FAI/04-71, (Volume 1 of 2)

FATE™ 2.0:

FACILITY <u>FLOW</u>, <u>A</u>EROSOL, <u>T</u>HERMAL, AND <u>E</u>XPLOSION MODEL (Improved and Combined HANSF and HADCRT Models)

Submitted To:

Hanford K Basins Closure Project Fluor Hanford Richland, WA

Prepared By:

Martin G. Plys and Sung Jin Lee Fauske & Associates, LLC 16W070 West 83rd Street Burr Ridge, Illinois 60527 <u>TEL</u>: (630) 323-8750 FAX: (630) 986-5481

November 2004

[™] FATE is a Trademark of Fauske & Associates, LLC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Volume 1)

LIST	OF	FIGURES (in Volume 1)xiii
LIST	OF	TABLES (in Volume 1)xviii
1.0	INT	RODUCTION1-1 of 1-7
	1.1	Scope and Purpose1-1
	1.2	Purpose of Computer Code Update1-2
	1.3	Major FATE [™] Models1-2
	1.4	Quality Assurance Status1-3
	1.5	FATE, HADCRT, and HANSF Computer Code Background and Names
	1.6	FATE Capability and Application Background1-5
	1.7	Ownership1-7
2.0	SOI	FTWARE CHANGE SPECIFICATION FOR FATE 2.02-1 of 2-2
	2.1	Identification2-1
	2.2	Errors2-1
	2.3	Functional Specification Changes2-1
	2.4	Software Design Changes2-1
	2.5	Documentation Changes2-2
	2.6	Validation Testing2-2
	2.7	Verification2-2
3.0	FUI	NCTIONAL REQUIREMENT CHANGES
	3.1	Changes to Generic Models
	3.2	Error Fixes
	3.3	Changes to MCO and Sludge Models
	3.4	User's Manual Supplement for MCO Models

[™] FATE is a Trademark of Fauske & Associates, LLC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Volume 1 continued)

		3.4.1	Flags to Bypass Imposing Adiabatic Boundary Conditions to Fuel Heat Conductors	3-4
		3.4.2	New MCO Plot Variables	3-5
	3.5	Model	Size Limits	3-6
4.0	GAS PROF	SPACE PAGATIC	FLAMMABILITY, COMBUSTION, AND BURN DN4-1 c	of 4-37
	4.1	Key As	ssumptions	4-1
	4.2	Flamma	ability and Burn Propagation	4-2
		4.2.1	Flammability and Propagation Criteria	4-2
		4.2.2	LeChatelier's Law	4-6
		4.2.3	Minimum Oxidant Requirement and Maximum Inertant Requirement	4-10
	4.3	Burn D	Puration	4-13
	4.4	Reactio	n Progress and Lean vs. Rich Evaluation	4-16
		4.4.1	Reaction Equation Form and Reaction Progress	4-16
		4.4.2	Lean vs. Rich Evaluation	4-19
		4.4.3	Examples	4-20
	4.5	Combus	stion Rate Laws	4-22
	4.6	Input P	arameters	4-24
		4.6.1	REGIONS Group	4-25
		4.6.2	MODEL Group	4-28
		4.6.3	ALIAS Group	4-30
		4.6.4	REACTION Group	4-32
		4.6.5	FLAMMABILITY Group	4-33
		4.6.6	PROPAGATION Group	4-35
5.0	RADI FACT	ATION	HEAT TRANSFER NETWORK AND VIEW	f 5-111
	5.1	Key As	ssumptions	5-2
		5	•	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Volume 1 continued)

5.2	Radiatio	n Network	Equations .	
	5.2.1	Derivation	n of Network	Equations5-3
	5.2.2	Limiting (Cases of Net	work Equations5-6
	5.2.3	Gas Emiss	sivity and Tr	ansmittance5-7
	5.2.4	Summary		
5.3	Surface	Geometries	s for View F	actors5-9
	5.3.1	General S	urface Geom	etry
	5.3.2	Surface G	eometry Typ	es5-10
	5.3.3	Surface G	eometry Det	ails5-13
		5.3.3.1	Heat Sink .	
			5.3.3.1.1	Vertical Rectangular Surface5-13
			5.3.3.1.2	Horizontal Rectangular Surface5-24
			5.3.3.1.3	Vertical Right Circular Cylinder5-24
			5.3.3.1.4	Horizontal Right Circular Cylinder5-24
			5.3.3.1.5	Horizontal Circle or Annulus5-25
			5.3.3.1.6	Vertical Circle or Annulus5-25
			5.3.3.1.7	Rectangular Enclosure5-25
		5.3.3.2	Liquid Surf	ace5-26
			5.3.3.2.1	Circular Cross-Section5-26
			5.3.3.2.2	Rectangular Cross-Section5-26
		5.3.3.3	Smoky Lay	er Surface5-26
			5.3.3.3.1	Circular Cross-Section5-26
			5.3.3.3.2	Rectangular Cross-Section5-26
		5.3.3.4	Fire Surface	e
		5.3.3.5	Heat Sink H	Fin Surface5-27
			5.3.3.5.1	Vertically-Oriented Fin5-27
			5.3.3.5.2	Horizontally-Oriented Fin5-27

