

1.	Transport Canada Publication No.	2. Project No.		3. Recipient's C	Catalogue No.		
	TP 14714E						
4.	Title and Subtitle	1		5. Publication [	Date		
	Evaluation of Fluid Freeze Points in First-Step Application of Type I Fluids						
				6. Performing Organization Document No.			
				CM2020	CM2020.002		
7.	Author(s)			8. Transport Ca	anada File No.		
	George Balaban and Stephanie Bendickson						
9.	Performing Organization Name and Address			10. PWGSC File No.			
	APS Aviation Inc.						
	634 St-Jacques, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor						
	Montreal, Quebec		11. PWGSC or Transport Canada Contract No.				
	H3C 1C7						
	Canada						
12.	Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			13. Type of Publ	ication and Period (	Covered	
	1 ransportation Development Centre (1DC) 800 René Lévesque Blvd. West, Suite 600 Mentreal, Quebec			Draft			
				14 Decide Office			
	H3B 1X9			14. Project Onicer			
	Canada			Barry M	yers		
15.	Supplementary Notes (Eunding programs titles of related publications, etc.)						
	available from the Transportation Development Centre (TDC). Nine reports (including this one) were produced as part of this winter's research program. Their subject matter is outlined in the preface. This project was co-sponsored by the Federal Aviation Administration.						
16.	Abstract						
	Deicing procedures commonly used by field operators and based on SAE ARP 4737 assume that deicing fluids mixed to fluid freeze points (FFP) not more than 3°C above ambient temperature will provide at least three minutes of protection time. In a two-step procedure, this allows time for the application of the second step anti-icing fluid. However, SAS Braathens reported an incident where ice was found on a wing following takeoff. The aircraft had been deiced and anti-iced using this procedure, which led the operator to question the 3 minute assumption and the adequacy of the fluid freeze point buffer.						
	In response to the reported incident, APS reviewed data it had previously collected. While APS found that previous research did not support changing the minimum FFP buffer in the Type I application procedure, some experts suggested that further research on the subject was required. APS therefore undertook a research project in the winter of 2005-06 to determine the effect the FFP buffer of first-step deicing fluids has on protection time. Tests were conducted with fluids mixed to -3°C, 0°C and +10°C FFP buffers in natural and simulated precipitation.						
	The status quo -3°C FFP buffer fluid generally provided protection for at least three minutes, but showed weakness in cold temperatures and under high precipitation rates. In conditions where it provided less than three minutes protection time, fluids with higher FFP buffers provided only minimal improvements. This supported the premise that an increased FFP buffer gives longer protection in conditions when it is not needed, but little increase in protection when it is needed.						
	It was recommended that the current application procedure (-3°C FFP buffer fluid) remain in place and that operators wishing to follow a more conservative approach may choose to increase the FFP buffer of first-step fluids.						
	A supplemental test indicated that if diluted Type I fluid freezes to an aircraft surface, it will dissolve after Type IV fluid has been applied.						
17.	Key Words 18. Distribution Statement						
	Anti-icing, deloing, deloing fluid, holdover times, precipitation, endurance times, Type I, aircraft, ground, test, winter, buffer, fluid freeze point, application procedure					the	
19.	Security Classification (of this publication)	20. Security Classification (of	this page)	21. Declassification	22. No. of	23. Price	
	Unclassified	Unclassified		(uale)	xvi, 42 app.	—	

