



INTERMAP TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION
ANNUAL INFORMATION FORM
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Corporate Office
8310 South Valley Highway
Suite 400
Englewood, Colorado 80112
U.S.A.

March 31, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION	1
CORPORATE STRUCTURE	2
GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUSINESS	3
General History	3
DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS	5
General Overview.....	5
Summary of Products and Services	7
Business Model and Revenue	11
Revenues by Product Category.....	12
Pricing	12
Principal Markets	12
Selling and Distribution Methods	14
Production Process	15
Competition.....	16
Intangible Properties	19
Business Cycles	19
Employees	20
Foreign Operations	20
RISK FACTORS	20
DIVIDENDS	25
DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE	25
MARKET FOR SECURITIES	26
Trading Price and Volume	26
Prior Sales	26
ESCROWED SECURITIES	26
DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	27
LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND REGULATORY ACTIONS	29
INTEREST OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS ..	29
TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR	30
MATERIAL CONTRACTS	30
INTERESTS OF EXPERTS	30
AUDIT COMMITTEE INFORMATION	30
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	31
SCHEDULE A – Audit Committee Charter	A-1

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

In the interest of providing the shareholders and potential investors of Intermap Technologies[®] Corporation (“Intermap” or the “Company”) with information about the Company and its subsidiaries, including management’s assessment of Intermap’s[®] and its subsidiaries’ future plans and operations, certain information provided in this Annual Information Form (“AIF”) constitutes forward-looking statements or information (collectively, “forward-looking statements”). Forward-looking statements are typically identified by words such as “may”, “will”, “should”, “could”, “anticipate,” “expect,” “project,” “estimate,” “forecast,” “plan,” “intend,” “target,” “believe,” and similar words suggesting future outcomes or statements regarding an outlook. Although these forward-looking statements are based on assumptions that Intermap considers to be reasonable based on the information available on the date such statements are made, such statements are not guarantees of future performance and readers are cautioned against placing undue reliance on forward-looking statements. By their nature, these statements involve a variety of assumptions, known and unknown risks and uncertainties, and other factors which may cause actual results, levels of activity, and achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such statements. The forward-looking information contained in this AIF is based on certain assumptions and analysis by management of the Company in light of its experience and perception of historical trends, current conditions and expected future development and other factors that it believes are appropriate.

The material factors and assumptions used to develop the forward-looking statements herein include, but are not limited to, the following: (i) there will be adequate liquidity available to the Company to carry out its operations; (ii) the continued sales success of Intermap’s products and services; (iii) the continued success of business development activities; (iv) there will be no significant delays in the development and commercialization of the Company’s products; (v) the Company will continue to maintain sufficient and effective production and software development capabilities to compete on the attributes and cost of its products; (vi) there will be no significant reduction in the availability of qualified and cost-effective human resources; (vii) the continued existence and productivity of subsidiary operations; (viii) new products and services will continue to be added to the Company’s portfolio; (ix) demand for geospatial related products and services will continue to grow in the foreseeable future; (x) there will be no significant barriers to the integration of the Company’s products and services into customers’ applications; (xi) the Company will be able to maintain compliance with applicable contractual and regulatory obligations and requirements, and (xii) superior technologies/products do not develop that would render the Company’s current product offerings obsolete.

Intermap’s forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties pertaining to, among other things, cash available to fund operations, availability of capital, nature of government contracts, revenue fluctuations, economic conditions, loss of key customers, retention and availability of executive talent, competing technologies, common share price volatility, loss of proprietary information, software functionality, internet and system infrastructure functionality, information technology security, breakdown of strategic alliances, and international and political considerations, including but not limited to those risks and uncertainties discussed under the heading “Risk Factors” in this AIF and the Company’s other filings with securities regulators. The impact of any one risk, uncertainty, or factor on a particular forward-looking statement is not determinable with certainty as these are interdependent, and the Company’s future course of action depends on Management’s assessment of all information available at the relevant time. Except to the extent required by law, the Company assumes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements made in this AIF, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise. All subsequent forward-

looking statements, whether written or oral, attributable to the Company or persons acting on the Company's behalf, are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements.

UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, ALL DOLLAR OR "\$" REFERENCES IN THIS AIF ARE EXPRESSED IN UNITED STATES DOLLARS.

CORPORATE STRUCTURE


Intermap Technologies Corporation ("Intermap" or the "Company") was formed through the issuance of a Certificate of Amalgamation under the *Business Corporations Act* (Alberta) on February 25, 1997, as Intermap Technologies Limited. The Company changed its name to Intermap Technologies Corporation and consolidated its Class A Common shares (the "Shares" or "Common Shares") on a 12.5-to-one basis by Articles of Amendment filed on May 25, 1999.

The head office of Intermap is located at 8310 South Valley Highway, Suite 400, Englewood, Colorado, USA 80112. Its registered office is located at Suite 1600, 421 – 7th Avenue, Calgary, Alberta Canada, T2P 4K9.

Intermap has four active, wholly-owned subsidiaries: Intermap Technologies, Inc. ("Intermap U.S.A."), a corporation formed under the laws of Delaware, with its head office located in Englewood, Colorado; Intermap Technologies UK Limited ("Intermap UK"), a corporation formed under the laws of the United Kingdom (a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intermap U.S.A.); Intermap Technologies s.r.o. ("Intermap s.r.o."), a corporation formed under the laws of the Czech Republic with its head office located in Prague, Czech Republic; and, one majority-owned subsidiary, P.T. ExsaMap Asia, formed under the laws of the Republic of Indonesia.

Intermap U.S.A. satisfies a United States federal government requirement that a United States entity own certain of the technologies used by Intermap. Intermap UK was incorporated to provide sales support activity within the United Kingdom and throughout Europe. Intermap s.r.o. provides software development services for the Company. P.T. ExsaMap Asia provides geospatial data processing services primarily for the Company's mapping services operations. The Company actively conducts business through Intermap, Intermap U.S.A., Intermap U.K., Intermap s.r.o, and P.T. ExsaMap Asia.

The following chart illustrates the structure of the Company's subsidiaries and percentage of ownership.



GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUSINESS

General History

Intermap was formed on January 31, 1996 and commenced active business operations on September 1, 1996. On November 11, 1996 the Company acquired all of the assets that had comprised the image mapping services division of Intra Information Technologies Corporation (“IITC”). On February 25, 1997, Intermap amalgamated with a junior capital pool corporation (effectively a publicly listed shell company) listed on the Alberta Stock Exchange (now the TSX Venture Exchange).

The assets acquired from IITC included cash and cash equivalents, employees, contracts, software, equipment, and goodwill. On November 11, 1996, Intermap acquired the rights to certain digital mapping technology under a Transfer, Assignment, and License Agreement (the “ERIM Agreement”) among Intermap, Environmental Research Institute of Michigan (“ERIM”), and Intermap U.S.A.

ERIM had developed a digital mapping technology known as interferometric synthetic aperture radar for elevation (“IFSAR”) with funding from the United States of America Defense Advanced Research Projects Authority (“DARPA”). Under the agreement between ERIM and DARPA, any commercial exploitation of the system had to be conducted through a United States based company. Under the ERIM Agreement, ERIM assigned its rights to commercially exploit the technology to Intermap U.S.A. The original ERIM technology formed the basis of Intermap’s IFSAR mapping technology, however, as a result of continuous improvement initiatives, Intermap has since replaced all of the software code that controls, operates, and processes the data associated with the IFSAR system. To date, more than 95 percent of the original hardware has also been replaced. Intermap’s improvements to the original ERIM technology have resulted in elevation accuracy improvements of more than six times and resolution improvements of more than four times, compared to the original ERIM technology. ERIM retained the ability to license the original IFSAR technology to other parties; however, ERIM has no rights to any of the software or new hardware designs and improvements created by Intermap. To date, ERIM has not licensed its IFSAR technology to any other entity.

Historically, Intermap was primarily a mapping services company. Over the past three years, the focus of the Company has changed and Intermap now considers itself a global provider of location-based information solutions. These solutions are focused on improving the way commercial entities, governments, and individuals use geospatial related information.

The Company’s geospatial solutions are created from a multitude of internally generated and publicly available data sources. Using a combination of Intermap’s proprietary radar data collection technology, third party sensors, and other available geospatial related information, the Company aggregates this information and creates a diversified database of location-based information called NEXTMap[®]. This NEXTMap database is the foundation for the Company’s 3D business intelligence (“3DBI[®]”) software solutions created to help meet the geospatial-related needs of its customers.

In the coming year, the Company expects to expand its geospatial solutions capabilities through its Orion Platform[™] – a software-driven spatial data platform that derives answers for customers and provides a recurring software revenue stream to the Company. The key elements of the Orion Platform include:

- **3DBI:** Analytics based software as a solution (“SaaS”) applications for both geographic information systems (“GIS”) and non-GIS users

- **Infrastructure:** Network based software delivered in both platform as a service (“PaaS”) and traditional local licenses
- **Foundation Data:** Seamless, off-the-shelf, high resolution elevation data
- **Geospatial Services:** Customer based geospatial auditing services and custom data collection

2011

In March 2011, Intermap announced the completion of the first 3D dataset of all roads in Western Europe. The dataset features more than 6.0 million kilometers of all classes of roads in Germany, France, Italy, Spain, Austria, United Kingdom, Holland, Belgium, Luxembourg, Denmark, Czech Republic, Switzerland, Ireland, and Portugal.

2012

In February 2012, Intermap announced the launch of its NEXTMap Web Store 2.0. This release provided users with the ability to download terrain data based on an area of interest. This updated version featured (i) data provisioning with regular data updates and access to the content through standard web browsers and commercial desktop software applications, (ii) metadata that included data acquisition and publishing dates, and horizontal and vertical data, (iii) new data layers including custom contours, slope and aspect maps, 3D roads, hill-shade images, road and trail networks, hydrology, coastlines, and data fusion services, and (iv) streamlined billing.

In June 2012, Intermap announced the release of its NEXTMap World 30™ product. The World 30 product is a commercial 3D terrain offering that provides seamless, void free coverage, with a 30 meter ground sampling distance, across the entire 150 million km² of the earth’s surface. The product was created to provide accurate and reliable height data that 2D satellite images typically cannot. The World 30 product aggregates data from Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (“ASTER”), Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (“SRTM”), and Global Topographic 30M Digital Elevation Model (“GTOPO”) using worldwide control, combined with Intermap’s proprietary data fusion technology that creates a seamless, void-filled and consistent dataset with vertical accuracies at 5 meters. World 30 is used for applications such as image orthorectification, line-of-site calculations, feature extraction, topographic map development, risk management, infrastructure planning, precision farming, emergency response, and 3D visualization.

