Agenda Item # 14

Metropolitan Education District First Interim Financial Report

December 14, 2011

Executive Summary

The Metropolitan Education District

The Metropolitan Education District (MetroED) is a Joint Powers Authority (JPA) created under Government Code Sections 6500 in 1983 by six school districts in Santa Clara County. Its purpose is to provide and administer a regional occupational center and programs (ROCP) and adult education programs. The six districts are Campbell Union High School District, East Side Union High School District, Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District, Milpitas Unified School District, San Jose Unified School District and Santa Clara Unified School District. Each of the six districts designates one of their elected board members to sit on the MetroED Governing Board.

Purpose of the First Interim Report

The California Education Code 42130 requires the District to file two interim financial reports with the County Superintendent of Schools and the State Department of Education. The First Interim Report covers the financial and budgetary status of the District for the period ending October 31. The Governing Board of the District must certify whether the District is able to meet its financial obligations for the remainder of the fiscal year and for the subsequent two fiscal years. The certification is classified as positive, qualified, or negative. A positive certification means the District will be able to meet its financial obligations for the current and two subsequent years; Qualified certification means the District may not meet its financial obligations for the current fiscal year or two subsequent fiscal years; Negative certification means the District will be unable to meet its financial obligations for the remainder of the fiscal year or the subsequent fiscal years.

Interim Reports are a "snapshot" of the condition of the agency at a point in time. Multiyear projections are highly dependent upon projected state funding, which is correspondingly dependent upon the health of the state economy and tax revenue collections. Consequently, Interim Report projections are only as reliable as the projections provided us by the state, and local expenditure decisions, which are constantly changing. Because of this, the District's financial condition and current year budget is reviewed on an on-going basis by the business and fiscal staff, with changes provided to the Governing Board for monthly approval.

State Budget Outlook – Summary

In June 2011, during the 11th hour of Budget development, leaders in the Legislature and Governor Jerry Brown chose to increase the forecast of state General Fund revenues by \$4 billion, based largely on receipt of higher-than-anticipated revenues in May. Higher receipts triggered hopeful optimism that robust revenue growth lay ahead. This optimism also opened a window of opportunity sorely needed to pass a balanced majority-vote Budget without new taxes.

Because this increase in projected revenues wasn't grounded in the economic forecast of the time, the 2011-12 State Budget also set automatic cuts to state-funded programs, including child care, K-12 education, and community colleges, triggering reductions in state spending of billions of dollars if state revenues fall short.

December 14, 2011

At the current time, state revenues are significantly behind projections, so it looks clearly like the triggers will be at least theoretically enacted. The anticipated reductions will impact MetroED's participating districts, but it appears will not directly impact MetroED's 2011-12 budget. There will be an impact, however, on the District's cash flow issues which we expect to be compounded by any new state adjustments. The trigger decision is made on December 15, 2011 and the cuts take effect on February 1, 2012. The unprecedented levels of cuts to our schools and students have been harmful throughout California. In the last three years, funding for schools has been cut by more than \$18 billion, or about \$3,000 per student. These cuts are in addition to the loss of \$7 billion of one-time federal funding. Students in school today now face larger class sizes; fewer support services, no school transportation, services, fewer courses, and shorter school years.

Governor Jerry Brown released his tax proposal on December 5, 2011 that he is filing a ballot initiative to ask California voters to increase taxes on themselves to generate more funds for schools and public safety. The Governor's initiative would raise the statewide sales tax a half-cent to 7.75% and impose higher income taxes starting with individuals making at least \$250,000 a year. The plan would raise \$7 billion annually for five years to shrink the state's deficit problem and will be held into the "Education Protection Account." Of the total, 11% of the revenues would be earmarked for community colleges and 89% would be provided to K-12 education, with those new dollars distributed on a per average daily attendance basis. The state's budget analyst estimated last month that California must tackle a \$12.8 billion deficit next year. Governor Jerry Brown promised during his 2010 campaign that he would agree only to higher taxes with voters' approval. The goal is to get the initiative on the November 2012 ballot. Voters have not passed a statewide tax increase since 2004 when they approved a one-percentage point income tax hike on millionaires to pay for mental health services. However, the recent state-wide polls suggest that voters may be willing to support a tax hike which benefits schools.

