

Utilizing your Data for Loss Prevention

Terri Pham Gonzalez Emily Duncan Tobar





About Me





Terri Pham Gonzalez

- With Mirus since 2012
- Crossfit coach in the evenings
- Top Chef on the weekends

Emily Duncan Tobar

- With Mirus since 2012
- Crazy Cat Lady
- Geek girl on the weekends

(^{ph} planet hollywood

anet

Take Aways

- Cover the different Loss Prevention Options with Mirus:
- What can you do today with TLD Sales and Labor
- How can you supplement and enhance what you have today using additional data
- How to take it to the next level with the Sentinel Product

What can you do today: Example Reports you can create now (with TLD Sales & Labor)



Employees that consistantly do not clock out 🔅

Hour = 1:00 AM;

Time Selection = Trailing 13 Weeks (06/05/2017 to 09/03/2017)

			Day	Total
			Hour	
Store Name	Employee Name	Employee Number	Job Name	Count of not 🖕
Georgetown Crossing	MARIANO MAQUEDA	578	Server	27
	ANTONIO GARCIA	714	Server	25
	ANAHI CORTES	546	Server	17
	JARED CONVERSE	557	Cooks	12
	CHELSEA DEBERRY	609	Cooks	12
Pearland	NATHAN CRABTREE	214	Server	9
	NICHOLE M SPANGLER	494	Busser	8



Employees that consistantly do not clock out

Hour = 1:00 AM;

Time Selection = Trailing 13 Weeks (06/05/2017 to 09/03/2017)

The colocation maining i	13 Weeks (00/05/2017 to 05/05/201	.,		
			Day	Total
			Hour	
Store Name	Employee Name	Employee Number	Job Name	Count of not 🗘
Georgetown Crossing	MARIANO MAQUEDA	578	Server	27
	ANTONIO GARCIA	714	Server	25
	ANAHI CORTES	546	Server	17
	JARED CONVERSE	557	Cooks	12
	CHELSEA DEBERRY	609	Cooks	12
Pearland	NATHAN CRABTREE	214	Server	9
	NICHOLE M SPANGLER	494	Busser	8



Hour = 1:00 AM; Time Selection = Trailing	13 Weeks (06/05/2017 to 09/03/2	2017)		
			Day	Total
			Hour	
Store Name	Employee Name	Employee Number	Job Name	Count of not clocking out
Georgetown Crossing	MARIANO MAQUEDA	578	Server	2
	ANTONIO GARCIA	714	Server	2
	ANAHI CORTES	546	Server	-
	JARED CONVERSE	557	Cooks	-
	CHELSEA DEBERRY	609	Cooks	1
Pearland	NATHAN CRABTREE	214	Server	
	NICHOLE M SPANGLER	494	Busser	



Hour = 1:00 AM; Time Selection = Trailing	13 Weeks (06/05/2017 to 09/03/	2017)		
			Day	Total
			Hour	
Store Name	Employee Name	Employee Number	Job Name	Count of no clocking ou
Georgetown Crossing	MARIANO MAQUEDA	578	Server	
	ANTONIO GARCIA	714	Server	
	ANAHI CORTES	546	Server	
	JARED CONVERSE	557	Cooks	
	CHELSEA DEBERRY	609	Cooks	
Pearland	NATHAN CRABTREE	214	Server	
- condito	NICHOLE M SPANGLER	494	Busser	



Employees that consistantly do not clock out Hour = 1:00 AM: Time Selection = Trailing 13 Weeks (06/05/2017 to 09/03/2017) Total Day Hour Count of not Store Name **Employee Name Employee Number** clocking out Job Name Georgetown Crossing MARIANO MAQUEDA 578 27 Server ANTONIO GARCIA 714 Server 25 ANAHI CORTES 546 Server 17 JARED CONVERSE 557 Cooks 12 CHELSEA DEBERRY 609 Cooks 12 NATHAN CRABTREE 214 Pearland Server 9 NICHOLE M SPANGLER 494 Busser 8



Employee and Checks with High Discounts

Discount as percentage of Sales per check 🔅

Discount as % of Gross Sales > 0.60

Tender Amt > 0.01

planet

lood

Tender Amt filtered for Tender Name = Cash

Store Num	Employee Name	Day	Check Num	Discount as % of Gross Sales	Gross Sales	Discount Amt	Net Sales	Cash Tender
76	Cassandra Conerway	10/03/2017	603675	97.55%	2.04	1.99	0.05	0.05
205	Regina Santos	10/03/2017	108553	78.74%	17.97	14.15	3.53	3.82
358	JOSH PETERSON	10/03/2017	320858	86.15%	2.31	1.99	0.30	0.32
			320872	86.15%	2.31	1.99	0.30	0.32
	LACIE STOLTE	10/03/2017	260722	77.91%	1.72	1.34	0.35	0.38

Employee and Checks with High Discounts

Discount as percentage of Sales per check 🔅

Discount as % of Gross Sales > 0.60

Tender Amt > 0.01

ph planet

hollywood

Tender Amt filtered for Tender Name = Cash

Store Num	Employee Name	Day	Check Num	Discount as % of Gross Sales	Gross Sales	Discount Amt	Net Sales	Cash Tender
76	Cassandra Conerway	10/03/2017	603675	97.55%	2.04	1.99	0.05	0.05
205	Regina Santos	10/03/2017	108553	78.74%	17.97	14.15	3.53	3.82
358	JOSH PETERSON	10/03/2017	320858	86.15%	2.31	1.99	0.30	0.32
			320872	86.15%	2.31	1.99	0.30	0.32
	LACIE STOLTE	10/03/2017	260722	77.91%	1.72	1.34	0.35	0.38

Employee and Checks with High Discounts

Discount as percentage of Sales per check 🔅

Discount as % of Gross Sales > 0.60

Tender Amt > 0.01

Tender Amt filtered for Tender Name = Cash

Store Num	Employee Name	Day	Check Num	Discount as % of Gross Sales	Gross Sales	Discount Amt	Net Sales	Cash Tender
76	Cassandra Conerway	10/03/2017	603675	97.55%	2.04	1.99	0.05	0.05
005	- · · ·	10/00/0017	100550	70 7 10/	47.67		0.50	0.00



Employee and Checks with High Discounts

Discount as percentage of Sales per check 🔅

Discount as % of Gross Sales > 0.60

Tender Amt > 0.01

Ph planet hollywood

Tender Amt filtered for Tender Name = Cash

Store Num	Employee Name	Day	Check Num	Discount as % of Gross Sales	Gross Sales	Discount Amt	Net Sales	Cash Tender
76	Cassandra Conerway	10/03/2017	603675	97.55%	2.04	1.99	0.05	0.05
205	Regina Santos	10/03/2017	108553	78.74%	17.97	14.15	3.53	3.82
358	JOSH PETERSON	10/03/2017	320858	86.15%	2.31	1.99	0.30	0.32
			320872	86.15%	2.31	1.99	0.30	0.32
	LACIE STOLTE	10/03/2017	260722	77.91%	1.72	1.34	0.35	0.38