In August 2012, Intermap introduced the beta version of its web-based outdoor advertising application known as AdPro®. The application combines elevation data with relevant demographics and traffic trends to help users manage their outdoor advertising campaigns, make improved advertising decisions, and manage their outdoor advertising locations. The application included (i) current demographic data that identifies media locations that best fit an advertising campaign’s target audience, (ii) exposure calculations that identify a media locations’ visibility, (iii) traffic speed and congestion data that allows the user to visualize the driving patterns of a market, (iv) a proximity tool and point of interest layers that allow the user to readily determine where a target audience is in relation to a popular locale, and (v) a proposal generation tool. The four key segment users of the AdPro application were expected to be media owners, media buyers, advertising original equipment manufacturer (“OEMs”), and commercial enterprises.

In December 2012, Intermap introduced the web-based beta version of its second generation risk analysis application that was originally known as RiskPro. The application provides address-specific flood risk and natural peril information for both personal and commercial properties. This software

application allowed the user to (i) create multiple risk profiles and locations, (ii) select from multiple risk layers, (iii) create user defined water height, (iv) view water depth at any location, (v) apply a radius around a selected location, (vi) visualize risk information on a map consisting of city maps and aerial images, and (vii) print a risk profile report. The application also allowed the user to make decisions about evacuation routes, safety locations, emergency shelter facilities, emergency mitigation areas, and future storm shelter locations. The key segment users of the application were expected to be governments, private corporations, and individuals.

2013

In June 2013, Intermap announced the official launch of its Orion Platform™, the industry's first Software-Driven Spatial Data Platform. The Orion Platform is designed to allow governmental entities and enterprises around the world to manage their entire spatial data infrastructure program from one unified control point. The Orion Platform was created to help governments and enterprises manage their increasing use of geospatial data in infrastructure planning, weather related risks, agriculture, excavation, national security, and much more.

In July 2013, Intermap introduced AdPro v3.0 for Media Buyers, the first SaaS based application specifically designed for creative agencies and media buyers to evaluate the advertising potential of any Out-of-Home advertising location. The software application allows for valuable information to be rapidly extracted from fused layers of data in Intermap's NEXTMap database enabling an advertising agency to understand and measure the drivers of a successful location-based advertising campaign including area demographics, proximity to points of interest, traffic analysis, exposure time, and viewing approach. This information is then applied to the placement and value of static billboards, digital billboards, posters, city walls, bus shelters, urban furniture, shopping malls, retail locations, sports arenas, concert venues, and street corners.

In August 2013, Intermap announced the availability of NEXTMap World 30 v2.0, the world's most accurate complete global terrain product. This version of the Company's NEXTMap World 30 product is up to three times more accurate than competing global Digital Elevation Models ("DEM") such as SRTM data and ASTER Global Digital Elevation Model ("GDEM"). It integrates seamlessly with Intermap's Orion Platform and provides a foundation data layer for the Company's web-based 3DBI applications. NEXTMap World 30 v2.0 removed errors native to the ASTER GDEM v2 data in over 3,000 one-degree tiles north of 60 degrees latitude. Additionally, the overall vertical accuracy of v2.0 was improved by over 10-centimeter to a Root Mean Square Error ("RMSE") of just 7 meters globally.

DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS

General Overview

Intermap is a global location-based information company, creating a wide variety of geospatial solutions and analytics from its software and extensive geospatial database known as NEXTMap. The Company uses its internally generated 3D digital models, together with aggregated third-party information and data (both static and real-time), to create geospatial solutions for its customers. These geospatial solutions are used in a wide range of location-based information applications including, but not limited to, wireless communications, transportation, outdoor advertising, 3D visualization, "big data" file management, GIS, engineering, military, aviation, flight simulation, utilities, global positioning systems maps, base mapping, insurance hazard risk modeling and analysis, oil and gas, renewable energy, environmental planning, natural resource management, water management,

forestry, and agriculture. Intermap's solutions are also used to improve the positional accuracy of airborne and satellite images.

The Company believes the value of geospatial content is in its web-based applications. To help grow this value base, the Company continues to expand its web-services offerings that allow its products and related location-based information to be accessible via the "cloud" or behind a customer's firewall in a "private cloud" environment. These web-services offer a suite of hosted tools that gives even those unfamiliar with GIS the ability to quickly and easily perform geospatial analysis based on an area of interest such as a land development site, flood plain, urban corridor, transportation system, city, county, state, or even an entire country. Users of the Company's 3DBI applications and NEXTMap database can access information using their current web browsers and/or through popular desktop GIS software applications. The Company believes its aggressive pricing strategy makes the purchase of its products and services attractive and affordable to a wide array of potential users.

Intermap has the ability to create its own digital 3D geospatial data using its proprietary radar technology mounted in a Learjet aircraft. The Company has two radar-equipped aircraft, which provide operational flexibility related to geographical location of data collection. Intermap's radar-based technology allows it to collect data at any time of the day, including under conditions such as cloud cover or darkness, which are conditions that limit most competitive technologies. The radar technology also enables data to be collected over larger areas, at higher collection speeds, and at accuracy levels that are difficult to achieve with competitive systems. Once the raw digital data is collected, it is then processed to create three different geospatial datasets: digital surface models, digital terrain models, and orthorectified radar images (similar to a black-and-white photo). These datasets can then be further processed and/or aggregated with additional data to create value-added products for the customer. The Company currently uses third party data in selected areas to enhance the edit process used in the creation of its NEXTMap datasets. Certain third party data is, and is expected to be made available to the Company on a no-charge basis. When third party data is not available on a no-charge basis, a royalty for the use of such data is typically paid by the Company to the provider.

Unlike other geospatial companies, Intermap typically retains ownership of its data and licenses the use of its products and services to its customers. Through its NEXTMap program, Intermap is striving to become the premier worldwide provider of location-based geospatial solutions. Intermap currently has 3D geospatial data commercially available worldwide.

Over the past three years, the Company has been actively transitioning its NEXTMap database from primarily an internally created radar only dataset to an aggregated dataset of radar-derived data and third-party data collected by multiple sensor technologies, including light detection and ranging ("LiDAR"), photogrammetry, satellite, and other available sources. The NEXTMap database also includes information such as 3D city models, outdoor advertising assets, census data, real-time traffic, weather related hazards, points of interest, cellular towers, flood models and wildfire models. The Company has many years of experience aggregating data derived from a number of different sensor technologies and data sources, and believes it is among the first to commercialize broad access to this combined data and information. The Company disseminates the NEXTMap data to customers through a low-cost, web-based delivery mechanism, allowing for a rapid and economical means of distributing "big data" location-based information. In addition, the Company is combining its mapping services capability and NEXTMap database, together with its software application development capability and system integration expertise, to create spatial data infrastructure ("SDI") environments for its customers referred to as an Orion Platform. Customers for the Orion Platform environment can be as small as a county, to as large as an entire country.

All of the Company's data production is controlled and managed through Intermap's ISO 9001:2000 quality management system. The majority of the data production is carried out in-house, although Intermap has available alternative suppliers who can provide additional capacity on an as-required basis.

The Company sells/licenses its products and services to customers either on a fee-for-service contract basis or through perpetual or time based licenses. Intermap typically retains ownership of the mapping data it collects and is therefore able to license the mapping data multiple times to different customers within the same market and across different markets. The Company distributes its products and services through direct sales, channel partners, OEMs, and distributor arrangements, both domestically and internationally.

Summary of Products and Services

Location-Based Information Products and Services

Orion Platform™ - The Company believes that commercial enterprises and governments worldwide are increasingly using geospatial data to manage infrastructure, weather related risks, agriculture, urban development, mining, national security, operational planning, and resource management, to name a few. The Company also believes that the costs and complexity of geospatial information and the infrastructure to manage it can be a significant challenge for the end-user. Intermap's Orion Platform was developed to solve these problems by enabling customers to choose the products and services that are right for them based on their needs, scope, budget, and timeline. The Orion Platform was created to seamlessly manage and deliver any fused geospatial dataset and associated analytics. Intermap believes this can help to ensure more concise understanding, management, and control over infrastructure development across an entire country, or the strategic planning across a commercial enterprise. The Orion Platform was created to enable governments and commercial enterprises to manage their entire spatial data infrastructure from one unified control point.

The Orion Platform was developed to provide an integrated platform, delivering customized and scalable geospatial solutions, powered from five layers of products and services as follows:

1. **3DBI:** Software applications designed to help professionals make better location-based decisions without the need for expensive and complicated GIS software
2. **Infrastructure:** Network-based software (middleware) delivered in both PaaS and traditional licenses
3. **Foundation Data Layer:** Seamless, off-the-shelf, high resolution elevation data
4. **Fusion Services:** Integration of geospatial and location-based content into one homogeneous, consistent database using the Company's proprietary fusion processes and tools
5. **Geospatial Services:** Helps a customer define their overall geospatial enterprise problem. The services also include custom data collections using a variety of sensor types (i.e. radar, LiDAR, satellite, aerial photography, etc.).

New Products and Services

AdPro® - Leveraging off of the Company's NEXTMap database, the AdPro web application allows the out-of-home advertising industry to research, plan, market, and choose billboard and other outdoor advertising locations for advertising campaigns. The AdPro product is not just for static billboards, but is also designed to support mobile billboards and smart-phones. AdPro integrates key industry intelligence layers, including census and traffic data (speed and congestion information), into

one convenient and easy to use web service. AdPro is aimed at media owners, to better manage and price their billboard and outdoor advertising locations, as well as media buyers, to find the best billboard and outdoor advertising locations for their advertising campaigns. The AdPro application is intended to facilitate better and more informed decisions for the outdoor advertising industry. The Company believes the worldwide potential market size for the AdPro application is as much as \$300 million.

GeoPro™ - A server based software product, designed specifically to serve disparate spatial information and associated analytics to end users of web and desktop applications. This network software can be fully integrated into a customer's current infrastructure and made centrally accessible, allowing authorized users immediate access to the geospatial information they need in order to plan and solve problems quickly. GeoPro assembles the datasets into a single data structure so specific applications are not required to have individualized interfaces to each data type. It then provides users with a network-visible and user-friendly software developers kit ("SDK") that eliminates the need to transform files into a common format. Implementation of GeoPro is based on each customer's specific needs, and offers many standard levels of information and several value-added options of extended geospatial, geo-political, and geo-intelligence layers. GeoPro can solve any of the problems common to enterprises and governments today including difficulty:

- Managing and integrating disparate, siloed geospatial and location-based intelligence data
- Sharing geospatial information across an organization, while at the same time maintaining data security
- Enabling end users who are not advanced in GIS to use spatial information in their jobs
- Processing and serving large amounts of geospatial data to the web
- Administering data to end users

The application is intuitive and accessible via any device with a web browser such as a tablet, laptop, or smartphone. The application is capable of integrating over 400 different geospatial and non-geospatial data formats as well as support for hundreds of projections and datum's. It can serve large amounts of spatial information to end users of web and desktop applications. The application is completely scalable to support as many users and as much data as desired. The application can also be used to visualize aggregated data on a map and create customized web maps for other to use. Intermap expects this product to be initially available during the second quarter of 2014. The Company believes the worldwide potential market size for the GeoPro application is as much as \$100 million.