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Volume 1 continued)

				5.3.3.5.3	Vertical Right Circular Cylinder	5-27
				5.3.3.5.4	Horizontal Right Circular Cylinder	5-27
				5.3.3.5.5	Horizontal Circle	5-28
	5.4	View Fa	actor Mode	l Overview		5-28
	5.5	View Fa	actor Matrix	x Assembly		5-32
	5.6	Input Pa	arameters			5-36
		5.6.1	CONTRO	L Major Key	word Group	5-36
			5.6.1.1	ACTIVE K	eyword Group	5-36
			5.6.1.2	MODEL K	eyword Group	5-37
		5.6.2	RADNET	Keyword in	HEAT_SINKS Keyword Group	5-37
			5.6.2.1	Overall Syr	tax	5-37
			5.6.2.2	NET Group)	5-38
			5.6.2.3	SURFACES	S Group	5-38
			5.6.2.4	FVIEW Gro	oup	5-41
			5.6.2.5	Remaining	Groups	5-42
		5.6.3	FIRE Maj	or Keyword	Group	5-43
	5.7	Outputs	•••••			5-43
	5.8	View Fa	actor Mode	l Details		5-44
6.0	AERO	SOL EN	ITRAINMI	ENT DURI	NG COMBUSTION AND	
010	VENT	FLOW	S			-1 of 6-61
	6.1	Key Ass	sumptions			6-2
	6.2	Entrainn	nent Techn	ical Basis		6-2
		6.2.1	Particulate	e Entrainmen	t	6-3
		6.2.2	Liquid En	trainment		6-5
		6.2.3	Sludge (Y	ield Stress N	Aaterial) Entrainment	6-10
	6.3	Entrainn	nent Model	Features		6-10
		6.3.1	Surface T	ypes		6-11

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Volume 1 continued)

		6.3.2	Waste Types and Properties	6-11
		6.3.3	Entrainment Mechanisms	6-15
	6.4	Entrainr	nent Equations	6-15
		6.4.1	Deflagration	6-18
		6.4.2	Detonation	
		6.4.3	Flame Quench Limit to Combustion Entrainment	
		6.4.4	Venting – Horizontal Surface (Pool)	
		6.4.5	Venting – Wall Surface Surrounding Vent	
	6.5	Model 1	Example Calculations	
		6.5.1	Entrainment Flux	6-31
		6.5.2	Combustion Entrainment	
		6.5.3	Horizontal Surface Entrainment	6-38
		6.5.4	Wall Vent Entrainment	6-44
	6.6	Input D	escription	
		6.6.1	Major Keyword Groups	
		6.6.2	Pool Waste Group	
		6.6.3	Wall Waste Group	6-55
		6.6.4	Wall Vent Waste Group	6-56
		6.6.5	Pool Waste Composition	6-58
		6.6.6	Wall Waste Composition	6-59
		6.6.7	Wall Vent Waste Composition	6-59
		6.6.8	Model Parameters	6-59
		6.6.9	Generic Inputs for Model and Plot Control	6-60
7.0	EVEN	T LOG	IC	
	7.1	Purpose	and Applications	7-1
	7.2	Example	es	
		7.2.1	Attain a Steady-State, Then Initiate Transient	7-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Volume 1 continued)

		7.2.2	Open a Relief Path	7-3
		7.2.3	Add Insulation	7-3
		7.2.4	Logical Comparison	7-4
	7.3	Input S	yntax	7-5
		7.3.1	General Syntax	7-5
		7.3.2	SET/RESET Boolean Condition Syntax	7-6
		7.3.3	Action Block	7-8
		7.3.4	Other Input Changes	7-8
8.0	GENE	RAL M	IODEL FEATURES	8-1 of 8-17
	8.1	Automa	tic Pressure Initialization	8-1
	8.2	Implicit Heat Si	Calculation of Heat and Mass Transfer Rates Between nks (and Liquid Pool Surface) and Region Gas	8-2
		8.2.1	Motivation	8-2
		8.2.2	Implementation of the Implicit Scheme	8-2
	8.3	Energy	Equation of a Heat Sink with Material Addition	8-6
	8.4	Fin Rad	liation Heat Transfer Model	8-10
		8.4.1	Problem Statement	8-10
		8.4.2	Derivation of Representative Temperature	8-10
		8.4.3	Partitioning Heat Source	8-11
	8.5	Aerosol	Bookkeeping	
		8.5.1	Model Equations and Algorithm	
		8.5.2	Input and Output	
		8.5.3	Implementation	8-15
9.0	HADO	CRT 1.4	C USER'S MANUAL SUPPLEMENT	9-1 of 9-22
	9.1	MCO N	Nodel Supplement	9-1
	9.2	SLUDG	E Model Supplement	9-1
	9.3	GENER	RIC Model Supplement	9-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Volume 1 concluded)