A Multi-year Approach is Needed:

During the last three budget years, California has approached insolvency and, in some cases, unable to meet its obligations in a timely manner. The legislature and Governor must develop a sustainable framework for California's finances if it is to avoid these problems and restore public confidence in state government.

The state legislature and the governor will be reviewing the 2011-12 budget in January.

The Funding Model for ROCP and Adult Education

For 2011-12 and the next three years, funding for Regional Occupational Centers/Programs (ROCPs) and Adult Education will be based on a fixed grant that is about 20% less than 2007-08 funding levels. This means that funds will be received regardless of whether or not average daily attendance is generated. The solution for the 20% cut in revenues has been a corresponding cut in on-going expenses and careful use of district reserves.

December 14, 2011

Apportionment Deferrals& Reserves for Cash Flow

The State of California has a serious problem with its cash flow. To help solve the problem, apportionments for school districts are being "deferred." Apportionments for public education are being increasing deferred, now extending across budget years. MetroED has taken steps to address the deferral issue by increasing the district reserve level from 10 (ten) percent to 15 (fifteen) percent at its November, 2010 meeting. However, the extent of these deferrals is severe, and the district will need to use its Capital Outlay Proposition 1D match reserves as well to help cover the cash shortfalls.

Reserves for PERS Recapture ("PERS Reduction") Liability

In 1982-83, the Public Employees Retirement System (PERS) employer contribution rate was reduced from 13.02% to 12.045%. The state was in financial crisis and a state law was passed requiring the recapture of the savings from the PERS rate reduction. This was to have been a one-time reduction of districts' revenue limit apportionment. However, this one-time reduction has become an on-going part of the revenue limit calculation since 1982-83. The amount recaptured is the difference between the 13.02% and the employer contribution rate for that year. In years when there has been no employer rate, the recapture rate has been the full 13.02% of qualifying classified employee wages.

The law has been confusing as to whether the PERS recapture applies to ROC/Ps operating as Joint Powers Authorities (JPAs). In 2000, SB 1667 was enacted that intended to include JPAs in the PERS reduction calculations. However, there was an erroneous cross reference in the legislation that kept it from being implemented. In 2003-04, the Department of Finance (DOF) attempted to unilaterally impose the PERS recapture on JPAs, without correcting the Education Code. The DOF attempt was defeated when education advocates threatened litigation. In 2004-05, legislation thatwould have corrected the erroneous reference, and provide a clear exemption for ROCP JPAs, was vetoed by the Governor, and the matter was referred to the California Department of Education (CDE) for interpretation. Currently, both the CDE and DOF believe that the PERS offset applies to ROCP JPAs. However, they have not imposed the recapture because of the incorrect Ed Code reference. The matter continues to be unresolved.

The MetroED Governing Board has recognized the potential financial liability to the District, and has directed that reserves be established in the District Special Reserve Fund 170 for both the General and Adult Education funds.

Contingency Reserves for State Deficits

In response to the state budget crisis MetroED has reserved \$1,300,151 in the General Fund and \$697,910 in the Adult Education Fund ending balances as "Contingency for State Deficits." The plan is for these contingency reserves to be used to supplement the District's fifteen percent reserves during the budget and projected two years to off-set the declining revenues from the state.

Employee Salaries and Benefits

The 2011-12 budget, and two projected years include step, projected column and longevity salary and statutory benefit increases for district employees. 5% increases in the district's contribution to employee health benefits is also budgeted. Bargaining with

December 14, 2011

the District's three units for 2011-12 is in the early stages. No salary increases for COLA for 2011-12 and the two subsequent years is included in the multi-year projections.

1st Interim Budget Adjustments

Budget transfers and adjustments for the current year are approved by the Governing Board on a monthly basis. Consequently, there are few adjustments presented in the 1stInterim Report that have not already been approved.

Multi-Year Projection (MYP) Assumptions

For the General Fund 010 and Adult Education Fund 110, we have provided detailed multi-year projections, with all budget assumptions and calculations. Budget assumptions for the two projected years are based on School Services of California's (SSC) "dartboard" projections, historic spending patterns, COLA for applicable expenditure accounts, increases in salary accounts for step, column and longevity adjustments, health and welfare increases and district goals. Revenues and expenses are summarized at a four-digit object code level. Comparative data is provided for the following six year period:

- 2008-09 Actuals
- 2009-10 Actuals
- 2010-11 Actuals
- 2011-12 First Interim
- 2012-13 Projected
- 2013-14 Projected

Budget Presentation

Presentation of the First Interim Report includes "Budget at a Glance" that summarizes the proposed budgets for all the district's funds and "Monthly Budget Adjustments" showing all changes from the last budget update approved by the Board. The Capital Outlay Fund 400 has been divided to separately show the resources available for the Central County Occupational Center (CCOC) and the AdultEducation programs for San Jose. Required state forms are provided in the sections identified in the Table of Contents.