InsitePro - The Company's NEXTMap database is foundational in Intermap's evolving web-based risk analysis application called InsitePro. This application will allow users to analyze, visualize, and present risk on thousands of locations against a variety of perils, including Intermap's WorldFlood™ and WildFire™ USA models. The application calculates risk by using the Company's NEXTmap information as a foundation for the aggregation of geospatial and satellite data, public records, and third-party datasets. With these data assets, risk can be analyzed and quantified for areas of any scale, from global portfolios or national initiatives, to a residential solution for a single, concerned homeowner. The Company's risk analysis application brings together risk models and datasets with a user's proprietary data in a clear visual environment. Large portfolios of locations can be imported, analyzed, inspected, and exported quickly and easily. These models and datasets can be updated to reflect dynamic influences on risk such as weather. The application will be designed to provide users access to the most updated and current information supporting tactical decision-making. Additionally, users will be able to create customized reports through configurable reporting functionality, enabling easy and clear communication between analysts and decision makers. The latest generation of this

product is expected to be initially available during the second quarter of 2014. The Company believes the worldwide potential market size for the Risk Analysis Application is as much as \$825 million.

World 30 – This product is part of the Company’s NEXTMap database and provides seamless, surface elevation data with a 30-meter ground sampling distance affording more efficient geospatial analyses, especially in the cloud belt, and in the developing nations of the world. World 30 data was made available for the entire world in June 2012 and World 30 v2 was made available in August 2013. The product has an accuracy starting at 5 vertical meters, and the Company believes the application can be used in industries such as telecommunications, forest management, aviation, engineering, energy exploration, environmental management, public works design, firefighting, geology, and city planning. The Company’s conservative estimate of the opportunity for the World 30 product offering is approximately \$50 million.

Data Fusion Services – The Company has developed elevation data fusion techniques that model systematic, low frequency errors in high resolution datasets using a lower resolution dataset as reference. Using this technique, the Company models and corrects systematic errors to produce improved higher resolution elevation data, such as that of LiDAR technologies. The high resolution data is also normalized to the Company’s consistent NEXTMap database removing any bias and planar tilts, where needed, further increasing the overall accuracy of the data. The combined improvements are applied to the full resolution LiDAR data, unlocking its full potential for government and large geospatial users.

The enhanced LiDAR data may also be combined through fusion with the Company’s NEXTMap digital elevation models resulting in a consistent dataset through the incorporation of all available third-party data into the NEXTMap base layer. In a similar manner, building models can be fused into the NEXTMap dataset, further increasing value in large urban centers where radar is known to provide a less than optimal solution.

The Company believes that government and resource geospatial data managers have access to significant quantities of elevation data. Unfortunately, these datasets have often been collected with many different technologies, project specifications, and quality control parameters. This information, when viewed in total, can be inconsistent. As a result, it is difficult to implement key applications requiring seamless integration of multiple datasets, either due to the size of the region of interest, or analysis of temporal variation. The seamless elevation dataset that is created with the Company’s data fusion services can be ideal for maximizing investment in LiDAR, photogrammetric-derived elevation models, or NEXTMap data, and filling gaps in areas where available data is limited.

Radar Based Products

Intermap’s radar sensor systems create three core digital map products as follows:

Digital Surface Model (“DSM”) – a digital elevation model that measures the top surface of the earth and objects located on it. The DSM is derived from the radar hitting the top of objects or the “first-reflective-surface.” The DSM data includes vegetation, buildings, roads, and natural terrain features. Examples of DSM-related applications include line-of-sight calculations for cell tower placement, property development analysis, and military operations support. A DSM can also be used as a comparatively inexpensive means to improve the accuracy of cartographic products such as topographic line maps and road maps.

Digital Terrain Model (“DTM”) – a topographic model of the “bare earth.” A DTM is a DSM that has had vegetation, buildings, and other cultural features digitally removed, leaving just the underlying terrain. This is achieved using Intermap’s proprietary software tools that create terrain elevations based on measurements of the ground contained in the original radar data. A DTM provides a geometrically correct reference frame over which other data layers, such as aerial photography and other types of images, can be draped. The DTM, coupled with surface analysis tools, supports applications such as the development of accurate topographic maps. The DTM is also a valuable component in analysis involving various terrain characteristics such as profile, cross-section, line-of-sight, aspect, and slope. Examples of DTM-related applications include flood modeling, agricultural land analysis, recreational GPS applications, Internet mapping, and automotive applications.

Orthorectified Radar Image (“ORI”) – a grayscale image of the earth’s surface that looks similar to a black-and-white photograph. The ORI is derived from the intensity of the radar wave that is rebounded from the earth’s surface back to the IFSAR radar system. The radar image is then processed using the DSM to remove the distortions that are inherent with any image collection process. This rectification process results in each pixel in the image being located in its correct geometric position. The ORI is typically used as the basis for extracting terrain features such as roads, trees, and buildings and for other mapping applications such as topographic line maps.

Using the above core products as foundation elements, Intermap produces additional mapping and image products for its customers tailored to customer-specific accuracy requirements, file formats, and coordinate systems. These products include:

Custom contours: enable the end user to perform profile analyses, elevation identification, slope modeling, or to create detailed maps. Because these contours are based on the NEXTMap DTM, the Company is able to offer higher accuracy digital map products than traditional publicly available products.

Terrain-derived hydrology datasets: provides water bodies and double line drainages. With this dataset, the end user can perform more accurate flood, stream flow, and soil erosion analyses, and snowmelt runoff predictions.

Terrain-derived coastline datasets: represents coastal boundaries in the end users area of interest. The end user can use it in coastal GIS applications for more efficient and correct analyses.

Slope maps: represents the terrain’s degree of slope. This is useful for quick and effective slope analyses of the terrain.

Aspect maps: displays the cardinal direction of the slope for effective terrain analyses. The aspect helps define the amount of sunlight striking the surface of the terrain.

Hillshade images: provides the end user with a more accurate and clearer visualization of the topography. It is well suited for hiking applications, site planning, presentations, and plotting.

Training

Intermap provides training services to its customers. This training generates revenue and is used as part of the Company's overall marketing program to educate customers, suppliers, and partners about Intermap's products and services.

Business Model and Revenue

Intermap believes its business model provides a competitive advantage. Any future competitors hoping to offer location-based information and 3D digital geospatial products on the same basis as Intermap will likely be faced with higher capital costs and a lower probability of success, as they will be competing for customers who are able to purchase products and services with immediate availability from Intermap. Additionally, competitors' products will not have access to Intermap's proprietary NEXTMap database that is used in the Company's 3DBI software applications. The large scale proprietary digital terrain data found in the Company's NEXTMap database uniquely permits other smaller scale datasets, such as those derived from LiDAR, which are often incompatible, to be reconciled with each other and integrated into a larger whole. NEXTMap provides the consistent "base" for such enhanced data sets.

Intermap operates in one industry segment, digital mapping and related services, with two different classifications of revenue: Contract Services (fee-for-service contracts) and Data Licenses (NEXTMap database licensing and 3DBI software applications).

Contract Services

The Company's contract services business typically involves a client requesting a digital map for a specific area and purpose. Intermap creates such digital maps on a fee-for-service contract basis and then typically licenses the use of the data and/or digital maps to the customer. These custom mapping services projects have traditionally been conducted as a result of government or commercial contracts. From time-to-time, the Company also collects data on a speculative basis, that is, without a contract in place for the collection or licensing of the data to be collected. Prior to the start of any speculative collection, the Company typically receives an expression of interest in all or part of the data from one or more customers, which may include indications of the price that the customer may be prepared to pay and the estimated timing before a commitment to purchase the data could be made. Project-specific contractual mapping and speculative data sales have historically generated significant revenues and margins for the Company, however they are unpredictable in timing and value, thus creating sources of revenue and margins that can vary significantly on a quarter-to-quarter and year-over-year basis. See "Risk Factors – Revenue Fluctuations and Speculative Data Collection." The Company's contract services business also includes services provided by the Company utilizing specific internal expertise to address a customer's specific geospatial requirements other than providing a digital map for a specific area and purpose.

Data Licenses

Intermap is creating and updating a worldwide database of location-based information which can be licensed to a broad group of customers as either raw data or in the form of a focused business software application solution that solves a customer's specific geospatial problem(s).

Revenues by Product Category

The Company recorded revenues for the following categories of products and services during the two most recently completed financial years:

(in thousands)	2013	2012
Contract Services	\$19,076	\$11,902
Data Licenses (NEXTMap and 3DBI applications)	5,366	15,851

Pricing

Pricing for contract services varies by customer and their individual requirements. The project price under a contract is typically negotiated with the customer as a function of the area requested, its location, terrain characteristics, and the type of license requested.

The Company's 3DBI software applications and NEXTMap data pricing includes per-user, per-month plans through a SaaS model (a subscription-based, recurring revenue model) and one-time purchases of enterprise level data licenses. The Company is planning on the continuous update of its 3D terrain database with new types of data from multiple sources, both internally and externally generated. The access to this updated data is expected to be primarily via maintenance fees charged to participating customers.

Principal Markets

Market Overview

Intermap believes that several markets requiring reliable location-based information and 3D terrain data exist as follows:

Outdoor Advertising

The Company estimates that the worldwide outdoor advertising market was expected to exceed \$27.69 billion in 2012 and the market is further forecasted to reach \$43.81 billion by the year 2017, registering a compounded average growth rate ("CAGR") of 7.58% over the period 2009-2017. Region wise, Europe, accounted for 33.55% of the global outdoor advertising market as estimated in the year 2012, followed by the United States at 25.57%. Asia-Pacific is believed to represent the fastest growing outdoor advertising market, with advertising expenditures forecasted to register a CAGR of 14.33% over the period 2009-2017. It is understood that billboards constitute the largest advertising market segment, with 53.3% of the global market share estimated in 2010. The Company has also identified the smart-phone market as the next generation advertising platform and is integrating access to this market in its software designs. The Company's AdPro application is positioned to service two segments of the outdoor advertising market - operator and buyer. The Company is currently an early service provider to both segments of the outdoor advertising market in the United States. By the end of the year of 2014, the Company expects that it will begin to align with strategic partnerships to penetrate the European and Southeast Asia markets.

Risk Management

Intermap believes that flood and natural peril modeling applications will have a broad appeal to insurance companies, government agencies, and corporate enterprises. Intermap is currently developing a risk management software application called InsitePro that will utilize the Company's

comprehensive NEXTMap database. The application will be web-based and allow users to quickly and efficiently perform natural hazard risk assessment, based on the best-available and seamless hazard maps across entire countries or large contiguous areas. The Company's web-based tools will include an underwriting tool for property-specific risk underwriting and an accumulation tool for portfolio-based accumulation control for single risks or a larger portfolio of risks. Eventually, Intermap envisions that its risk management products will become consumer-focused products offered over the Internet to home owners and prospective property buyers. This web service evolution is expected to go much further beyond traditional flood risk hazards to include multiple layers of risk related intelligence.