9.3.1	Arbitrary Indices for Regions, Junctions, and Heat Sinks9-2
9.3.2	Combining Law for Aerosol Sedimentation and Leakage9-2
9.3.3	Composite Heat Sinks9-4
9.3.4	Time-Dependent Volumetric Flow Rate9-11
9.3.5	Source Temperature9-12
9.3.6	Functional Dependence of Filter Resistance9-13
9.3.7	HADCRT Manual Errata9-14
9.3.8	How to Add a New Specie in GASPROP.DAT – Argon Example
9.3.9	Implicit Heat and Mass Transfer Rates9-18
9.3.10	Functional Dependence of Filter Resistance – Extension9-19
9.3.11	New Plot Variable for Radiation Heat Transfer Rate on the "Fin"
9.3.12	Reference Value for Diffusion of Gas in Air in GASPROP.DAT
9.3.13	Length Scale of Heat Conductor Internal Nodes ("Fin") for Natural Convection Heat Transfer
9.3.14	Relative Elevation Expressions for Heat Conductors9-21
9.3.15	Material Sources for Heat Sinks9-22

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Volume 2)

LIST	OF F	IGURES (in Volume 2)	vi
LIST	OF T	CABLES (in Volume 2) x	X
10.0	VALI	DATION TESTING: INTEGRAL TEST CASES 10-1 of 10-	.7
	10.1	Test Specification10-	·1
	10.2	Generic Integral Results10-	·1
	10.3	Burn in Tanks in a Cell Results10-	·2
	10.4	MCO and Sludge Integral Test Results10-	.5
	10.5	Regression Testing10-	-6
11.0	VALI	DATION TESTING: FLAMMABILITY COMBUSTION	
11.0	& PF	ROPAGATION	5
	11.1	Test Specification11-	·1
	11.2	Combustion Model Validation11-	·1
	11.3	Propagation and Pressure Piling Model Validation11-	-6
	11.4	Autoignition Model Validation11-	-8
	11.5	Flammability Model Validation11-	.9
	11.6	Burning Rate Model Validation11-1	3
12.0	VALI	DATION TESTING: AUTOMATIC CALCULATION OF	
1210	RADI	ATION VIEW FACTORS	-6
	12.1	Test Specification	·1
	12.2	View Factor Model Validation12-	-3
13.0	VALI	DATION TESTING: ENTRAINMENT	;3
	13.1	Test Specification	-1
		13.1.1 Test Group 1: Entrainment from Pool During Blowdown13-	-1
		13.1.2 Test Group 2: Entrainment from Wall Vent During	
		Blowdown	-3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Volume 2 continued)

		13.1.3 Test Group 3: Entrainment During Deflagration and Detonation
	13.2	Pool Blowdown Entrainment Test Description and Results13-6
	13.3	Wall Vent Blowdown Entrainment Description and Results13-9
	13.4	Combustion Entrainment Test Description and Results
14.0	VALI	DATION TESTING: EVENT LOGIC14-1 of 14-9
	14.1	Test Specification14-1
	14.2	Attain a Steady-State, Then Initiate Transient14-1
	14.3	Open a Relief Path14-4
	14.4	Add Insolation14-7
15.0	VALI	DATION TESTING: GENERIC MODEL FEATURES 15-1 of 15-34
	15.1	Test Specification15-1
	15.2	Allow the Code to Automatically Initialize Pressure in Inter- Connected Regions and Heat Sink Temperatures. Fix Phantom Flows
	15.3	Linear Interpolation of Source Rate of Gas, Liquid, or Aerosol15-5
	15.4	Feature – Introduce a Quadratic Term (to flow rate) to the Junction Filter Resistance
	15.5	Error – Incorrect Length Scale is used for Convective Heat Transfer on Fins
	15.6	Feature – Allow Heat Sinks Fins to Radiate to Surrounding Gas15-13
	15.7	Feature – Allow Gray Gas in the Radiation Network Model15-15
	15.8	Error – Printouts of Volumes of Very Large Regions are Masked by Asterisks in the Log File15-15
	15.9	Error – The Code Does Not Erase Completely the Existing Content of Plot Files Before Writing New Data
	15.10	Error – Screen Display of Regions Should Show Only Active Regions
	15.11	Implicit Heat & Mass Transfer Calculation Scheme