#MCON17 Employee and Checks with High Discounts: Count of Checks

Discount as percentage of Sales per check COUNT O

Time Selection = Trailing 13 Weeks (07/03/2017 to 10/01/2017)

planet

hollywood

Store Num	Employee Name	Day	Check Num	Count of Checks	Discount as % of Gross Sales	Gross Sales	Discount Amt	Net Sales	Cash Tender
358	ALEXCIS MITCHELL	07/16/2017	569979	1	86. <mark>1</mark> 5%	2.31	1.99	0.30	0.32
		07/17/2017	332848	1	91.28%	4.36	3.98	0.35	0.38
		07/30/2017	573291	1	97.55%	2.04	1.99	0.05	0.05
		08/05/2017	574806	1	85.96%	4.63	3.98	0.60	0.65
		08/20/2017	303710	1	97.55%	4.08	3.98	0.10	0.11
		09/24/2017	317342	1	89.20%	6.02	5.37	0.60	0.65
	ALEXCIS MITCHELL Total			6	90.83%	23.44	21.29	2.00	2.16

#MCON17 Employee and Checks with High Discounts: Count of Checks

Discount as percentage of Sales per check COUNT O

Time Selection = Trailing 13 Weeks (07/03/2017 to 10/01/2017)

Ph planet hollywood

Store Num	Employee Name	Day	Check Num	Count of Checks	Discount as % of Gross Sales	Gross Sales	Discount Amt	Net Sales	Cash Tender
358	ALEXCIS MITCHELL	07/16/2017	569979	1	86.15%	2.31	1.99	0.30	0.32
		07/17/2017	332848	1	91.28%	4.36	3.98	0.35	0.38
		07/30/2017	573291	1	97.55%	2.04	1.99	0.05	0.05
		08/05/2017	574806	1	85.96%	4.63	3.98	0.60	0.65
		08/20/2017	303710	1	97.55%	4.08	3.98	0.10	0.11
		09/24/2017	317342	1	89.20%	6.02	5.37	0.60	0.65
	ALEXCIS MITCHELL Total			6	90.83%	23.44	21.29	2.00	2.16

#MCON17 Employee and Checks with High Discounts: Count of Checks

Discount as percentage of Sales per check COUNT O

Time Selection = Trailing 13 Weeks (07/03/2017 to 10/01/2017)

planet

hollywood

Store Num	Employee Name	Day	Check Num	Count of Checks	Discount as % of Gross Sales	Gross Sales	Discount Amt	Net Sales	Cash Tender
358	ALEXCIS MITCHELL	07/16/2017	569979	1	86.15%	2.31	1.99	0.30	0.32
		07/17/2017	332848	1	91.28%	4.36	3.98	0.35	0.38
		07/30/2017	573291	1	97.55%	2.04	1.99	0.05	0.05
		08/05/2017	574806	1	85.96%	4.63	3.98	0.60	0.65
		08/20/2017	303710	1	97.55%	4.08	3.98	0.10	0.11
		09/24/2017	317342	1	89.20%	6.02	5.37	0.60	0.65
	ALEXCIS MITCHELL Total			6	90.83%	23.44	21.29	2.00	2.16

High Empl Meal Discounts

Time Selection :	= Week-To-Date (09/04/201	7 to 09/07/2017)			
Store Name	Employee Name	Day	Empl Meal Discount as % of Sales	Employee Meal Discount Amt	Gross Sales
E Division	JAIME ARTACHE	09/05/2017	29.26%	15.00	51.2
Post Oak	SYLVIA MARGETA	09/06/2017	16.21%	2.99	18.4
S Central	DAVID BORER	09/06/2017	100.00%	4.99	4.99
W Division	IRMA QUINTANA	09/05/2017	39.79%	5.79	14.5



High Empl Meal Discounts

Discounts: Employee Meals 🌞

Time Selection = Week-To-Date (09/04/2017 to 09/07/2017)

Store Name	Employee Name	Day	Empl Meal Discount as %		Gross Sales
			Sales	Discount Amt	
E Division	JAIME ARTACHE	09/05/2017	29.	26% 15.00	51.2
Post Oak	SYLVIA MARGETA	09/06/2017	<u> </u>	21% 2.99	18.4
S Central	DAVID BORER	09/06/2017	100.	00% 4.99	4.9
W Division	IRMA QUINTANA	09/05/2017	39.	79% 5.79	14.5



High Empl Meal Discounts

Discounts: Employee Meals 🄅

Time Selection = Week-To-Date (09/04/2017 to 09/07/2017)

Store Name	Employee Name	Day		mpl Meal ount as % of Sales	Employee Meal Discount Amt	Gross Sales
E Division	JAIME ARTACHE	09/05/2017		29.26%		51.27
Post Oak	SYLVIA MARGETA	09/06/2017	0	16.21%	2.99	18.4
S Central	DAVID BORER	09/06/2017		100.00%	4.99	4.9
W Division	IRMA QUINTANA	09/05/2017		39.79%	5.79	14.5



High Empl Meal Discounts

Discounts: Employee Meals 🄅

Time Selection = Week-To-Date (09/04/2017 to 09/07/2017)

Store Name	Employee Name	Day	Empl Meal Discount as % of Sales	Employee Meal Discount Amt	Gross Sales
E Division	JAIME ARTACHE	09/05/2017	29.26%	6 15.00	51.2
Post Oak	SYLVIA MARGETA	09/06/2017	16.219	6 2.99	18.4
S Central	DAVID BORER	09/06/2017	100.00%	6 4.99	4.99
W Division	IRMA QUINTANA	09/05/2017	39.79%	6 5.79	14.5



Refunds After Close

Refunds after close 🌼

Time Selection = Previous Quarter (06/19/2017 to 09/17/2017)

Employee Name	Day	Hour AM/PM	Check Num	Refund Amt	Refund Count	Cash Tender Amt	Credit Card Tender Amt	Gift Card Tender Amt
AMBER MCKINNEY	06/19/2017	3:00 AM	915808	1.94	1	(1.94)		
	06/30/2017	2:00 AM	917274	2.14	1	(2.14)		
	09/06/2017	1:00 AM	927429	3.18	1	(3.18)		
	09/10/2017	2:00 AM	928118	2.21	1	(2.21)		
AMBER MCKINNEY Total				9.47	4	(9.47)		
GREIR GUIDRY	07/01/2017	2:00 AM	917455	16.54	1	(16.54)		
	08/10/2017	1:00 AM	923659	8.42	1	(8.42)		
GREIR GUIDRY Total				24.96	2	(24.96)		
LENA MCKINNEY	07/26/2017	2:00 AM	138065	21.22	1	(21.22)		
LENA McKINNEY Total				21.22	1	(21.22)		
TONY ESCARSEGA	06/25/2017	3:00 AM	243789	9.73	1	(9.73)		
	07/01/2017	1:00 AM	244941	2.80	1	(2.80)		
	07/28/2017	2:00 AM	249380	15.40	1	(15.40)		
	08/13/2017	1:00 AM	140345	7.13	1	(7.13)		
TONY ESCARSEGA Total				35.06	4	(35.06)		

Ph planet hollywood[™]

Refunds After Close

Refunds after close 🄅

Time Selection = Previous Quarter (06/19/2017 to 09/17/2017)

Employee Name	Day	Hour AM/PM	Check Num	Re1 A
AMBER MCKINNEY	06/19/2017	3:00 AM	915808	
	06/30/2017	2:00 AM	917274	
	09/06/2017	1:00 AM	927429	
	09/10/2017	2:00 AM	928118	
AMBER MCKINNEY Total				
GREIR GUIDRY	07/01/2017	2:00 AM	917455	
	08/10/2017	1:00 AM	923659	
GREIR GUIDRY Total				
LENA MCKINNEY	07/26/2017	2:00 AM	138065	
LENA McKINNEY Total		NZ		

Ph planet hollywood[™]

