

# Revisions to SSAP No. 65 Regarding High Deductible Policies - There's no Hiding the High Deductible Exposure

After a series of recent insolvencies involving workers' compensation carriers offering high deductible policies, one thing was clear to regulators. These carriers were exposed to solvency risk that was not captured in the financial statement disclosures under statutory accounting principles (SAP).

## What is a high deductible policy and why do they keep regulators up at night?

There is no universal dollar threshold for high deductibles. Some insurers consider \$100,000 a high deductible, while others set the bar at \$1,000,000. Regardless, for these policies, the insurer pays the full claim amount and the policyholder reimburses the insurer for the deductible. If the policyholder does not reimburse the insurer, the insurer may get relief from collateral received from or pledged by that policyholder (e.g., letter of credit, funds held). However, managing collateral needs is no simple task, particularly in the workers' compensation industry. The following examples demonstrate why:

- An insurer sells a workers' compensation policy with a \$500,000 per claim deductible on 01.01.17 to an employer who reports 500 employees under the policy. The insurer obtains a letter of credit from the policyholder estimated to cover the exposure under the deductible. However, the number of employees under the policy increases significantly during the policy period. By the time the insurer performs a policy audit and discovers the growth, it's evident there are collections issues with the policyholder and the letter of credit is insufficient to cover the deductible for anticipated claims based on the increase in the number of employees covered.
- Expanding on the previous example, let's assume the insurer has several high deductible policyholders with no initial collections issues. The policies are renewed annually for ten years and the insurer obtains letters of credit to satisfy estimated collateral needs for each policy year. The insurer's internal actuary reviews high deductible policies in the aggregate, but no analysis is performed to separately estimate the exposure for individual policyholders whose business is significant to the carrier. The insurer has a concentration of risk with its largest high deductible policyholders. A separate analysis would have shown that one policyholder has had increasing claims frequency over the past five years. However, decreases in frequency of claims among the other high deductible policyholders offset that trend, causing the actuary's analysis to overlook the trend. The policyholder with the increasing claims frequency suddenly stops reimbursing the insurer and, shortly thereafter, files for bankruptcy. When the actuary projects the estimated exposure under the deductible that they cannot expect to recover (amounts in excess of the letters of credit), he/she identifies the increasing frequency trend. The actuary applies the trend to the loss and loss adjustment expense projections over a 20 year estimated tail length, finding the exposure to be significantly more than the available collateral.

Recent workers' compensation insurance insolvencies related to high deductible policies have made headlines and have left regulators wondering how they did not see this coming.

## Why the Focus on PEOs?

No discussion on this topic is complete without referencing Professional Employee Organizations (PEOs), which have been behind most of the recent insurance company insolvencies stemming from high deductible issues. These organizations enter into co-employment contracts with their clients, meaning the PEO and client share in the responsibility of employment and control over the employees. In these scenarios, special endorsements must be made to workers' compensation policies to specify whether the PEO or its client is responsible for workers compensation benefits for the employee. While the parties can negotiate who is responsible, both parties must hold a policy with an endorsement either extending or excluding benefits to shared employees. Even if the PEO is responsible for providing workers' compensation benefits to shared employees, it is important for the client to have a policy in place to protect itself legally (e.g., the PEO suddenly goes out of business), and vice versa. These PEO relationships present more risk to workers' compensation insurers because:

- They provide staffing for multiple clients in a variety of industries and headcounts can swing dramatically during the policy period. Those swings may increase the insurance company's exposure to credit risk without the insurance company being aware.
- Since PEOs pose additional concentration risks, insurers need to evaluate their risk exposure at the aggregated PEO group level as well as the aggregated client level, regardless of which party is contractually responsible for providing the workers compensation benefits. Additionally, insurers must understand the exposure it has if the PEO or the client declares bankruptcy and the deductible amounts are no longer collectible.

## High Deductible Financial Reporting - Before

Prior to these insolvencies, financial statements did not quantify the volume of credit risk related to these policies. The balance sheet presents reserves net of deductibles, which assumes all high deductibles on IBNR and case reserves are collectible. Even the gross loss data in Schedule P is net of high deductibles. The only scenario under which an insurer is expected to increase reserves and recognize collectability risk on the deductibles built into gross reserves is when currently billed and recoverable amounts for the same policyholder are determined to be uncollectible. Only then would an insurer disclose information related to its high deductible exposure.



