

SMRP	T. RELIABILITY &
APPROVED PROVIDER	MAINTAINABILITY CENTER RMIC* Approved Course

VAA % \$ sonenstnieM																				
Maintenance Unit Cost	Down																			
VAA % oulsV OAM	Down	Down																		
sleinəteM əonenətnieM	Down	Down	1																	
Inventory Turns	Up	Up	ī	dŊ																
Corrective Maintenance	čč	55	ł	Down	E															
CBM Cost	55	42	1	čč	1	0														
PM Cost	čč	22	1	ċċ	I.)	1													
nwobtud2 sonenstnieM	Down	Down	ī	Down	L	3	55	ī												
V tilidelievA	Up	Up	I	Up	t.	Up	1).	I)											
мтвғ	Up	Up	1	Up	E	Up	1	1	Up	Up										
мттк	Down	Down	1		I.	Down	i.	1	Down	Down	9									
Schedule Compliance	Up	Up	1	E	Ē.	0	з	1	ЧD	dŊ	Up	ii.								
Stock Outs	Down	Down	1	I.	L	3	1	1	Down	Down	Down	Down	Down							
Corrective Work	50%	50%	1	50%		50%	1	1	50%	50%	50%	I	50%	£						
Reactive Work	Down	Down	1	Down	r,	Down	ī	1	Down	Down	Down	1	Down	i.	Down					
Proactive Work	80%	80%	ī	80%	E.	80%	ćć	żż	80%	80%	80%	1	80%	τ	80%	80%				
CBM Mork	15%	15%	Ŧ	15%	ţ.	15%	čč	1	15%	15%	15%	1	15%	r.	15%	15%	15%			
PM Work	15%	15%	1	15%	Ū.	15%	1	čč	15%	15%	15%	1	15%	1	15%	15%	15%	15%		
Overtime	12%	12%	Ţ	Ľ	L	12%	I	I	12%	I	3	I	1	ŗ.	I.	з	1	1	L	
Result or Behavior?	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result	Behavior	Result	Result	Result	Behavior	Behavior	Behavior	Result
Target Direction	Down	Down	Down	Down	Up	Down	Up	čč	Down	Up	Up	Down	Up	Down	Down	Down	Up	Up	Down	Down
Harmonized Metrics	Maintenance \$ % RAV	Maintenance Unit Cost		rials Cost	Inventory Turns	Corrective Maintenance Cost	CBM Cost	PM Cost	nce Shutdown Cost	Availability	MTBF	MITR	Schedule Compliance	Stock Outs	Corrective Work	Reactive Work	Proactive Work	CBM Work	PM Work	Overtime



INSTRUCTION.LEARNING.APPLICATION.COACHING





Leading and Lagging KPI's: What's the **Difference?**



APPLIED SKILLS

- GRAPHICAL ANALYSIS.
- PROJECT CHARTERS.
- BUSINESS CASE DEVELOPMENT.
- ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS
- FAILURE MODE & EFFECTS ANALYSIS.
- PROCESS MAPPING.
- WORK PLANNING & SCHEDULING.
- BACKLOG MANAGEMENT.

• RELIABILITY MODELING.

- STANDARD WORK INSTRUCTIONS.
- PM EVALUATION & OPTIMIZATION



Abstract

Key Performance Indicators (KPI) can be used to measure past performance or predict future performance. This is because there is a cause and effect relationship between leading and lagging KPI's. When a process is measured, it will in turn effect another process which is also being measured, providing insight to future performance. When Leading and Lagging KPI's are properly understood it provides unique insights to where the performance of the organization is going.

Leading and Lagging KPI's: What's the Difference?

The differences between Leading and Lagging indicators, as described within Maintenance and Reliability bodies of knowledge, are:

Leading KPI's measure performance before the business or process result starts to follow a particular pattern or trend. Leading indicators are used to predict changes or trends, as well as forward looking and help to manage the performance of a system or process.

Lagging KPI's measure performance after the business or process follows a pattern or trend and are used to confirm long-term trends. Lagging indicators are used to determine how well a process or system was managed.

A word of caution, KPI's can be both leading and lagging depending on where in the process they are located. As such, it is critical to fully understand the relationship between leading and lagging KPI's to ensure they are used correctly. So how do you determine or structure you KPI's to predict the future performance of the organization? Start with the goal that you are striving to achieve. This might be a cost measure of maintenance, such as Maintenance Cost per Unit Produced. With this goal, start to determine which processes will drive the improvement in cost reduction. In the case of Maintenance Cost per Unit Produced, fewer spare parts consumed will lead to reduced costs, as will a reduction in overtime. Therefore, MRO Inventory Turns and Percent (%) Overtime are leading indicators of Maintenance Cost per Unit Produced.

DEE Increase of 10% Gives \$9.3M in Increased Profit 20.633 Prices in kUSD 0.60 1.041 12.25% /ariable :ost/Unit 15.000 150,000

When the KPI's are organized in a hierarchical structure it is easy to see which KPI's are Lagging and Leading, and how future performance can be predicted. Regardless of whether the KPI is leading or lagging, it is critical that the data provide by the KPI, and trends being described by the KPI, demonstrate a logical flow of information that can be acted upon. If they are not, there is no point in measuring them.

Do you use Leading or Lagging indicators in your organization? What proportion of each do you utilize? I encourage you to share your stories, as everyone benefits from sharing experiences and learnings.



DELIVERING RESULTS

Eliminate "Bad Actors", Optimize Labor Utilization, Increase Reliability, and Reduce Costs.



WEB SOLUTIONS

300 online, on-demand learning modules, mapped to your role and responsibilities. Delivering the training you need, when you need it.



CORPOPRATE SOLUTIONS

The iBL[®] program, and University of Tennessee Reliability & Maintainability Implementation Certification[®] is designed to develop subject matter experts in the fields of:

- Reliability Engineering,
- Maintenance Management,
- Maintenance Planning, and
- MRO Inventory Management.