



AP Invoice Management in a Networked Economy

May 2012 Scott Pezza, William Jan

~ Underwritten, in Part, by ~











Executive Summary

Accounts payable (AP) can drive enterprise value through two important avenues: lowering costs by increasing operational efficiency, and collaborating with trading partners to capture discount-based savings and improve supplier relationships. This study, conducted in March and April of 2012, is based on the responses of over 180 organizations to an Aberdeen survey exploring how Best-in-Class enterprises are improving both the internal and external facets of their financial operations. The Best-in-Class provide a clear example of the benefits driven by managing AP as part of a wider, networked economy.

Best-in-Class Performance

Aberdeen used the following three key performance criteria to distinguish Best-in-Class companies:

- 4.1 days to process an invoice from receipt to approval
- \$3.34 average cost to process an invoice from receipt to approval
- 90% capture rate for available early-payment discounts

Competitive Maturity Assessment

Survey results show that the firms enjoying Best-in-Class performance shared several common characteristics. Best-in-Class firms are:

- 46% more likely than Laggards to have centralized invoice management processes
- 38% more likely than Laggards to have standardized invoice management processes across locations or units
- 71% more likely than Laggards to have fully integrated AP systems with enterprise resource planning (ERP) or financials solutions
- 156% more likely than Laggards to measure AP performance on a monthly or more frequent basis

Required Actions

In addition to the specific recommendations in Chapter Three of this report, to achieve Best-in-Class performance, companies must:

- Assess their current capabilities to establish an intelligent plan for improvement initiatives,
- Invest in AP automation, focusing on the gaps identified during the assessment phase, and
- Integrate their chosen solutions to ensure that data is shared between related solutions as well as procurement, finance, etc

Research Benchmark

Aberdeen's Research Benchmarks provide an in-depth and comprehensive look into process, procedure, methodologies, and technologies with best practice identification and actionable recommendations

How Does Your Performance Compare to the Best-in-Class?



- Compare your processes
- Receive a free, personal PDF scorecard
- Benefit from custom recommendations to improve your performance, based on the research

Take the Assessment

Receive Your Free Scorecard



Table of Contents

Executive Summary	2
Best-in-Class Performance	2
Competitive Maturity Assessment	2
Required Actions	2
Chapter One: Benchmarking the Best-in-Class	4
Business Context	4
The Maturity Class Framework	5
The Best-in-Class PACE Model	6
Best-in-Class Strategies	6
Chapter Two: Benchmarking Requirements for Success	9
Competitive Assessment	9
Capabilities and Enablers	10
Chapter Three: Required Actions	15
Laggard Steps to Success	15
Industry Average Steps to Success	15
Best-in-Class Steps to Success	16
Appendix A: Research Methodology	18
Appendix B: Related Aberdeen Research	20
Featured Underwriters	21

Figures

Figure 1: Top Two Pressures Driving Interest in AP Improvement	5
Figure 2: Top Two Strategies for Combatting Current Pressures	7
Figure 3: AP Technology Choices of the Best-in-Class	12
Figure 4: Best-in-Class Use of Collaborative Technologies	13
Figure 5: Future Plans for Emerging AP Technologies	14

Tables

Table I: Top Performers Earn Best-in-Class Status	5
Table 2: The Best-in-Class PACE Framework	6
Table 3: Gauging the Impact of Dynamic Discounting	7
Table 4: The Competitive Framework	9
Table 5: The PACE Framework Key	
Table 6: The Competitive Framework Key	
Table 7: The Relationship Between PACE and the Competitive Frame	



Chapter One: Benchmarking the Best-in-Class

Business Context

For accounts payable departments, invoice processing can be expensive especially if it entails dealing with paper documents and manually-conducted approvals. From email to electronic data interchange (EDI), and self-service portals to software-as-a-service (SaaS) / cloud deployments, the current state of technology, and the ubiquity of the Internet, offer attractive alternatives to paper-based transactions that promise lower costs and faster processing. This study evaluates how Best-in-Class companies use these tools (on top of a solid process foundation) to control their payables processes, drive bottom-line results, and get the most out of the options available in our networked economy.

What is a networked economy?

