Putting the Pieces Together -The Promise of Mixed Language Programming

Implementations that mix Ada, C, and C++ provide the high-reliability and safety critical benefits of Ada plus the advantages of using standard building blocks such as real-time operating systems(RTOS), networking stacks and advanced display systems, all typically implemented in C. Increasingly common since 1997 when the US DoD relaxed its Ada-only mandate, mixed language programming brings unique integration and debugging challenges. This paper discusses tools that can help developers visualize, analyze and debug device software that mixes GNAT Ada, C and C++. It provides practical examples based on RTI ScopeTools and Wind River's VxWorks RTOS.

1. INTRODUCTION

ixed language programming has become more common in aerospace and defense (A&D) and safety critical applications since the US Department of Defence (DoD) relaxed its Adaonly mandate in 1997. Still, many high-integrity and safety-related projects in the US continue to choose Ada for their development language, and the use of Ada is actively encouraged on safety-related projects in a number of other countries. Recently, though, there has been a marked increase in the practice of mixing programming languages, particularly combinations of Ada with C. Implementations that mix Ada, C, and C++ provide the high-reliability and safety critical benefits of Ada plus the advantages of using standard building blocks such as real-time operating systems. networking stacks and advanced display systems, all typically implemented in C. There are unique integration and debugging challenges with mixed language implementations. Fortunately, there are tools that can help developers visualize, analyze and debug device software that mixes GNAT Ada, C and C++. This article looks at some practical development examples using RTI ScopeTools and Wind River's VxWorks **RTOS**

A developer may choose to combine Ada and C in their application for a variety of reasons:

- First, existing safety-critical systems implemented in Ada are being enhanced with additional functionality that requires the use of an RTOS, usually written in C.
- Second, distributed applications are becoming more prevalent, through the adoption of TCP/IP and related networking technologies, which are often implemented in C. This adoption is being driven in NATO defence systems by strategies such as Network Centric Warfare (NCW) and Network Enabled Connectivity (NEC), and also in the US through the DoD Memorandum ("Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPv6)", 9 June 2003) in relation to the use of IPv6.

- Third, the advances in display systems are now open to exploitation by safety-critical and safetyrelated device software implemented in Ada, which need to interface with OpenGL and other graphical libraries implemented in C.
- Finally, code reuse is a growing trend in software development, one that increases the likelihood of a system that mixes Ada and C.

In aerospace systems, all of these factors can occur together. A case in point is Integrated Modular Avionics (IMA) systems, where Ada & C device software can operate side by side on the same processor, driving graphical displays, and communicate over an Ethernet or AFDX network.

2. MIXED LANGUAGE REQUIREMENTS

In order to efficiently develop and optimize mixed language device software, developers need to be able to perform the following:

- To call Ada procedures from C functions and vice versa
- To perform mixed language source-level debugging
- To understand the memory utilization of the mixed language application
- To understand the system-level behavior of the mixed language application

These requirements are explored in the following subsections, along with practical implementation examples.

3. MIXED LANGUAGE INTERACTION

Many programming languages provide limited support for interfacing to other programming languages; as a result, language compilers and debuggers have provided limited support as well. Developers have therefore needed to implement their own bindings between languages in assembler, an error-prone activity that can result in non-portable code. In the case

of the Ada95 Programming Language, this provides a well-defined interface to the C language (Ada 95 Reference Manual, Annexe B: Interface to Other Languages), enabling developers to easily and safely call C functions from Ada procedures and the converse.

A federated command & control system implemented in Ada historically may have performed I/O over a bus or via serial devices directly from Ada routines. In order to upgrade this system to support IPv6 networking connectivity, though, it is likely that the application will need to interface with an IPv6 stack implemented in C.