Geospatial Network Market

Intermap believes that there is a large market opportunity for geospatial network middleware that will appeal across a broad range of vertical markets including: oil and gas, engineering, natural resources, transportation, and government. Intermap is currently developing a network based software product called GeoPro that is both powerful and easy to use for GIS and non-GIS users. This software will be available to customers as a standard local software license, as well as a cloud based PaaS model. The software will allow the user to aggregate and connect data files, databases, and web services of many different types from an assortment of common platforms, and manage the information from a centrally accessible location. Once connected to the server, the data can be customized and published as a web-service to end users of desktop and web applications. Additionally, users can develop their own applications using the software's application programming interface and SDK.

The GeoPro software is differentiated well in a rather competitive market because it is both easy to use and powerful. It is also unique in that it is platform agnostic, meaning it easily pulls data sources from different types of software vendors, and does not require the data to be stored in any proprietary format. This allows the end user to simply drag and drop any data into the interface and be up and running within seconds. The software product will be offered at what the Company believes is an attractive price point compared to many competitors.

Telecom

With the increase in smartphone adoption, tower placement and wireless coverage is becoming a key component to the wireless communications markets. The telecom industry has numerous planning activities surrounding the creation of wireless networks, including the provision of point-to-point radio frequency communications known as microwave link planning and point-to-multipoint communications known as microwave cellular planning.

Intermap has created a web -service for network planning utilizing NEXTMap data and a proprietary web-based delivery system called LinkPro[®]. Using this service, customers are able to input details of point-to-point links they wish to test into a web application that is compatible with their planning software. The web service helps users optimize their network design by instantly identifying obstructions penetrating the Fresnel Zone or blocking the lines of sight between towers. The user can sign up for either pay-as-you-go or a subscription service to allow them to run multiple queries. While other mapping companies may have DSMs over localized areas, Intermap believes it is the only company that can offer a countrywide solution for national telecommunications providers. The Company has entered into several contracts for this service and believes there is significant interest from additional customers within Europe, North America, and other areas around the world, especially when the systems are upgraded from the current 4G classification.

Web Services

Intermap is focusing on further expanding its web services offerings to leverage its NEXTMap database and adding value by packaging it under a SaaS model and delivering these hosted services over the internet. The criteria used for any offering that would utilize the NEXTMap database is that it be scalable and create recurring revenue for the Company.

GIS

Intermap is marketing NEXTMap data to a number of traditional GIS markets. In these markets, customers typically use desktop-based GIS and engineering systems offered by strategic companies such as ESRI (a GIS mapping software company), Autodesk (a 3D design software company), and Blue Marble - Global Mapper (a GIS data processing company) for planning, engineering, environmental management, site, or route selection and permitting.

Non-GIS

Intermap is marketing its location-based information web applications and NEXTMap database to a number of non-traditional GIS markets. In these markets, customers typically are not experienced with GIS based systems and tools and their workflow can be piecemeal and manual.

Commercial Markets

In addition to the markets discussed above, Intermap sells data licenses to other commercial organizations. Clients include oil and gas companies, pipeline companies, power distribution companies, wind power companies, mining companies, utility companies, and forest management companies.

Government Agencies

A large portion of Intermap's historical revenue has come from government contracts with national mapping agencies. The Company is a leading commercial supplier of DSMs and DTMs to United States federal agencies, including the National Geospatial Intelligence Agency ("NGA") and the United States Geological Survey ("USGS"). As the Company collects NEXTMap data around the world, it expects increased opportunities to arise for selling licensed products to government agencies outside of the United States.

Selling and Distribution Methods

Data distribution occurs through direct sales, channel partners, value-added partners, OEMs, or through the Company's Internet-based store.

Direct Sales

Direct sales are carried out through a commissioned sales team employed by the Company. The direct sales team is responsible for the sale of both contract services work and the licensing of the NEXTMap database and its associated 3DBI software applications.

Channel Partners

In order to reach markets not easily accessed by traditional direct selling efforts, the Company uses a network of channel partners. These partnerships are established to broaden the Company's customer base, penetrate new markets, and establish recurring revenue streams. The Company attempts to work with channel partners who are generally well-positioned in broad and diverse vertical markets. The

channel partners distribute the Company's products and services to their principal markets, and create and sell solutions or consumer products based on the Company's product infrastructure. Ultimately, Intermap's selection of a channel partner is governed by its ability to promote an integrated solution or product to mass markets, thereby creating an opportunity for recurring revenue to the Company.

Production Process

The Company owns all of the technology required to create, collect, process, edit, and deliver products to its customers. All of the Company's production processes, quality assurance, and quality control processes are documented under the Company's ISO 9001:2000 Quality Management System.

Software Production: The Company has assembled a core software development team and is currently in the process of expanding this team to carry out Intermap's strategy for its 3DBI software applications. The team consists of developers, engineers and other staff the Company believes are capable of executing on its product roadmap. The team is complimented by internal personnel knowledgeable about the production and aggregation of the necessary databases utilized in each of the 3DBI software applications. The Company is also in the process of expanding its current capabilities in technical support, customer training and implementation, and operating the Company's SaaS based infrastructure. This support team plays a key role in the maintenance and development of the Company's 3DBI software solutions.

Radar Production: Areas targeted for radar collection are first flight-planned by Intermap's operations staff. Field crews are then dispatched to install GPS-based ground control points, as required. The aircraft and radar are subsequently flown to collect data over the target locations. The collected raw radar data is sent to the Company's interferometric processing ("IP") centers either in Denver, Colorado or Jakarta, Indonesia. During IP, the raw radar data and GPS information are converted into a fully orthorectified (corrected) image and a digital surface model on a flight line basis. These flight line products are then mosaiced together into map sheets.

Specialized Skill and Knowledge: The Company needs well-trained technical staff having knowledge in software development and radar-related disciplines and/or mapping. Intermap fills a portion of this requirement for software developers, engineers, scientists, and technicians through recruitment programs at accredited colleges and universities. Career paths frequently lead from technician, to design engineer or software developer, to manager. In addition, the requirement for mapping specialists is fulfilled from the conventional GIS community or through graduates of GIS programs at both community colleges and universities.

Technology

The Company's ability to produce digital maps over large areas and with a high level of detail and accuracy results from its proprietary radar digital mapping technology. This technology remotely and simultaneously collects latitude, longitude, and elevation (x, y, and z coordinates) data with an extremely high level of efficiency relative to other mapping technologies. An added benefit of the radar technology is the ability to collect data in poor visibility conditions (night or overcast) and to fly at high altitudes, which facilitates a wide swath of data collection of 10 km. The Company's highest level of radar technology DEM product provides a vertical accuracy of up to 50 cm and horizontal resolution of up to 62.5 cm. Intermap believes it has a strong leadership position in the mapping industry as a result of its proprietary IFSAR radar technology.

The Company operates two radar systems which consist of two X-band radar antennae coupled to a transmitter receiver and data storage system mounted in two Learjet 36A aircraft. A digital correlation process then extracts terrain height information used to geometrically correct the radar image. The radar technology uses GPS data, together with onboard laser-based inertial measurement data to attain highly accurate positioning control. The accuracy of the system's positioning information, along with careful baseline calibration, reduces the likelihood that additional location measurements are required in subsequent processing steps.

Compared to competing technologies, the Company's ability to produce data on time and within a specified budget is largely due to the radar technology's all-weather acquisition capability (with the exception of abnormally high winds and turbulence) and its superior speed and efficiency. The post-collection processing of the data is also less labor-intensive than competing technologies (see "Competition").

Competition

Software Development

Intermap's 3DBI approach that addresses the geospatial needs of its customers is relatively unique to the industry. The Company does, however, believe that other companies within the geospatial industry are currently developing, are considering developing, or could develop software applications that may eventually compete with the Company's 3DBI software products.

Intermap's 3DBI software products are designed to combine powerful, but easy to use analytics, presentation quality output, and specialized data elements tailored to specific vertical markets. While there are many competitors that offer location-based analysis products, Intermap believes its approach is unique as competitors are typically focused on either different customer categories or on different problems within the same customer.

Intermap's 3DBI software applications face competition from software suppliers that includes (i) Key Systems, Adstruc, Telmar, Alteryx, for its AdPro application; (ii) RMS and Core Logic, for its Risk Analysis Application, and (iii) ESRI and Carto DB, for its GeoPro application. Competition also arises from companies that provide specialized data products. The Company has been successful to date in its ongoing attempts to partner with these companies to create integrated solutions for the end customer. Examples include risk model providers such as JBA and Ambiental. Lastly, Intermap competes with in-house solutions created by customers using general purpose software and customization.

Intermap's competitors may have significantly more financial, technical, marketing and other resources than the Company. Many of these competitors have extensive customer-bases and broader customer relationships than Intermap, and they also have longer operating histories and greater name recognition, particularly in the software product space. The Company believes that it competes effectively in terms of key factors that include ease of use, specialization in solving customer problems, expertise and optimization of accessed datasets, pricing, and quality.

Data Acquisition

The Company's geospatial solutions approach does include the use of an airborne remote sensing radar technology and there are a number of such technologies that compete with Intermap's radar based capabilities as summarized below:

LiDAR: Intermap believes that LiDAR is the most competitive technology to the Company's IFSAR based radar system because of its availability and accuracy. The equipment is easily obtainable, and mapping services are usually offered by companies on a fee-for-service basis. Pricing, while project-specific, typically ranges from approximately \$100 to \$250 per square kilometer for large areas (>5000 kilometers square), roughly five to ten times the cost of Intermap's products and the end product varies dramatically in quality and precision. However, given the high level of competition in the LiDAR sector, it is likely that prices will continue to be driven down. Although LiDAR is capable of higher accuracy than Intermap's radar technology, the major obstacles to its widespread adoption are its inability to cover large areas efficiently, limited ability to fly in poor weather conditions, non-standard processing methods to derive hydro-enforced (rivers run downstream) DSM and DTM finished data products, and a much higher cost associated with collecting large areas relative to the Company's radar technology. Furthermore, Intermap believes that LiDAR does not play a key role in the cloud belt regions of the world, due to its inability to operate through dense clouds. While Intermap considers its radar capability to be a competing technology, the Company also has partnership agreements with LiDAR suppliers to provide their products and services as part of an optimum geospatial solution for the Company's customers.

Other IFSAR Systems: The Company believes there are two other active commercial companies worldwide with IFSAR radar technology.

Orbisat da Amazonia S.A. ("Orbisat") operates an IFSAR system used primarily in South America. Orbisat has historically been active in the IFSAR market and the Company believes that they will remain an active competitor to Intermap during 2014.