A networked economy is a collection of buyers and suppliers who share common connections. These companies may not share any specific technology network, but each buyer is connected to their suppliers, and may overlap with other buyers' groups of connections. Decisions made regarding one supplier can impact not only the buyer's trading partners, but the partners' partners as well. This document will start by addressing the day-to-day operations of invoice management -- but we will continue our discussion of networks and extended influence throughout, especially when we turn to technology implementations and supplier enablement.

Inefficient paper-based processes plague AP operations (Figure 1). They prevent visibility into the status of in-process invoices and make gathering required documentation difficult. These inefficiencies make their way to the bottom-line, increasing costs and spurring management to react with topdown cost reduction mandates. This is a familiar story to anyone who has been involved in AP. But as 61% of surveyed companies explore potential solutions in this area (and another 27% remain undecided), the time may be ripe for change.

Fast Facts

- **59%** of invoices arrived at respondents' AP departments in paper format (mail / fax)
- 22% of suppliers offer discount terms to responding buyers.





Figure I: Top Two Pressures Driving Interest in AP Improvement

If companies are feeling the effects of paper-laden processes, and want to cut cost, where can they improve? What can efficient processes yield?

The Maturity Class Framework

Aberdeen used three key performance criteria to distinguish the Best-in-Class from Industry Average and Laggard organizations. The measures capture three quantifiable benefits of AP improvement: faster processing, lower costs, and improved discount-capture.

Table I: Top Performers Earn Best-in-Class Status

Definition of Maturity Class	Mean Class Performance	
Best-in-Class: Top 20% of aggregate performance scorers	 4.1 days to process an invoice from receipt through approval \$3.34 average cost to process an invoice from receipt through approval 90% capture rate for available early payment discounts 	
Industry Average: Middle 50% of aggregate performance scorers	 6.1 days to process an invoice from receipt through approval for payment \$6.29 average cost to process an invoice from receipt through approval for payment 47% capture rate for available early payment discounts 	



Definition of Maturity Class	Mean Class Performance	
Laggard: Bottom 30% of aggregate performance scorers	 16.3 days to process an invoice from receipt through approval for payment \$16.67 average cost to process an invoice from receipt through approval for payment 18% capture rate for available early payment discounts 	

Source: Aberdeen Group, April 2012

The Best-in-Class PACE Model

Achieving Best-in-Class status for invoice management requires a combination of strategic actions, organizational capabilities, and enabling technologies that can be summarized as follows:

Table 2:	The Best-in-	Class PACE	Framework
----------	--------------	------------	-----------

Pressures	Actions	Capabilities	Enablers
 Lack of visibility into invoices and AP documents Corporate directives to lower cost 	 Invest in automation of invoice receipt and workflow processes Conduct assessment of current AP process, technological, and strategic capabilities Integrate payables with procurement, finance, or other back-end systems 	 Ability to match invoices against purchase orders, receipt documents, and contracts Centralized invoice management processes (single location or shared service center) Standardized invoice management processes across locations / units AP systems fully integrated with ERP or financials solutions 	 Electronic approval workflow Invoice image repository Electronic invoicing network Spend analytics / Bl for invoices Procurement network with support for electronic invoicing Dynamic discounting Supplier portals Evaluated Receipt Settlement (ERS)

Source: Aberdeen Group, April 2012

Best-in-Class Strategies

If visibility and cost are pressuring companies to improve their accounts payable practices, what strategies can help them achieve these ends? The foundation of an AP strategy can be summed up in three words: assess, invest, and integrate. Figure 2 shows the top strategies respondents are using to improve AP. For Best-in-Class and Laggards alike, investment in AP automation technologies is at the top of the list. The two top-performing groups are also more likely to see assessment of their AP capabilities as a key piece of the puzzle. These groups are already achieving respectable results, but they continue to seek out ways to improve. Eliminating or reducing paper invoices is one opportunity to drive additional savings, since paper invoices still account for a slim majority of even Best-in-Class companies' transaction volumes (51%).





Figure 2: Top Two Strategies for Combatting Current Pressures

Source: Aberdeen Group, April 2012

Aberdeen Insights — Dynamic Discounting

If cost reduction is the current destination, discounts are the next frontier. Traditional discount terms are a great first step, and are fairly simple to manage: negotiate them during the purchasing discussion and capture them with efficient invoice processing. As long as current processes and technologies allow invoices to be paid within the set terms, all is well. But what if even earlier payment, or payment in between the discount and net term, would be valuable to a supplier?