Refunds After Close

Refunds after close 🌻

planet hollywood

Employee Name	Day	Hour AM/PM	Check Num	Refund Amt	Refund Count	Cash Tender Amt	Credit Card Tender Amt	Gift Card Tender Amt
AMBER MCKINNEY	06/19/2017	3:00 AM	915808	1.94	1	(1.94)		
	06/30/2017	2:00 AM	917274	2.14	1	(2.14)		
	09/06/2017	1:00 AM	927429	3.18	1	(3.18)		
	09/10/2017	2:00 AM	928118	2.21	1	(2.21)		
AMBER MCKINNEY Total				9.47	4	(9.47)		

Refunds After Close

Refunds after close 🔅

Employee Name	Day	Hour AM/PM	Check Num	Refund Amt	Refund Count	Cash Tender Amt	Credit Card Tender Amt	Gift Card Tender Amt
AMBER MCKINNEY	06/19/2017	3:00 AM	915808	1.94	1	(1.94)		
	06/30/2017	2:00 AM	917274	2.14	1	(2.14)		
	09/06/2017	1:00 AM	927429	3.18	1	(3.18)		
	09/10/2017	2:00 AM	928118	2.21	1	(2.21)		
AMBER MCKINNEY Total				9.47	4	(9.47)		
GREIR GUIDRY	07/01/2017	2:00 AM	917455	16.54	1	(16.54)		
	00400047	4.00 414	000000	0.40	1.4	10, 101		



Refunds After Close

Refunds after close 🌻

Employee Name	Day	Hour AM/PM	Check Num	Refund Amt	Refund Count	Cash Tender Amt	Credit Card Tender Amt	Gift Card Tender Amt
GREIR GUIDRY	07/01/2017	2:00 AM	917455	16.54	1	(16.54)		
	08/10/2017	1:00 AM	923659	8.42	1	(8.42)	_	
GREIR GUIDRY Total				24.96	2	(24.96)		
LENA MCKINNEY	07/26/2017	2:00 AM	138065	21.22	1	(21.22)	_	
LENA McKINNEY Total				21.22	1	(21.22)		



Refunds After Close

Refunds after close 🔅

Employee Name	Day	Hour AM/PM	Check Num	Refund Amt	Refund Count	Cash Tender Amt	Credit Card Tender Amt	Gift Card Tender Amt
TONY ESCARSEGA	06/25/2017	3:00 AM	243789	9.73	1	(9.73)		
	07/01/2017	1:00 AM	244941	2.80	1	(2.80)		
	07/28/2017	2:00 AM	249380	15.40	1	(15.40)		
	08/13/2017	1:00 AM	140345	7.13	1	(7.13)	_	
TONY ESCARSEGA Total				35.06	4	(35.06)		



Happy Hour Items

Happy Hour Items 🔅

Minor Name = Happy Hour

Time Selection = Previous Day (10/03/2017 to 10/03/2017)

Hour	Qty Sold	Gross Sales
7:00 AM	1	6.99
8:00 AM	1	6.99
9:00 AM	2	13.98
4:00 PM	25	170.05
5:00 PM	60	391.20
6:00 PM	48	295.92
7:00 PM	36	203.31

planet hollywood

Happy Hour Items

Happy Hour Items 🔅

Minor Name = Happy Hour

Time Selection = Previous Day (10/03/2017 to 10/03/2017)

Hour	Qty Sold	Gross Sales
7:00 AM	1	6.99
8:00 AM	1	6.99
9:00 AM	2!	13.98
4:00 PM	25	170.05
5:00 PM	60	391.20
6:00 PM	48	295.92
7:00 PM	36	203.31

planet hollywood

Happy Hour Items

Happy Hour Items 🔅

Minor Name = Happy Hour

Time Selection = Previous Day (10/03/2017 to 10/03/2017)

Hour	Qty Sold	Gross Sales
7:00 AM	1	6.99
8:00 AM	1	6.99
9:00 AM	2:	13.98
4:00 PM	25	170.05
5:00 PM	60	391.20
6:00 PM	48	295.92
7:00 PM	36	203.31

planet hollywood

Happy Hour Items

Happy Hour Items Items

Hour	Employee Name	Qty Sold	Gross Sales
7:00 AM	ELLEN RICHARDS	1	6.99
8:00 AM	MICHELE BRAWLEY	1	6.99
9:00 AM	JENNIFER BENTDAHL	1	6.99
	WENDY GOMEZ	1	6.99

SVEGAS



How you can supplement what you have by integrating another data piece



Deposit Information

Cash over short 🄅

Over/Short < -40

planet hollywood

Store Name	Day	Gross Sales	Over/Short	Actual Deposit	Expected Deposit
Lusher	10/02/2017	3,889.95	(2,425.89)	0.00	2,425.89
League City	09/29/2017	4,458.50	(194.54)	2,505.00	2,699.54
Spring	09/29/2017	3,875.08	(66.43)	2,228.00	2,294.43
Fishers Landing	09/30/2017	4,400.93	(65.83)	2,459.00	2,524.83
Lusher	09/29/2017	4,806.93	(43.73)	2,971.00	3,014.73

Deposit Information

Cash over short 🄅

Over/Short < -40

planet hollywood

Store Name	Day	Gross Sales	Over/Short 🗘	Actual Deposit	Expected Deposit
Lusher	10/02/2017	3,889.95	(2,425.89)	0.00	2,425.89
League City	09/29/2017	4,458.50	(194.54)	2,505.00	2,699.54
Spring	09/29/2017	3,875.08	(66.43)	2,228.00	2,294.43
Fishers Landing	09/30/2017	4,400.93	(65.83)	2,459.00	2,524.83
Lusher	09/29/2017	4,806.93	(43.73)	2,971.00	3,014.73

Deposit Information

Cash over short 🄅

Over/Short < -40

planet hollywood

Store Name	Day	Gross Sales	Over/Short	Actual Deposit	Expected Deposit
Lusher	10/02/2017	3,889.95	(2,425.89)	0.00	2,425.89
League City	09/29/2017	4,458.50	(194.54)	2,505.00	2,699.54
Spring	09/29/2017	3,875.08	(66.43)	2,228.00	2,294.43
Fishers Landing	09/30/2017	4,400.93	(65.83)	2,459.00	2,524.83
Lusher	09/29/2017	4,806.93	(43.73)	2,971.00	3,014.73

Deposit Information

Cash over short 🄅

Over/Short < -40

planet hollywood

Store Name	Day	Gross Sales	Over/Short	% of Total deposit	Actual Deposit	Expected Deposit
Lusher	10/02/2017	3,889.95	(2,425.89)	(100.00%)	0.00	2,425.89
League City	09/29/2017	4,458.50	(194.54)	(7.21%)	2,505.00	2,699.54
Spring	09/29/2017	3,875.08	(66.43)	(2.90%)	2,228.00	2,294.43
Fishers Landing	09/30/2017	4,400.93	(65.83)	(2.61%)	2,459.00	2,524.83
Lusher	09/29/2017	4,806.93	(43.73)	(1.45%)	2,971.00	3,014.73
Tip Information (Declared Tips, Cash tips)

i Tip % 🌻

planet hollywood

Store Num	Employee Name	Gross Sales	Tip % 🏮	Total Tip	Credit Card Tips	Declared Tips
109	Johannus Grevelink	646.00	22.19%	143	143.35	0.00
103	Rojas Sarmiento	735.75	22.07%	162	159.90	2.50
	Ray Farris	1,063.75	21.49%	229	228.62	0.00
105	Andrew Jarnagin	2,072.25	21.48%	445	375.22	70.00
101	Eduardo Albarron	877.50	21.40%	188	187.80	0.00
	Sarai Hernandez	1,440.50	21.38%	308	307.91	0.00
102	Jorge Aviles	1,047.00	21.20%	222	222.00	0.00
101	Sandra Garcia	2,048.00	21.11%	432	432.29	0.00