Fund 010: General Fund (CCOC/P)

<u>General Fund - Purpose:</u> Fund 010 is the General Fund for the Central County Occupational Center and Programs (CCOC/P), which includes the ROP programs in the high schools of the six participating districts.

General Fund - Certification: The administration is recommending a positive certification. This means that the General Fund will meet its financial obligations for the budget and two subsequent fiscal years; will maintain at least the board required 15% in reserves, and will end the year with a positive cash balance. While state revenue limit funding is now a fixed amount, MetroED continues to calculate revenue limit and ADA for internal purposes.

December 14, 2011

General Fund - Assumptions:

FUND 010 ASSUMPTIONS	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Revenue Limit COLA	0.00%	3.10%	2.80%
Revenue Limit Reduction	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Total ROCP Revenue Limit	\$2,769.96	\$2,855.83	\$2,935.83
Total Projected ROP ADA Cap	4,155.57	4,155.57	4,155.57
Reserve-Economic Uncertainty	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%
General Reserve for Cash Flow	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
Employer Paid Benefits Increase	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
Employer Paid Benefits Maximum	\$14,370	\$15,089	\$15,843
Salary Increase COLA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

General Fund - Revenues: Major adjustment from the budget revision approved by the Board on November 9 is an inclusion of Excess Properties Taxes for ROC/P in 2011-2012. Since excess property tax figure could be volatile, the District normally does not include it in the budget until the actual amount is known. However, due to the several onetime expenditure needs thatarise in 2011-2012, the projected amount of \$858,644 was included in the budget year. Because of a slowdown in the housing market, the amount is conservatively projected. The actual amount will be known at the P-2 Certification, late June, 2012 and the budget will be adjusted accordingly. 2011-2012 CalWORKs for ROCP is also added for \$25,007.

<u>General Fund - Expenditures</u>: Major changes form the budget updates approved by the Board on November 8, 2011 are: (1) the elimination of the relocation budget as the costs are budgeted from Prop 1D projects; (2) the reduction of the teacher salary budget for the CCOC evening classes, (3) inclusion of the budget savings due to the new copier lease; and (4) the purchase of the additional IT server.

See Budget Adjustments-1st Interim Report for more detail of changes.

General Fund - Other Financing Sources/Uses:

The District's match for the deferred maintenance program is included for \$168,011 and additional general fund support in the amount of \$11,904 is included for LEA program, for a total transfer out of \$179,915.

General Fund - Fund Balance: The State's habitual apportionment deferrals and the delay in approving a State budget have created a serious cash flow challenge for school districts and MetroED is no exception. The Governing Board has approved the combined reserve amount of Economic Uncertainty and General Reserve for Cash Flow from 10% to 15% in November, 2010. The Contingency for State Deficits was increased as a result of overall increase in the total revenues.

General Fund -Multi-Year Projections: The multi-year projections include the projected state COLAs, as recommended by School Services. There is deficit spending projected for 2011-12. However it is largely due to the expenditures of one-time nature, such as transfers out to the Capital Outlay and Deferred Maintenance Funds. There are sufficient funds in the fund balance to cover this deficit. The following chart summarizes the high points of operations for the 1st Interim Budget and two projected years:

December 14, 2011

GENERAL FUND – OPERATIONS	2011-2012	2012-13	2013-14
Total Revenues	14,495,033	13,871,264	14,254,801
Total Expenditures	14,445,501	14,422,062	14,569,381
Net Revenues/Expenses	49,532	(550,798)	(314,579)
Other Sources (Uses)	(623,665)	(223,873)	(228,723)
Change to Fund Balance	(574,133)	(774,671)	(543,302)

The deficit spending for 2011-2012 exceeded the standard largely due to the transfer of \$405,000 which was made to the Special Reserve Fund for Capital Outlay, Fund 400. This is one time transfer to fund the construction costs of the classroom so that a new CCOC program can be offered effective 2013-2014. The District has made conscious efforts in reducing the deficit spending.