Fugro N.V. (a Dutch company that provides geotechnical, survey and geoscience services to the oil, gas, mining, and construction industries) has an IFSAR system mounted in a Gulfstream II aircraft called GeoSAR. Fugro N.V. has historically concentrated its IFSAR sales efforts on fee-for-service work with the United States military and its business does not incorporate the strategy of building and licensing a digital map database. Fugro has historically been active in the IFSAR market and the Company believes that they will remain an active competitor to Intermap during 2014.

At the end of 2010, the Company sold one of its IFSAR equipped aircraft to Pasco Corporation. The operation of the IFSAR system is subject to U.S. government approval as a controlled technology. Additionally, the area the system can operate in is limited under the terms of the government approvals. The Company has committed to assisting Pasco Corporation with the operations and processing of the IFSAR system and output in the limited area approved for operation. The IFSAR equipped aircraft is currently in operation and is being used in a trial manner in a limited area within South America. The Company believes that this system was made available for commercial use during 2013, and also believes it will continue to assist Pasco Corporation with the operations of the aircraft and the processing of the resulting data during 2014.

Satellite Imagery

Three high-resolution commercial satellite technologies, with the capability to derive high resolution elevation models, have either recently launched or represent a one-time mission. Intermap partners with certain satellite imagery suppliers to provide dedicated geospatial solutions to its customers.

Optical Satellite Sensors: For technical and economic reasons, Intermap believes it is difficult to use satellite optical data from suppliers such as DigitalGlobe and Blackbridge (RapidEye) to generate stereo images of large areas and apply photogrammetry to create elevation data. Intermap has previously sold terrain data to two satellite companies in order to provide them with the elevation data they require to rectify their satellite imagery for their customers. Intermap also sells terrain data to NGA, which is the largest customer for the satellite companies. The Company regards satellite imagery as a complementary data layer, providing color or black-and-white optical images that can be draped over Intermap's terrain data.

The Ministry of Economy, Trade, and Industry ("METI") of Japan and the United States National Aeronautics and Space Administration ("NASA") released the ASTER GDEM V2 on October 17, 2011. This elevation model has a vertical accuracy of 20-meters. The first version of the ASTER GDEM, released in June 2009, was generated using stereo-pair imagery collected by the ASTER instrument onboard the satellite. ASTER GDEM coverage spans from 83 degrees north latitude to 83 degrees south, encompassing 99 percent of Earth's landmass. The improved GDEM V2 adds 260,000 additional stereo-pairs, improving coverage and reducing the occurrence of artifacts. The refined production algorithm provides improved spatial resolution, increased horizontal and vertical accuracy, and superior water body coverage and detection. The ASTER GDEM V2 maintains the GeoTIFF format and the same gridding and tile structure as V1, with 30-meter postings and 1 x 1 degree tiles. This elevation data set has not been hydro-enforced and is negatively biased downward by approximately 10-meters. Intermap believes that this data is not sufficiently precise for most commercial applications such as aviation safety, environmental control, engineering, flood management and topographic mapping. Intermap has merged ASTER V2 and SRTM (explained below) and calibrated it using high resolution LiDAR data from a spaceborne LiDAR sensor ("ICESat") to derive an elevation model with fewer artifacts than the ASTER V2 and with a better vertical accuracy of 12-meters. The Company introduced this product in June 2012, called World 30 at a 30-meter horizontal resolution.

SAR Satellite Sensors: A new SAR synthetic aperture radar ("SAR") satellite ("Tandem-X") was launched in 2010 by the German military. This satellite is a two-dimensional SAR satellite with 3-meter pixel horizontal resolution in strip map mode and 1-meter horizontal resolution in spotlight mode. Tandem-X in conjunction with TerraSAR-X (launched in 2007) provides an interferometric solution to derive digital elevation data. The first pass of the globe by Tandem-X has enabled the creation of a first look digital elevation model with a 10-meter posting and a 10-meter vertical accuracy. This elevation model is expected to be available to the public in 2014 or later. The Company believes the reliable commercial use of the Tandem-X elevation data set is thus still at least a year away. Additionally, the first release of the Tandem-X DEM will not be hydro-enforced, which will make the data set not suitable for many applications such as topographic and flood mapping.

One-Time Shuttle Mission: A NASA space shuttle mission flown in February 2000 generated near worldwide digital map coverage of the Earth's surface, using IFSAR technology. Intermap was a member of one of two teams chosen by the NGA to produce and edit the shuttle mission data. The digital maps generated by the mission have a vertical accuracy of 10-meters at 30-meters horizontal resolution (USA) and 16-meters at 90-meters horizontal resolution (rest of the world) or DEM posting. Intermap believes that this data is not sufficiently precise for most commercial applications such as automobile related applications, aviation safety, environmental control, engineering, and flood management.

While Intermap expects competitors to eventually develop or acquire technology that competes with its IFSAR radar digital mapping capabilities, the Company believes that it has a lead in accuracy, efficiency, production throughput and know-how, and software tools to manage the production process. In particular, within the cloud belt the high resolution (62.5-meters) cloud free Intermap IFSAR radar image is still a key differentiator for Intermap. The Company's business initiatives, AdPro, LinkPro, GeoPro, its Risk Analysis Application, and the World 30 DSM (at 5-meters and 30-meters horizontal resolutions), along with its e-commerce data store are intended to capitalize on the market lead Intermap believes it currently enjoys. Additionally, while Intermap considers satellite imagery to be a competing technology, the Company also has partnership agreements with certain satellite imagery suppliers to provide their products as part of an optimum geospatial solution for the Company's customers.

Intangible Properties

To increase its market share, the Company is positioning itself as an industry leader and innovative enabler in GIS/geospatial markets and 3DBI applications markets.

Print and online branding: all Intermap advertising and lead-generation campaigns are focused on brand recognition by using the Intermap and/or Pro series name product brands in all online and print copy.

NEXMap[®], LinkPro[®], AdPro[®], 3DBI[®], World 30[™], GeoPro[™], and Solutions on Demand[®]: Trade marking these unique brand names has increased industry awareness within the domains the trademarks are used. Additionally, the Company currently has two patents pending and a third in process concerning certain aspects of its products and services.

Webinars: These topical online events attract geospatial users and decision makers worldwide who are unable to spend time away from the office at a physical seminar event.

White papers and case studies: These intellectual properties are showcased on both the Company and Partner websites.

Websites: The Company created a new website during 2011, which was updated further during 2012. All customer-facing materials are updated and new material has been created to support the expanding nature of the Company's product lines, drive brand recognition, and support marketing campaigns directed at promoting thought leadership and industry enablement. The Company reaches target audiences through its Intermap.com web-site and associated microsites in support of targeted campaigns, and various social media outlets.

Business Cycles

Over the past two years, the Company has been migrating towards a software solutions model, which minimizes the sales cycle and increases recurring revenue. The software sales cycle is typically ninety (90) days, or less. The Company's contract services business is highly dependent on government budgeting cycles and, to a lesser extent, data re-sales to state and local governments that are also subject to government budgeting cycles. These government cycles can be as long as 18-24 months. In addition to these governmental cycles, the Company's data acquisition functions are restricted in the northern United States, Canada, and Europe by weather activity, including snow on the ground and increased wind turbulence associated with winter weather patterns.

Employees

As of December 31, 2013, Intermap had 202 employees located as follows: 27 in Calgary and Ottawa, Canada; 57 in Englewood, Colorado, USA; 1 in California, USA; 1 in Arizona USA; 1 in Georgia, USA; 2 in Washington USA; 1 in Munich, Germany; 15 in the Czech Republic; and 97 in Jakarta, Indonesia.

Foreign Operations

The Company operates through its four active subsidiaries which are based in the United States, Czech Republic, United Kingdom, and Indonesia. The Company has a long history of performing projects in a wide variety of countries in addition to the countries in which it resides. For 2013, approximately 30% of Intermap's revenue was derived from the United States, 64% from Asia Pacific, and 6% from Europe. For more details, see "Risk Factors – Foreign Operations" below and the financial statement note entitled "Segmented Information" of the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013, a copy of which is filed and is available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

RISK FACTORS

The risks and uncertainties described below are not exhaustive. Additional risks not presently known or currently deemed immaterial may also impair the Company's business operations. If any of the events described in the following business risks actually occur, overall business, operating results, and the financial condition of the Company could be materially adversely affected.

Cash Flow and Liquidity

The cash position of the Company at December 31, 2013 (cash and cash equivalents) was \$2.4 million, and working capital was \$3.9 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company had positive cash flow from operations of \$1.9 million. The Company has made significant financial progress during the past three years. The Company's continuing operations are dependent on its ability to generate future profitable operations, sell excess capacity assets, or obtain additional financing to fund future operations and, ultimately, generate positive cash flows from operations.

The Company closed a \$5.0 million financing in February 2014 to accelerate the development and market introduction of its RiskPro and GeoPro 3DBI software products for governments, enterprises and consumers. If these activities are not adequate to fund the Company's ongoing operations, the Company may be required to explore additional financing alternatives, if available. Failure to achieve one or more of these requirements could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and/or results of operations in future periods.

Availability of Capital

The Company cannot be certain that cash generated from its operations will be sufficient to satisfy its liquidity requirements and it may need to raise capital by selling additional equity and or by securing credit facilities. The Company's future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including, but not limited to, the market acceptance of its products and services. No assurance can be given that any such additional funding will be available or that, if available, it can be obtained on terms favorable to the Company or in the timeframe required.

The Company currently has no commitments for additional working capital funding and therefore its ability to meet any unexpected liquidity needs is uncertain. If additional funds are raised through the

issuance of equity securities, the Company's shareholders may experience significant dilution. Furthermore, if additional financing is not available when required, or is not available on acceptable terms, or in the timeframe required, the Company may be unable to develop or market its products, take advantage of business opportunities, or may be required to significantly curtail its business operations.

Revenue Fluctuations

Intermap's revenue has fluctuated over the years. Data collection projects, the purchase of archived data, and the purchase of 3DBI geospatial software solutions by the Company's customers are all scheduled according to customer requirements and the timing of regulatory and/or budgetary decisions. The commencement or completion of data collection projects within a particular quarter or year, the timing of regulatory approvals, operating decisions of clients, and the fixed-cost nature of Intermap's business, among other factors, may cause the Company's results to vary significantly between fiscal years and between quarters in the same fiscal year.

Nature of Government Contracts

Intermap conducts a significant portion of its business either directly from, or in cooperation with, the United States government, other governments around the world, and international funding agencies. In many cases, the terms of these contracts provide for cancellation at the option of the government or agency at any time. The current state of the public finances in many of the countries the Company has historically operated has led to reductions in the amount of data ordered by its government customers. In addition, many of Intermap's products and services require government appropriations and regulatory licenses, permits, and approvals, the timing and receipt of which are not within Intermap's control. Any of these factors could have an effect on Intermap's revenue, earnings, and cash flow.