Tip Information (Declared Tips, Cash tips)

🤨 Tip % 🌻

Store Num	Employee Name	Gross Sales	Tip % 🌲	Total Tip	Credit Card Tips	Declared Tips
109	Johannus Grevelink	646.00	22.19%	143	143.35	0.00
103	Rojas Sarmiento	735.75	22.07%	162	159.90	2.50
	Ray Farris	1,063.75	21.49%	229	228.62	0.00
105	Andrew Jarnagin	2,072.25	21.48%	445	375.22	70.00
101	Eduardo Albarron	877.50	21.40%	188	187.80	0.00
	Sarai Hernandez	1,440.50	21.38%	308	307.91	0.00
102	Jorge Aviles	1,047.00	21.20%	222	222.00	0.00
101	Sandra Garcia	2,048.00	21.11%	432	432.29	0.00



Tip Information (Declared Tips, Cash tips)

🤨 Tip % 🌻

h planet hollywood["]

Store Num	Employee Name	Gross Sales	Tip % 🗘	Total Tip	Credit Card Tips	Declared Tips
109	Johannus Grevelink	646.00	22.19%	143	143.35	0.00
103	Rojas Sarmiento	735.75	22.07%	162	159.90	2.50
	Ray Farris	1,063.75	21.49%	229	228.62	0.00
105	Andrew Jarnagin	2,072.25	21.48%	445	375.22	70.00
101	Eduardo Albarron	877.50	21.40%	188	187.80	0.00
	Sarai Hernandez	1,440.50	21.38%	308	307.91	0.00
102	Jorge Aviles	1,047.00	21.20%	222	222.00	0.00
101	Sandra Garcia	2,048.00	21.11%	432	432.29	0.00

#мсом17 Tip Information (Declared Tips, Cash tips)

i Tip % 🌻

planet hollywood

Store Num	Employee Name	Gross Sales	Tip % 🇘	Total Tip	Credit Card Tips	Declared Tips
109	Johannus Grevelink	646.00	22.19%	143	143.35	0.00
103	Rojas Sarmiento	735.75	22.07%	162	159.90	2.50
	Ray Farris	1,063.75	21.49%	229	228.62	0.00
105	Andrew Jarnagin	2,072.25	21.48%	445	375.22	70.00
101	Eduardo Albarron	877.50	21.40%	188	187.80	0.00
	Sarai Hernandez	1,440.50	21.38%	308	307.91	0.00
102	Jorge Aviles	1,047.00	21.20%	222	222.00	0.00
101	Sandra Garcia	2,048.00	21.11%	432	432.29	0.0

tore Num	Employee Name	Day	Check N	umber	Net Sales		Tip % 🏮	Total Tip
3	Rojas Sarmiento	10/02/2017	9024		3	8.00	65.79%	25.00
	Print Email							
	Store Employee Name Revenue Center Cover Count				# Open Time Close Time	4:11	2/2017 PM	
	Item Number 207208 (Menu Ite C. Clasico	m	Minute 4:07 PM		Qty 1	Amount \$20.00	
	210847 (211581 (Cubana Carapulora		4:39 PM 5:09 PM		1 1	\$25.00 \$31.00	
		Comp Birthday Comp Birthday		5:34PM 5:34PM		1 1	-\$19.00 -\$19.00	
				Т	otal Item Sa Discou	Tax:	\$76.00 \$3.80 (\$38.00)	
				Tot	al Amount [)ue:	\$41.80	
	Payments:				N Total	/isa: Tips:	\$66.80 \$25.00	
				1	Total Payme	-	\$66.80	

LASVEGAS

Ph planet hollywood[™]

Store Num	Em	ployee Name	Day	Check I	lum	Net Sales		Tip % 🏮	Total Tip
103	Roj	as Sarmiento	10/02/2017	9024		38	.00	65.79%	25.00
	ſ	Print Email							
		Store Employee Name Revenue Center Cover Count					4:11 F		
		Item Number 207208 0 210847 0		m	Minute 4:07 PN 4:39 PN	Λ	ty 1	Amount \$20.00 \$25.00	
Г		211581 C	arapulcra comp Birthday		5:09 PN 5:34PM	Л	1	\$31.00 -\$19.00	7
		2662 C	omp Birthday		5:34PM		1	-\$19.00	
					٦	Fotal Item Sal T Discour	ax:	\$76.00 \$3.80 (\$38.00)	_
					To	tal Amount D	ue:	\$41.80	
		Payments:						CCC 00	
						Total T	sa: ps:	\$66.80 \$25.00	
						Total Paymer	its:	\$66.80	

- mu

LAS VEGAS

Ph planet hollywood[™]

Manager Transaction or Audit File

- Some known examples:
 - PosiTouch \rightarrow mgr_tran
 - Aloha \rightarrow GNDAUDIT



Authorizing Manager

Manger reporting 🄅

planet hollywood

Time Selection = Week-To-Date (10/02/2017 to 10/04/2017)

		Day	10/02	2/2017	10/03	3/2017	10/04/2017	7
Store Num	Mgr Num	Mgr Name	Mgr Overring Amt	Mgr Overring Qty	Mgr Overring Amt	Mgr Overring Qty	Mgr Overring Amt 🗘	Mgr Overring Qty
56	11	SHELLEY	358.43	6	553.03	28	309.45	20
	56	DAHANA S	0.00	0	0.00	0	203.76	35
	20	ARAUJO	115.16	34	102.11	23	98.77	31
	22	NILSON	21.57	5	17.87	5	84.80	18
	5	ADAM	24.47	7	16.74	7	0.00	0
	41	JASMINE C	48.17	16	0.00	0	0.00	0

Authorizing Manager

Manger reporting 🄅

planet hollywood

Time Selection = Week-To-Date (10/02/2017 to 10/04/2017)

		Day	10/02	2/2017	10/03	3/2017	10/04/2017	7
Store Num	Mgr Num	Mgr Name	Mgr Overring Amt	Mgr Overring Qty	Mgr Overring Amt	Mgr Overring Qty	Mgr Overring Amt 🗘	Mgr Overring Qty
56	11	SHELLEY	358.43	6	553.03	28	309.45	20
	56	DAHANA S	0.00	0	0.00	0	203.76	35
	20	ARAUJO	115.16	34	102.11	23	98.77	31
	22	NILSON	21.57	5	17.87	5	84.80	18
	5	ADAM	24.47	7	16.74	7	0.00	C
	41	JASMINE C	48.17	16	0.00	0	0.00	(



Taking Fraud further with Sentinel



What is Sentinel?



Who would be interested in Sentinel?