For reasons of future maintainability, we may prefer not to embed IPv6-specifc code within the Ada command & control application. Instead we may prefer to use an abstraction layer to hide or minimize the interface with the network stack. This could be achieved through the use of the Inter Process Communication (IPC) capabilities provided by the underlying Real Time Operating System (RTOS). In the case of a VxWorksbased implementation, VxWorks message queues could be used for IPC, and a GNAT Ada procedure would call the *msgQReceive()* API which is implemented in C as follows:

function Msg_Queue_Receive					
(Msg_Queue_Id : Ir	nteger;				
Buffer : S	System.Address;				
Max_NBytes : Ir	nterfaces.C.unsigned;				
Timeout : Ir	nteger)				
return Integer;					
pragma Import (C, Msg_Queue_Receive, "msgQReceive");					

This enables calls from GNAT Ada to C in a straightforward manner, provided that the appropriate Ada data types are selected to interface to the data

types used in the parameters to the C function. A blocking *msgQReceive()* call from the Ada application is shown below:

Return_Value := Msg_Queue_Receive (Data_Queue_Id, Ada_Buffer'Address, Result_Structure_Size, -1);

The reverse mapping can be achieved in a similar way through use of a pragma Export.

4. MIXED LANGUAGE DEBUGGING

Once developers have used the ability to call C functions from Ada procedures, and vice versa, they will also want to perform source-level debugging of this mixed language application code. This presents its own challenges, particularly as compilers and debuggers have tended to use a variety of Object Module Formats (OMF), and developers who have tried to bind C++ applications created by different compilers know this problem all too well. In recent years, industry has sought to standardize on Executable & Linking Format (ELF) and Debugging With Arbitrary Record Format (DWARF). This has made the parsing of object files more straightforward, but these tools still need to be aware of their own naming conventions and also the naming conventions of other tools which have created object code that needs to be debugged.

In the case of the GNAT Ada & GNU C compilers, these potential obstacles were overcome by the Ada95 language and by the inherent compatibility of GNAT and GNU due to their common heritage. At the compiler level, GNU C and GNAT Pro Ada use the same GCC technology (they are two front ends to the same GCC backend). As a result, both compilers produce the same object code and debugging

⊧RTI Mem	Scope v3	.0c - Tree	View					
File Views Data	Tools Window	Help						
		- D 💯 🕒	₽ 8 0	🏄 🐻 +0 (MARE				
	Call	Stack Tree		Allocs #	Frees #	Current #	Max Bytes	Current Bytes
E tProducer				1,269	1,269	0	2,000	0
⊡…vxTaskEn	try(0×68)			1,269	1,269	0	2,000	0
⊡…produ	icer(0x1c)			1,269	1,269	0	2,000	0
) DemoValSample(0x2))		1,269	1,269	0	2,000	0
⊡ -tDemoAdditem(0x1c)				1,269	1,269	0	2,000	0
	malloc(0x1c)			1,269	1,269	0	2,000	0
⊟tAdaConsumer				1,289	11	1,278	19,674	19,674
⊡…vxTaskEn	try(0×68)			1,289	11	1,278	19,674	19,674
⊟…consi	umer(0x74)			20	11	9	6,984	6,984
tC	DemoValProcess(0xa	a8)		20	11	9	6,984	6,984
	: ±…malloc(0x1c)			20	11	9	6,984	6,984
Ė…consi	umer(0xa4)			1,269	0	1,269	12,690	12,690
⊡…d	ebugData(0x94)			1,269	0	1,269	12,690	12,690
[∃…tMemLose(0x2c)			1,269	0	1,269	12,690	12,690
	i malloc(0x1c)			1,269	0	1,269	12,690	12,690
	for the Selected Rov							
Alloc Timestamp	Start Address	Free Timestamp	Free Task		Free Call Sta	ck		Current Bytes
/ed 11:40:26.569	0x01c9c040						Not freed 💌	10
/ed 11:40:26.569	0x01c9c028						Not freed	10
ed 11:40:26.759	0x01c9c010						Not freed	10
ed 11:40:26.959	0x01c9bff8						Not freed	10
/ed 11:40:27.159	0x01c9bfe0						Not freed	10
/ed 11:40:27.370	0x01c9bfc8						Not freed	10
/ed 11:40:27.560	0x01c9bfb0						Not freed	10
(ed 11:40:27 560	0v01c9hf98	1	I				Not freed	10l

Figure 1. RTI MemScope tree view of mixed language device software.



