## MIND Research Institute

Subjects: MIND Research Institute analyzed schools in lowa for grade-average ITBS math proficiency growth between the 2012/13 and 2014/15 school years. All lowa grades 3, 4, or 5 using ST Math for the first time in 2014/15, reaching average 2014/15 ST Math program content coverage of at least $50 \%$ and ST Math grade-level enrollment of at least $85 \%$ were analyzed: altogether 20 grades with 1218 students at 10 schools. The comparison group is randomly matched from across lowa to be similar in initial 2012/13 math performance but to have never used the ST Math Program: 20 grades with 1196 students at 19 schools.

Program: In each grade using the program, all students and

Increase in Percent Students lowa ITBS Math Proficient or Advanced 2014/15 v. 2012/13
 teachers are licensed to participate. The ST Math $®$ program is based on supplemental math instructional software which covers math standards at each grade level. The software presents the mathematics as a year-long curriculum of interactive, animated visual diagrams, or puzzles, for the students to solve. The students use the self-starting, self-paced instructional software twice per week under the teacher's supervision. The teacher is trained to also use the software's visual representations of mathematics concepts during regular classroom lessons, to connect to conventional language-intensive math instruction.
Data Collection: The grade-average ITBS math proficiency level distributions and student testing counts were received from the lowa Department of Education, for each school and grade level for the years 2012/13 through 2014/15. Each year the data indicate the percentage of students at each grade at each school who tested into the 3 different levels of ITBS math proficiency (Not Proficient, Proficient, or Advanced). The average MIND Research Institute ST Math program content coverage percentage and student enrollment in the ST Math software were collected from MIND's digital usage data for 2014/15.
Analysis Summary: Changes from 2012/13 to 2014/15 in the percent of students at the top 2 achievement levels, Satisfactory or Advanced, were evaluated for the ST Math group, and also for the comparison group of schools. Grade-wise growth was evaluated (i.e. growth in same grade, same school, from 2012/13 to 2014/15) and then aggregated across schools and grades.

Results: The grades implementing ST Math on average grew 6.6 points in the percentage of students at Satisfactory or Advanced, as compared to a drop in Satisfactory or Advanced of 1.2 points for the comparison group ( $p$-value $<0.01$ ).