Ph planet hollywood[™]

Check Clears by Employee

🚺 Check Clears 🌻

	Fraud Name	Checks Cle	ared To Zero	Clear Drawer Open 0.0000		
	Default Threshhold	0.0	0000			
Store 🗘 Num	Employee Name	Fraud Count	Impact Amt 🗘	Fraud Count	Impact Amt	
99	Haley Hargrove	4	255.26	4	94.8	
	Kelsey Campbell	3	88.52	3	58.5	
	Hanna Roesch	3	87.16	3	70.8	
	Charlotte Driver	3	25.20	3	14.5	
	Raleigh Moore	1	20.83	1	17.8	
	Andrea Bell	3	16.34	2	5.9	
	Jordan Crawford	1	9.57	1	6.0	
	Rebecca Collins	2	7.17	2	7.1	
	Diego Hernandez	2	4.49	2	4.4	



Check Clears by Employee

	Fraud Name	Checks Cleared To Zero 0.0000				
Store 🗸	Default Threshhold Employee Name	U.U. Fraud Count	Impact Amt 📮			
99	Haley Hargrove	4	255.26			
	Kelsey Campbell	3	88.52			
	Hanna Roesch	3	87.16			
	Charlotte Driver	3	25.20			

hollywood

Check Clears by Employee

	Fraud Name	raud Name Clear Drawer Open					
	Default Threshhold	0.0	000				
Store 🗘 Num	Employee Name	Fraud Count	Impact Amt				
99	Haley Hargrove	4	94.80				
	Kelsey Campbell	3	58.50				
	Hanna Roesch	3	70.82				
	Charlotte Driver	3	14.55				

Check Clears by Employee

i) Check Clears 🌻

	Fraud Name	Checks Cle	ared To Zero	Clear Dra	wer Open	
	Default Threshhold	0.0	0000	0.0000		
Store 🗘 Num	Employee Name	Fraud Count	Impact Amt 🗘	Fraud Count	Impact Amt	
	Haley Hargrove	4	255.26	4	94.80	
	Kelsey Campbell	3	88.52	3	58.50	
	Hanna Roesch	3	87.16	3	70.82	
	Charlotte Driver	3	25.20	3	14.5	
	Raleigh Moore	1	20.83	1	17.84	
	Andrea Bell	3	16.34	2	5.98	
	Jordan Crawford	1	9.57	1	6.08	
	Rebecca Collins	2	7.17	2	7.17	
	Diego Hernandez	2	4.49	2	4.49	



ph planet hollywood^{**}

Fraud Events Resolution Tracking

Fraud Alert Resolution Tracking 🌼

Fraud Name	Fraud Count	Impact Amt	Num Alerted Fraud Events	Resolved Alerted Fraud Events	Avg Days to Resolution
Gift Card Redeemed Multiple Times	430	3,936.65	430	421	17.75
Post-Close Comps All Tenders	13	551.95	13	9	7.75
Post-Close Promos All Tenders	17	779.06	17	17	6.5
Post-Close Voids All Tenders	117	3,808.59	114	114	15.5
Reopened Cash Checks w/Comps	1	0.16	1	1	1
Reopened Cash Checks w/Promos	9	18.94	9	9	3.5
Reopened Cash Checks w/Voids	5	18.32	5	5	2.5
Reprint Count/Check	19,193	0.00	N/A	N/A	N/A

Fraud Events Resolution Tracking

	i Fraud Alert Resolution Trackin	g 🌻				
	Fraud Name	Fraud Count	Impact Amt	Num Alerted Fraud Events	Resolved Alerted Fraud Events	Avg Days to Resolution
ſ	Gift Card Redeemed Multiple Times	430	3,936.65	430	421	17.75
	Poet Close Comps All Tandars	10	551.05	10	0	7 75



Fraud Events Resolution Tracking

🤨 Fraud Alert Resolution Tracking 🌻

Fraud Name	Fraud Count	Impact Amt	Num Alerted Fraud Events	Resolved Alerted Fraud Events	Avg Days to Resolution
Post-Close Comps All Tenders	13	551.95	13.	9	7.75
Post-Close Promos All Tenders	17	779.06	17	17	6.5
Post-Close Voids All Tenders	117	3,808.59	114	114	15.5
Beenened Cash Cheeks w/Compo	4	0.46	4	4	4



Fraud Events Resolution Tracking

Fraud Alert Resolution Tracking 🌞

Fraud Name	Fraud Count	Impact Amt	Num Alerted Fraud Events	Resolved Alerted Fraud Events	Avg Days to Resolution
Reopened Cash Checks w/Comps	1	0.16	1.	1.	1
Reopened Cash Checks w/Promos	9	18.94	9	9	3.5
Reopened Cash Checks w/Voids	5	18.32	5	5	2.5



ph planet hollywood^{**}

Fraud Events Resolution Tracking

Fraud Alert Resolution Tracking 🌼

Fraud Name	Fraud Count	Impact Amt	Num Alerted Fraud Events	Resolved Alerted Fraud Events	Avg Days to Resolution
Gift Card Redeemed Multiple Times	430	3,936.65	430	421	17.75
Post-Close Comps All Tenders	13	551.95	13	9	7.75
Post-Close Promos All Tenders	17	779.06	17	17	6.5
Post-Close Voids All Tenders	117	3,808.59	114	114	15.5
Reopened Cash Checks w/Comps	1	0.16	1	1	1
Reopened Cash Checks w/Promos	9	18.94	9	9	3.5
Reopened Cash Checks w/Voids	5	18.32	5	5	2.5
Reprint Count/Check	19,193	0.00	N/A	N/A	N/A

Questions?

Terri Pham Gonzalez Emily Duncan Tobar













For some reason, some clients believe they do not have "the right data" to report on fraud or loss prevention. Sometimes it is a report writing confidence issue and sometimes client just need some examples to get started.

Today, I will cover over some options for reporting Loss Prevention with Mirus

First, we will look at what you can do today and I will provide some example reports (with just TLD sales & labor)

- Then we will cover how to supplement and enhance what you have if you were to bring in an additional data source.
- Then we will discuss how to take it a bit further with Mirus Loss prevention Product Sentinel. We will cover what Sentinel is and if it is something that you may need



Employees that cons	istantly do not clock out 🄅	king ou		
Hour = 1:00 AM;	g 13 Weeks (06/05/2017 to 09/03/	2017)		
			Day	Total
			Hour	
Store Name 💂	Employee Name	Employee Number	Job Name	Count of not ÷
Georgetown Crossing	MARIANO MAQUEDA	578	Server	27
	ANTONIO GARCIA	714	Server	25
	ANAHI CORTES	546	Server	17
	JARED CONVERSE	557	Cooks	12
	CHELSEA DEBERRY	609	Cooks	12
Pearland	NATHAN CRABTREE	214	Server	9
	NICHOLE M SPANGLER	494	Busser	8

- Most clients already have a report that will notify if an employee does not clock out.
- But have you ever thought about trying to see which employee consistently does not clock out?
- The reason why this should be monitored is because the employee can just tell the manager the next day they left at a certain time. The manager will have to trust that the time they said was correct.
- The employee can leave at 8PM and tell the manager they left at 8:30PM.
- If they did that every few weeks or every few days, then it will go unnoticed.

Employees	that consi	stantly do not clock out 🔅	king ou		
Hour = 1:00 Time Selecti		g 13 Weeks (06/05/2017 to 09/03/2	2017)		
				Day	Total
				Hour	
Store	Name	Employee Name	Employee Number	Job Name	Count of not ↓ clocking out
Georgetown C	Georgetown Crossing	MARIANO MAQUEDA	578	Server	27
		ANTONIO GARCIA	714	Server	25
		ANAHI CORTES	546	Server	17
		JARED CONVERSE	557	Cooks	12
		CHELSEA DEBERRY	609	Cooks	12
Pearland		NATHAN CRABTREE	214	Server	9
		NICHOLE M SPANGLER	494	Busser	8

For this report I just looked at Labor Hours where the Hour is 1AM in the morning. I picked the 1AM hour but each client can be different based on when your employee should be off the clock.

