Seismic reservoir characterization of Utica-Point Pleasant shale with efforts at quantitative interpretation— a case study
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Summary
Utica shale is one of the major source rocks in Ohio and extends across much of eastern US. Its organic richness, high content of calcite, and development of extensive organic porosity makes it a perfect unconventional play and has gained the attention of the oil and gas industry. The primary target zone in the Utica includes Utica, Point Pleasant, and Trenton intervals. In the present study, we attempt to identify the sweet-spots within the Point-Pleasant interval using 3D seismic data, available well data, and other relevant data. This has been done by way of organic richness and brittleness estimation in the rock intervals. The organic richness is determined through TOC content which is derived by transforming the inverted density volume. The core-log petrophysical modeling provides the necessary relationship for doing so. The brittleness is derived using rock-physics parameters such as Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio. Deterministic simultaneous inversion along with a neural network approach are followed in order to compute rock-physics parameters and density using seismic data. The consistency of sweet spots identified based on the seismic data with the available production data emphasize the integration of seismic data with all other relevant data.

Introduction
The Utica shale is considered a source rock for oil and natural gas, which migrated upwards and were produced by conventional means in the overlying rock formations. According to a 2012 USGS report, the formation holds 940 million barrels of oil and approximately 38 tcf of natural gas (Kirschbaum et al., 2012), but with more drilling and production, these estimates have been revised and stand at 2 billion barrels of oil and 782 tcf of natural gas (Cocklin, 2015). The thermal maturity studies in the Utica shale have indicated a northeast to southwest trend over eastern Ohio and western Pennsylvania, with a western oil phase window, a central wet gas phase window and an eastern dry gas phase window.

We present our attempts at seismic reservoir characterization of the Utica – Point Pleasant package in eastern Ohio. Beginning with a discussion about the data that are available for the exercise, next we describe the workflow that is followed. The goal of seismic reservoir characterization is essentially the identification of sweet spots that represent the most favorable drilling areas. Such an exercise entails understanding the elastic properties of the reservoir intervals, lithology, fluid content and their areal distribution. A good starting point for doing this is to use the available well data and understand the parameters that populate the reservoir intervals at the location of the wells. The sonic, density, gamma ray, resistivity, porosity well log curves are sought for the available wells over the 3D seismic volume. Core analysis results, geochemical as well as geomechanical data are available for one well.

3D seismic data acquisition and processing
The acquisition of a 702 mi$^2$ (1818 km$^2$) 3D seismic survey spread over Carroll, Tuscarawas, Guernsey, Noble, Belmont, Harrison and Jefferson counties of eastern Ohio, was completed in late 2015. The survey falls in the wet gas and light oil windows of the Utica-Point Pleasant. The acquisition parameters include 220 ft (67.056 m) for source and receiver intervals, 600 ft (201.168 m) for receiver line spacing, 1320 ft (402.336 m) source line spacing, maximum offset as 19,186 ft (5847.89 m), 2 ms sample interval, 5 s record length, which yielded a bin size of 110 ft by 110 ft (33.5 m X 33.5 m). Two vibrator sweeps of 16 s are used as the seismic source. The processing of this large data volume was completed in June 2016, with anisotropic prestack time migration (PSTM) gathers and stacked volume with 5D interpolation made available for reservoir characterization and quantitative interpretation.

Well-log correlation
Correlation of well log information with 3D surface seismic data is a convenient way to extend the measured rock properties at well locations spatially over the 3D volume.

As we started collating well data for our study we realized that the wells that had density curves are located in a cluster to the northern part of the survey, and very few wells had both sonic and density curves. A frequently encountered situation is when not many wells have shear sonic log curves available. It is always desirable to have a uniform location of wells with sonic, density and other curves (GR, porosity, resistivity, etc.) though sparse, as it helps with the generation of a reliable low-frequency impedance model for impedance inversion, as well as for carrying out any neural network analysis for computation of a reservoir property. Besides, any crossplotting carried out on well data located sparsely on a 3D volume, and in localized clusters may not be a true representation of relationships between the crossplotted variables. We therefore selected wells that had an optimum distribution as shown in Figure 1. Some of the wells located at the edge of the 3D survey were projected a little bit inside, as the seismic data close to the edges of the survey are not very trustworthy.
Once the final seismic data are loaded on the workstation, we assessed its quality and frequency content. The data were preconditioned for random noise attenuation by putting it through structure-oriented filtering (Chopra and Marfurt, 2007; Marfurt, 2006).