Table 3: Gauging the Impact of Dynamic Discounting

Measure	Users of Dynamic Discounting	Non-Users
Invoice volume with available discounts	31%	23%
Capture rate on available discounts	76%	49%
Effective APR of captured discounts	15%	12%

Source: Aberdeen Group, April 2012

"In the past we had primarily only focused on paying bills on time or early in order to maintain vendor relationships. In addition to that, we are now also focusing on taking advantages of early payment discounts in order to lower our COGS."

> ~ Finance Manager, North American Healthcare Devices Company



Aberdeen Insights — Dynamic Discounting

Assuming efficient channels for communications exist between the trading partners, the volume of transactions with the potential for discount capture should expand. Table 3, above, illustrates this pressure. Companies that have implemented a dynamic discounting program outshine their counterparts in three areas: they have a larger volume of available discounts, they capture more of what is available, and they see a larger average return on their use of enterprise cash for discount capture.

In the next chapter, we will see how top performers have distanced themselves from their lower-performing counterparts.



Chapter Two: Benchmarking Requirements for Success

Invoice management in a networked economy focuses on the connections that enable collaboration between trading partners. These connections offer suppliers visibility to the status of outstanding invoices, and support dynamic discounting arrangements beneficial to both parties. But without internal improvements and efficiencies, none of those collaborative benefits would be available. This chapter examines the characteristics of the Best-in-Class: how they achieve their superior performance, and how they take advantage of this greater degree of connectedness.

Competitive Assessment

Aberdeen Group analyzed the aggregated metrics of surveyed companies to determine whether their performance ranked as Best-in-Class, Industry Average, or Laggard. In addition to having common performance levels, each class also shared characteristics in five key categories: (1) process (the approaches they take to execute daily operations); (2) organization (corporate focus and collaboration among stakeholders); (3) knowledge management (contextualizing data and exposing it to key stakeholders); (4) technology (the selection of the appropriate tools and the effective deployment of those tools); and (5) performance management (the ability of the organization to measure its results to improve its business). These characteristics (identified in Table 4) serve as a guideline for best practices, and correlate directly with Best-in-Class performance across the key metrics.

Fast Facts

- ✓ Best-in-Class companies process invoices 296% faster than Laggards.
- 38% more Best-in-Class companies can compare their invoices to purchases orders, as compared to Laggards.

	Best-in-Class	Average	Laggards
	Ability to match invoices against the following:		
	Purchase Orders - 90%	Purchase Orders - 70%	Purchase Orders - 65%
Process	Receipt Documents - 82%	Receipt Documents - 63%	Receipt Documents - 57%
Frocess	Contracts - 74%	Contracts - 57%	Contracts - 35%
	Standardized invoice manage	ement processes across locatio	ons / units
	77%	56%	56%
	Centralized invoice management processes (single location or shared service center)		
Organization	82%	68%	56%
	Have implemented a moderate or strict "No PO, No Pay" policy		
	49%	32%	25%
Knowladza	Complete transaction audit histories available on demand		
Knowledge	63%	51%	43%
Tachnology	AP systems fully integrated w	ith ERP or financials solutions	1
Technology	77%	51%	45%

Table 4: The Competitive Framework



	Best-in-Class	Average	Laggards	
	Use of automated processes	Use of automated processes for:		
	Reminders - 46%	Reminders - 37%	Reminders - 23%	
	Exception Notifications - 45%	 Exception Notifications - 33% 	 Exception Notifications - 23% 	
	 Extraction of header-level data - 38% 	 Extraction of header-level data - 38% 	 Extraction of header-level data - 25% 	
	 Extraction of line-level data - 38% 	 Extraction of line-level data - 33% 	 Extraction of line-level data - 15% 	
	Use of dashboards summarizing current AP status and performance			
Performance	42%	32%	12%	
renormance	AP performance measures monthly or more often		nore often	
	41%	37%	16%	

Capabilities and Enablers

As shown in the Competitive Framework, Best-in-Class performance is closely correlated with specific process, organizational, knowledge management, technology, and performance-related capabilities. Some focus on internal operations, while others are oriented toward external collaboration. Excellence in both domains sets the Best-in-Class organization apart.