For example: You can use 3AM if you restaurant closes at 11PM and everyone should be out by 2AM.

I used Reg Hour but you can also use Total Hours. The goal is to use a measure that indicates if an employee is working or clocked in at that hour..

MCON	¹⁷ Empl	oyees C clock	onsist		y not
		stantly do not clock out 🄅			
	Hour = 1:00 AM; Time Selection = Trailing	3 13 Weeks (06/05/2017 to 09/03/2	2017)		
				Day	Total
				Hour	
	Store Name 💂	Employee Name	Employee Number	Job Name	Count of not 💂 clocking out
	Georgetown Crossing	MARIANO MAQUEDA	578	Server	27
		ANTONIO GARCIA	714	Server	25
		ANAHI CORTES	546	Server	17
		JARED CONVERSE	557	Cooks	12
		CHELSEA DEBERRY	609	Cooks	12
	Pearland	NATHAN CRABTREE	214	Server	9
		NICHOLE M SPANGLER	494	Busser	8
h plaı hollyı	net Jood			A	LASVEGAS

Next, if you notice, this report is a trailing 13 week time selection to see who does this consistently across many weeks.

This report is the trailing 13 weeks, by store and employee

#MCON	Empl	oyees C clock	onsis ang or		y not
	Employees that consis	stantly do not clock out 🔅	<u> </u>		
	Hour = 1:00 AM; Time Selection = Trailing	13 Weeks (06/05/2017 to 09/03/20	17)		
				Day	Total
				Hour	
	Store Name	Employee Name	Employee Number	Job Name	Count of not 🖕 clocking out
	Georgetown Crossing	MARIANO MAQUEDA	578	Server	27
		ANTONIO GARCIA	714	Server	25
		ANAHI CORTES	546	Server	17
		JARED CONVERSE	557	Cooks	12
		CHELSEA DEBERRY	609	Cooks	12
	Pearland	NATHAN CRABTREE	214	Server	9
		NICHOLE M SPANGLER	494	Busser	8
eh pla holly	net wood [™]			JA	LASVEGA

You can see here that I have 3 servers who are doing this consistently.

Mariano is.. Antonio is..

Is the manager coaching them to reduce this number?

- The reason you want these employees to stop this behavior is because you also want to reduce the labor for the manager who is doing the adjustments.
- If the manager is spending 5 minutes everyday on these employees just making adjustments, then those 5 minutes add up.
- For example: in the trailing 13 weeks, Marino has had 27 times he did not clock out. 27 times 5 minutes = over 2 hours of time the manager has spent adjusting this one person

Employe	es that consi	Stantly do not clock out	king ou	Jt	
Hour = 1	:00 AM;	g 13 Weeks (06/05/2017 to 09/03/	2017)		
				Day	Total
				Hour	
Sto	ore Name	Employee Name	Employee Number	Job Name	Count of not 🖕 clocking out
Georgetov	Store Name 🗘	MARIANO MAQUEDA	578	Server	27
		ANTONIO GARCIA	714	Server	25
		ANAHI CORTES	546	Server	17
		JARED CONVERSE	557	Cooks	12
		CHELSEA DEBERRY	609	Cooks	12
Pearland		NATHAN CRABTREE	214	Server	9
		NICHOLE M SPANGLER	494	Busser	8
			-		

I also put Job on this report.

Here you notice there is a Busser who also has not clocked out.

Based on your own business rules you can interpret this as good or bad.

For Example:

- What if we know bussers are cut at 8:30 9PM everyday.
- If you have a busser that tells you they left at 9PM with every one else, but leaves early and doesn't clock out. You have no way of knowing the truth.

Questions?

Before we move on, take a minute to evaluate if you have this in your arsenal for labor or loss prevention reporting. This report will help you save the manager's time as well as \$

Discou	nt as percentage of Sa	iles per check	C 😫					
Tender Tender	nt as % of Gross Sales > Amt > 0.01 Amt filtered for Tender Na election = Previous Day (1	me = Cash)/03/2017)					
Store Num	Employee Name	Day	Check Num	Discount as % of Gross Sales	Gross Sales	Discount Amt	Net Sales	Cash Tender
76	Cassandra Conerway	10/03/2017	603675	97.55%	2.04	1.99	0.05	0.0
205	Regina Santos	10/03/2017	108553	78.74%	17.97	14.15	3.53	3.8
358	JOSH PETERSON	10/03/2017	320858	86.15%	2.31	1.99	0.30	0.3
			320872	86.15%	2.31	1.99	0.30	0.3
	LACIE STOLTE	10/03/2017	260722	77.91%	1.72	1.34	0.35	0.3

Let's take a look at Sales and Discounts.

Here is an example of a report looking at checks paid by Cash that have a discount by Employee, day, and store

This report has Gross Sales, Discount Amt, Net Sales, and Tender Amts filtered for Cash.

Discou	nt as percentage of Sa	ales per check	c 🤁						
Tender Tender	nt as % of Gross Sales > Amt > 0.01 Amt filtered for Tender Nar election = Previous Day (1	me = Cash)/03/2017)						
Store Num	Employee Name	Day	Check Num	Discount as % of Gross Sales	Gross Sales	Discount Amt	Net Sales	Cash Tender	
76	Cassandra Conerway	10/03/2017	603675	97.55%	2.04	1.99	0.05	0.0	
205	Regina Santos	10/03/2017	108553	78.74%	17.97	14.15	3.53	3.8	
358	JOSH PETERSON	JOSH PETERSON	10/03/2017	320858	86.15%	2.31	1.99	0.30	0.3
			320872	86.15%	2.31	1.99	0.30	0.3	
	LACIE STOLTE	10/03/2017	260722	77.91%	1.72	1.34	0.35	0.3	

To narrow the scope, I did a calculation looking at the discount as a % of the Gross Sales of the check and filtered to only show where the Discount % is over 60%.

Discount as percentage of Sales per check 🔅								
Tender A Tender A	it as % of Gross Sales > Amt > 0.01 Amt filtered for Tender Nar election = Previous Day (1	me = Cash	/03/2017)					
Store Num	Employee Name	Day	Check Num	Discount as % of Gross Sales	Gross Sales	Discount Amt	Net Sales	Cash Tender
76	Cassandra Conerway	10/03/2017	603675	97.55%	2.04	1.99	0.05	0.0
005		10/00/00/17	100550	70 7 10/	17.07		0.50	

Let's zoom into one check, the first check

This check was 2.04. The discount was 1.99 The customer paid .05 in Cash. This check has a 97.55% discount as percentage of check.

This could be a problem if you know you don't have any discounts that have a 97% discount as % of Sales. And the employee is pocketing the 1.99.

Or this could also be a problem if the employee is using this as a work around for opening the drawer. The Employee is ringing up a low cost item with a valid discount for a customer that orders something that doesn't need to go to the kitchen to be made (like a cup of coffee or soup)
Discou	nt as percentage of Sa	iles per check						
Tender Tender	nt as % of Gross Sales > Amt > 0.01 Amt filtered for Tender Na election = Previous Day (1	me = Cash	/03/2017)					
Store Num	Employee Name	Day	Check Num	Discount as % of Gross Sales	Gross Sales	Discount Amt	Net Sales	Cash Tender
76	Cassandra Conerway	10/03/2017	603675	97.55%	2.04	1.99	0.05	0.05
205	Regina Santos	10/03/2017	108553	78.74%	17.97	14.15	3.53	3.82
358	JOSH PETERSON	10/03/2017	320858	86.15%	2.31	1.99	0.30	0.32
			320872	86.15%	2.31	1.99	0.30	0.32
	LACIE STOLTE	10/03/2017	260722	77.91%	1.72	1.34	0.35	0.38

This report is only looking at one day, but you could see a trend with the employee if you expand this out to a week or month and see the same employee doing this consistently.