For 2016 reporting, the regulators added a "band-aid" disclosure requirement targeted at PEOs (or other similar entity structures where the individual obligor is part of a group under common management or control) to list the individual obligors, each of its related group members, and the total unsecured aggregate recoverables on high deductible policies for the entire group.

## High Deductible Financial Reporting- Beginning for Years Ended 12.31.17

The revisions adopted in June 2017 to SSAP No. 65, *Property and Casualty Contracts* are intended to be a more comprehensive solution to add disclosures addressing solvency concerns.

The following simplified dataset is presented to demonstrate the data needed to complete the new disclosure requirements effective for 2017 year ends.

Cim No	Policyholder	LOB	Deductible Amount	Paid (Loss and LAE)	(D1)	(D2)	(D3)	(D4)	(D5)	(D6)	(D7)	(D8)
					Gross (of High Deductible) Unpaid Case (Loss & LAE)	Gross (of High Deductible) Unpaid IBNR (Loss & LAE)	Reserve Credit for High Ded.	Billed Recov.	Amount of overdue non admitted	Over 90 Days Admitted	Cash and Inv.	Letter of Credit
1	McConnell Co.*	WC	1,000,000	200,000	1,734,888	1,682,869	800,000	100,400	-	-	3,490,312	-
2	Nowak Co.	WC	1,000,000	82,049	1,409,542	2,143,472	917,951	-	-	-	400,000	600,000
3	Hall Inc.*	WC	3,000,000	2,719,755	2,211,558	2,782,107	780,245	2,219,755	171,919	1,000,000	500,561	-
4	Bowers Co.*	WC	1,000,000	50,000	2,579,653	80,876	950,000	-	-	-	-	3,000,000
5	Murphy Enterprises	SL	250,000	950,463	1,457,160	2,112,764	-	700,463	-	-	-	250,000
6	Dubuque Distribution	SL	250,000	150,000	908,987	898,140	-	1,041,537	-	-	250,000	-
7	Rice Staffing	WC	1,000,000	75,000	74,177	571,070	925,000	947,327	61,081	-	-	1,000,000
8	Jerding Companies**	WC	1,000,000	280,473	2,298,935	761,628	719,527	747,900	-	-	750,000	250,000
9	Lambert Ltd.	WC	1,000,000	637,000	1,868,154	2,775,391	363,000	637,000	-	-	2,878,003	-
10	Keene Supplies	WC	1,000,000	38,350	230,861	1,608,417	961,650	-	-	-	1,000,000	150,000
11	Jerding Companies	WC	1,000,000	2,497,188	2,764,568	836,503	-	-	-	-	50,000	1,900,000
12	Partlow and Partners	OL	500,000	2,895,431	1,598,576	1,343,702	-	1,900,346	-	-	-	500,000
13	Vannoy Ltd.	OL	500,000	-	225,552	1,982,910	500,000	-	-	-	-	500,000
14	Wright Staffing	WC	1,000,000	-	656,950	58,409	1,000,000	-	-	-	-	-
15	Newton Inc.	WC	1,000,000	1,483,553	2,643,295	351,389	-	1,283,553	-	-	1,000,000	-
16	Wright Staffing	WC	1,000,000	50,000	710,332	2,432,439	950,000	-	-	-	-	-
17	Wright Staffing	WC	1,000,000	970,000	1,859,553	2,652,196	30,000	847,900	-	-	1,000,000	-
18	Walker Inc.**	WC	1,000,000	-	1,005,028	2,504,696	1,000,000	20,019	-	-	1,000,000	-
19	Mobley Inc.**	WC	1,000,000	110,000	2,116,356	2,682,071	890,000	-	-	-	1,000,000	-
20	Adams & Co.**	WC	1,000,000	215,000	1,441,952	2,552,377	785,000	337,800	-	-	1,000,000	-
	<b>Totals</b>			<b>13,404,262</b>	<b>29,796,077</b>	<b>32,813,426</b>	<b>11,572,373</b>	<b>10,784,000</b>	<b>233,000</b>	<b>1,000,000</b>	<b>14,318,876</b>	<b>8,150,000</b>

\* Obligors under the Group Welch PEO

\*\* Obligors under the Group Prescott PEO

Disclosure requirements under SSAP No. 65, paragraph 38, for all high deductible policies are as follows:

38 a. Gross (of high deductible) amount of loss reserves, unpaid by line of business.