Process

Process capabilities focus on what organizations choose to do, and how they approach specific tasks. For approvals, evaluating invoice accuracy requires a method of comparison: purchase orders to determine what was ordered, at what price, and under what terms; receipt documentation to confirm what was actually received; and contracts to provide criteria for non-purchase order (PO)-based transactions. In all three categories, the Best-in-Class outpace lower-performing organizations in their ability to incorporate these documents into the process, and thus enable the required matching to ensure the accuracy of incoming invoices.

Best-in-Class organizations are also more likely to have standardized their processes across locations or business units. In a manual environment, this involves designing and disseminating a 'playbook' to guide AP tasks. Automated organizations can standardize by using a common set of business rules to guide how users interact with AP systems. Environments with system-enabled standardization have integrated enforcement, while manually-based organizations require ongoing monitoring (and after-the-fact review) to ensure accuracy.

Organization

Organizational capabilities are decisions made by individuals, teams, and departments on business structure and operational scope. A leading

Source: Aberdeen Group, April 2012

"AP was an incidental to the department with purchasers responsible for processing their own invoices. This led to compliance issues, multipayments and lack of payment timeliness. After centralising and automating, people have been forced to review their practices and the AP function and purchasing practices have been brought into focus as a critical contributor to our overall efficiency."

> ~ Finance Manager, Asia-Pacific Governmental Agency



organizational capability is centralization, whether within a corporate headquarters, shared service center, or regionally-consolidated location. Centralization is not required for all activities; the nature of a business may require invoice receipt or review by staff in the field. However, centralization can reduce duplicated operations, and eliminate—to a degree —the delays inherent in document-sharing between distributed locations.

Another Best-in-Class organizational capability is the adoption of "No PO, No Pay" policies. These policies call for the rejection of any incoming invoice that does not reference an existing purchase order. This requirement does not fit all situations, and enterprises have been flexible in their enforcement of these policies—with approaches ranging from loose rules akin to preferences, to strict requirements applied across the board. By adopting moderate-to-strict policies, the Best-in-Class have taken a leadership position—improving not only the availability of documents for the matching process, but also the conduct of the purchasing organization.

Knowledge Management

Knowledge Management capabilities are concerned with the recording and sharing of information within the enterprise. Best-in-Class organizations differentiate themselves by providing access to invoice transaction histories. As mentioned, policies are only valuable when followed and enforced, and enforcement requires review. Access to historical information helps topperformers evaluate staff adherence to policy, accuracy of invoice-related information, and error discovery. If this data is not available on-demand, staff must retrieve the digital (or physical) documentation necessary to reconstruct it. This capability is especially useful when conducting internal audits to identify areas of growing cost.

Technology

Technology capabilities are approaches to managing solutions and systems, and enabling technological functionalities. Two key technology capabilities are the automation of specific tasks, and the integration of disparate systems. Automation includes reminders (to bring attention to required steps not yet taken) and notification (to bring attention to exceptions that have occurred). Though these capabilities address different problems, both aim to keep the invoice review process on track.

Systems integration focuses on data accessibility. Invoices can arrive from multiple solutions, and purchase orders and contracts may reside outside core AP systems. Assembling all of this related information can be challenging. These difficulties are compounded in organizations that supporting multiple enterprise resource planning (ERP) implementations and separate financial solutions, which may vary by region, vendor, deployment model, etc. Best-in-Class companies are more likely to integrate systems, which can help alleviate these problems and allow them to focus on improving processes and consolidating relevant information.



Best-in-Class Technology Choices

Which solutions can provide the features and functionality used by the Bestin-Class? Figure 3, below, reviews a set of specific technologies with an eye toward how their current adoption levels differ between the Best-in-Class and lower-performing organizations. Beginning with electronic invoice submission, the Best-in-Class lead the way in adoption of multiple solution types: dedicated invoice networks, procurement networks capable of facilitating invoice transmission, and supplier portals to allow direct submission. Working through the approval process, these top-performers are more likely to employ an electronic workflow solution to guide invoices through all required steps based on predefined business rules. On the backend, the Best-in-Class are also more likely than others to have technology in place to store invoices, and to leverage invoice information for further analysis (driving value back through the organization by supporting AP's procurement colleagues with valuable spend-related data). In all these examples, technology is not the sole answer—but combined with the other capabilities discussed in this chapter, technology supports Best-in-Class efforts to improve AP performance.



