Developing a Strategic Applicant Tracking Process

Lynn Clements, Esq. Director of Regulatory Affairs, Berkshire Associates



Legal Disclaimer

This presentation was prepared for participants' educational use. Participants should consult with their AAP provider and/or legal counsel before implementing any strategies or actions discussed in this presentation and should not consider this presentation, or related materials, to be legal advice.



Agenda

- Why It Matters Who An "Applicant" Is
- Screening Candidates Smartly
- Strategic Disposition Codes
- Managing Common, But Risky, Recruitment Practices
- Auditing Recruitment Practices



Why It Matters Who An "Applicant" Is



Why Should You Care About Applicant Data?

- *We have to*: Required by law to maintain applicant records
- Technology makes applicant data easier to maintain (and analyze)
- Enforcement agencies use data to evaluate hiring
 - EEOC 2013–2016 Strategic Enforcement Plan: "will target class-based recruitment practices"
 - OFCCP's "bread and butter" is hiring discrimination



Why Should You Care About Applicant Data?

- Revised requirements in Scheduling Letter
 - Individual race, not just total minority, data must now be submitted for all personnel actions
 - Allows OFCCP to examine applicant data multiple different ways
- OFCCP is asking to speak with recruiters and hiring managers as part of audit
- Increased scrutiny by OFCCP on how contractors are defining an "applicant" versus "job seeker"



Adverse Impact Analysis in the Past and Today

	Minorities vs. Whites	Hispanics vs. Asians
Rate for Minorities (protected) or Hispanics (favored)	6/50 = .12	5/10 = .50
Rate for Whites (non protected) or Asians (non favored)	10/100 = .10	1/40 = .025
80% Rule	120%	20%
Standard Deviation		4.13
Shortfall		2



Why the Internet Applicant Rule Matters?

- Only those who meet the Internet Applicant definition must be included statistical analyses of hiring practices
- Under the Internet Applicant Rule, you can exclude candidates who do not meet the four prong definition:
 - Were never considered
 - Don't meet basic qualifications
 - Withdrew from the process



Why Does it <u>*Really*</u> Matter: Big Numbers are Bad Numbers

Analysis	Rate for Women	Rate for Men	80% Rule	Standard Deviation	Shortfall
Women vs. Men	1/10 .10	20/100 .20	50%	0.77	0
Women vs. Men	10/100 .10	200/1000 .20	50%	2.43	9
Women vs. Men	100/1000 0.10	2000/10000 0.20	50%	7.67	90



OFCCP Enforcement of the Internet Applicant Rule

- Agency is asking for all "expressions of interest" even without statistical indicators or placement goals
- Area of risk for contractors
 - Expressions of interest much broader than summary applicant data submitted with Itemized Listing



Signs This May Be an Issue

- OFCCP may ask:
 - Was Internet Applicant Rule applied?
 - Does data include all expressions of interest?
 - Were individuals removed from applicant data based on Internet Applicant Rule?
 - Provide a written description of the hiring process
 - Provide all expressions of interest



How to Handle OFCCP Requests for All Expressions of Interest

- Ask OFCCP to explain basis for its request
- Review your own data to figure out why OFCCP may be asking for this information
- Explore whether alternative data could be provided to address the agency's concern
- If all expressions of interest will be provided, analyze the data prior to submission



Enforcement Implications

- OFCCP may independently evaluate contractor disposition codes and determine which candidates should be considered applicants
- Key Take-Aways for Contractors:
 - Strategic applicant tracking is key
 - Re-examine disposition codes
 - Re-evaluate how and when you are applying the Internet Applicant Rule
 - Refine utilization analyses



Screening Candidates Smartly



Using Strategic Recruitment Workflow Models

- How candidates are moved through your recruitment process directly impacts how many applicants you report
- Make sure your ATS fits your recruitment model; do not simply adopt ATS default steps and disposition codes
- Best systems are online, requisition-based systems
 - Require candidates apply for each specific position
 - Do not consider candidates who do not follow or complete entire process
 - Do not move candidates among requisitions



The Power of Prescreening

- Follow a strategic process using the elements of the IAR
 - **Step 1**: Assess candidate willingness to do job tasks
 - Step 2: Ask questions that allow candidates to remove themselves from consideration
 - Step 3: Assess basic qualifications
- Tailor to the job—this may mean different questions for different jobs, job families, etc.
- Be consistent—don't let recruiters change from opening to opening



Willingness Questions

- Are you willing to (what the job requires)...
 - Travel __%
 - Work in a cold environment
 - Wear _____ safety gear
 - Relocate
 - Work overtime
 - Work night shift