E	mployee a				vith Hig Checks	gn L	JISCO	bun	[S:
Disc	ount as percentage of Sale	s per check (•					
Time	e Selection = Trailing 13 Weeks	s (07/03/2017 to	0 10/01/2017))					
Store Num	e Employee Name	Day	Check Num	Count of Checks	Discount as % of Gross Sales	Gross Sales	Discount Amt	Net Sales	Cash Tender
358	ALEXCIS MITCHELL	07/16/2017	569979	1	86.15%	2.31	1.99	0.30	0.32
		07/17/2017	332848	1	91.28%	4.36	3.98	0.35	0.38
		07/30/2017	573291	1	97.55%	2.04	1.99	0.05	0.05
		08/05/2017	574806	1	85.96%	4.63	3.98	0.60	0.65
		08/20/2017	303710	1	97.55%	4.08	3.98	0.10	0.11
		09/24/2017	317342	1	89.20%	6.02	5.37	0.60	0.65
	ALEXCIS MITCHELL Total			6	90.83%	23.44	21.29	2.00	2.16
					w.				
^þ pl	anet ywood"		*			A			AS VEGAS

To take it one step further, I added a count of Checks and expanded this to trailing 13 weeks to see which employee does this consistently:

Disco	unt as percentage of Sale	s per check (¢.					
	Selection = Trailing 13 Weeks								
Store Num	Employee Name	Day	Check Num	Count of Checks	Discount as % of Gross Sales	Gross Sales	Discount Amt	Net Sales	Cash Tender
358	ALEXCIS MITCHELL	07/16/2017	569979	1	86.15%	2.31	1.99	0.30	0.3
		07/17/2017	332848	1	91.28%	4.36	3.98	0.35	0.3
		07/30/2017	573291	1	97.55%	2.04	1.99	0.05	0.0
		08/05/2017	574806	1	85.96%	4.63	3.98	0.60	0.6
		08/20/2017	303710	1	97.55%	4.08	3.98	0.10	0.1
		09/24/2017	317342	1	89.20%	6.02	5.37	0.60	0.6
	ALEXCIS MITCHELL Total			6	90.83%	23.44	21.29	2.00	2.1

To create the Check count, I used Measure builder. Discount Amt divided by Discount amt will always be one.

Disco	unt as percentage of Sale	s per check (•					
Time	Selection = Trailing 13 Weeks	(07/03/2017 to	10/01/2017;)					
Store Num	Employee Name	Day	Check Num	Count of Checks	Discount as % of Gross Sales	Gross Sales	Discount Amt	Net Sales	Cash Tender
358	ALEXCIS MITCHELL	07/16/2017	569979	1	86.15%	2.31	1.99	0.30	0.3
		07/17/2017	332848	1	91.28%	4.36	3.98	0.35	0.3
		07/30/2017	573291	1	97.55%	2.04	1.99	0.05	0.0
		08/05/2017	574806	1	85.96%	4.63	3.98	0.60	0.6
		08/20/2017	303710	1	97.55%	4.08	3.98	0.10	0.1
		09/24/2017	317342	1	89.20%	6.02	5.37	0.60	0.6
	ALEXCIS MITCHELL Total			6	90.83%	23.44	21.29	2.00	2.1

- Then I added total Line and using the measure aggregator, "summed" the Check Count
- So Alexis has done this 6 times in trailing 13 weeks, but this could be worse if it was 6 - 10 times in one day. You get the picture.
- Although there isn't a "count" measure, sometimes just creatively using features that Mirus has (like measure builder and total line displays) you can get to where you want to go.
- Alan is presenting more "tricks later after lunch so if you want to learn more tricks like this, I recommend you attend his training.

Questions?

	nployee Meals 🏶	17 to 09/07/2017)			_
Store Name	Employee Name	Day	Empl Meal Discount as % of Sales	Employee Meal Discount Amt	Gross Sales
E Division	JAIME ARTACHE	09/05/2017	29.26%	15.00	51.2
Post Oak	SYLVIA MARGETA	09/06/2017	16.21%	2.99	18.4
S Central	DAVID BORER	09/06/2017	100.00%	4.99	4.9
W Division	IRMA QUINTANA	09/05/2017	39.79%	5.79	14.5

Sometimes you want to dig into a specific discount. This is an example using Empl Meal Discounts as a % of Sales using a KPI. (key performance indicator)

The time selection is Week TO date, and this report is detailed by store, employee, and day

	nployee Meals 🄅 = Week-To-Date (09/04/201	7 to 09/07/2017)	_	-	
Store Name	Employee Name	Day	Empl Meal Discount as % of Sales	Employee Meal Discount Amt	Gross Sales
E Division	JAIME ARTACHE	09/05/2017	29.26%	15.00	51.2
Post Oak	SYLVIA MARGETA	09/06/2017	16.21%	2.99	18.4
S Central	DAVID BORER	09/06/2017	100.00%	4.99	4.9
W Division	IRMA QUINTANA	09/05/2017	39.79%	5.79	14.5

Here I have discount Amt filtered for the specific Discount Name,.

In this case the Discount Name is Employee Meal.

	nployee Meals 🄅 	17 to 09/07/2017)	_		
Store Name	Employee Name	Day	Empl Meal Discount as % of Sales	Employee Meal Discount Amt	Gross Sales
E Division	JAIME ARTACHE	09/05/2017	29.26%	15.00	51.2
Post Oak	SYLVIA MARGETA	09/06/2017	16.21%	2.99	18.4
S Central	DAVID BORER	09/06/2017	100.00%	4.99	4.9
W Division	IRMA QUINTANA	09/05/2017	39.79%	5.79	14.5
Division	IRMA QUINTANA	09/05/2017	39.79%	5.79	14

Then there is a calculation od Employee meal as a % of Sales

	nployee Meals 🄅 = Week-To-Date (09/04/201	17 to 09/07/2017)	_	-	
Store Name	Employee Name	Day	Empl Meal Discount as % of Sales	Employee Meal Discount Amt	Gross Sales
E Division	JAIME ARTACHE	09/05/2017	29.26%	15.00	51.2
Post Oak	SYLVIA MARGETA	09/06/2017	16.21%	2.99	18.4
S Central	DAVID BORER	09/06/2017	100.00%	4.99	4.9
W Division	IRMA QUINTANA	09/05/2017	39.79%	5.79	14.5
Division	IRMA QUINTANA	09/05/2017	39.79%	5.79	14

And the KPIs on this report have thresholds that I set.My threshold is anything up to 20% is yellow.Any employee grater than 20% is a red dot.Only Sylvia (who has a 16.21%) is yellow, everyone else is red.

- Again, this is my example. Your report should be tailored for your business. You can make this same report for specific coupons, specific promos or even the highest comps (like your open food comp). Your KPIs should match your specific business needs.
- The goal is to be aware which Employees at which stores are taking advantage of high value comps, promos, or coupons.

Questions?