Figure 3: AP Technology Choices of the Best-in-Class

Source: Aberdeen Group, April 2012

Performance Management

Performance Management capabilities are approaches to tracking and managing operational efficiency. The two main elements to review are: how often is performance measured, and how are performance measurements presented to management. Best-in-Class and Industry Average organizations outpace Laggards by measuring AP performance on at least a monthly basis. Real-time updates on cost-per-invoice or invoices-per-full-time-equivalent (FTE) labor metrics may not be necessary, but performance appraisals with a quarterly or yearly lag time make iterative refinements of AP processes difficult.



Dashboards help when reviewing current AP operations, by providing a user-friendly graphical representation of the statistics contained in traditional reporting. These solutions emphasize the usability of management information: even the best data's power is reduced if it is difficult to consume or interpret. Best-in-Class organizations have been quicker to appreciate this fact than their lower-performing peers.

Aberdeen Insights — Technology

The value of a networked economy depends on connections between trading partners, and on the ability to leverage those connections to collaborate and create value. Collaborative technologies, then, are vital to such an economy. Figure 4 illustrates how Best-in-Class companies are more likely to adopt collaboration technologies—both between internal functional groups and with external partners. While these companies are leading the way, they still have room for improvement: over 70% of even Best-in-Class companies lack an internal collaboration tool, and 84% lack a tool for resolving supplier invoice disputes. The AP world is still paperbased, but these technologies provide insight into how invoice management will evolve in the years to come.



Figure 4: Best-in-Class Use of Collaborative Technologies



Aberdeen Insights — Technology

Figure 5 illustrates the growth potential for some emerging accounts payable technologies. Many companies are interested in implementing both internal and external collaboration tools. There is also strong interest in using mobile technologies (such as tablets or smartphones) for a range of applications, including enabling the approval process, providing visibility via dashboards, and capturing goods receipts and signatures. Respondents are also interested in moving information technology (IT) outside the enterprise, using externally-hosted solutions and cloud-based portals. The pace of change in AP can be slow, but as these new technologies become available, and companies get interested in using them to drive future AP improvement, the journey toward a true networked economy seems underway.







Chapter Three: Required Actions

Whether a company is trying to move its invoice management performance from Laggard to Industry Average, or Industry Average to Best-in-Class, the following actions will help spur the necessary performance improvements.

Laggard Steps to Success

- Increase the frequency of AP performance measurement. It will be difficult to determine what policies are working —or creating difficulty—if their impacts are judged on a quarterly, annual, or ad hoc basis. Frequent assessment is critical. Companies that measure performance monthly or more often are far more successful in achieving their days payable outstanding (DPO) targets than companies with infrequent monitoring, reporting a variance of only 0.9% (0.3 days off of a 34.6 day target) versus 17.5% (5.6 days off a 32 day target).
- Enable dashboards as another method of performance monitoring. Improvement does not require measuring every conceivable metric. Well-designed dashboards can simplify the situation, focusing on a few key metrics, and allowing lower information intensity to drive more frequent updates. Companies using dashboards to monitor performance boast a 23% advantage in available discount capture (59% versus 48%, on average).
- Implement some variety of "No PO, No Pay" policy to begin the path to accuracy. Invoice processing is not just about paying bills—it is about paying the right amount, for the right products and / or services, under the right terms. Without a purchase order to match, incoming invoices lack a key component of accuracy evaluation. Companies that have a moderate or strict policy report higher PO-based invoice volumes (77% versus 55%) along with a 30% faster invoice processing cycle time (6.4 days versus 9.2 days).

Industry Average Steps to Success

- Continue standardizing AP invoice management processes. It may not make headlines, but standardization yields repeatable processes, and eases the difficulty of identifying root causes of the errors that do occur. Companies that have standardized their processes report invoice cycle-times 46% faster than those of others (6.1 days versus 11.3 days).
- Integrate invoice-to-PO matching into the invoice approval process. Building on the discussion of "No PO, No Pay" policies, having these documents in place offers a great opportunity -- but without the related capability of integrating them into the invoice approval process, that opportunity is lost. Companies that match

Fast Facts

- √ 82% of Best-in-Class companies have centralized their AP operations
- √ 77% of the Best-in-Class have fully integrated AP with their ERP or financials system

How Does Your Performance Compare to the Best-in-Class?



- Compare your processes
- Receive a free, personal PDF scorecard
- Benefit from custom recommendations to improve your performance, based on the research

Take the Assessment

Receive Your Free Scorecard



invoices to purchase orders report advantages in both processing speed (6.1 days versus 8.9 days), and processing cost (\$6.96 versus \$7.59) over those that do not.