<u>Multi-Year Projection Fund Balances</u>: The following chart details the projected fund balances for the 1st Interim Budget and two projected years:

GENERAL FUND – FUND BALANCE	2011-2012	2012-13	2013-14
Reserved, Restricted, & Assigned:			
Revolving Fund, Prepaid	30,757	30,757	30,757
General Reserve (5%)	753,818	732,657	740,265
Legally Restricted Balances	0	0	0
Contingency for State Deficits	1,300,151	588,964	22,837
Other Designated/Assigned	253,840	253,840	253,840
Total Reserved, Restricted & Assigned:	2,338,566	1,606,218	1,047,699
Economic Uncertainty	1,507,637	1,465,314	1,480,531
Total Fund Balance	3,846,203	3,071,532	2,528,230

No provisions for salary increases for COLA are included. However, provision is made for salary increases due to step, column and longevity advancement, increases in the district contribution to employee health and welfare benefits and COLA increases for impacted expenditure accounts, such as materials and other operating expenses. The projections anticipate full funding of the district's match for Deferred Maintenance.

Reserves are maintained at the new minimum of 15% (10% for Economic Uncertainty, 5% General Reserve).

<u>Contingencies for state deficits</u>: Funds are designated (assigned) in the current and two subsequent years as contingencies for state deficits. These will be used against any unforeseen budget items of material sizes (surprises if any), possible mid-year budget cuts, and further cuts from the adoption of the 2011-12 State budget.

December 14, 2011

Fund 110: Adult Education

Adult Ed Fund - Purpose: The Adult Education Fund is used to account separately for federal, state and local revenues for Adult Education programs. Since Campbell Union High School District took back their adult education program as of July 1, 2010 and the San Jose Unified School District decided to reduce their adult education funding by \$3.5 million in December, 2010, the MAEP budget has been reduced significantly. Total Revenues for the Adult Education program have gone from \$11,499,360 in 2009-10 to \$4,275,811 projected for 2011-12. MetroED administers the Adult Education programs for San Jose Unified School District under a Master Business Relationship Agreement.

Adult Ed Fund - Certification: The administration is recommending a positive certification. This means that the Adult Education Fund 110 will meet its financial obligations for the budget and two subsequent fiscal years; will maintain a 15% reserve (10% Reserve for Economic Uncertainty and 5% General Reserve), and will end the year with a positive cash balance. The spending deficits for the current and two subsequent years are covered by the Contingency for State Deficit and the reserves. While state revenue limit funding is now a fixed amount, MetroED continues to calculate revenue limit and ADA for internal purposes.

Adult Ed Fund - Budget Assumptions:

FUND 011 ASSUMPTIONS	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Revenue Limit COLA	0.00%	3.10%	2.80%
Revenue Limit Reduction	0.00%	3.10%	2.80%
Total Adult Ed Revenue Limit	2,274.82	2,345.34	2,411.01
ADA CAP Growth	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Total ADA CAP-San Jose USD	2,301.39	2,301.39	2,301.39
Total District ADA CAP	2,301.39	2,301.39	2,301.39
Reserve-Economic Uncertainty	10.00%	10.00%	10.00%
General Reserve for Cash Flow	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
Employer Paid Benefits Increase	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%
Employer Paid Benefits Maximum	\$14.370	\$15,089	\$15,843
Salary Increase COLA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

<u>Adult Ed Fund - Revenues</u>: Major change is the inclusion of 2011-2012 CalWORKs funds. Several MAEP program fees are also projected slightly higher than originally projected.

Adult Ed Fund - Expenditures: Major changes since the budget updates approved by the Board on November 9, 2011 are: (1) inclusion of the budget savings resulted from the new copier lease; (2) a reduction of Ed2go program budget; (3) an elimination of natural gas budget; and (4) adjustment of the salaries budget for the curriculum specialist and program managers as they were originally overstated. See Budget Adjustments, 1st Interim Report, for detail of the adjustments.

December 14, 2011

Adult Ed Fund - Other Financing Sources/Uses:

Additional general fund support in the amount of \$11,904 is included for LEA program.

Adult Ed Fund - Fund Balance:

The fund balance increased by \$298,027 mainly due to the projected receipt of CalWORKs funds. The entire amount of fund balance is classified as "Committed." Under the flexibility provisions of Tier III categorical programs, the adult education revenues are unrestricted. Therefore, the MetroED Board adopted a resolution on October 12, 2011 to commit the fund balance in Adult Education Fund, Fund 110, for purpose of Adult Education.

