

## Reduce Programming time with Reusable Templates

Cathal Gallagher, d-Wise, Manchester, UK

### ABSTRACT

Having validated programming templates for raw CRF data to SDTM domains and from SDTM domains to ADaM can save huge amounts of programming time. There are very few study specific variables, most are standard, and therefore can be pre-programmed and validated into a template. Simply add your raw CRF data to a template, add the code for study specific variables if there are any and run. Having these fully validated templates allows you to add your data and run the job in minutes. Having only the study specific variables left to validate results in minimal re work. Using CDI templates, snapshots can be run at any time with no programming required. This paper discusses the flow of data from raw data to an SDTM, to an ADaM standard and how templates facilitate that flow.

### INTRODUCTION

Study programming takes a long time, and a lot of the programming is repetitive, both within a study and between studies. Here we will discuss some problems within study programming, how a template can help address these problems, what is involved in making a template in base SAS and in DI Studio, and finally we state the advantages and drawbacks of using templates.

### THE PROBLEM

A new study arrives and everyone starts programming from scratch each time. There are many repetitive tasks within each study and indeed across studies. All Studies are different and can take varying lengths of time to complete. The test study d-Wise used took roughly 3600 programmer hours to complete. This was programmed with no template, taking data from CRF tables to SDTM domains, and further from SDTM domains to ADaM domains. Within the single study programmers found they were having to type out the same code several times in order to work out variables that are common within a study. Such as VISITNUM and USUBJID. With a standardised CRF, and now SDTM and ADaM domains this repetitiveness wastes thousands of programmer hours in every study.

### CURRENT PROGRAMMING METHOD

A view from the outside would suggest that a programmer gets a specification, starts a new program window in SAS and types every single line of code from scratch.

Rarely do we actually program from scratch. Everyone usually has a text file on their desktop with repetitive code snippets, or a macro library set up to work out common variables for us such as USUBJID, RFSTDTC, xxSTDY or xxENDY. Despite this, reading the entirety of the specs is necessary, then understanding what the spec writer means. Then putting the code snippets or macro calls in order and doing the individual programming for other study specific variables. These things all take time.







Source table: SCR (disorder)			Target table: DM (DM)		
#	Column	Column Description	#	Column	Column Description
1	STUDYID		1	STUDYID	Study Identifier
2	DOMAIN		2	DOMAIN	Domain Abbreviation
3	USUBJID		3	USUBJID	Unique Subject Identifier
4	SUBJID		4	SUBJID	Subject Identifier for the Study
5	RFSTDTC		5	RFSTDTC	Subject Reference Start Date/Time
6	RFENDTC		6	RFENDTC	Subject Reference End Date/Time
7	RFSTDTC		7	RFSTDTC	Date/Time of First Study Treatment
8	RFENDTC		8	RFENDTC	Date/Time of Last Study Treatment
9	RFSTDTC		9	RFSTDTC	Date/Time of Informed Consent
10	RFENDTC		10	RFENDTC	Date/Time of End of Participant
11	DTHDTC		11	DTHDTC	Date/Time of Death
12	DTHFL		12	DTHFL	Subject Death Flag

### WHAT ARE THE METRICS?

D-wise has calculated that an entire study from CRF to SDTM to ADAM takes 3600 programmer hours using base SAS with no templates or code snippets. Using a template system in CDI, D-wise has calculated that doing the same study can be reduced to 400 programmer hours. The reason you see very round numbers, is because every study is different and therefore the numbers can vary.

### PROBLEMS

User acceptance is always the main risk with using any new system. Programmers always prefer to write code themselves rather than using other people's code. It takes time to get programmers used to using templates and ignoring and trusting the code that is already there. With experience programmers can achieve huge time savings when completing an entire study.

CRFs that change a lot can cause huge problems. Templates that call data from these CRFs will always need to be updated whenever a CRF changes. If a standard CRF model is not in place this can reduce the usefulness of templates. Unless a schedule is used to update the CRFs and the templates, then templates can become out of date very quickly.

### THE FUTURE

Industry standard templates. Every pharmaceutical company using CDash SDTM and ADaM. With industry templates will speed up programming time, reduce re work and will get drugs out there quicker.

### CONCLUSION

Template programming will reduce the amount of time required for studies. Even if a template only has code for one variable, then that is one less variable that a study programmer has to code and has to validate. Using templates means less programming time, less validation time, and less rework. Less variables that need to be coded reduce the programming times required. Less variables that need to be validated reduce the validation time required. Less code to check through in order to find and resolve issues that have arisen means less re work will be required. If a company is programming to SDTM and ADaM standards, the next step should be to standardise their CRF and then use programming templates. Using current programming methods can take 3600 programming hours per study. If the same study output can be achieved in only 400 hours then all possible should be done to overcome the user acceptance problems that are likely to arise.

### CONTACT INFORMATION

Your comments and questions are valued and encouraged. Contact the author at:

Cathal Gallagher

d-Wise

Suite 2, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

61 Mosely Street

Manchester / M2 3HZ

Work Phone: +44161 236 0961

Fax:

Email: [Cathal.gallagher@d-wise.com](mailto:Cathal.gallagher@d-wise.com)

Web: [www.d-wise.com](http://www.d-wise.com)

Brand and product names are trademarks of their respective companies.