• Integrate existing and new AP solutions with ERP and financial applications. Intelligently-chosen and properlyimplemented technologies can go a long way toward improving AP efficiency, but unless a single technology handles the full procure-topay process, integration will be necessary. Companies that have connected their AP and ERP / financials solutions report straightthrough processing (STP) volumes over twice that of other companies (24% versus 11% of overall invoice volumes).

Best-in-Class Steps to Success

- Work with suppliers to increase traditional discounting opportunities. Companies with efficient invoice approval processes are positioned to capitalize on early payment discounts, but the full potential of this level of performance will be missed if there are no discounts available to drive further savings. Even Bestin-Class companies have only negotiated discounts with one-quarter of their suppliers, covering 26% of their total invoice volume.
- Establish a dynamic discounting program to further expand savings potential. Only one-in-five (22%) Best-in-Class companies have a dynamic discounting program. As highlighted in Chapter One, companies with these programs see higher volumes of available discounts, higher rates of discount capture, and higher average returns on cash used for discounts than those relying on traditional discount terms.
- Expand existing automation with elements such as reminders and data extraction. For some, this step requires simply using existing functionality; for others, it requires incremental additions. But for all, these features can offer further efficiencies and savings. Companies that have implemented automated reminders to keep staff on task report processing times 19% faster than others (7.2 days versus 8.9 days), while those that have automated data extraction report straight-through processing rates that are threetimes as high as others (33% versus 11% for header-level extraction, and 35% versus 12% for line-level extraction).



Aberdeen Insights — Driving Towards an Integrated Financial Supply Chain

A networked economy focuses on interconnections between groups of trading partners. These connections bring the potential for collaboration, and with collaboration the ability to tailor financial decisions based on long-term buyer-supplier relationships. Accounts payable is a great starting point for this discussion. The working capital flexibility provided by collaborative dynamic discounting programs and emerging supply chain finance solutions all begin with efficient invoice management. Without well-designed invoice management processes, those opportunities would not be available—and the ability of AP to move from a tactical function to a strategic contributor will remain unrealized. These themes will be explored further throughout 2012, with additional studies looking at the implications of technology advances for financial operations, and future integrations between enterprises' interlinked financial supply chains.



Appendix A: Research Methodology

Between March and April 2012, Aberdeen examined the use, the experiences, and the intentions of more than 180 enterprises processing invoices in a diverse set of environments.

Responding enterprises included the following:

- Job title: The research sample included respondents with the following job titles: C-Level / President (21%); Treasurer / Controller / EVP / SVP / VP (21%); Director (14%); Manager (27%); and other (17%).
- Department / function: The research sample included respondents from the following departments or functions: finance / administration (45%); procurement / purchasing (19%); corporate management (10%); and other (26%).
- Industry: The research sample included respondents from a variety of industries, including: education (8%); financial services (8%); IT consulting (8%); transportation / logistics (8%); software (7%); healthcare devices (6%); construction / architecture (5%); and healthcare services (5%).
- Geography: The majority of respondents (73%) were from North America. Remaining respondents were from Europe (13%), Asia-Pacific (11%) and other geographies (3%).
- Company size: Twenty-eight percent (28%) of respondents were from large enterprises (annual revenues above US \$1 billion); 31% were from midsize enterprises (annual revenues between \$50 million and \$1 billion); and 41% of respondents were from small businesses (annual revenues of \$50 million or less).
- Headcount: Forty-four percent (44%) of respondents were from large enterprises (headcount greater than 1,000 employees); 26% were from midsize enterprises (headcount between 101 and 1,000 employees); and 30% of respondents were from small businesses (headcount between 1 and 100 employees).

Study Focus

Responding AP professionals completed an online survey that included questions designed to determine the following:

- The degree to which invoice-related technologies are deployed in their operations and the financial implications of the technology
- √ The structure and effectiveness of existing AP improvement initiatives
- √ Current and planned use of invoice-related technologies to speed processing and lower cost
- √ The benefits, if any, that have been derived from AP improvement initiatives

The study aimed to identify best practices for invoice management across industries, and to provide a framework by which readers could assess their own capabilities.

"The recent roll out of a P-Card program has aided in our efforts by taking the rebates earned to reinvest in technology for the department."