In Figure 2 we show the correlation of the sonic, density and GR log curves and synthetic seismograms for well W-3 with the seismic data. Five horizons corresponding to Trenton Limestone, Point Pleasant, and Above-Utica in our zone of interest, and Clinton sandstone and Onondaga limestone above it were picked and are indicated in Figure 2. While the Trenton and Onondaga limestones show good contrast at their levels on the log curves (and thus prominent reflections on seismic), reflections corresponding to Point Pleasant and Clinton sandstone were also pickable. But no individual reflection corresponding to Utica shale could be picked, and so the closest pickable reflection was considered and called ‘Above-Utica’. A zero-phase wavelet was extracted from the seismic data using a statistical process (shown on the top) and was used for generating the synthetic seismogram. An overall good correlation is seen between the two. A representative seismic section from the 3D seismic volume passing through two wells is shown in Figure 3. Good correlation is seen between the impedance curves and the seismic.

As shear log curves were available in only three of the eight wells over the 3D survey, that had sonic and density curves, we crossplot the P- and S-impedance for these three wells as shown in Figure 4. The linear relationship seen therein is used to generate the shear curves for five wells that didn’t have the shear curves, and then used those seven shear impedance curves (3 measured and 4 predicted using the relationship) for the generation of the low-frequency S-impedance model for inversion. Using the low-frequency model generated with a single well as one of the inputs, and some other seismic data volumes (relative acoustic impedance, instantaneous amplitude, dominant frequency, filtered seismic (10-20-30-40 Hz and 10-20-50-60 Hz), a multiregression approach (Ray and Chopra 2015, 2016) is used, wherein a target log is modeled as a linear combination of several input attributes at each sample point. This approach results in more accurate low-frequency impedance models. Having determined the low-frequency models for both P- and S-impedance, the next step was to carry out preconditioning of the prestack data for enhancing its signal-to-noise ratio. We found that the useable angle range was 34°, and thus the output attributes were P-impedance and S-impedance, but the density attribute could not be determined with simultaneous inversion.

Sweet spot determination

The main goal for shale resource characterization is usually the identification of sweet spots which represent the most favorable drilling targets. Such sweet spots can be picked up as those pockets in the target formation that exhibit high total organic carbon (TOC) content, high porosity, as well as high brittleness. The organic richness in the shale rocks influences properties such as compressional and shear velocities, and density. Therefore, attempts have been made to detect changes in TOC from the surface seismic response using impedance and other attributes such as Vp-Vs ratio, Lambda-rho, Mu-rho etc. (Sharma and Chopra, 2016). In this study we have tried to bring in data from core analysis, as well as geochemical and geomechanical analysis, and integrate that with surface seismic data. The density and TOC measurements made on the core samples in the Point Pleasant interval were crossplotted as shown in Figure 5. A strong linear relationship is seen between them. This suggests that the density attribute would be required if the organic-rich zones in the Point Pleasant interval are to be determined from seismic data.

And as stated above, as the angle range was not favorable for computing density from seismic data through simultaneous inversion, we turned to neural network analysis for its determination. We decided to determine density with probabilistic neural network analysis, employing amongst others some of the attributes determined from simultaneous inversion. The details of the neural network approach followed, as well as some other work with regard to the integration of core, geochemical and geomechanical data and seismic data are being presented in a companion paper (Sharma et al., 2017). But in Figure 6 we show how the predicted density compares with the measured density at the location of well W-7. The good match between the curves enhanced our confidence in this approach.

Once the density volume was determined from neural network analysis, the linear relationship shown in Figure 5 was used to transform it to a TOC volume. High TOC content was noticed in the northern part of the survey, which is consistent with TOC trend observed in the Utica-Point Pleasant play (Wickstrom, 2013).

Besides the organic richness consideration, it is vital that reservoir zones are sufficiently brittle as fracturing potential of a shale reservoir is a fundamental function of its brittleness. Attempts are usually made to identify the brittle zones with the help of Poisson’s ratio and Young’s modulus as a rock’s ability to fail under stress is represented by the former, while the ability of sustaining fractures is reflected by the latter.

We crossplot Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio for data for wells W-1 and W-7 from the Utica through Point Pleasant to the Trenton interval. We notice a positive correlation between the two parameters and noticed that the Point Pleasant interval exhibits low Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio relative to the Utica interval. As is seen in many other shale formations, brittleness is found to increase as Poisson’s ratio decreases and Young’s modulus increases (Rickman et al., 2008). Point Pleasant does not seem to follow this behavior, even though the production from the multistage fracking in this interval has been established (Patchen and Carter, 2015). Within the Point Pleasant
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interval we see a variation in these two parameters. To study the variation of these parameters within this interval, we restrict the data points coming into the crossplot to just the Point Pleasant interval and see that the cluster of points coming from W-7 (to the south) and the ones coming from W-1 (to the north), Poisson’s ratio and Young’s modulus both decrease going from north to south.