Adult Ed Fund - Multi-Year Projections:

ADULT ED FUND - OPERATIONS	2011-12	2012-2013	2013-14
Total Revenues	4,275,811	4,175,040	4,073,797
Total Expenditures	5,429,957	4,852,060	4,311,934
Net Revenues/Expenses	(1,154,146)	(677,020)	(238,136)
Other Sources (Uses)	57,856	57,856	57,856
Change to Fund Balance	(1,096,290)	(619,164)	(180,280)

<u>Multi-Year Projection Fund Balances</u>: The following chart details the projected fund balances for the 1st Interim Budget and two projected years. Although the entire amount is classified as "Committed Fund Balance for Adult Education Program, below is the breakdown of the committed fund balance.

ADULT ED FUND - FUND BALANCE	2011-12	2012-2013	2013-14
Reserve, Restricted, & Assigned			
Reserve for Prepaid	32,698	32,698	32,698
General Reserve (5%)	271,498	242,603	215,597
Contingency for State Deficits	697,910	165,431	66,170
Total Reserve, Restricted, & Assigned	1,002,106	899,860	721,822
Unassigned Economic Uncertainty	542,996	485,206	431,193
Total Fund Balance	1545,102	925,938	745,658

There is no provision made for employee salary increases. However, the projections include salary increases for step, projected column and longevity advancement, increases in the district contribution to employee health and welfare benefits and COLA increases for impacted expenditure accounts such as supplies and other operating expenses.

Reserves are maintained at 15% (10% for Economic Uncertainty and 5% General Reserve).

<u>Grant Funding:</u>The ability of the Adult Education program to generate a positive contribution to its fund balance is greatly dependent upon federal, state and local grants. MetroED Adult programs have been highly successful in obtaining grant funding over the years. However, continued funding is dependent on federal and state budget priorities.

December 14, 2011

Consequently, grant funds should be considered "soft money" in term of commitments to long term expenditures.

Fund 140: Deferred Maintenance

<u>Deferred Maintenance Fund - Purpose:</u> The Deferred Maintenance Fund is used to account separately for state apportionments and the district's match contribution for deferred maintenance purposes. Primary revenues for this fund are the state Deferred Maintenance apportionment, interest earnings and district interfund transfers for the district's match. Expenditures in this fund are intended for major repairs or replacements primarily at the Central County Occupational Center (CCOC), as approvedunder the 5-year Deferred Maintenance plan approved by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC). Under the state's budget flexibility provisions, the requirement for a board-approved 5-year Deferred Maintenance plan has been suspended. However, MetroED has chosen to voluntarily continue with the 5-year plan. Deferred Maintenance apportionments are considered by the state to be General Fund, unrestricted. The District has also chosen to continue to fund Deferred Maintenance as it has in the past. The Board also adopted a resolution on October 12, 2011 to commit the fund balance in the Deferred Maintenance Fund, Fund 140, for purpose of Deferred Maintenance Program.

<u>Deferred Maintenance Fund - Certification:</u>The administration is recommending a positive certification. This means that the Deferred Maintenance Fund 140 will be able to meet its financial obligations for the budget and subsequent two fiscal years and will end the year with a positive cash balance.

<u>Deferred Maintenance Fund–Revenues/Transfers In:</u> The State revenue was reduced by \$168,011 because the transfer from the General Fund for the District's match was inadvertently classified as State revenue.

<u>Deferred Maintenance Fund–Expenditures/Transfers Out:</u>The expenditure budget is reduced based on the recent major maintenance plan. The transfer in of \$168,011 is budgeted for the district's match for the deferred maintenance program which was erroneously posted as a State revenue.

Deferred Maintenance Fund 140		2011-12 Budget
Source of Funds:		
State Regular DM Funding		168,011
District Match Contribution		168,011
Interest		13,178
7	otal Sources	349,200

December 14, 2011

Deferred Maintenance Fund	2011-12 Budget
Uses of Funds:	
Electrical Projects	50,000
Floor Covering	50,000
HVAC (Gas line replacement)	50,000
Painting Projects	111,105
Paving Projects	400,000
Plumbing Projects	50,000
Building 6 Upgrades	50,000
Other Miscellaneous Projects	92,860
Total Expenditures	869,965
Fund Balance:	
Beginning fund Balance	1,244,472
Ending Fund Balance	723,707

Fund 170: Special Reserve Fund for Other than Capital Outlay Projects

<u>Special Reserve Fund - Purpose:</u>The Special Reserve Fund for Other Than Capital Outlay Projects accounts for the accumulation of General Fund money for purposes other than capital outlay. Included in this fund are reserves for future legal costs and reserves for the PERS recapture liability.

