

# Introduction

Service Performance Insight (SPI Research) believes the professional services market is back - showing growth rates in excess of 10%. This growth bodes

Annual revenue per billable consultant (k)

Earnings before Income Taxes, Depreciation

Annual revenue per employee (k)

Billable Utilization

Project Margin

Project overrun

& Amortization (EBITDA)

well for PS executives who work to expand geographically introduce new vices. However, it is not all clear sailing in the market. There are a number of key issues facing PSOs that could limit growth and profitability in the upcoming decade.

One of the most important issues facing

PS executives is profitable growth. As PSOs expand geographically, the complexities associated with bill rates; different regulatory environments, worker compensation and workload continue to burden both cash flow and profitability.

# Does PS Financial Maturity Matter?

SPI Research has spent the past seven years benchmarking PSO operational performance or "maturity" to determine the characteristics and appropriate behaviors for PSOs based on their organizational lifecycle stage.

Figure 1 depicts financial maturity level progression and outlines primary characteristics for each maturity level. The bottom line is that as organizations improve performance through better focus, alignment, communication and collaboration, the more "mature" they become. The figure depicts a subset of the 200 Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) tracked within the benchmark but clearly demonstrates overall performance improves as organizations mature by aligning their people, processes and systems.

The most mature organizations exhibit high levels of organizational visibility with optimized business

Level 5

\$252

\$215

80.0%

47.3%

7.1%

37.0%

Figure 1: Focus on Financial Maturity Improvement

Level 2

\$188

\$156

67.9%

35.6%

9.4%

9.8%

Level 3

\$223

\$180

71 7%

37.3%

9.1%

13.2%

Source: SPI Research, December 2013

Level 4

\$251

\$211

78 7%

40.0%

8.3%

27.1%

Level 1

\$159

\$115

64 1%

26.4%

9.9%

1.3%

processes and integrated systems, which tional groups. individual show strong

span all major func-The figure shows the dramatic improvement in key metrics as PSOs optimize or "mature" their people, processes and systems. While metrics improvement, overall net profitability (EBITDA) is the greatest benefi-

ciary of increased visibility and alignment.

# **Focus on Profit Improvement**

Professional services organizations must continue to focus on delivering high-value and high-margin services in order to meet profit objectives. This goal will not be easy as PSOs face:

- $\Delta$  A shortage of talent due to baby-boomer retirement and fewer STEM (science, technology, engineering and math) graduates from local universities:
- $\Delta$  Increased clients demands and expectations for greater service value for their dollars;
- $\Delta$  More complicated projects, which require resources remotely scattered; and,
- $\Delta$  Different billing structures which complicate invoicing and managing cash flow.

There are hundreds of metrics where professional services organizations can improve financial performance. In the following sections, SPI Research will highlight five of the most important financial metrics. Each of these initiatives focuses on improving certain aspects of their business.

### Annual Revenue per Billable Consultant

Annual revenue per billable consultant depicts the service organization's total revenue divided by the number of billable consultants. Revenue per consultant provides an indication of consultant produc-

tivity. SPI Research considers revenue per billable consultant to be one of the most important KPIs, but it must be viewed in conjunction with labor cost.

Revenue per billable consultant should minimally equal one- to two-times the fully loaded cost of the consultant. Revenue mul-

tipliers of three and higher are typical for engineering and architecture firms, as well as in management consulting and legal professional services.

Table 1 highlights the importance of optimizing real rates and hours worked, which ultimately drives

revenue per billable SPI Reemployee. search considers \$200k in annual revenue per billable consultant as a good start. Organizations with high annual revenue per billable employee tend to do well because they complete larger projects, have much more revenue in backlog, complete work ontime and on-budget

minimal waste, and have higher billable utilization for their consultants.