> ~ Finance Manage, North American Logistics Provider



Table 5: The PACE Framework Key

Overview

Aberdeen applies a methodology to benchmark research that evaluates the business pressures, actions, capabilities, and enablers (PACE) that indicate corporate behavior in specific business processes. These terms are defined as follows:

Pressures — external forces that impact an organization's market position, competitiveness, or business operations (e.g., economic, political and regulatory, technology, changing customer preferences, competitive)

Actions — the strategic approaches that an organization takes in response to industry pressures (e.g., align the corporate business model to leverage industry opportunities, such as product / service strategy, target markets, financial strategy, go-to-market, and sales strategy)

Capabilities — the business process competencies required to execute corporate strategy (e.g., skilled people, brand, market positioning, viable products / services, ecosystem partners, financing)

Enablers — the key functionality of technology solutions required to support the organization's enabling business practices (e.g., development platform, applications, network connectivity, user interface, training and support, partner interfaces, data cleansing, and management)

Source: Aberdeen Group, April 2012

Table 6: The Competitive Framework Key

Overview		
 The Aberdeen Competitive Framework defines enterprises as falling into one of the following three levels of practices and performance: Best-in-Class (20%) — Practices that are the best currently being employed and are significantly superior to the Industry Average, and result in the top industry performance. Industry Average (50%) — Practices that represent the average or norm, and result in average industry performance. Laggards (30%) — Practices that are significantly behind the average of the industry, and result in below average performance. 	In the following categories: Process — What is the scope of process standardization? What is the efficiency and effectiveness of this process? Organization — How is your company currently organized to manage and optimize this particular process? Knowledge — What visibility do you have into key data and intelligence required to manage this process? Technology — What level of automation have you used to support this process? How is this automation integrated and aligned? Performance — What do you measure? How frequently? What's your actual performance?	

Source: Aberdeen Group, April 2012

Table 7: The Relationship Between PACE and the Competitive Framework

PACE and the Competitive Framework – How They Interact

Aberdeen research indicates that companies that identify the most influential pressures and take the most transformational and effective actions are most likely to achieve superior performance. The level of competitive performance that a company achieves is strongly determined by the PACE choices that they make and how well they execute those decisions.

Source: Aberdeen Group, April 2012



Appendix B: Related Aberdeen Research

Related Aberdeen research that forms a companion or reference to this report includes:

- Optimizing Your Payables and Receivables; March 2012
- <u>Common Concerns and Shared Strategies: AP and AR Lessons from the</u> <u>Best-in-Class</u>; February 2012
- <u>Cloud Invoice Management and the SMB</u>; January 2012
- <u>E-Payables 2011: Efficiency, Visibility, and Collaboration in the Financial</u> <u>Supply Chain</u>; September 2011
- Liquidity Management: Leveraging Technology to Improve Cash <u>Forecasting</u>; September 2011
- Invoicing and Workflow: Integrating Process Automation to Enhance Operational Performance; May 2011

Information on these and any other Aberdeen publications can be found at <u>www.aberdeen.com</u>.

Authors: Scott Pezza, Research Analyst, Financial Management & GRC, (<u>scott.pezza@aberdeen.com</u>); William Jan, Sr. Research Analyst, Financial Management & GRC, (<u>william.jan@aberdeen.com</u>)

For more than two decades, Aberdeen's research has been helping corporations worldwide become Best-in-Class. Having benchmarked the performance of more than 644,000 companies, Aberdeen is uniquely positioned to provide organizations with the facts that matter — the facts that enable companies to get ahead and drive results. That's why our research is relied on by more than 2.5 million readers in over 40 countries, 90% of the Fortune 1,000, and 93% of the Technology 500.

As a Harte-Hanks Company, Aberdeen's research provides insight and analysis to the Harte-Hanks community of local, regional, national and international marketing executives. Combined, we help our customers leverage the power of insight to deliver innovative multichannel marketing programs that drive business-changing results. For additional information, visit Aberdeen http://www.aberdeen.com or call (617) 854-5200, or to learn more about Harte-Hanks, call (800) 456-9748 or go to http://www.harte-hanks.com.