<u>Special Reserve Fund - Certification:</u> The administration is recommending a positive certification. This means that the Special Reserve Fund 170 will be able to meet its financial obligations for the budget and subsequent two fiscal years and will end the year with a positive cash balance.

<u>Special Reserve Fund - Revenues:</u>The interest is budgeted at \$10,306 and there's no change at this time.

<u>Special Reserve Fund - Expenditures:</u>There are no expenditures budgeted.

Special Reserve Fund - Other Financing Sources/Uses: There are no changes.

Fund 210: Building Fund-Asset Management

Asset Management Fund - Purpose: This is otherwise known as the Asset Management Fund. This fund exists primarily to account separately for proceeds from the rentals and leases of real property specifically authorized for deposit into the fund by the Governing Board. Revenues include lease payments from the Capitol Auto Mall LLP and interest earnings. Expenditures are allowed only for new programs, upgrading of existing programs and necessary facility upgrades as approved by the Governing Board.

<u>Asset Management Fund - Certification:</u>The administration is recommending a positive certification. This means that the Asset Management fund will be able to meet its financial obligations for the budget and subsequent two fiscal years, and will end the year with a positive cash balance.

December 14, 2011

<u>Asset Management Fund - Revenues/Transfers In:</u> There's no change.

<u>Asset Management Fund - Expenditures/Transfers Out:</u>

The district's 50% match requirement for several Prop. 1D equipment grants are budgeted in this fund. The CTE equipment is purchased in alignment with the renovation/upgrades of each building. Therefore, the equipment expenditures budget is reduced to match the current construction timelines.

ASSET MANAGEMENT FUND 210	2011-12 Budget
Source of Funds:	
Lease Revenue	184,788
Interest Income	5,837
Total Revenues	190,625

ASSET MANAGEMENT FUND	2011-12 Budget
Uses of Funds:	
Prop 1D – Bldg 200 Equipment (Res: 9508)	19,841
Prop 1D – Bldg 300 Equipment (Res: 9509)	-0-
Prop 1D – Bldg 800 Equipment (Res: 9510)	39,431
Prop 1D – Bldg 100 Equipment (Res: 9511)	5,493
Prop 1D – Bldg 500/600 Equip (Res: 9515)	141,981
Prop 1D – Bldg 700 Equip (Res: 9516)	328,198
3% District Management Fee	7,202
Total Expenditures and Transfer Out	542,146
Beginning Fund Balance	1,202,842
Ending Fund Balance	851,321

Any unspent funds from this account revert back to the fund balance at the end of each year. The fund maintains a minimum \$250,000 reserve, as required by the Joint Powers Agreement.

Fund 350: County School Facilities Funds

<u>County School Facilities Fund - Purpose</u>: This fund was established in 2008-09 to account separately for apportionments from the state and expenditures related to construction and modernization projects that have been approved by the State under Proposition 1D. State funds are released when the District submits the funding request after Division of State Architect's approval.

<u>County School Facilities Fund - Certification:</u>The administration is recommending a positive certification. This means that the County School Facilities Fund will be able to meet its financial obligations for the budget and subsequent two fiscal years and will end the year with a positive cash balance.

December 14, 2011

<u>County School Facilities Fund - Revenues:</u>Interest earnings of \$ 75,862 and the State Proposition 1D grant in the amount of \$222,258 for the last project 9516, Manufacturing Equipmentis included.

<u>County School Facilities Fund - Expenditures</u>: Estimated expenditures are shown by project, based on the preliminary timeline for completion of each project. These represent only 50% of the cost of each project. The District's match is recorded in the Capital Outlay Fund 400 or Asset Management Fund 210.