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Volume 2 continued)

Page

15.12	Error – is Not I	Heat Transfer Rate Between Two Composite Heat Sinks ncluded in the "Sandwiched" Energy Balance Term15-18
15.13	Feature to be De	– Allow the Gap Conductance in a Composite Heat Sink etermined Based on Gas Thermal Conductivity of a Region15-18
15.14	I/O Feat Diffusion	ure – Printout the Reference Temperature and Binary Gas n Coefficients for Active Gas Species in the Log File15-19
15.15	Feature be Speci Error – Containe	 Allow the Top and Bottom Elevations of Heat Sinks to ified in Terms of Other Heat Sink or Region Elevations Subroutine TOKEN Cannot Parse a Character String er Parentheses
15.16	Aerosol	Bookkeeping15-21
	15.16.1	HEPA Filter Aerosols
	15.16.2	Aerosol Accumulation in Bends15-24
	15.16.3	Combined Aerosol Accumulation in Bends and HEPA Filters
16.0 REFE	RENCES	
APPENDIX	A:	Legacy Integral Test Case Plots A-1 of A-11
APPENDIX	B:	Integral Test Case PlotsB-1 of B-3
APPENDIX	C:	HANSF MCO Integral Test Case PlotsC-1 of C-17
APPENDIX	D:	Results for Sludge Integral Test Cases D-1 of D-5
APPENDIX	E:	Regression and Generic Model Test Plots E-1 of E-16
APPENDIX	F:	Input Files for New FATE 2.0 Integral TestsF-1 of F-69
APPENDIX	G:	Input Files for Flammability, Combustion, and Propagation Tests
APPENDIX	H:	Input Files for Radiation Heat Transfer Tests H-1 of H-6
APPENDIX	I:	Input Files for Entrainment TestsI-1 of I-28

FAI/04-71, Rev. 0

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Volume 2 concluded)

APPENDIX	J:	Input Files for Event Logic TestsJ	-1 of J-9
APPENDIX	K:	Input Files for Generic Model Features K-1	of K-62
APPENDIX	L:	Quality Assurance Documents L-1	of L-23

LIST OF FIGURES

(in Volume 1)

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
4-1	Idealized Flammability Limit Diagram	.4-4
4-2	Flammability Evaluation Flow Chart	.4-5
5-1	Vertical Wall5	5-14
5-2	Horizontal Wall5	5-15
5-3	Vertical Right Circular Cylinder5	5-16
5-4	Horizontal Right Circular Cylinder5	5-16
5-5	Horizontal Surface, Circular and Rectangular Cross-Sections5	5-17
5-6	Rectangular Enclosure5	5-18
5-7	Vertically and Horizontally Oriented Fins5	5-19
5-8	Fin Surface of Vertical and Horizontal Cylinders5	5-20
5-9	Fin Surface of Heat Sink with Horizontal Circular Cross-Section5	5-21
5-10	Center Coordinate Geometry Example, Vertical or Horizontal Wall5	5-21
5-11	Handy Visual Guide to View Factor Models Available5	5-29
6-1	Particle Mass Entrainment Rate Versus Air Free Stream Velocity	.6-4
6-2	Observed Air Flow Velocity u_{∞} at the Onset of Liquid Entrainment (van Rossum, [1959]) Normalized by the Predicted Entrainment Inception Velocity u_{cr} (Equation Error! Reference source not found.) V Liquid-Film Thickness	ersus .6-6
6-3	Schematic Diagram of Experimental Liquid Entrainment Apparatus [Epstein and Fauske, 2001]	.6-8
6-4	Comparison of Entrainment Model with Empirical Correlation of Yonomoto and Tasaka [1988] for Liquid Entrainment to a Ceiling Vent from a Stratified Region	.6-9

LIST OF FIGURES

(in Volume 1 - continued)