Grieser and Bray (2007) proposed computing a brittleness average from Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio and demonstrated deciphering brittle and ductile shale pockets within the Barnett shale by considering all values of Poisson’s ratio less than 0.25 as threshold and all values of Young’s modulus greater than \(3.1 \times 10^6\) psi.

We follow a similar approach and demonstrate its application to the Utica-Point Pleasant play. Realizing that the Point Pleasant interval has higher calcite content, and therefore its ability to fail under stress and sustain fractures must be high, we picked up the P-impedance and S-impedance derived from simultaneous inversion and density derived from probabilistic neural network analysis to compute Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio attributes. These are then crossplotted just for the Utica to Trenton interval as shown in Figure 7. Notice all points below the value 0.23 for Poisson’s ratio (enclosed in red and green polygons) come from the Point Pleasant interval. Thus we interpret this interval to be prone to get fractured under stress. The ability of this interval to sustain fractures in a relative sense can be examined based on Young’s modulus attribute. It can be seen from Figure 7a that the points enclosed by the green polygon correspond to higher values of Young’s modulus, than the points enclosed by the red polygon. When we project these points on the vertical arbitrary line passing through the wells, as exhibited in Figure 7b, we notice that the northern side of this line exhibits higher brittleness than the southern side.

To examine the lateral variation in the Young’s modulus we draw a horizon slice from the Young’s modulus volume which is shown in Figure 8a. The northern part of the display shows higher values of Young’s modulus. Thus by restricting the values of Poisson’s ratio and examining the variation of Young’s modulus, we have been able to determine the variation in the brittleness of the Point Pleasant interval. In addition to brittleness, organic richness was also examined through the TOC volume. For doing this we draw an equivalent horizon slice from the TOC volume which is shown in Figure 8b. We notice higher TOC values in the northern part. The areas highlighted in the black polygons are thus the sweet spots that have been determined from the above analysis. This seems accurate enough as confirmed by the available production data overlaid on the TOC display.

Conclusions

We have characterized the Point Pleasant formation in eastern Ohio using 3D surface and its integration with core, geochemical and geomechanical data. This has been done by deriving rock-physics parameters (Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio) through deterministic simultaneous inversion and neural network analysis. We find that the Point Pleasant formation does not seem to follow the commonly followed variation in terms of low Poisson’s ratio and high Young’s modulus for brittle pockets. Instead, by restricting the values of Poisson’s ratio and examining the variation of Young’s modulus, we are able to determine the brittleness behavior within the Point Pleasant interval. Combining the brittleness behavior with the organic richness determined through the TOC content, we are able to pick sweet spots in the Point Pleasant interval which match the production data.

Through this case study, we emphasize the integration of 3D surface seismic data with all other relevant data so as to accurately characterize the Point Pleasant formation.
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Figure 2: Correlation of well W-3 P-velocity, density and Gamma ray curves with seismic data. Notice the sharp impedance contrast seen at the Onandaga Limestone and Rochester Shale levels giving rise to strong reflections. The horizons corresponding to Onandaga Limestone, ‘Clinton’ sandstone and Point Pleasant and Trenton levels are pickable and seen clearly on the seismic. (Data courtesy: TGS, Houston)

Figure 3: A representative inline running east-west from the 3D seismic volume with P-velocity curves overlaid on it. The quality of the seismic data is good. The horizons picked on the line show the Onandaga Limestone, ‘Clinton’ sandstone, Above-Utica, Point Pleasant and the Trenton to the right. (Data courtesy: TGS, Houston)

Figure 4: The density trace predicted with neural network application compared with the measured density log curve at the location of well W-7. The two curves overlay well and thus enhance our confidence in neural network density prediction.

Figure 5: Crossplot of density and TOC as determined from core data in the Point Pleasant interval. A good linear relationship is seen between the crossplotted variables.

Figure 6: Horizon slices from (a) Young’s modulus, and (b) TOC volumes, both averaged in a 10 ms window in the Point Pleasant interval. The highlighted portions indicate the sweet spots corresponding to high Young’s modulus and high TOC. Overlaid on the TOC display is the production data. (Data courtesy: TGS, Houston)
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