## **Coating Cost Analysis**

Simple Cost Analysis For Cost Reduction In A GC Low Level Sulfur Analysis

		Alternative (Uncoated	
	SilcoTek Coating	Stainless Steel Fitting)	Comment/Assumption
Cost Factors			
Price	46.49	25.00	21.79 + 25 for SS fitting
PO cost	200.00	100.00	Range \$100 to \$200
			UPS Ground Cross Country. Assume more for international
Shipping	15.00	15.00	shipment, less for local delivery.
Lead Time Cost	0.75	0.00	LT (wks) x price x .015 1 week for SilcoTek
Purchasing Integration	0.00	0.00	assume no PO training just a single order
Engineering evaluation	300.00	0.00	2 hrs @ \$150.00/hr
			Quality review can range from a simple review of the quality
			system and policy to a full blown audit. Assumed minimal review
Quality evaluation/audit approval	0.00	0.00	for one fitting purchase.
Retooling			
Total Cost	562.24	140.00	
-			
Benefit Factors			
Labor savings/ Direct labor efficiency			1 more test per day @ 45 min per run est. @ \$80.00/hr fringed
improvement	15,600.00	0.00	direct labor. \$60/per day x 260 days per year.
			If the machine can run longer without downtime consider
Machine efficiency		0.00	efficiency improvement.
			If machines are running at capacity, improved throughput may
Capital equipment			avoid additional capital investment
Throughput or sales increase	32,500.00	0.00	1 more test per day x 260 work days @ \$125 per test.
			larger operations may base improvement metric on yield rather
Yield improvement			than sales increase.
			less troubleshooting maintenance will reduce indirect/overhead
Maintenance (overhead cost reduction)	3,380.00	0.00	labor cost, 10 min per day @ \$80.00. \$13 x 260

Delivery improvement			There may be incentives for improved delivery.
			Sales or production increase due to new business derived from
Sales increase, quality/reliability			improved quality.
			Potential for additional fees related to improved performance or
Sales increase, lead time			expedite value add fee.
			If coated product improves performance or value vs. competitor
Sales increase, product features			or achieves new performance specification.
Raw material savings			Potential for less rework related to raw materials
			Explore potential incentives for reduced waste. Or reduced
Waste disposal cost reduction			hazardous waste generation.
			May split rework from direct labor savings especially if there is a
Rework cost reduction			dedicated rework line.
Overhead cost reduction (Supervision)			Supervision cost may come into play for larger operations.
Overhead cost reduction (Utilities)			Any related utility savings due to improved performance.
			May split overtime from direct labor savings if there is a specific
Overtime reduction			overtime reduction objective or metric.
FG Inventory cost saving, (fast lead,			If your lead-time or yield is improved, you may reduce finished
improved yield= lower inventory)			goods inventory.
Quality returns			Cost of replacing failed product or related penalties
			Estimated improved customer loyalty. May be a year over year
Return customer loyalty			measure of lost customers.
Troubleshooting cost reduction			May roll this measure into overhead cost reduction (supervision)
(Engineering)			or break out if engineering intensive product or organization.
			Consider if regulatory compliance is a factor either for
			implementing coating or in use of coating in emission monitoring.
Regulatory compliance cost			Consider reduction in violations, or regulatory approval cost.
Total Benefit	51,480.00	0.00	
			Compared vs. alternative which in this case was using the
			baseline material, 304 stainless steel. Net result is relative to the
			alternative material, but can also compare to current practice or
Net Result	50,917.76		current process.