38 b. The amount of reserve credit that has been recorded for high deductibles on unpaid claims and the amounts that have been billed and are recoverable on paid claims, by line of business and the total of these two numbers;

<i>(in thousands)</i>	1 <i>(D1+D2 above)</i> (38.a)	2 <i>(D3 above)</i> (38.b)	3 <i>(D4 above)</i> (38.b)	4 <i>(2+3)</i> (38.b)
<b>Annual Statement Line of Business</b>	<b>Gross (of High Deductible) Loss Reserves</b>	<b>Reserve Credit for High Deductibles</b>	<b>Billed Recoverable on Paid Claims</b>	<b>Total High Deductibles and Billed Recoverables</b>
Workers' Compensation (excl excess)	\$52,082	\$11,072	\$7,142	\$18,214
Special Liability	5,377	-	1,742	1,742
Other Liability	5,151	500	1,900	2,400
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$62,610</b>	<b>\$11,572</b>	<b>\$10,784</b>	<b>\$22,356</b>

38 c. Related to the amounts that have been billed and are recoverable on paid claims,  
i. paid recoverable amounts that are over 90-days overdue and  
ii. the amounts nonadmitted (per paragraph 37).

<i>(in thousands)</i>	5 <i>(D5 above)</i> (38.c.ii)	6 <i>(D6 above)</i> (38.c.i)	7 <i>(5+6)</i>
<b>Amount of Overdue Nonadmitted (either due to aging or collateral)</b>	<b>Total Over 90 Days Overdue Admitted</b>	<b>Total Overdue</b>	
	\$233	\$1,000	\$1,233

38 d. Total collateral pledged to the reporting entity related to deductible and paid recoverables:

- i. the amount of collateral on balance sheet; and
- ii. the amount of collateral off balance sheet,

38 e. The total amount of unsecured high deductible amounts related to unpaid claims and for paid recoverables and the total percentage that is unsecured.

8 <i>(4 above)</i>	9 <i>(D7 above)</i> (38.d.i)	10 <i>(D8 above)</i> (38.d.ii)	11 <i>[(D3+D4)-(D7+D8)]*</i> (38.e)	12 <i>(11/8)</i> (38.e)
Total High Deductibles and Billed Recoverables on Paid Claims	Collateral On Balance Sheet	Collateral Off Balance Sheet	Total Unsecured Deductibles and Billed Recoverables on Paid Claims	Percentage Unsecured
<i>(in thousands)</i>				
\$22,356	\$14,319	\$8,150	\$8,736	39.1%

*\* Calculation of total unsecured for column 11 is performed at the policyholder level rather than aggregate of all high deductible policies because excess collateral from one policyholder cannot be used to offset collateral from others.*

38 f. Highest ten unsecured high deductible amounts by counterparty ranking. Note that the counterparty does not have to be named, just amount by counterparty 1, counterparty 2 etc. For this purpose, a group of entities under common control shall be regarded as a single customer.

*(in thousands)*                      (38.f)

Counterparty Ranking	Top Ten Unsecured High Deductible Amounts
1	\$2,499
2	1,828
3	1,400
4	872
5	792
6	467
7	451
8	284
9	123
10	20

Additional disclosures under SSAP No. 65, paragraph 39, for Unsecured High Deductible Recoverables are also required provided the individual obligor is part of a group under the same management or control, such as a professional employer organization (PEO). These disclosures include listing the individual obligors, each of its related group members, and the total unsecured aggregate recoverables on high deductible policies for the entire group which are greater than 1% of capital and surplus. For this purpose, a group of entities under common control shall be regarded as a single customer.

**Insurer's capital and surplus:** \$57,000,000

Group Name	Total Unsecured Aggregate Recoverable
<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Welch PEO	\$2,499
Prescott PEO	610

Group Name	Obligors and Related Group Members
Welch PEO	McConnell Co., Hall Inc. and Bowers Co.
Prescott PEO	Jerding Companies, Walker, Inc., Mobley Inc. and Adams & Co.

Property and casualty insurers with high deductible policies can expect to see tables similar to these examples in the 2017 Annual Statement Blanks. Insurers who do not already analyze unpaid loss and loss adjustment expense data relative to collateral in sufficient detail to prepare these disclosures and provide support for them to their auditors should prepare to do so for the 2017 year-end.

**Author:** Kia Bickel, CPA, Senior Manager (kbickel@johnsonlambert.com)