Figure 2. Wind River System Viewer showing Ada procedure call to VxWorks C API.

formats, thus enabling developer to freely mix Ada and C in their applications. In the case of the Ada command & control application, this enables the debugger to step from an Ada procedure into a C function, following the application's flow of execution in the usual manner.

5. MIXED LANGUAGE MEMORY UTILIZATION

A mixed language debugger alone doesn't meet all the challenges posed by the development of mixed language applications. In the command & control system case, for example, memory may be dynamically allocated by C functions interfacing to the IPv6 stack for passing of data which may be subsequently freed by Ada procedures within the core of the command and control application. Here tools that are C-centric or Ada-centric alone will not be sufficient. Instead the developer will need dynamic visualization tools to monitor the dynamic memory utilization of both languages in order to assess the memory utilization of the mixed language application overall.

This behavior presents the system designer with additional considerations if the Ada & C runtime systems perform dynamic memory allocation from different memory pools, or use different memory allocation schemes, and may force the designer to partition or assign memory ahead of time. Ideally, the Ada & C runtime systems would share a common underlying method. In fact, the GNAT Ada runtime library, which invokes the C runtime library's dynamic memory allocation routines, including *malloc()* and *free()*, uses this approach. Thus, only accesses to the C runtime library's routines need to be traced in order to trace the dynamic allocation or deallocation of memory by either language,.

In the case of the Ada command & control application, the RTI MemScope tool could be used to trace all dynamic memory allocations and deallocations by either Ada code, C code, and any dynamically allocated memory buffers passed between them on the VxWorks system. This is illustrated by Figure 1 below, showing the VxWorks task tProducer which is implemented in C, and an Ada task tAdaConsumer, where the Ada procedure *consumer()* calls the C function *tDemoValProcess()*, which in turn calls the VxWorks *API malloc()*.

6. MIXED LANGUAGE SYSTEM BEHAVIOR

In a mixed language environment it is also important to understand the performance characteristics of the C & Ada application components in the context of the entire system. This can determine if the task scheduling & interaction of the application is correct, and if it is meeting its performance requirements. In the case of the Ada command and control application, the developer would want to be able to analyze the correct behavior of the Ada application on receipt of data

packets from the C-based TCP/IP network stack using the IPC mechanisms provided by the RTOS, and also the throughput latency. This would require the interactions of both C & Ada code with the RTOS to be traceable, which is generally achieved through instrumentation.

Because GNAT Ada tasks map directly to VxWorks tasks, the Wind River System Viewer can display the flow of data packets at system level (as shown in figure 2 below), and measure the transfer time between C-based network stack and Ada command & control application.

7. MIXED OS DEVELOPMENT -THE NEXT PIECE

We have examined some of the driving factors for the development of mixed language device software, the challenges they present and ways they can be overcome. What about mixing different operating systems (OS) within an application? The growth in distributed networked applications may also require the development of applications not simply in mixed languages, but in a mixed OS environment.

Consider the case of a command and control system that requires both hard real-time performance and also high I/O throughput. A mixed OS configuration using

VxWorks and Linux, for example, might bring the best combination of guaranteed performance, universal connectivity, and flexible design. The key to such flexibility is having a capable development suite that can work with a broad range of platform building blocks, both multiple languages and multiple OS's. Such integrated development suites are also the key to boosting developer productivity, since they shield the developer from having to master a separate toolset for each operating system, language, compiler, debugger and visualization tool. To meet this need, leading tools vendors are moving to adopt the Eclipse open-source framework ---a sort of universal tools platform--- and consequently are making great strides in improving the development workflow. A case in point is the Wind River Workbench which is based on the Eclipse 3.0 specification, supports hundreds of plugin tools, and works with the most widely distributed device software operating systems, VxWorks and Linux, as well as inhouse operating systems

This article was written by Paul Parkinson, who is a Senior Systems Architect at Wind River. And by Pauline Shulman, who is a Senior Product Manager at Real-Time Innovations, Inc.