This document is the result of primary research performed by Aberdeen Group. Aberdeen Group's methodologies provide for objective fact-based research and represent the best analysis available at the time of publication. Unless otherwise noted, the entire contents of this publication are copyrighted by Aberdeen Group, Inc. and may not be reproduced, distributed, archived, or transmitted in any form or by any means without prior written consent by Aberdeen Group, Inc. (2012a)



Featured Underwriters

This research report was made possible, in part, with the financial support of our underwriters. These individuals and organizations share Aberdeen's vision of bringing fact based research to corporations worldwide at little or no cost. Underwriters have no editorial or research rights, and the facts and analysis of this report remain an exclusive production and product of Aberdeen Group. Solution providers recognized as underwriters were solicited after the fact and had no substantive influence on the direction of this report. Their sponsorship has made it possible for Aberdeen Group to make these findings available to readers at no charge.

KOFAX

Kofax plc (LSE: KFX) is the leading provider of document driven business process automation solutions. For more than 20 years, Kofax has provided award winning solutions that streamline the flow of information throughout an organization by managing the capture, transformation and exchange of business critical information arising in paper, fax and electronic formats in a more accurate, timely and cost effective manner. These solutions provide a rapid return on investment to thousands of customers in financial services, government, business process outsourcing, healthcare, supply chain and other markets. Kofax delivers these solutions through its own sales and service organizations, and a global network of more than 700 authorized partners in more than 60 countries.

For additional information on Kofax:

Kofax 15211 Laguna Canyon Road Irvine, CA 92618-3146 Telephone: 949.783.1333 <u>www.kofax.com</u> contactme@kofax.com



Anybill

Since 2001, Anybill has automated accounts payable for small- to mediumenterprises (SME) as well as some of the world's largest corporations and institutions. Because accounts payable—in all its iterations, including tax payments—is all we do, we're able to provide innovative and effective software that's wed to superior customer service. We are headquartered in Washington, D.C., are SAS 70 (Type II) compliant, and maintain strategic relationships with regional and national CPA firms such as RAFFA, BDO Seidman, KPMG and Ernst & Young.

For additional information on Anybill:

Anybill

1801 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Suite 700

Washington D.C. 20006

Telephone: 202.682.6300

www.anybill.com

marketingusa@anybill.com





Syncada from Visa is a global financial supply chain network which corporations and governments can use to improve business intelligence, process invoices, make payments, and finance payables or receivables through sponsor financial institutions. Syncada processes invoices for hundreds of buyers and makes payments to tens of thousands of sellers in 42 countries. In 2009, the network processed more than USD \$18 billion in payments and more than 200 million invoices and supporting trade documents.

For additional information on Syncada from Visa:

Syncada from Visa

901 Marquette Avenue South, Suite 1800

Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone: 1.800.404.2744

www.syncada.com

info.requests@syncada.com





Direct Insite delivers on-demand AP, AR and payments solutions that are deployed fast, with minimal cost and operational impact, and provide significant benefits across the financial supply chain. Since it was founded in 1987, Direct Insite has built a track record in automating some of the most demanding financial environments. Today, more than 100,000 corporations use our solutions across 100 countries (representing more than 35 currencies and 17 languages). Direct Insite's Invoices On-Line (IOL) suite simplifies AP and AR processes such as: electronic invoice distribution/submission, purchase order submission/distribution/acknowledgement, invoice processing/validation, line-item matching, approval routing, invoice consolidation, dispute management, payment portal/processing, and reporting.

For additional information on Direct Insite:

Direct Insite

13450 West Sunrise Blvd.

Sunrise, FL 33323

Telephone: 617.873.2900

www.directinsite.com

sales@directinsite.com





iPayables provides extremely effective Accounts Payable Automation products and services. The world's largest airline, largest grocer, and other Fortune 100 companies use iPayables InvoiceWorks because of our functionality, flexibility, and unmatched value. iPayables provides supplier tools for invoice web-entry, file upload, EDI, PO flip and paper invoice capture which integrate seamlessly with our robust and dynamic workflow, PO matching, dispute resolution, payment and dynamic discounting capabilities. Advanced technology, excellent support and free supplier services, result in the highest supplier adoption rates and greatest efficiency gains for your organization. Simply put, we lower per invoice costs and make your AP department look good.

For additional information on iPayables, Inc.:

iPayables, Inc.

One Spectrum Pointe Drive, Suite 125

Lake Forest, CA 92630

Telephone I: 855.2. NO FEES

Telephone 2: 855.266.3337

www.ipayables.com

sales@ipayables.com