Figure	Page
6-5	Surfaces for Entrainment; Multiple Walls Containing Vents are Allowed
6-6	Wall Vent Nodalization, Rectangular Case
6-7	Wall Vent Nodalization, Circular Case
6-8	Entrainment By Deflagration and Detonation6-16
6-9	Entrainment By Vent Flow from a Horizontal Surface Displaced from the Vent Location and from the Wall Surrounding the Vent
6-10	Liquid Entrainment by Venting Gas Flow in Stratified Cylindrically Symmetric, Semi-Infinite Region, Illustrating Where Entrainment Begins and Ends
6-11	Coordinate System for Radial Gas Flow Over Wall Deposit to Circular Breach of Radius
6-12	Entrainment Flux Example, Low Velocity Range6-32
6-13	Entrainment Flux Example, 15% Hydrogen in Air at 25°C, 1 atm, Low Velocities
6-14	Deflagration Entrainment Example, 10 m Radius Circular Pool6-36
6-15	Deflagration Entrainment Example, $10 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m}$ Rectangular Channel6-37
6-16	Critical Vent Height as Function of Vent Diameter for 2 atm Pressure6-39
6-17	Critical Vent Height for Entrainment from a Horizontal Surface for a 12-inch Diameter Vent
6-18	Velocity Profile Along and Parallel to Surface for 12-inch Diameter Vent, 0.4 m Above Surface, and 2 atm Pressure
6-19	Velocity Profile Along and Parallel to Surface for 12-inch Diameter Vent, 0.3 m Above Surface, and 2 atm Pressure

LIST OF FIGURES

(in Volume 1 - concluded)

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
6-20	Velocity Profile Along and Parallel to Surface for 12-inch Diameter Vent, 0.2 m Above Surface, and 2 atm Pressure	.6-43
6-21	Entrainment Rate for 12-inch Diameter Vent, 0.4 m Above Waste Surface	.6-45
6-22	Entrainment Rate for 12-inch Diameter Vent, 0.3 m Above Waste Surface	.6-46
6-23	Entrainment Rate for 12-inch Diameter Vent, 0.2 m Above Waste Surface	.6-47
6-24	Normalized Velocity Profile Along Wall Surface Surrounding a Vent	6-48
6-25	Onset Radius for Entrainment from Wall Surrounding 12-inch Diameter Vent	.6-49
6-26	Velocity Profile Along and Parallel to Wall Surrounding 12-inch Diameter Vent, 2 atm Source Pressure	6-50
6-27	Entrainment Rate of Waste Versus Distance from Centerline of 12-inch Diameter Vent, 2 atm Source Pressure	.6-51
9-1	Capsule Overpack; i.e., Gun Barrel	9-8
9-2	HADCRT Heat Sink Nodalization for CsCl Capsule Inside the Gun Barrel and Overpack	.9-10
9-3	Argon Viscosity from DIPPR Versus Temperature	9-17
9-4	Argon Thermal Conductivity from DIPPR Versus Temperature	9-17

LIST OF FIGURES

(in Volume 2)

Figure	Page
10-1	Nodalization Diagram of Burn in Tanks in Cell10-3
13-1	Pool Blowdown Entrainment Test Results
13-2	Pool Blowdown Entrainment Test Results, Pool Properties
13-3	Pool Blowdown Entrainment Test Results, 75°C Entrained Waste13-11
13-4	Pool Blowdown Entrainment Test Results, Pool Properties and 75°C Entrained Waste
13-5	Entrainment Rate of Waste Vs. Distance from Centerline of 12-inch Diameter Vent, 2 atm Source Pressure, Material at Risk 1.2 kg/m ² 13-14
13-6	Wall Vent Blowdown Entrainment Test Results: Rectangular13-16
13-7	Wall Vent Blowdown Entrainment Test Results: Circular13-17
13-8	Wall Vent Blowdown Entrainment Test Results: Rectangular, Waste at 75°C, Small Source Volume
13-9	Combustion Blowdown Entrainment Test 1 Results: No Flame Quench Limit, Entrained Mass
13-10	Combustion Blowdown Entrainment Test 2 Results: Flame Quench Limit, Entrained Mass
13-11	Combustion Blowdown Entrainment Test 2 Results: Flame Quench Limit, Region Response
13-12	Combustion Blowdown Entrainment Test 3 Results: Flame Quench Limit and Sedimentation, Region Response
13-13	Combustion Blowdown Entrainment Test 4 Results: Flame Quench Limit, Sedimentation, and Fog, Region Response
13-14	Combustion Blowdown Entrainment Test 5 Results: Flame Quench Limit, Sedimentation, Fog, & Blowdown, Region Response

LIST OF FIGURES

(in Volume 2 - concluded)

Figure	<u> </u>	'age
13-15	Combustion Blowdown Entrainment Test 6 Results: Flame Quench Limit. "Infinite" Non-Equilibrium Aerosol, Region Response	39
13-16	Combustion Blowdown Entrainment Test 7 Results: Flame Quench Limit. 0.01 s Non-Equilibrium Aerosol, Region Response	42
13-17	Combustion Blowdown Entrainment Test A Results: Flame Quench Limit. 0.01 s Non-Equilibrium Aerosol, Sedimentation, Fog, and Blowdown, Region Response	45
13-18	Combustion Blowdown Entrainment Test B Results: Flame Quench Limit. 0.1 s Non-Equilibrium Aerosol, Sedimentation, Fog, and Blowdown, Region Response	48