Removal from Consideration

- Use screening questions to allow candidates to opt out of the process/not interested in job:
 - What are your minimum salary requirements?
 - FT/PT
 - Days/shifts available
 - Location preference



Basic Qualification Questions

- Ask basic (more basic than minimum) qualification questions
- **Best practice**: Do not ask preferred qualifications
 - Now considered substantive qualifications
 - Must retain
- Should change from job to job
- **Tip**: If you want the same questions across all jobs, do not ask BQs



Prescreening Question Don'ts

- Do not do the following and why not:
 - Ask for preferred qualifications (in online ATS, has been considered, is an applicant if meets willingness and basic qualification questions, even if recruiter never opens)
 - Ask about ability (ability is not the same as willingness and may get to disability issues)
 - Be careful using a system that ranks, scores, or stacks candidates (could be seen as a test that must be validated)
 - Reject candidates as "overqualified" in prescreen (same reasoning as first)



Strategic Disposition Codes



Why Use Strategic Disposition Codes?

- Now, more than ever, employers must use strategic disposition codes to:
 - Defend and explain indicators of adverse impact (why candidates were not selected)
 - Determine who **IS** an "applicant" under the Rule
 - Determine who is NOT an "applicant" (should be taken out of data analyses)



Strategic Disposition Codes

Strategic disposition codes should state the **<u>reason</u>** the **<u>candidate</u>** "fell out," such as:

- Candidate withdrew
- Did not consider
- Does not meet basic qualifications
- Did not return calls
- Offer rescinded did not pass background check
- Presumed disinterest (Salary/Location/Shift)



What Are Some Not So Strategic Disposition Codes?

- Examples of Codes that are <u>Not</u> Helpful
 - Not Selected Applicant Disqualified
 Better Candidate Chosen Blank/No Reason
 Interviewed/Screened
- These codes do not explain *why* the candidate was not chosen *compared to* the hire
- These codes do not use the Internet Applicant Rule to identify candidates who should not be included in data analyses



Tracking "Steps"

We must also track the "step"—the stage of the recruitment process where each candidate "fell out" and who made the decision (some examples below)

- Prescreen
- Résumé Review by Recruiter
- Phone Screen by Recruiter
- Manager Review
- HR Interview/First Interview
- Manager Interview/Second Interview
- Individual Post-Offer Steps (drug screen, background check, physical, etc.)



Using Strategic Disposition Codes in Audits

Analysis	Rate for Females	Rate for Males	Standard Deviation	Shortfall
Unrefined— All Candidates	20/1000 .02	40/1000 .04	2.622	10
Minus Never Considered	20/800 .03	40/900 .04	2.169	8
And Minus Does Not Meet Basic Qualifications	20/725 .03	40/850 .05	2.012	7
And Minus Withdrew	20/675 .03	40/825 .05	1.854	7



Managing Common, But Risky, Recruitment Practices



The No Hire Requisition

What's the problem?

• Including applicant data for requisitions where there was no hire during the plan year increases the applicant pool (or denominator of adverse impact calculations) without a corresponding selection (the numerator)

How to handle strategically?

- Code all candidates as "No Hire–Requisition Cancelled"
- Remove all candidates with this code from annual personnel activity analyses since point of applicant data submission is to evaluate <u>selection</u> decisions



Evergreen and Never Closed Requisitions

What's the problem?

- Leaving requisitions open for long periods of time creates bigger candidate pools
- Unclear which candidates were considered for each hire; allows agencies to argue that same candidate should be counted as an applicant multiple times



Evergreen and Never Closed Requisitions (cont.)

How to handle strategically?

- Determine recruitment needs first and how to explain specific selections second
- Place limits on number of days/weeks requisition may be opened
- Place requisition on hold and then reopen
- Move candidates through process in batches
- Use available information, such as date applied, to create pools
- Monitor for patterns, such as consistent favored or non-favored group across plans



Cross-Over Requisitions

What's the problem?

- Moving even a single candidate between requisitions allows agencies to argue that other candidates were also considered for more than one position—this equals large applicant pools
 How to handle strategically?
- Best practice is to invite candidate to apply for the other requisition; if he/she doesn't apply, move on
- Audit applicant data to find patterns in recruitment behavior and then build applicant tracking processes around those practices
- Retain data that allows you to show other candidates would not have been qualified for any higher level cross-over requisitions



Access to Candidate Data

What's the problem?

• Allows recruiter to develop diverse pool (affirmative action) **BUT** increases danger that protected status is used in impermissible way (discrimination)

How to handle strategically?