County School Facilities Fund 350	2011-12 Budget
Revenues:	
Interest Earnings	75,862
Prop 1D Grants	222,258
Total Sources Available	298,120
Expenditures:	
Prop 1D: Bldg 300 (40360-00-01, Res: 9501)	121,985
Prop 1D: Bldg 800 (40360-00-02, Res: 9502)	631,769
Prop 1D: Bldg 200 (40360-00-03, Res: 9503)	238,091
Prop 1D: Bldg 100 (40360-00-04, Res: 9504)	177,323
Prop 1D: Bldg 100 (40360-00-05, Res: 9505)	47,405
Prop 1D: Bldg 200 (40360-00-06, Res: 9506)	731,908
Prop 1D: Bldg 600 (40360-00-07, Res: 9507)	85,000
Prop 1D: Bldg 200 (40360-00-08, Res:9508)	14,841
Prop 1D: Bldg 200 (40360-00-10, Res: 9510)	46,664
Prop 1D: Bldg 100 (40360-00-11; Res: 9511)	5,492
Prop 1D: Bldg 700 (40360-00-12, Res: 9512)	415,668
Prop 1D: Bldg 300 (40360-00-13, Res: 9513)	38,350
Prop 1D: Bldg 100 (40360-00-14, Res: 9514)	78,493
Prop 1D: Bldg 500/600 (40360-00-15, Res: 9515)	74,200
Prop 1D: Bldg 700 (40360-00-16, Res: 9516)	222,258
Total Expenditures	2,894,447
Fund Balance:	
Beginning Fund Balance	5,900,863
Ending Fund Balance	3,304,536

Fund 400: Special Reserve Fund for Capital Outlay

<u>Capital Outlay Fund - Purpose</u>: This Capital Outlay Fund exists to provide for accumulation of monies for capital outlay purposes. The only sources of revenue for this fund are interest earnings and transfers from the ROC/P and Adult Education Funds. Amounts are appropriated for expenditures as projects are planned and executed.

The facilities on the CCOC campus are showing considerable wear as they near 40 years in age, and are in need of modernization, MetroED, as a ROCP JPA, has been approved for \$9,776,719 in Proposition 1D grants for equipment, facility modernization

December 14, 2011

and program reconfiguration. The District has been saving for the required Proposition 1D grant matches in this fund.

<u>Capital Outlay Fund - Certification:</u>The administration is recommending a positive certification. This means that the Capital Outlay Fund will be able to meet its financial obligations for the budget and subsequent two fiscal years and will end the year with a positive cash balance.

<u>Capital Outlay Fund - Revenues/Transfers In:</u>\$405,000 is transferred in from the General Fund to construct a new classroom in building 100.

<u>Capital Outlay Fund - Expenditures/Transfers Out:</u>Changes to this category to reflect changes to Capital Projects scheduling.

The following chart summarizes the Capital Outlay Fund budget for 2010-2011:

CAPITAL OUTLAY FUND	ссос	ADULT ED SAN JOSE
Sources of Funds:		
Interest Earnings	106,770	4,200
Transfers In – Capital Outlay	405,000	-0-
Total Sources Available	511,770	4,200
Uses of Funds:		
Capital Outlay Projects	2,851,989	406,498
Debt Service	19,500	-0-
Total Expenditures	2,871,489	406,498
Net Change to Fund Balance	(2,359,719)	(402,298)
Beginning Fund Balance	6,908,737	484,011
Ending Fund Balance	4,549,018	81,713

<u>Capital Outlay Fund - Ending Fund Balance:</u> Included in the Fund 400 fund balance for CCOC is \$790,761 which is held on deposit by a trustee for repayment of a \$1 million QZAB. The balance of the funds is designated for the match for Proposition 1D facility modernization grants and the adult education facility upgrades.

Economic Factors Bearing on the Districts Future

Based on UCLA's forecast, the sluggish economy will continue for at least a few more years, and the California's financial recovery will be slow at best and realistically nearly nonexistent. MetroED programs are categorically funded by the state and remain under flexibility scheduled though June of 2015. Therefore, reduced block funding remains as the base revenue for both the General and Adult Education Funds for the upcoming years. The District is also projecting reduced grant funding, especially for the adult education program.

Amended 12-12-11

Metropolitan Education District First Interim Financial Report

December 14, 2011

It is difficult to deal with the reduced funding, apportionment deferrals, and the uncertainty associated with a volatile economy. Based on the current assumptions, the District will maintain fiscal solvency for the current year as well as two subsequent years. However, with the program's uncertainty and the gloomy economic forecast, we must move forward cautiously in these economically precarious times.