materials must be established with the use of suitable test methods. Pharmacopeial and formulary monographs such as the *USP/NF*, *EuP*, *JP* and *BP* provide standardized test methods for the most common and widely used materials.

Manufacturers take various approaches to raw materials testing compliance. Some qualify a raw materials supplier by performing an initial detailed vendor audit followed by an annual qualification consisting of full pharmacopeial monograph testing on three lots of material. If the qualification lots test successfully, then subsequent material shipments will require only monograph identification testing. However, companies that take a more conservative approach to raw materials release require full monograph testing for each lot of supplied material.

Analytical Approach and Instrumentation

Raw materials analysis requires a wide range of analytical chemistry expertise. The most common tests performed in a raw materials laboratory include titrations, loss on drying, Karl Fischer moisture determination, heavy metals limit tests, and infrared spectrophotometry. Full monograph testing often requires as many as seven different analytical techniques. For example, to perform full *USP* monograph testing for methylparaben, eight different tests using six analytical techniques ranging from infrared absorption to gas chromatography are required. Therefore, the most efficient organization of a raw materials laboratories is by function so that analysts can specialize in specific techniques.

To perform even basic monograph testing, laboratories must contain a wide spectrum of instrumentation. The most commonly specified instruments include

- pH meters/ion meters
- balances
- gas chromatographs (GCs)
- high-performance liquid chromatographs (HPLCs)
- infrared spectrophotometers
- UV-Visible & Fluorescence spectrophotometers
- Tintometer
- Karl Fischer moisture titrators
- Auto-titrators & titration apparatus
- Vacuum ovens
- Melting-point apparatus
- Thin-layer chromatography apparatus (TLC)
- Polarimeter
- Refractometer
- Viscometers (Capillary & Rotary)
- Muffle furnace

To expand the number and variety of excipients that can be tested, additional instrumentation is required. These include

- Flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer
- Graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrophotometer
- Osmometer
- Inductively coupled Plasma Spectrometer
- Mass spectrometer.

Because of the number of different tests a raw materials laboratory must be prepared to perform, much of the equipment is underutilized at any given time. As a result,



Quality assurance

From a quality assurance standpoint, three critical factors should be considered when assessing a raw materials laboratory: instrument validation, qualification, deviation management, and out-of specification (OOS) procedures.

The diversity of instrumentation used by raw materials laboratories places a heavy burden on validation efforts. Instrument vendors often provide installation qualification (IQ), operational qualification (OQ), user training, and after purchase support, but a large portion of the validation efforts falls on the laboratory, especially with regard to computerized systems. Therefore, the laboratory must define instrument function requirements to outline operational needs and compliance requirements and provide criteria against which the instrument is validated.

To provide evidence that the entire system (i.e., hardware, software, associated instrumentation or components) meets user-defined functional requirements and specifications and that performance meets predetermined levels of accuracy, reliability, and data integrity, performance qualification (PQ) must be conducted using test cases that represent and challenge the production environment. In addition, 21 CFR Part 11 issues must be evaluated by the laboratory with regard to system security, data integrity, data archival, and audit trail capabilities. For instrumentation to remain in a validated and controlled state, changes and enhancements must be performed under a formalized change-control system.

Compendial methods should be modified when not robust, and deviations and modifications to methods must be controlled and justified by additional validation data. The required deviations and modifications, along with the supporting validation data, also should be communicated to the pharmacopeia for amendment consideration.

In a raw materials testing laboratory, analytical chemists must be experienced in troubleshooting methods using various analytical techniques and instruments. Often working with the raw materials supplier laboratory staff, the chemists must balance scientific need for deviations or modifications with the regulatory requirement to adhere to compendial methods.

OOS situations can be a common occurrence in the raw materials laboratory. Contributing factors include the lack of robust compendial methods, the purchase of raw materials not suitable for their intended use, and the fact that many raw materials suppliers do only a fraction of their business with pharmaceutical companies.

Paying close attention to the materials purchased, such as reviewing the certificate of analysis supplied with the material, can prevent many problems. Identifying methods used to generate the certificate of analysis results and determining how close these results are to the specification limit of the material are crucial steps in qualifying a material before it is tested.

Other challenges

The lack of harmonized standards among the various pharmacopeias is a major challenge. Companies that produce finished products for a global marketplace must ensure that their raw materials meet the standards of multiple governing pharmacopeias. However, differences exist among the three major pharmacopeias. To solve this problem, some companies choose to perform full-monograph testing according to the pharmacopeia appropriate for each country where the product will be sold. Although this approach ensures that the data are accepted by the governing regulatory agency, it is also expensive.

The time and expense of testing for multiple pharmacopeias has prompted efforts to harmonize monographs. Organizations such as the AAPS Excipients Focus Group and the International Pharmaceutical Excipients Council of the Americas were formed to facilitate the development of harmonized pharmacopeial excipient standards and good manufacturing practices (GMP) guidelines. Although their efforts have had a positive effect on the industry, limited success has been reached in harmonizing the various pharmacopeia methods during the past many years.

Maintaining compliance within a testing laboratory also presents several challenges. For example, the pharmacopeias contain monographs using wet-chemistry techniques rather than more-robust instrumental methods. As a result, even an experienced raw materials chemist may have difficulty with specific compendial tests. Many monographs requiring a titration to assess the purity of a material could instead be upgraded to a much more robust HPLC method.

Another challenge is that raw materials chemists typically do not have access to the validation data associated with the monograph. This limits the ability of the analyst to perform effective troubleshooting of a particular test method. Compounding these problems

Raw Materials Testing Considerations and Benefits

Avoiding Product Failure Caused By Raw Materials



Another challenge is that raw materials chemists typically do not have access to the validation data associated with the monograph. This limits the ability of the analyst to perform effective troubleshooting of a particular test method. Compounding these problems is the fact that many raw materials suppliers use non-compendial methods to support their certificate of analyses. As a result, if an OOS result is obtained during the compendial analysis, the supplier and the manufacturer are not able to compare results, thereby making the OOS investigation more difficult.

Conclusion

Raw materials testing ensures that raw materials used in pharmaceutical products are suitable for their intended use. Although the challenges associated with raw materials testing are extensive, using appropriate methods can successfully meet the challenges. Pro-active action by manufacturers can prevent costly production problems and delays by confirming early in the production process that the raw materials in their products are suitable for their intended use.



AN EXTENSION OF YOUR TEAM_{SM}

For more information on our capabilities please contact our
Business Development group at (732) 248-3335, extension 404.