

STATE OF ARIZONA WELL-BEING

2013 STATE, COMMUNITY, AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT ANALYSIS



Gallup & Healthways: Working Together to Improve the Health of Populations and Individuals

The Gallup-Healthways Well-Being Index® is the preeminent source for well-being data in the United States and globally. A definitive measure and empirical database of real-time changes in well-being throughout the world, the Well-Being Index provides insights needed to improve health, increase productivity, and lower healthcare costs within any community.

The analysis contained in this individual state report contains six years of longitudinal data on Americans' perceptions of their well-being within this state. It includes topics such as physical and emotional health, healthy behaviors, work environment, social and community factors, financial security, and access to necessities such as food, shelter, and healthcare. The report includes analyses of these topics and provides a composite well-being rank and score for each community.

Business, healthcare, community, and government leaders leverage Well-Being Index data on the factors proven to impact well-being to inform and prioritize tangible policies to help their populations thrive and grow. It is an invaluable source of benchmark and comparability data by industry, occupation, city, state, and country. As communities and organizations increasingly put

well-being on their dashboards as a crucial business metric, the Well-Being Index can confirm that investments in health and quality of life can be measurably improved and have substantive impact on both improving performance and reducing costs.

With more than 2 million surveys completed, the Well-Being Index is the world's largest dataset on well-being, and it has garnered significant national and international recognition. Over the next decades, Gallup and Healthways will continue to survey millions of individuals around the globe, advancing the science of well-being and providing timely and in-depth information to leaders who are trying to solve some of the most pressing healthcare challenges of our time.

For more information on Gallup and Healthways ongoing well-being research, please visit www.well-beingindex.com.

To access the full report, the State of American Well-Being: 2013 State, Community, and Congressional District Analysis, please visit <http://info.healthways.com/wbi2013>.




STATE OF AMERICAN WELL-BEING

Arizona

	Rankings		Scores	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Well-Being Overall	19	23	67.2	67.1
Life Evaluation	19	20	49.7	49.8
Emotional Health	16	22	80.2	79.7
Work Environment	15	9	50.1	50.5
Physical Health	24	28	77.0	77.0
Healthy Behaviors	10	20	66.2	64.9
Basic Access	42	34	79.9	80.5

For rankings, #1 signifies the top rank; #50 the bottom rank.
 For scores, 0 is the bottom score; 100 the top score (see methodology for descriptions)
 Source: Gallup-Healthways Well-Being Index N = 178,072 (2013) and N = 353,564 (2012)



- 1 ND
- 2 SD
- 3 NE
- 4 MN
- 5 MT
- 6 VT
- 7 CO
- 8 HI
- 9 WA
- 10 IA
- 11 NH
- 12 UT
- 13 MA
- 14 WI
- 15 ME
- 16 AK
- 17 CA
- 18 MD
- 19 AZ
- 20 KS
- 21 TX
- 22 IL
- 23 NJ
- 24 VA
- 25 OR
- 26 NV
- 27 GA
- 28 DE
- 29 ID
- 30 FL
- 31 CT
- 32 NC
- 33 NM
- 34 WY
- 35 NY
- 36 PA
- 37 MI
- 38 SC
- 39 RI
- 40 IN
- 41 LA
- 42 OK
- 43 MO
- 44 TN
- 45 AR
- 46 OH
- 47 AL
- 48 MS
- 49 KY
- 50 WV

COMMUNITY RANKINGS


Arizona

	Overall	Life Evaluation	Emotional Health	Work Environment	Physical Health	Healthy Behaviors	Basic Access
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale	51	87	69	39	62	86	116
Prescott	102	111	97	50	143	38	164
Tucson	76	61	56	133	98	37	128

Ranking of 189 Metropolitan Statistical Areas, following U.S. Census Bureau Definitions for Metropolitan Statistical Areas

Source: Gallup-Healthways Well-Being Index N = 178,072 (2013) and N = 353,564 (2012)

**On January 1st, 2013 the Gallup-Healthways Well-Being Index methodology changed from 1,000 surveys per day to 500. In this report, we have combined 2012 & 2013 data for all U.S. Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) to ensure adequate sample size.



CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT RANKINGS


Arizona

	Overall	Life Evaluation	Emotional Health	Work Environment	Physical Health	Healthy Behaviors	Basic Access
Congressional District - 01	213	180	185	295	247	65	333
Congressional District - 02	182	167	168	289	172	154	226
Congressional District - 03	221	140	81	296	152	184	382
Congressional District - 04	236	339	232	31	371	227	331
Congressional District - 05	123	216	121	168	114	160	98
Congressional District - 06	42	80	115	6	79	189	139
Congressional District - 07	304	107	388	73	274	344	427

Ranking of 434 Congressional Districts in the 113th Congress. The results reflect the change in district boundaries.

Source: Gallup-Healthways Well-Being Index N = 178,072 (2013) and N = 353,564 (2012)

**On January 1st, 2013 the Gallup-Healthways Well-Being Index methodology changed from 1,000 surveys per day to 500. In this report, we have combined 2012 & 2013 data for all Congressional Districts to ensure adequate sample size.



CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT RANKINGS

Arizona

	Overall	Life Evaluation	Emotional Health	Work Environment	Physical Health	Healthy Behavior	Basic Access
Congressional District - 08	135	297	68	122	192	126	91
Congressional District - 09	57	38	46	135	108	100	167



STATE RANKINGS & SCORES BY YEAR & DOMAIN

Arizona

Rankings

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Overall	19	23	26	29	22	14
Life Evaluation	19	20	20	28	19	13
Emotional Health	16	22	15	14	21	12
Work Environment	15	9	24	25	14	15
Physical Health	24	28	26	19	18	18
Healthy Behaviors	10	20	20	17	17	18
Basic Access	42	34	35	33	33	31

Scores

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Overall	67.2	67.1	66.6	66.2	66.7	67.2
Life Evaluation	49.7	49.8	49.6	47.5	48.6	46.7
Emotional Health	80.2	79.7	79.7	79.4	78.8	79.8
Work Environment	50.1	50.5	47.9	47.9	50.6	52.6
Physical Health	77.0	77.0	77.5	77.1	77.3	77.6
Healthy Behaviors	66.2	64.9	64.1	64.9	64.2	64.4
Basic Access	79.9	80.5	80.8	80.3	80.9	82.2



COMMUNITY RANKINGS BY YEAR & DOMAIN

Arizona

Overall

	2013/2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Lake Havasu City-Kingman	-	-	181	186	175
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale	51	69	67	66	59
Prescott	102	15	180	60	85
Tucson	76	105	99	59	56

Life Evaluation

	2013/2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Lake Havasu City-Kingman	-	-	184	185	182
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale	87	54	97	81	36
Prescott	111	166	186	164	128
Tucson	61	135	107	41	46

Emotional Health

	2013/2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Lake Havasu City-Kingman	-	-	52	168	169
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale	69	50	53	91	73
Prescott	97	12	182	5	22
Tucson	56	107	76	97	67



COMMUNITY RANKINGS BY YEAR & DOMAIN

Arizona

Work Environment

	2013/2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Lake Havasu City-Kingman	-	-	144	175	22
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale	39	59	77	37	77
Prescott	50	1	97	11	48
Tucson	133	125	121	103	85

Physical Health

	2013/2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Lake Havasu City-Kingman	-	-	178	176	177
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale	62	56	47	30	45
Prescott	143	140	183	170	145
Tucson	98	73	74	104	75

Healthy Behaviors

	2013/2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Lake Havasu City-Kingman	-	-	114	124	145
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale	86	115	72	91	84
Prescott	38	15	47	19	68
Tucson	37	26	33	17	41




COMMUNITY RANKINGS BY YEAR & DOMAIN

Arizona

Basic Access

	2013/2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Lake Havasu City-Kingman	-	-	176	182	177
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale	116	121	112	123	130
Prescott	164	103	141	107	132
Tucson	128	125	136	137	108



ABOUT THE 2013 WELL-BEING REPORTS

SURVEY DATES

The most recently updated data in this report were based on data collected in these time periods:

State Results:

January 2 through December 29, 2013

Community and Congressional District Results:

January 2 through December 30, 2012 and

January 2 through December 29, 2013

SAMPLE INFORMATION

Yearly Gallup-Healthways Well-Being Index surveys completed by respondents aged 18 and older:

2013: 178,072 2012: 353,564 2011: 353,492
2010: 352,840 2009: 353,849 2008: 355,334

Maximum sample sizes for most recent results included in this report:

States: 17,053 respondents

Communities: 23,003 respondents

Congressional Districts: 3,308 respondents

Minimum sample sizes for most recent results included in this report:

States: 547 respondents

Communities: 459 respondents

Congressional Districts: 344 respondents

MARGIN OF ERROR

In years that overall national results were based on more than 350,000 respondents, one can say with 95% confidence that the margin of sampling error for those results is ± 0.2 percentage points. In 2013, for results based on 178,072 respondents, one can say with 95% confidence that the margin of sampling error for those results is ± 0.3 percentage points.

Margin of sampling error of composite results at various sample sizes:

0 (bottom) – 100 (top) Scale

- For results based on 5,000, ± 0.4
- For results based on 1,000, ± 0.9
- For results based on 500, ± 1.3
- For results based on 300, ± 1.6

In addition to sampling error, question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of public opinion polls.

WELL-BEING COMPOSITE RESULTS

The Well-Being Index composite result is an average of six domains: Life Evaluation, Emotional Health, Physical health, Healthy Behaviors, Work Environment and Basic Access. Among states, overall Well-Being Index results ranged from a high of 70.4 (North Dakota) to a low of 61.4 (West Virginia). The 2013 national average for Well-Being was 66.2, Life Evaluation 48.2, Emotional Health 79.2, Physical Health 76.4, Health Behaviors 63.7, Work Environment 48.0 and Basic Access 81.9.

POSITION SCALE

Each state is positioned from 1-50, based on composite scores as compared to all states. Each congressional district is positioned from 1-434, based on composite scores as compared to all congressional districts. Each Metropolitan Statistical Area (community) is positioned from 1-189, based on composite scores as compared to all Metropolitan Statistical Areas.

WELL-BEING INDEX METHODOLOGY

Interviews are conducted with respondents on landline telephones and cellular phones, with interviews conducted in Spanish for respondents who are primarily Spanish-speaking. Each sample of national adults includes a minimum quota of 50% cellphone respondents and 50% landline respondents, with additional minimum quotas by time zone within region. Landline telephone and cellphone numbers are selected using random-digit-dial methods. Landline respondents are chosen at random within each household on the basis of which member had the most recent birthday.

Samples are weighted to correct for unequal selection probability, nonresponse, and double coverage of landline and cell users in the two sampling frames. They are also weighted to match the national demographics of gender, age, race, Hispanic ethnicity, education, region, population density, and phone status (cellphone only/landline only/both, cellphone mostly, and having an unlisted landline number). Demographic weighting targets are based on the most recent Current Population Survey figures for the aged 18 and older U.S. population. Phone status targets are based on the most recent National Health Interview Survey. Population density targets are based on the most recent U.S. census. All reported margins of sampling error include the computed design effects for weighting.

WELL-BEING INDEX DOMAINS

The Gallup-Healthways Well-Being Index Composite Score is comprised of six sub-indices: Life Evaluation, Emotional Health, Physical Health, Healthy Behavior, Work Environment and Basic Access. The Life Evaluation Sub-Index is partially based on the Cantril Self-Anchoring Striving Scale and combines the evaluation of one's present life situation with one's anticipated life situation five years from now. The Emotional Health Sub-Index is primarily a composite of respondents' daily experiences, asking respondents to think about how they felt yesterday along nine dimensions. The Physical Health Sub-Index is comprised of questions related to: Body Mass Index, disease burden, sick days, physical pain, daily energy, history of disease and daily health experiences. The Healthy Behavior Sub-Index includes items measuring life style habits with established relationships to health outcomes. The Work Environment Sub-Index surveys workers on several factors to gauge their feelings and perceptions about their work environment. The Basic Access Sub-Index is based on thirteen items measuring resident's access to food, shelter, healthcare and a safe and satisfying place to live.

For detailed descriptions of the domains, visit the methodology section of www.well-beingindex.com.



STATE OF
AMERICAN
WELL-BEING