General Economic Trends

The worldwide economic slowdown and tightening of credit in the financial markets may impact the business of our customers, which could have an adverse effect on Intermap's business, financial condition, or results of operations. Adverse changes in general economic or political conditions in any of the major countries in which the Company does business could also adversely affect Intermap's operating results.

Key Customers

During 2013, the Company had two key customers that accounted for 74% of the Company's total revenue. In 2012, the Company had three key customers that accounted for approximately 66% of the Company's total revenue. To the extent that significant customers cancel or delay orders, Intermap's revenue, earnings, and cash flow could be materially and adversely affected.

Executive Talent

Intermap is in a repositioning phase in its markets. This repositioning, coupled with the development of new product lines, web services, and developing software applications, requires the retention of executive talent. The Company will continue to invest in training and leadership development in response to the changes within the Company to retain talent. Although Intermap has a talented team of experienced executives, it may not be able to further develop executive talent internally or attract and retain enough executive talent to effectively manage the anticipated growth and changes within the Company.

Competing Technologies

With respect to the Company's 3DBI software applications, several direct and indirect competitors are currently in the market with product offerings that could be considered at least partially competitive to Intermap's products. These potential competitors vary in size and could have greater technical and/or financial resources than the Company, to develop and market their products. The financial performance of the Company may be adversely affected by such competition. Additionally, no assurances can be given that additional direct competitors to the Company may not be formed or that the Company may not lose some or all of its contracts with existing or future customers, thereby decreasing its ability to compete. Also, existing and future customers may have, or may develop, in-house solutions that could take the place of the Company's software applications. Any adverse change in the business relationships with the Company's customers or partners could have a material adverse impact on the Company's software applications business and its future prospects.

With respect to the Company's radar data collections business, it is possible that commercially available satellite images could match or come close to the image resolution offered by the Company's radar technology. However, the Company believes that the technology to perform three-dimensional radar imaging from space at 1-meter resolution with postings every 5-meters is at least a year or more away. In any event, Intermap continues to evaluate its data collection capabilities and look for improvements to the performance of its radar technology. Although there are only a few direct Intermap competitors currently, the industry is characterized by rapid technological progress. Intermap's ability to continue to develop and introduce new products and services, or incorporate enhancements to existing products and services, may require significant additional research and development expenditures and investments in support infrastructure.

Another approach to production of digital elevation models is the use of auto correlation software to analyze common points in two or more optical images of the same area taken from different viewing angles. Essentially this is the same principle that is used by technicians as they extract elevation points using stereo photogrammetric techniques, but in this case it is automated using computer software image matching algorithms. This process is well known and has been used with limited success over small areas. Advances in computing power, coupled with massive storage solutions, may make this technology useful over larger areas in the future, and if so, could represent a significant competing technology.

Any required additional financing needed by the Company to remain competitive with these other technologies may not be available or, if available, may not be on terms satisfactory to the Company.

Common Share Price Volatility

The market price of the Company's common shares has fluctuated widely in recent periods and is likely to continue to be volatile. A number of factors can affect the market price of Intermap's common stock including (i) actual or anticipated variations in operating results, (ii) the low daily trading volume of the Company's stock, (iii) announcement of technological innovations or new products by the Company or its competitors, (iv) competition, including pricing pressures and the potential impact of competitors products on sales, (v) changing conditions in the geospatial and related industries, (vi) unexpected production difficulties, (vii) changes in financial estimates or recommendations by stock market analysts regarding Intermap or its competitors, (viii) announcements by Intermap or its competitors of acquisitions, strategic partnerships, or joint ventures, (ix) additions or departures of senior management, and (x) changes in economic or political conditions.

Additionally, in recent years, the stock market in general and shares of technology companies in particular, have experienced price and volume fluctuations. These fluctuations have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of these technology companies. These broad market and industry fluctuations may harm the market price of Intermap's common stock, regardless of its operating results.

Loss of Proprietary Information

Intermap does not currently hold patents on the technology used in its operations and products and, therefore, relies principally on trade secrets, know-how, expertise, experience, and the marketing ability of its personnel to remain competitive. Although Intermap requires all employees, consultants, and third parties to agree to keep its proprietary information confidential, no assurance can be given that the steps taken by Intermap will be effective in deterring misappropriation of its technologies. Additionally, no assurance can be given that employees or consultants will not challenge the legitimacy or scope of their confidentiality obligations, or that third parties, in time, could not independently develop and deploy equivalent or superior technologies.

Software Functionality

Defects in the Company's 3DBI software applications, delays in delivery, and failures or mistakes in the Company's software code could materially harm the Company's business, including customer relationships and operating results.

Internet and System Infrastructure Functionality

The end customers of the Company's 3DBI software applications depend on internet service providers, online service providers and the Company's infrastructure for access to the software applications the Company provides to its customers. These services are subject to service outages and delays due to system failures, stability or interruption. As a result, the Company may not be able to meet a satisfactory level of service as agreed to with its customers, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, revenues, operating results and financial condition.

Information Technology Security

The Company's 3DBI software applications are dependent on the Company's ability to protect its computer equipment and the information stored in the Company's data centers against damage that may be caused by fire, power loss, telecommunication failures, unauthorized intrusion, computer viruses, disabling devices and other similar events. A failure in the Company's production systems or a disaster or other event affecting production systems or business operations, both internally and externally, could result in a disruption to the Company's software services. Such a disruption could also impact the Company's reputation and cause it to lose customers, revenue, face litigation, or necessitate customer service/repair work that would involve substantial costs and could ultimately have a material impact on the Company.

Intermap's NEXTMap database has become a valuable asset to the Company. While Intermap has invested in database management, information technology security, firewalls, and offsite duplicate storage, there is a risk of a loss of data through unauthorized access or a customer violating the terms of the Company's end user licensing agreements and distributing unauthorized copies of its data. Intermap has, and will continue to invest, in both legal resources to strengthen its licensing agreements with its customers and in overall information technology protection.

Breakdown of Strategic Alliances

Intermap has fostered a number of key alliances over the past several years and intends to enter into new alliances in the future. These alliances occasionally take the form of performing contract services in tandem with, or as a sub-contractor to, another GIS company. The Company believes these new alliances will help enable access to significant scalable markets that would not otherwise be accessible in a timely manner. The breakdown or termination of some or all of those alliances could have a material impact on the Company. At this time, the Company is not aware of any material issues in its strategic relationships. Should any one of these companies be unable to continue its alliance with Intermap, or otherwise choose to dissolve the relationship, the Company would seek to replace the connection with other entities, but there is no guarantee such replacement would occur.

Exporting Products – Political Considerations

Intermap's data collection systems contain technology that is classified as a defense article under the International Traffic and Arms Regulations. All mapping efforts undertaken outside the United States, therefore, constitute a temporary export of a defense article, requiring prior written approval by the United States Department of State for each country within which mapping operations are to be performed. The Company does not currently anticipate that requirements for export permits will have a material impact on the Company's operations, although either government policy or government relations with select foreign countries may change to the point of affecting the Company's operational opportunities. The data produced by Intermap's IFSAR radar system falls under Department of Commerce regulations and is virtually unrestricted.

Foreign Operations

A significant portion of Intermap's revenue is expected to come from customers outside of the United States and is therefore subject to additional risks, including foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, agreements that may be difficult to enforce, receivables difficult to collect through a foreign country's legal system, and the imposition of foreign-country-imposed withholding taxes or other foreign taxes. Intermap relies on contract prepayments or letters of credit to secure payment from certain of its customers when deemed necessary. The Company has in the past secured export credit insurance on certain of its international receivables, which greatly reduces the commercial and political risks of operating outside of North America.

Political Instability

Intermap understands that not every region enjoys the political stability that is taken for granted in North America. Developments in recent years in the Middle East and Asia illustrate this clearly. Political or significant instability in a region where Intermap is conducting data collection activities, or where Intermap has clients, could adversely impact Intermap's business.

Regulatory Approvals

The development and application of certain of the Company's products requires the approval of applicable regulatory authorities. A failure to obtain such approval on a timely basis, or material conditions imposed by such authority in connection with the approval, would materially affect the prospects of the Company.

Aircraft/Radar Lost or Damaged

Although the Company believes that the probability of one of the Company's aircraft or radar sustaining significant damage or being lost in its entirety is extremely low, such damage or loss could occur. The Company is expected to have available to it, for data collection purposes, one additional aircraft at any given time. The risk to the Company of loss from the damage of an aircraft is therefore considered to be minimal. In the event that a radar mapping system is lost in its entirety through the destruction of the aircraft, it would take the Company approximately six to nine months to replace the lost equipment, if required.

Global Positioning System Failure

GPS satellites have been available to the commercial market for many years. The continued unrestricted access to the signals produced by these GPS satellites is a requirement in the collection of the Company's IFSAR data. A loss of GPS would have such a global impact that it is believed that controlling authorities would almost certainly make another system available to GPS receivers in relatively short order.

Information Openly Available to the Public

The Company accesses information available to the public via the internet and may incorporate pieces of such information into its products. If a source of public information determined that the Company was profiting from free information, there is risk it could seek compensation.

Speculative Data Collection

From time to time, the Company collects data on a speculative basis. While the Company generally undertakes this activity with a reasonable expectation that it will be able to subsequently enter into a contract relating to the data collected, there is no assurance that it will be able to do so and, as a result, the costs of collecting the data may not be recovered on a timely basis, or at all.

Force Majeure

The Company's projects may be adversely affected by risks outside of its control including labor unrest, civil disorder, war, subversive activities or sabotage, fires, floods, explosions or other catastrophes, epidemics, or quarantine restrictions.

DIVIDENDS

The Company has not paid any cash dividends on any class of shares during the three most recently completed financial years. Further, the Company has not paid any cash dividends since its inception and does not intend to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. The Company intends to retain any earnings to finance its operations. There are no restrictions preventing the Company from paying dividends.

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE

General Description of Capital Structure

The Company's authorized capital consists of an unlimited number of Common Shares and an unlimited number of Class A participating preferred shares ("Preferred Shares") without par value. At the close of business on December 31, 2013, there were 92,139,499 Common Shares issued and outstanding. There are no Preferred Shares currently issued and outstanding.

Each Common Share entitles the holder thereof to (i) dividends if, as and when declared by the directors; (ii) one vote at all meetings of holders of common shares; and (iii) participate in any distribution of the Company's assets upon liquidation, dissolution, or winding up.

Each Preferred Share entitles the holder thereof to (i) dividends if, as and when declared by the directors; (ii) one vote at all meetings of the shareholders of the Company; and (iii) participate (after receiving in priority to the holders of Common Shares, a sum equal to its purchase price) in any distribution of the Company's assets upon liquidation, dissolution, or winding up.

MARKET FOR SECURITIES

The outstanding common shares of the Company are listed and posted for trading on the Toronto Stock Exchange under the symbol "IMP".