LIST OF TABLES

(in Volume 1)

<u>Table</u>	Page
1-1	Summary of Code Versions and References1-1
3-1	Major Features and Changes in Generic Models
3-2	Miscellaneous Features and Changes in Generic Models
3-3	Error Fixes
3-4	Changes to MCO Models
3-5	Model Size Limits
4-1	Laminar Flame Speed Reference Values4-15
4-2	Detonation Velocity Reference Values4-15
4-3	Density Ratio Reference and Example Values4-16
5-1	Summary of Surface and Geometry Types5-11
5-2	Relations for Uncovered Area A_u , Uncovered Bottom Elevation Z_{ru} , and Center Coordinate of Surfaces (X_C, Y_C, Z_C) for Transmittance Calculation
5-3	View Factor Summary Table5-28
5-4	View Factor Model Details5-45
6-1	Experimental Studies of Entrainment Rates of Fine Dusts of Various Materials
6-2	Estimated Gas Flow Rates, Triangular Pulse Fitting Parameters, and Entrainment Coefficient Inferences
6-3	Example Values for Entrainment Rates and Thresholds6-31
6-4	Example Entrainment Rates, Air, 25°C6-34
6-5	Example Entrainment Rates, 15% Hydrogen I Air at 15°C, 1 atm6-34

LIST OF TABLES

(in Volume 1 - concluded)

<u>Table</u>	Page
6-6	Example Combustion Entrainment Results, 10 m Flame Travel6-35
9-1	Argon Properties9-16

LIST OF TABLES

(in Volume 2)

Ta	<u>ble</u>		Page
1	1-1	H ₂ Combustion Benchmark1	1-2
1	1-2	CO Combustion Benchmark1	1-2
1	1-3	U Aerosol Combustion Benchmark1	1-3
1	1-4	Vapor/Aerosol Combustion Combination Benchmark1	1-4
1	2-1	Surfaces Defined for View Factor Option Testing for Case FVIEW011	2-1
1	2-2	View Factor Option Results for Case FVIEW011	.2-3
1	3-1	Pool Blowdown Entrainment Upstream Region Specifications1	3-6
1	3-2	Pool Blowdown Entrainment Expected Results1	3-7
1	3-3	Pool Blowdown Entrainment Test Case Group Summary1	3-7
1	3-4	Wall Vent Blowdown Entrainment Upstream Region Specifications13	5-13
1	3-5	Wall Vent Blowdown Entrainment Expected Results	5-15
1	3-6	Combustion Entrainment Region Specifications	5-18
1	3-7	Combustion Entrainment Wall Geometries13	3-21
1	3-8	Deflagration Entrainment Expected Results	5-21
1	3-9	Detonation Entrainment Expected Results13	8-22
1	3-10	Combustion Entrainment Test Case Group Summary13	3-22
Ι	L-1	FAI-IG-3.2 QA Review SummaryL	<i>L</i> -22

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 <u>Scope and Purpose</u>

This document describes new models that constitute the FATETM 2.0 computer code (FATE is a Trademark of Fauske & Associates, LLC.), which combines and updates models used for design, off-normal, and accident analysis at fuel cycle facilities. FATE is an acronym for facility Flow, Aerosol, Thermal, and Explosion model. The word facility emphasizes the multiple-compartment nature of the analysis, and the other words used for the acronym signify major capabilities essential to both design and source term analysis of fuel cycle facilities.

FATE 2.0 is a successor code to the HADCRT, HANSF MCO, and HANSF Sludge computer codes, as described in Section 1.4 below. These computer codes have been extensively used for design and safety basis quantification for numerous U.S. Department of Energy projects and facilities at the Hanford site.

This document is a supplement to individual code manuals as follows:

Table 1-1: Summary of Code Versions and References.			
User's Manual Hanford Reference	Content	Code Version	Reference
HNF-16784	HADCRT 1.4C Updates	HADCRT 1.4C	[Plys, et al., 2003B]
SNF-3650, Rev. 3	HANSF MCO Models	HADCRT 1.4B	[Plys, et al., 2003A]
SNF-13042, Rev. 0	HANSF Sludge Models	HADCRT 1.4A	[Plys, et al., 2002B]
SNF-10607, Rev. 0	HADCRT 1.4 Models	HADCRT 1.4	[Plys, et al., 2002A]

All model updates to HADCRT 1.4C are reiterated here so that only the HADCRT 1.4 manual is required to fully describe generic facility models.

This document also comprises a major portion of the Quality Assurance (QA) information for FATE 2.0.

