

Defining the Right Accessibility Metrics for Your Business – D2L Case Study

Tony Kornmeier

Development Services Team Lead @ Deque Systems Sam Chandrashekar, PhD, CPACC Accessibility Program Manager @ D2L Corporation





Accessibility Dashboard Metrics

Tony Kornmeier



Measuring Accessibility



An effective dashboard should answer these questions:

- "Are we on track with accessibility?"
- "Where are the problem areas?"
- "What actions can we take to improve the situation?"









Actionable Metrics



- Tie metrics to specific goals
- Focus on metrics that can be improved
- Break accessibility into milestones with achievable, measurable goals
- Example: Code is clean of all issues from automated testing tool



Actionable Data













Relevant Metrics



Consider your target audience when choosing metrics

- Central Accessibility Team
- Development Teams
- Senior Management / Legal



Relevant Metrics



Central Accessibility Team

Useful metrics:

- Display a11y issues by severity
- Grouping issues into categories
 - \circ Aria, color-contrast, forms
- Trending over time



Relevant Metrics



Development Teams (IT Management) Useful metrics:

- Group a11y issues by Dev Teams
- Work remaining (# of issues)



Relevant – Examples



Senior Management (Legal/Compliance) Useful metrics:

- High level view of critical issues
- Generic accessibility score
- Time series trend data







Timely Metrics



- Metrics do not get better with age
- Metrics should be a trusted source of information
 - \circ Label metrics with last updated date







Flexible Metrics



"The only constant in life is change"

- Short term milestones will change over time
- The dreaded re-organization





Universal Usable



Universal / Usable



Making dashboard charts and graphics accessible

- Provide keyboard support
- Use patterns in lieu of color whenever possible
- Supply AT friendly data tables for chart data
- Provide a data export format













Keep metrics simple

- Start with high level summary data
- Provide a means to drill down for more detail





D2L Case Study

Sam Chandrashekar



Agenda



- Lay of the D2L land
 - Accessibility Philosophy
 - Accessibility Organization
 - Accessibility Process
- Approach to Accessibility Metrics
- What works; and what's in the works



D2L's Accessibility Philosophy



- Education Technology Company
- Reach every learner
 - design delightful learning experiences
 - build accessible technology
 - support inclusive pedagogy
- Accessibility
 - core corporate value
 - part of organizational culture
 - integrated into development process
- Leadership support for accessibility

Accessibility Organization



- Product development: Three-legged stool
 - Design create delightful user experience
 - Development build accessible technology
 - Product Management support inclusive pedagogy
- Managers own accessibility of their products
- Accessibility savvy designers, developers, testers, managers
- Centralized Design Patterns library with built-in accessibility
- Web components library and common documentation

Accessibility Organization (contd ...)

- Accessibility extends beyond product development process
- Decentralized
- Hub and spoke model
- Lean hub \rightarrow co-ordination



Accessibility Monitoring Process



- Monolith core platform + React/Angular Free-Range Apps
- Accessibility is part of User Stories & Definition of Done
- Continuous automated / manual evaluations by teams
- In-house screen reader user test / consult / triage
- Testing with the disability community (Fable Tech Labs)
- Accessibility issue feedback from customers
- All defects logged into Rally database for monitoring
- GRID database for product health monitoring

Approach to Accessibility Metrics



Going back to Tony's *ARTFUL* dashboard,

- Relevant audience
 - Teams product
 - Leadership overall business
 - Accessibility Hub a11y monitoring and support

Goals Key Performance Indicators Metrics





- **Goal**: Approach a state of zero defects
- **KPI**: Reduction in number of open defects
- Metrics
 - Net daily count of A11y defects (created minus closed)
 - Count of a11y defects by priority (to signal actionables)
- Data source
 - RALLY is the single source of truth for defect tracking





Daily Created/Closed Defect

2

0

-1

-2



Leadership



- Goal: Product-wise accessibility health
- **KPI**: Green status on the GRID
- Metrics
 - WCAG compliance level +
 - Priority level of exceptions +
 - Time to remediate
- Data Source
 - Rally + Team manager assessments



Leadership

Random data

Product names column masked

Availability	vailability Security Performance Accessibility Deployability Costs Quality					Quality
Fair	Good	Poor	Fair	Good	Good	Fair
Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Good
Good	Good	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Fair
Good	Poor	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Good
Poor	Good	Unknown	Good	Fair	Good	Poor
Fair	Poor	Fair	Fair	Fair	Good	Poor
Poor	Poor	Fair	Fair	Fair	Good	Good
Fair	Poor	Fair	Good	Good	Fair	Poor



Accessibility Hub



- Goal: Level set developer accessibility skills
- **KPI**: Reduction in need for training
- Metric
 - Self-identification by employees of a11y training need

Data Source

• Longitudinal series of same survey



Accessibility Hub



Question 4

How interested are you in receiving Accessibility training specific to your team/role?

Extremely Interested	16	(17.02 %)
Very Interested	37	(39.36 %)
Moderately Interested	20	(21.28 %)
Slightly Interested	8	(8.51 %)
Not Very Interested	12	(12.77 %)
Not At All Interested	1	(1.06 %)



Leadership

- Progress Teams
- Risk
- User delight

- Defects
- Health

• UX

- A11y Hub
- Compliance
- Training
- Culture



Tony Kornmeier tony.kornmeier@deque.com

Sam Chandrashekar Sam.Chandrashekar@d2l.com





Not Closed Accessibility Defects with PRB, Created/Closed rate

*