• Best practice is to hide specific candidate data but provide access to summary information:

EEO Counts by Requisition Number

4000-2015-11-TST001	Basic Tester		
Applicant Stage / Disposition Code	Race	Gender	# of Job Seekers
Not Assigned / Not Assigned	Choose Not To Disclose	Choose Not to Disclose	2
Not Assigned / Not Assigned	Native American	Female	1
Not Assigned / Not Assigned	White	Male	1
Not Assigned / Incomplete application (NC-	-Inc) Black	Female	1
Not Assigned / Incomplete application (NC-	Inc) Pacific Islander	Male	1
Not Assigned / Incomplete application (NC-	Inc) White	Male	2
		Group Totals	8

The Too Much or Too Little Data Problem

What's the problem?

- Different recordkeeping obligations depending on data and state
 - Race and gender data = minimum two years
 - Vets and disabled data = minimum three years
- Some ATS systems will store indefinitely—check your contract
- Access to data if you change vendors
- Why does it matter?
 - EEOC RFIs: "Provide applicants for all positions from 1/1/10 present..."



The Too Much or Too Little Data Problem

How to handle strategically?

- Maintain enough data to defend your decisions in audits (two years back from date of scheduling letter)
 - Ensure litigation hold when audit starts
- Understand vendor document retention policies
- Develop proactive plan when switching vendors
 - Keep all data during relevant period when switching systems
 - Maintain in an accessible format



The Hard to Hire Requisition

What's the problem?

- Sourcing incorrectly may create significant recordkeeping issues
- Many companies are not keeping adequate records
 - Search records
 - 1 applicant to 1 hire ratios

How to handle strategically?

- Distinguish between passive recruitment and recruitment for specific positions
- Keep search records; automate
- Invite candidates to apply when specific position is available and/or email request for self-ID data and add to ATS



Know Why You Are Searching

- Distinguish between sourcing, recruitment, and selection for specific positions
 - Sourcing is the finding and initial engagement of passive candidates for positions that are not yet open; few recordkeeping requirements if done correctly
 - Recruitment activities can create recordkeeping obligations, such as when external or internal databases are searched for particular positions
 - Particular selection decisions must be documented



What Records to Keep

External Database Searches for Specific Position

- Date of search
- Search criteria used
- Position for which search was conducted
- Résumés of those who met basic qualifications and were considered

Internal Database Searches for Specific Position

- Above data
- All résumés added to the database and date added



How to Search

- Build a passive candidate pipeline using integrated talent recruitment model
- Automate logging of all searches
- Develop protocols about manner in which potential candidates are made aware of openings
- Have passive candidates apply for particular positions through ATS and only consider those that do



Auditing Your Recruitment Practices



Audit Your Recruitment Practices

Know what data you need and how to retrieve it

- All applicants who applied during plan year?
- Only requisitions opened during plan year?
- Only data for jobs filled during the plan year?
- What about internal selections?
- Cancelled reqs?
- Reqs with no hire?

Best Practice: Reverse Engineer your data!

• Identify hires made during plan year first and then gather applicant pools for those hires



Annual Audits

- Map out current recruitment process on annual basis and update steps in ATS to reflect your actual process
- Evaluate use of data management techniques and ranking/scoring functionality
- Review prescreening questions to confirm they are valid and working as intended
- Use annual AAP data to evaluate use of disposition codes



Quarterly Check-Ups

Perform quarterly checks to confirm applicant data is being collected correctly

- How many candidates have unknown race, gender, IWD, or PV status?
- Are requisitions with a hire still open?
- Do all candidates have a step and status?
- Do any requisitions have a 1:1 applicant to hire ratio?



Questions?

Lynn A. Clements, Esq. Director, Regulatory Affairs Berkshire Associates Inc. 800.882.8904, ext. 1246 lynnc@berkshireassociates.com



Additional Resources

For a copy of this presentation, please visit: <u>www.berkshireassociates.com/presenters/topic/lynn-clements</u>

Visit Berkshire's HR and Affirmative Action Blog:

info.berkshireassociates.com/balanceview



About Berkshire Associates For over 30 years Berkshire has offered services and software to overcome HR challenges.

<u>Affirmative Action</u> •Plan Preparation Services •OFCCP Audit Support •Adverse Impact Analyses •BALANCE*aap* Software

Applicant Management
Compliance Assessment
BALANCE*trak* Software

Compensation

Base Pay Structures
Market Analysis
Salary Equity Analyses

Training

Affirmative Action
BALANCE*aap*Collaborative Plan
Webinars & Private Training