1.2 <u>Purpose of Computer Code Update</u>

The purpose of this computer code update is to: (a) Introduce major new general facility phenomena models for combustion, entrainment, thermal radiation, and simulation control, (b) Describe other important generic facility model phenomena and solution technique upgrades, and (c) Correct errors and address user inquiries regarding HADCRT 1.4C.

FATE 2.0 combines general facility models and the HANSF MCO and sludge models to ensure consistency of the platform and minimize long-term maintenance.

1.3 <u>Major FATE Models</u>

New major models introduced by this code version are:

- 1. Combustion of gases, vapors, and aerosols. Any compound whose properties are given through input may be a fuel, oxidant, or product of gas and aerosol phase reactions. Examples pertinent to DOE facilities include hydrogen, solvent vapors, and metallic aerosols (U metal or U hydride).
- 2. Entrainment of deposits to form aerosols. General waste types are defined that may be entrained from surfaces by combustion or flow between compartments.
- 3. Thermal radiation networks. A suite of view factor models is provided to automatically calculate heat transfer in thermal radiation networks.
- 4. Event-oriented simulation. A set of intervention criteria are defined, allowing scenario definition to proceed in a conditional manner, rather than through a priori specification.

General major models of FATE are:

1. General species. Any element or compound whose properties are input is tracked in mass and energy conservation, in a condensed, gaseous, or aerosol state, and aerosols are in equilibrium with their vapors.

- 2. Region thermodynamics. Temperature, pressure, and properties of liquid and gas species in a compartment are found by constitutive relations including non-ideal gas modeling.
- 3. Intercompartmental gas flows. Regions (facility compartments) may be connected in an arbitrary manner via flow paths, and 3 key flow types are considered: Pressure-driven flow, density-driven counter-current flow, and diffusion.
- 4. Aerosol behavior. Aerosol coagulation, sedimentation, transport, and deposition on pipe bends and filters are considered. Aerosols are also created by boiling.
- 5. Heat conduction and convection. Heat conductors provide detailed temperature distributions in one dimension and may be linked for 2D or 3D heat flow, and conductors interact with liquids and gases.
- 6. Sources and time-dependent conditions. Sources of mass and energy may be added, and boundary conditions may be varied as a function of time.

Important new features for user convenience or numerics in this version are:

- 1. Aerosol bookkeeping for material deposited on HEPAs and bends.
- 2. Automatic pressure initialization in interconnected regions.
- 3. Automatic initialization of heat conductor temperatures.
- 4. Growth of heat conductors by adding material.
- 5. Implicit heat and mass transfer between heat conductors, pools, and gases.
- 6. Relative specification of heat conductor elevations.
- 7. Thermal radiation from heat conductor "fin" surfaces.

1.4 **Quality Assurance Status**

FATE and its progenitor HADCRT, HANSF MCO, and HANSF Sludge models are created and maintained under the Fauske & Associates, LLC (FAI) QA Program. The present code upgrade and its documentation are performed under FAI procedure FAI-IG-3.7, Maintenance of Configured Computer Programs, and procedures which it references. Section 2.0 describes how QA documentation is organized.

1.5 FATE, HADCRT, and HANSF Computer Code Background and Names

The FATE 2.0 computer program is the successor computer program to the HADCRT 1.4C computer code and its HANSF MCO and Sludge models.

The original scope of HADCRT was to predict combustion and aerosol entrainment phenomena in a single region, the headspace of a Hanford Double Contained Receiver Tank (DCRT), hence the acronym for its name. HADCRT relied upon a large generic set of models for thermodynamics, intercompartmental gas flow, heat convection and conduction, and aerosol behavior, which form the core of a multiple-compartment facility model. The HADCRT specialty models were invoked by the facility model. Users of the general facility model have called the code HADCRT, insofar as they were able to pronounce the acronym.

HANSF is the acronym for HANford Spent Fuel, and the HANSF computer code is invoked by the same facility model for the purpose of modeling Multi-Canister Overpack (MCO) containers with spent metallic nuclear fuel, and for modeling sludge with chemically reactive components. Users of these models have called the code HANSF because it was easier to pronounce, and for project continuity.

All functional capability of the HADCRT 1.4C, HANSF MCO, and HANSF Sludge models is retained by FATE 2.0. However, the original DCRT combustion and entrainment models are considered obsolete because they are superseded by far more general models as described herein.

The name FATE replaces the name HADCRT because the original scope of the DCRT models is now generalized, and the new acronym more accurately reflects the previously existing general scope of the model for facility and source term analysis.

Version number 2.0 is used because the 1.x versions of the HADCRT models are now replaced by a new general suite of models for combustion and entrainment. So, the new name FATE 2.0 reflects an increase in version number followed by renaming the computer code.