#### Annual Revenue per Employee

Annual revenue per employee is different from revenue per billable employee, it focuses on organiza-

tional effectiveness. It is similar to annual revenue per billable consultant; it divides total revenue by the total number of employees so it includes both billable and non-billable employees. Revenue per employee is a powerful indicator of the overall profitability of the firm because if the average cost per

Table 1: Maximize Annual Revenue per Billable Consultant Over Under KPI \$200k \$200k Annual revenue per employee (k) \$214 \$116 84% Average revenue per project (k) \$208 \$193 60% Quarterly rev. target in backlog 48.3% 36.7% 32% 2.8% 3.7% % of billable work is written off 25% Billable utilization (2,000 hours) 74.2% 68.5% 8% **EBITDA %** 18.6% 13.3% 40%

Source: SPI Research, December 2013

employee is known, profit can be estimated representing the difference in cost per employee and overall revenue per employee. Similar to revenue per consultant, this KPI is highly correlated with profitability, utilization and bill rates.

PSOs with a high percentage of non-billable employees have lower

annual revenue per employee. Revenue per employee is very important in determining the appropriate size and financial health of the organization. Based on the high cost of talented consulting staff, SPI Research believes this figure should be close to two times the fully loaded cost per person to main-

tain strong financial viability. If the organization achieves an acceptable revenue yield per billable consultant but is below the benchmark for overall revenue per employee, it is an indication of too much non-billable overhead or lavish discretionary spending. Table 2 shows that organizations with high levels of annual reve-

Table 2: Maximize Annual Revenue per Employee

КРІ	Over \$180k	Under \$180k	<b>A</b>
Average revenue per project (k)	\$209	\$155	34%
Average project overrun	7.2%	10.4%	30%
Revenue leakage	3.3%	4.6%	28%
Quarterly rev. target in backlog	47.4%	40.6%	17%
% of annual margin target achieved	92.3%	85.0%	9%
EBITDA %	20.2%	14.0%	44%

Source: SPI Research, December 2013

nue per employee also complete larger projects efficiently.

SPI Research considers over \$180k per employee as the minimum for financial success. Because this metric differs from annual revenue per billable consultant due to the additional overhead resources, it is critical PSOs minimize non-profit generating are-

www.SPIresearch.com Page 2

as. While virtually every professional services organization with over 20 employees has some contingent of overhead, ideally it should be to less than 30% of the workforce.

#### Billable Utilization

SPI Research defines employee utilization on a

2,000 hour per year basis, and is calculated by dividing the total billable hours 2,000. This key performance indicator is central to organizaprofitability. tional Utilization is consistently the most measured key performance indicator but must be examined in conjunction with overall revenue and profit per along with person

leading indicators like backlog and size of the sales pipeline to become truly meaningful. Utilization is a major indicator of opportunity and workload balance as well as a signal to expand or contract the workforce.

Table 4 compares the impact of employee billable

utilization of more than 70% (1,400 hours annually) with those less than 70%. As one might expect, billable utilization is critical in terms of meeting deadlines, revenue and client satisfaction.

Although PS firms would like to abandon the billable utili-

zation metric (and all the accompanying time tracking it entails), unfortunately there is no other metric which provides as good a picture of workforce productivity. Perhaps as more and more firms shift

to fixed price work the focus on billable utilization will decline but if this is the case firms will have to ratchet up their focus on project accounting and budget to actual performance. But here again, how can budget to actual performance be measured without tracking work hours?

.....

.....

#### Project Overrun

**Table 3: Maximize Billable Utilization** Util. Util. **KPI** under over lack70% 70% % of billable work is written off 2.3% 4.3% 48% 6.9% 11.4% Average project overrun 39% % of "referenceable" clients 82.9% 65.3% 27% Projects canceled 3.3% 4.3% 23% 83.2% Projects delivered on-time 74.1% 12% \$175 \$164 7% Annual revenue per employee (k)

Source: SPI Research, December 2013

Project overrun is the percentage above budgeted cost to actual cost. Project overruns may be expressed in actual time versus plan or actual cost versus plan or both. This KPI is important because anytime a project goes over budget in either time or cost; it cuts directly into the PSO's profitability.