Trading Price and Volume (in Canadian dollars):

Intermap Technologies Corporation			
TSX Share Price Information			
2013			
<u>Month</u>	<u>High (\$)</u>	<u>Low (\$)</u>	<u>Total Volume</u>
January 2013	0.500	0.350	917,724
February 2013	0.500	0.460	970,414
March 2013	0.485	0.425	1,248,598
April 2013	0.435	0.360	527,178
May 2013	0.420	0.340	1,954,435
June 2013	0.490	0.390	1,544,625
July 2013	0.485	0.400	1,448,890
August 2013	0.400	0.310	860,041
September 2013	0.395	0.350	854,901
October 2013	0.375	0.330	745,187
November 2013	0.360	0.290	2,400,647
December 2013	0.410	0.270	7,644,245

Prior Sales

On June 27, 2013, 7,515,476 Class A common shares were issued upon conversion to the holder of a convertible promissory note. The principal balance converted totaled \$1.5 million and the conversion price of the note was C\$0.21 per Common Share. All accrued interest on the conversion amount of the note was forgiven upon conversion.

On August 28, 2013, 5,000,000 Class A common shares were issued upon conversion to the holder of a convertible promissory note. The principal balance converted totaled \$1.0 million and the conversion price of the note was C\$0.21 per Common Share. All accrued interest on the conversion amount of the note was forgiven upon conversion.

ESCROWED SECURITIES

In connection with a three year employment agreement dated December 3, 2010 entered into with the Company's chief executive officer, the Company placed 526,098 common shares into escrow during

the quarter ended June 30, 2011, and such shares are held by a depository pursuant to an Escrow Agreement. The Escrow Agreement provides that up to 450,000 common shares would be released upon the occurrence of certain future performance and employment related events. The remaining balance was made available to compensate the chief executive officer as part of his base salary compensation. As of December 31, 2013, the escrowed securities of the Company are:

Designation of Class	Number of Securities Held in Escrow	Percentage of Class
Common Shares	526,098	0.6%

(1) The depository for the escrowed Common Shares is Computershare Trust Company of Canada

The securities held in escrow were released and cancelled on March 13, 2014.

DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Set out below are the names of the directors and executive officers of the Company as of the date of this AIF, their place of residence, their positions held within the Company, and their principal occupations in the last five years.

Name, Present Office Held and Residence	Director Since	Principal Occupation	Common Shares Beneficially Owned, Controlled or Directed, Directly or Indirectly
Todd A. Oseth President and Chief Executive Officer, Director Colorado, U.S.A.	December 6, 2010	President and Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation and the same position with two other corporations (see below).	308,517 ⁽¹⁾
Larry G. Garberding ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾ Chairman Florida, USA	August 15, 2001	Retired since December 31, 2001. Member of the board of directors of Plug Power Inc. (NASDAQ) and several other private corporations.	465,140
Donald R. Gardner ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ Director Alberta, Canada	November 26, 1998	Corporate Director. Prior to that, Chief Executive Officer of Canadian Spirit Resources Inc. (TSXV).	370,376
Howard J. Nellor ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾ Director Florida, U.S.A	March 4, 2010	President, Integrated Consulting Services	331,717
John C. Curlander ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾ Director Colorado, U.S.A	August 17, 2011	President and Chief Executive Officer of Pindrop, Inc. Prior to that, General Manager, Microsoft Startup Business Group.	140,582

Notes:

(1) In addition to the Common Shares listed, 526,098 of additional Common Shares are issued in the name of Mr. Oseth and held by a third party escrow agent. Such Common Shares were released from escrow on March 13, 2014 and cancelled.

(2) Member of Audit Committee

(3) Member of Compensation Committee

(4) Member of Nominating and Governance Committee

(5) Mr. Nellor resigned from the Board of Directors effective January 22, 2014

(6) Mr. Curlander was appointed to the Audit Committee on March 12, 2014

The directors will hold office until the next annual general meeting of the shareholders. The directors and key management personnel in aggregate own or control 2.01% of the issued and outstanding Common Shares of the Company.

During the past five years, each director's principal occupation has been as indicated above except as described in the following brief biographical notes.

Todd A. Oseth is Chief Executive Officer and President of Intermap effective December 6, 2010. From 2009 to 2010, Mr. Oseth served as President and Chief Executive officer of Neterion, Inc. (a provider of 10 Gigabit Ethernet products and services). From 2008 to 2009, he served as president and Chief Executive Officer of ColdStor Data, Inc. (a provider of data recovery services).

Donald R. Gardner is a corporate director for Canadian Spirit Resources Inc. as well as the Company. Prior to his current position, Mr. Gardner was the Chief Executive Officer of Canadian Spirit Resources Inc. (a natural resources company) of Calgary, Alberta.

Larry G. Garberding serves as a member of the board of directors of a publicly traded technology company. He has previously been a member of the board of directors for several private technology and energy related companies.

Howard J. Nellor is President of Integrated Consulting Services.

Dr. John C. Curlander is President and Chief Executive Officer of Pindrop, Inc. (a provider of location-based shopper services for brick and mortar retailers). Prior to his current position, Mr. Curlander was General Manager for Microsoft's Startup Business Group.

Executive Officers Who Are Not Directors

Richard L. Mohr, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Monument, Colorado, U.S.A.) joined Intermap in June 2003 and resigned from the Company on February 28, 2010. From March 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010, Mr. Mohr was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Pure Energy Solutions, Inc. He rejoined the Company on January 1, 2011.

Cease Trade Orders

No director or executive officer of the Company is, as of the date of this AIF, or was, within the 10 years before the date hereof, a director, chief executive officer, or chief financial officer of any company (including the Company) that was the subject of a cease trade order, an order similar to a cease trade order, or an order that denied the company access to any exemption under securities legislation that was in effect for a period of more than 30 consecutive days, that was issued (i) while that person was acting in such capacity; or (ii) after that person was acting in such capacity and which resulted from an event that occurred while that person was acting in such capacity.

Bankruptcies

Except as detailed below, no director or executive officer of the Company, or shareholder holding a sufficient number of securities to affect materially the control of the Company is, as of the date of this AIF, or has been, within 10 years before the date hereof, a director or executive officer of any company that, while that person was acting in such capacity, or within a year of that person ceasing to act in such capacity, became bankrupt, made a proposal under any legislation relating to bankruptcy or insolvency, or was subject to or instituted any proceedings, arrangement, or compromise with

creditors or had a receiver, receiver manager, or trustee appointed to hold its assets. Mr. Oseth was the chief executive officer of Sanz, Inc. and resigned from such position early in November 2007. Subsequent to his resignation, Sanz filed for chapter 7 bankruptcy. Mr. Oseth had no further contact with the company or its trustees after his resignation.

No director or executive officer of the Company, or shareholder holding a sufficient number of securities to affect materially the control of the Company has, within the 10 years before the date of this AIF, become bankrupt, made a proposal under any legislation relating to bankruptcy or insolvency, or become subject to or instituted any proceedings, arrangement or compromise with creditors, or had a receiver, receiver manager or trustee appointed to hold the assets of the director, executive officer or shareholder.

Penalties or Sanctions

No director or executive officer of the Company, or shareholder holding a sufficient number of securities to affect materially the control of the Company has been subject to any penalties or sanctions imposed by a court relating to securities legislation or by a securities regulatory authority or has entered into a settlement agreement with a securities regulatory authority or has been subject to any other penalties or sanctions imposed by a court or regulatory body that would likely be considered important to a reasonable investor in making an investment decision.

Conflicts of Interest

Circumstances may arise where members of the Company's board of directors or officers are directors or officers of corporations which are in competition to our interests. No assurances can be given that opportunities identified by such board members or officers will be provided to the Company. Pursuant to the *Business Corporations Act* (Alberta), directors who have a material interest in a proposed material transaction upon which the Company's board of directors is voting are required to disclose their interests and refrain from voting on the transaction.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND REGULATORY ACTIONS

Management of the Company is not aware of any existing or contemplated legal proceedings material to the Company, to which the Company is, or during the financial year ended December 31, 2013 was, a party or of which any of its property is, or during the financial year ended December 31, 2013 was, subject.

Management of the Company is not aware of any penalties or sanctions imposed against the Company by a court relating to securities legislation or by a securities regulatory authority during the financial year ended December 31, 2013.

INTEREST OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS

There were no material interests, direct or indirect, of directors or executive officers of the Company, or of any of the shareholders of the Company who beneficially own, directly or indirectly, or exercises control or direction over more than 10 percent of the Company's outstanding Common Shares, or any known associate or affiliate of such persons in any transactions within the three most recently completed financial years of the Company or during the current financial year which has materially affected, or is reasonably expected to materially affect, the Company or a subsidiary.

TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR

The Company's transfer agent and registrar is Computershare Trust Company of Canada, located at 100 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5J 2Y1.

MATERIAL CONTRACTS

The Company has not entered into any material contract within the most recently completed financial year, or before the most recently completed financial year that is still in effect, and was not in the ordinary course of business.

INTERESTS OF EXPERTS

There is no person or company whose profession or business gives authority to a statement made by such person or company and who is named as having prepared or certified a statement, report, or valuation described or included in a filing, or referred to in a filing, made by the Company under National Instrument 51-102 during, or related to, the Company's most recently completed financial year other than KPMG LLP, the Company's auditors. KPMG LLP is independent in accordance with the auditors' rules of professional conduct in Canada.

In addition, none of the aforementioned persons or companies, nor any director, officer, or employee of any of the aforementioned persons or companies, is or is expected to be elected, appointed, or employed as a director, officer, or employee of the Company or of any of the Company's affiliates.

AUDIT COMMITTEE INFORMATION

The text of Intermap Technologies Corporation's Audit Committee Charter is attached as **Schedule A**.

Composition of the Audit Committee

The members of the Audit Committee are Mr. Donald R. Gardner (Chair) and Mr. Larry G. Garberding, each of whom is independent and financially literate. The relevant education and experience of each Audit Committee member is outlined below. Mr. Howard J. Nellor was a member of the Audit Committee as of December 31, 2013, but resigned from such position in January 2014. He was replaced by John C. Curlander in March 2014.

Relevant Education and Experience

All members of the Audit Committee are financially literate and all members of the committee have accounting or related financial experience.

Mr. Gardner is currently a corporate director and prior to that was the chief executive officer of an energy-related company in Calgary, Alberta, Canada. He has held chief financial officer and other financial management positions with several companies throughout his career. As part of his role in each of these positions, he was required to have extensive knowledge of the financial operations of the company for which he worked, including the understanding of balance sheets, income statements, and cash flow statements.

Mr. Garberding, prior to his retirement, was the executive vice president and chief financial officer of an energy-related company in the Great Lakes region of the United States. He also held financial-

related positions with other companies prior to his employment with this company. As part of his role in each of these positions, he was required to have extensive knowledge of the financial operations of the company for which he worked, including the understanding of balance sheets, income statements, and cash flow statements.