1.6 FATE Capability and Application Background

FATE and its progenitor program versions share the same top-level generic capabilities to model heat and mass transfer, fluid behavior, and aerosol behavior in a fuel cycle or chemical processing facility. This generic capability is described in a recent ANS paper [Plys, et al., 2000]. Phenomenological capabilities include:

- Multiple-compartment representation,
- Pressure-driven, counter-current, and diffusion gas flows,
- Transport of gases and aerosols between compartments,
- Vapor-aerosol equilibrium,
- Entrainment of aerosol from liquid and deposited particulate,
- Deposition of aerosols via gravitational sedimentation, impaction, etc.,
- Combustion,
- Heat transfer and condensation on structures, and
- Liquid pool in each compartment exchanging heat and mass with gas and with submerged structures.

Generic models may be used to model normal processes, operational transients, and accidents at fuel cycle facilities such as K basins, the Canister Storage Building (CSB), Tank Farm facilities including underground waste tanks, pits, and multi-room vaults, the future Waste Treatment Plant (WTP), and other facilities such as T-Plant, WESF, WRAP, and PFP. A typical use is to predict radiological consequences of an accident involving combustion, including attenuation of radionuclides within the facility (the so-called leak path factor). Example analyses include double-shell tank gas release (tank bump) analysis [Epstein, et al., 2000], combustion in double-contained receiver tanks [Siciliano and Puigh, 1999], and combustion in the WTP [BNFL and FAI, 1999].

Recent large-scale analyses have been conducted with FATE's HADCRT facility model for the Hanford WTP [Crowe and Lanning, 2002A, 2002B, and 2003]. The first analysis considered a sudden leak of low activity waste (LAW) melter off-gas into the melter facility, tracked hazardous gases and radioactive aerosols throughout the facility including the HVAC system, and was used to predict leakage into occupied areas and the environment. The second predicted temperatures, pressures, and flows resulting from hydrogen combustion in process vessels, including the vessel vent system and HVAC systems for the high level waste (HLW) facility. The third analyzed the impacts of an unplanned pour from the HLW melter, which include local heating of concrete walls and floor by radiation and convection, local gas temperatures and pressurization, and maximum temperatures seen by downstream HEPA filters.

FATE's HANSF/MCO model (HANSF for short) was developed to include specific models for fuel behavior inside a multi-canister overpack (MCO) container for shipping, processing, and storage of Hanford SNF. HANSF has models for fuel oxidation, hydrogen production, hydrate decomposition, ice formation, and numerous other phenomena pertinent to MCO process analyses. Together with the generic capability, HANSF is also capable of estimating the aerosol source term from accidents such as depressurization of an MCO or from hydrogen combustion. Before HANSF/SLUDGE was created, HANSF/MCO was simply referred to as HANSF. Example analyses conducted with HANSF are HNF-2256 [Plys, et al., 1998] and HNF-SD-SNF-CN-023 [Piepho, 2000]. In HNF-2256, a "cradle to grave" analysis of MCO behavior from vacuum drying through dry storage was conducted. Analyses from CN-023 form the basis for the SNF SAR.

FATE's HANSF sludge models were created to model thermal and chemical properties of Hanford SNF sludge within the integral model framework. This allows a complete analysis of behavior internal to sludge, such as oxidation, heat generation, hydrogen generation, and prediction of the sludge temperature profile, coupled with behavior external to sludge, such as calculation of pressures and gas concentrations in a sludge container, exchange flows between a sludge container and a facility, and gas concentrations throughout a facility. Using the same nodalization, the calculations can include accident analysis such as combustion and depressurization, with creation, transport, and deposition of aerosols, providing estimates of the

aerosol source term, facility leak path factor, and source to the environment. Analyses of sludge container behavior in transport and at T-Plant are described in [Plys, 2002].

FATE can be used for design and scoping evaluations as well as accident analyses, and indeed it is common to first create a design via scoping and then, via straightforward new inputs, specify an accident scenario. An example of this range of capability is found in [Fuller, 2003], where the sludge model was used to simulate various open port arrangements for a sludge container placed in a cell at T-Plant. A design was found which would prevent the accumulation of a flammable composition in the container, considering simultaneously the buildup of flammable gases in the cell; it was also able to predict the annual water loss rate. This input file was then extended to consider consequences of hydrogen combustion and entrainment from the container, and exposure of the container in a transfer cask to an external fire.

1.7 <u>Ownership</u>

FATE is a trademark of Fauske & Associates, LLC (FAI). The FATE computer code is owned by FAI and is licensed to users. License terms describe license fees and restrictions on the use and dissemination of the code. Licenses were originally written using the computer code names HADCRT and HANSF, but all terms apply to FATE because the computer code is simply renamed.