Project overruns, like projects not delivered on

time, limits future work that can be initiated. In many instances it shows a lack of project governance, which negatively impacts bottom-line results.

Table 4 compares the average project overrun of under 5% to those with overruns of over 5%. As one might expect the greater the project overrun

the fewer projects are completed on time. While this KPI is obvious, the table highlights just how detrimental project overruns are to the organization. This table reveals that not only are projects canceled as overruns occur, but also that client satisfaction, and ultimately new sales decreases as overruns

**Table 4: Minimize Project Overrun** 

КРІ	Overrun under 5%	Overrun over 5%	<b>A</b>
Projects canceled	2.1%	4.9%	57%
% of billable work is written off	2.1%	3.8%	46%
% of "referenceable" clients	80.1%	71.7%	12%
Bid-to-win ratio (per 10 bids)	5.43	4.95	10%
EBITDA %	14.2%	17.2%	17%

Source: SPI Research, December 2013

occur.

www.SPIresearch.com Page 3

# Project Margin

Project margin is the percentage of revenue which remains after paying for the direct costs of delivering a project. Projects can be fixed-price or milestone-based, where the PSO commits to a "Not to exceed" price, or Time & Expense, where the PSO essentially charges by the hour with additional payment for any materials used during the engagement.

Table 5 highlights the importance of project margin. PSO financial performance suffers greatly when project margins drop below 40% on average. Because project margin is the profit that ultimately drive **PSO** overall profits. Low margins do not allow PSOs to invest in future growth.

maximizing profitability. The solution must also be flexible and agile, so that as conditions change, such as mergers and acquisitions, practice changes, new regions, and other areas, that the financial information will remain transparent, stable and accurate.

#### **Conclusions & Recommendations**

Service Performance Insight expects 2014 to be

Table 5: Maximize Project Margin Over Under **KPI** Δ 40% 40% Annual revenue per consultant (k) \$227 \$193 17% % of billable work is written off 2.8% 3.3% 14% Annual revenue per employee (k) \$182 \$164 11% % of "referenceable" clients 78.2% 73.6% 6% 3.9% 4.2% 6% Revenue leakage 6% Bid-to-win ratio (per 10 bids) 5.42 5.12

Source: SPI Research, December 2013

another banner year the professional services market. While there will always be issues that could impede an organization's ability to meet and exceed profitability objectives, none are so insurmountable that PSOs focused on financial performance, success cannot achieve their goals.

# **Manage Finances**

The key to any successful professional services organization meeting their financial objectives is the management of all revenue and cost information. The core financial management solution is the hub of all of this information. It must provide an infrastructure where costs and revenues can easily be analyzed and where key members of the executive team can drill down to better understand areas of success in areas of improvement.

A professional services organization's financial infrastructure can be complex, with different rules and regulations and currencies that must be managed in order to reduce waste and fraud, while There are literally hundreds of financial KPI's, used by chief financial officers to better dissect and understand their business. In this white paper SPI Research went through five that are analyzed annually in the Service Performance Insight Professional Services Maturity™ Benchmark.

Ideally, the core financial management solution is integrated with the other departmental solutions so that information, such as sales and client, employee costs, service delivery costs and revenues, and other relevant information can be seamlessly passed to it. With this information at the fingertips of the executive team, confident decisions can be made to help grow the professional services organization.

Service Performance Insight (SPI Research) is a global research, consulting and training organization dedicated to helping professional service organizations (PSOs) make quantum improvements in productivity and profit. In 2007, SPI developed the PS Maturity Model™ as a strategic planning and management framework. It is now the industry-leading performance improvement tool used by over 6,000 service and project-oriented organizations to chart their course to service excellence.

SPI provides a unique depth of operating experience combined with unsurpassed analytic capability. We not only diagnose areas for improvement but also provide the business value of change. We then work collaboratively with our clients to create new management processes to transform and ignite performance. Visit <a href="https://www.SPIresearch.com">www.SPIresearch.com</a> for more information on Service Performance Insight, LLC.