Audit Committee Oversight

All recommendations of the Audit Committee to nominate or compensate an external auditor were adopted by the Board of Directors since the commencement of its most recently completed financial year.

Pre-approval Policies and Procedures

Any engagement of non-audit services by the Company's external auditors/accountants, including estimated fees, must be pre-approved by the Audit Committee and the Audit Committee must obtain an annual statement from the auditors regarding non-audit services.

External Auditor Service Fees

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed by the Company's external auditor for audit services during 2013 and 2012 were C\$187,005 and C\$185,694, respectively.

Audit Related Fees

The aggregate fees billed by the Company's external auditor for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements and are not reported under the "Audit Fees" caption above during 2013 and 2012 were minimal.

Tax Fees

The aggregate fees billed by the Company's external auditing firm for professional services relating to tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning during 2013 and 2012 were C\$67,272 and C\$135,220, respectively. The services provided were generally related to: (i) the review of tax provisions; (ii) tax return preparation; (iii) personal tax returns for expatriate employees; (iv) transfer pricing studies; and (v) tax related due diligence on a foreign corporation acquisition.

All Other Fees

There were no other fees billed to the Company during the last two fiscal years for products and services provided by the Company's external auditors other than the services reported above in the prior three captions.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information relating to the Company may be found on SEDAR at www.sedar.com. Additional information, including directors' and officers' remuneration and indebtedness, principal holders of the Company's securities and securities authorized for issuance under the Company's equity compensation plans, if applicable, is contained in the Company's information circular for the most recent annual meeting of shareholders that involved the election of directors. Additional financial information is provided in the financial statements and management's discussion and analysis for the year ended December 31, 2013.

SCHEDULE A

AUDIT COMMITTEE CHARTER

ADOPTION

This charter (“**Charter**”) was approved by the Board of Directors (“**Board**”) of Intermap Technologies Corporation (“**Corporation**”) on the date noted at the conclusion hereof.

PURPOSE

It is the policy of the Corporation to establish and maintain an Audit Committee (“**Committee**”), composed of independent directors, to assist the Board in carrying out their oversight responsibility for the Corporation’s external audit, internal controls, disclosure, financial reporting, and related risk management.

The Committee’s function is one of oversight only and shall not relieve management of its responsibilities.

The Corporation’s external auditor shall report directly to the Audit Committee.

ORGANIZATION

1. The Committee shall consist of a minimum of three (3) directors.
2. Each director appointed to the Committee by the Board shall be independent as such term is defined in Section 1.4 of National Instrument 52-110 and Section 3.1 of the related companion policy.
3. Each member of the Committee shall be financially literate as such term is defined in Section 1.6 of National Instrument 52-110 and at least one (1) member shall have accounting or related financial management expertise.
4. The Board shall appoint the members of the Committee and may seek the advice and assistance of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee in identifying qualified candidates. The Board shall appoint one (1) member of the Committee to be the Chair of the Committee.
5. A director appointed by the Board to the Committee shall be a member of the Committee until replaced by the Board or until his or her resignation. A member shall cease to be a member of the Committee upon ceasing to be a director of the Corporation.
6. The Secretary of the Corporation shall be the Secretary of the Committee.

RESPONSIBILITIES

7. The Committee’s primary duties and responsibilities are to:
 - (a) Select and recommend the nomination and compensation of the external auditors.

- (b) Oversee the independence, work, and performance of the Corporation's external auditors.
 - (c) Review the principal risks that could impact the financial reporting of the Corporation and monitor how management is dealing with such risks.
 - (d) Monitor the integrity of the Corporation's disclosure and financial reporting process and its system of internal controls regarding financial reporting and accounting compliance.
 - (e) Monitor the Corporation's compliance with laws, regulations, and internal policies that apply to financial or accounting matters.
 - (f) Oversee the resolution of any disagreements among external auditors, management, and the internal auditing department, if any.
8. The Committee shall annually select and recommend to the Board the nomination of an external auditor, recommend the replacement of the current external auditor when circumstances warrant it, and monitor the independence, work, and performance of the external auditors. This shall include:
- (a) Considering the views of management in respect of the nomination of the external auditors.
 - (b) Reviewing and recommending for approval by the Board, the terms of the external auditors' engagement, including the reasonableness of the proposed audit fees.
 - (c) Pre-approving any engagement for non-audit services to be provided by the external auditors' firm or its affiliates, together with estimated fees. This shall involve considering the potential impact of such services on the independence of the external auditors.
 - (d) When there is to be a change of external auditors, reviewing all issues and documentation related to the change, including the information to be included in the Notice of Change of Auditors and documentation called for under National Instrument 51-102 as defined in Section 4.11 and the planned steps for an orderly transition.
 - (e) Reviewing all reportable events, including disagreements, unresolved issues and consultations with external auditors, as defined by applicable securities policies, on a routine basis, whether or not there is to be a change of external auditors.
9. In carrying out its primary duties and responsibilities, the Committee shall:
- (a) Review the annual audit plan with the external auditors and with management.
 - (b) Discuss with management and the external auditors any proposed changes in major accounting policies or principles, the potential impact of significant risks and uncertainties on future operations, and key estimates and judgments of management that may be material to financial reporting.

- (c) Review with management and with the external auditors significant financial reporting issues arising during the most recent fiscal period and the resolution or proposed resolution of such issues.
- (d) Review any problems experienced or concerns expressed by the external auditors in performing an audit, including any restrictions imposed by management or significant accounting issues on which there were a disagreement with management.
- (e) Review periodically with management the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures as such term is defined in National Instrument 52-109 and monitor the certification process set out therein.
- (f) Review audited annual financial statements and related documents in conjunction with the audit findings report of the external auditors and obtain an explanation from management of all significant variances between comparative reporting periods.
- (g) Review with management the adequacy and effectiveness of the internal financial controls of the Corporation including any deficiencies noted in the Audit or Interim Review Findings Report and subsequent follow-up to any identified weaknesses.
- (h) Review with management and the external auditors the quarterly unaudited financial statements before release to the public.
- (i) Before release, review and, if appropriate, recommend for approval by the Board, all public disclosure documents containing audited or unaudited financial information including any press release, annual report, annual information form, management discussion and analysis of operations, prospectus (and all documents which may be incorporated by reference into such prospectus), and all other securities offering documents of the Corporation.
- (j) Review periodically with management the internal procedures implemented to review any other public disclosure of financial information extracted or derived from the Corporation's financial statements.
- (k) Approve the hiring of any partners, employees, or former partners and employees of the Corporation's present and former external auditor.

10. In addition, the Committee shall:

- (a) Oversee the receipt, review, and follow-up of questions, concerns, or complaints pursuant to the Corporation's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and the procedures set out in Appendix "A" thereto.
- (b) Review with management, at least annually, the capital management policies, the financing strategy and funding plans of the Corporation.

- (c) Review the amount and terms of any insurance to be obtained or maintained by the Corporation with respect to insurable risks inherent in its operations and potential liabilities incurred by the directors or officers in the discharge of their duties and responsibilities.
- (d) In conjunction with the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, monitor financial and accounting personnel succession planning within the Corporation and review the appointments of the Chief Financial Officer and any key financial managers who are involved in the financial reporting process.
- (e) Inquire into and determine the appropriate resolution of any conflict of interest in respect of audit or financial matters.
- (f) Periodically review with management the need for an internal audit function.
- (g) Quarterly, review any legal matter that could have a significant impact on the Corporation's financial statements and any enquiries received from regulators or government agencies.
- (h) Review periodically with management the adequacy and effectiveness of the Corporation's policies and procedures for compliance with securities laws, regulatory requirements, and stock exchange rules.
- (i) Report to the Board at the earliest opportunity after each meeting the results of its activities and any reviews undertaken and make recommendations to the Board as deemed appropriate.
- (j) Bi-annually assess the performance of the Committee.
- (k) Annually review the Audit Committee Charter and report to the Board on Committee compliance with the Charter.

MEETINGS

1. The Committee shall convene a minimum of four (4) times each year at such time and places as may be designated by the Chair of the Committee and whenever a meeting is requested by the Board, a member of the Committee, the external auditors, or a senior officer of the Corporation.
2. Notice of each meeting of the Committee shall be given to each member and to the external auditors, who shall be entitled to attend each meeting of the Committee and shall attend whenever requested to do so by a member of the Committee or the Secretary of the Committee.
3. Notice of a meeting of the Committee shall:
 - (a) Be in writing.
 - (b) State the nature of the business to be transacted at the meeting in reasonable detail.

- (c) To the extent practicable, be accompanied by copies of documentation to be considered at the meeting.
 - (d) Be given at least forty-eight (48) hours' notice preceding the time stipulated for the meeting or such shorter period as the members of the Committee may permit.
- 4. A quorum for the transaction of business at a meeting of the Committee shall consist of two (2) members of the Committee.
- 5. A member of the Committee may participate in a meeting of the Committee by means of such telephonic, electronic, or other communication facilities, provided it permits all persons participating in the meeting to communicate adequately with each other, and a member participating in such a meeting by any such means is deemed to be present at the meeting.
- 6. The Chair of the Committee ("Chair") shall be appointed by the Board. The Chair shall have only those responsibilities and powers delegated to it herein and shall not have a second or casting vote. The Chair shall have the responsibility of reporting annually to the Board on the Committee's compliance with this Charter.
- 7. In the absence of the Chair of the Committee, the members of the Committee shall choose one of the members present to be Chair of the meeting and, in the absence of the Secretary of the Committee; the members shall choose one of the persons present to be the Secretary of the meeting.
- 8. By invitation, the CEO and other parties may attend meetings of the Committee; however, the Committee may meet separately at any time with the external auditors, invited management, or any other third parties as determined by the Committee.
- 9. At each regular meeting of the Committee, the agenda shall include an opportunity for the members of the Committee to meet in-camera.
- 10. Minutes shall be kept of all meetings of the Committee and shall be signed by the Chair and the Secretary of the meeting.
- 11. Minutes of the meetings of the Committee shall be retained by the Secretary of the Corporation and shall be available on request to any member of the Board.

RESOURCES AND AUTHORITY

- 1. The Committee will be provided with resources commensurate with the duties and responsibilities assigned to it by the Board, including administrative support. If deemed necessary by the Committee, it will have the discretion to institute investigations of improprieties or suspected improprieties, including the standing authority to retain independent counsel or advisors and to set their compensation.
- 2. The Committee shall have the authority to:
 - (a) inspect any and all of the books and records of the Corporation, its subsidiaries, and affiliates;
 - (b) discuss with any officer of the Corporation, its subsidiaries and affiliates, the Chief Financial Officer and senior staff of the Corporation, any affected party, and external

- (c) auditors, such accounts, records, and other matters as any member of the Committee considers necessary and appropriate; and
- (d) communicate directly with the internal and external auditors.

Approved by the Board of Directors on August 5, 2009