Refunds after close		140/2017 1-	001171001	-					
Time Selection = Previo	Day	Hour AM/PM	Check Num	7) Refund Amt	Refund Count	Cash Tender Amt	Credit Card Tender Amt	Gift Card Tender Amt	
AMBER MCKINNEY	06/19/2017	3:00 AM	915808	1.94	1	(1.94)			
	06/30/2017	2:00 AM	917274	2.14	1	(2.14)			
	09/06/2017	1:00 AM	927429	3.18	1	(3.18)			
	09/10/2017	2:00 AM	928118	2.21	1	(2.21)			
AMBER MCKINNEY Total				9.47	4	(9.47)			
GREIR GUIDRY	07/01/2017	2:00 AM	917455	16.54	1	(16.54)			
	08/10/2017	1:00 AM	923659	8.42	1	(8.42)			
GREIR GUIDRY Total				24.96	2	(24.96)			
LENA MCKINNEY	07/26/2017	2:00 AM	138065	21.22	1	(21.22)			
LENA MCKINNEY Total				21.22	1	(21.22)			
TONY ESCARSEGA	06/25/2017	3:00 AM	243789	9.73	1	(9.73)			
	07/01/2017	1:00 AM	244941	2.80	1	(2.80)			
	07/28/2017	2:00 AM	249380	15.40	1	(15.40)			
	08/13/2017	1:00 AM	140345	7.13	1	(7.13)			
TONY ESCARSEGA Total				35.06	4	(35.06)			

Refunds after close are easy low hanging fruit.

- Especially since it seems easier to steal at night: closing and clean up is happening. Employees and Shift supervisors or managers are trying to leave, and everyone is tired.
- Here I have a report looking for refunds by employee, day, and check during the hours of 1AM – 3AM which is a time the restaurant is closed.

Refunds	Afte	r Cl	ose	
Refunds after close	ł			
Time Selection = Previou	s Quarter (06	/19/2017 to	09/17/201	7)
Employee Name	Day	Hour AM/PM	Check Num	Rel A
AMBER MCKINNEY	06/19/2017 06/30/2017 09/06/2017	2:00 AM	915808 917274 927429	
AMBER MCKINNEY Total	09/10/2017	2:00 AM	928118	
GREIR GUIDRY	07/01/2017		917455 923659	
GREIR GUIDRY Total	00,10,2017	1.007411	020000	
LENA MCKINNEY	07/26/2017	2:00 AM	138065	
LENA McKINNEY Total				

- Before we dig into the report, let me break this report down:
- I have Employee, Day, Hour and check
- This report is for the Previous Quarter to see if there are employees that are refunding after close subtly enough that they don't think anyone notices

#	MCON17								
		Ref	und	s Af	ter (Clos	e		
	Refunds after close 🕻	Þ							
	Time Selection = Previou	is Quarter (06	i/19/2017 to	09/17/201	7)				
	Employee Name	Day	Hour AM/PM	Check Num	Refund Amt	Refund Count	Cash Tender Amt	Credit Card Tender Amt	Gift Card Tender Amt
	AMBER MCKINNEY	06/19/2017		915808	1.94	1	(1.94)		
		06/30/2017		917274 927429	2.14 3.18	1	(2.14)		
		09/10/2017	2:00 AM	928118	2.21	1	(2.21)		
	AMBER MCKINNEY Total				9.47	4	(9.47)		
							1		······································
Ø) planet hollywood"		Ť						LASVEGAS

Measures Refund Amt, Refund Count

I have Tender Amt filtered for CASH,

Tender Amt Filtered for all credit Cards

And Tender Amt filtered for Gift Cards

This way I can see who did the refund and how did they get the money back.

I would rarely expect a credit card refund after close or a gift card, but since this is loss prevention, you just want to cover all of your bases.

Refunds after close	•							
Time Selection = Previou		6/19/2017 to	09/17/201	7)				
Employee Name	Day	Hour AM/PM	Check Num	Refund Amt	Refund Count	Cash Tender Amt	Credit Card Tender Amt	Gift Card Tender Amt
AMBER MCKINNEY	06/19/2017	3:00 AM	915808	1.94	1	(1.94)		
	06/30/2017	2:00 AM	917274	2.14	1	(2.14)		
	09/06/2017	1:00 AM	927429	3.18	1	(3.18)		
	09/10/2017	2:00 AM	928118	2.21	1	(2.21)		
AMBER MCKINNEY Total				9.47	4	(9.47)		
GREIR GUIDRY	07/01/2017	2:00 AM	917455	16.54	1	(16.54)		
	00/40/0047	4.00 414	000050	0.40	E.	(0,40)		
					i Ŷ	t		ai ai

- .The first Employee Amber has had 4, CASH refunds during the hours of 1AM – 3AM in the last quarter for a total of 9.47. This is an example of an employee that is skimming just a little and the amount is so small that it doesn't raise any alarms.
- But 9.47 is a grande latte and a cookie at Starbuks.

Refunds after close	•							
Time Selection = Previo	ous Quarter (06	i/19/2017 to	09/17/201	7)				
Employee Name	Day	Hour AM/PM	Check Num	Refund Amt	Refund Count	Cash Tender Amt	Credit Card Tender Amt	Gift Card Tender Amt
GREIR GUIDRY	07/01/2017	2:00 AM	917455	16.54	1	(16.54)		
	08/10/2017	1:00 AM	923659	8.42		(8.42)		
GREIR GUIDRY Total				24.96	2	(24.96)		
LENA McKINNEY	07/26/2017	2:00 AM	138065	21.22		(21.22)		
planet ollywood ⁼								

The two middle employees have larger Cash Refunds, but it is not as frequent.

One employee has 2 Cash Refunds for a total of \$25 And one only has 1 refund for \$21

The manager or shift can tell you if this is valid or if this was expected.

.

Refunds after close	•							
Time Selection = Previou	is Quarter (06	6/19/2017 to	09/17/201	7)				
Employee Name	Day	Hour AM/PM	Check Num	Refund Amt	Refund Count	Cash Tender Amt	Credit Card Tender Amt	Gift Card Tender Amt
TONY ESCARSEGA	06/25/2017 07/01/2017		243789 244941	9.73 2.80	1	(9.73) (2.80)		
	07/28/2017 08/13/2017		249380 140345	15.40 7.13	1	(15.40) (7.13)	_	
TONY ESCARSEGA Total				35.06	4	(35.06)		
						t		

- The last employee also has 4 separate Cash refunds after close for a total of \$35.
- Again, this should give you insight into which employees you need to look at.
- The best case scenario is to be looking at a report like this often so when you investigate checks and employees the Managers can help you in the investigation because they can remember last night. My report had previous Quarter to show you more data, but this could be run daily.

Questions?

Before we continue, I want to pause so you can let this report marinate a little lit and you can evaluate if you have this in your loss prevention aresenal

Happy Hour I			
Minor Name = Time Selection		0/03/2017 to 10/03/	2017)
Hour	Qty Sold	Gross Sales	
7:00 AM	1	6.99	
8:00 AM	1	6.99	
9:00 AM	2	13.98	
4:00 PM	25	170.05	
5:00 PM	60	391.20	
6:00 PM	48	295.92	
7:00 PM	36	203.31	
		1	I

This next report to to highlight items that should only be rung up during the Allotted Happy Hour Times (4Pm - 7PM)

This is just to remind you to not forget about other register keys that should only be used during a particular time of day.

This report Is Happy Hour Items sold yesterday detailed out by hour

#MCON17	Нарр	ο Ηοι	ır Iten	IS	
	Happy Hour I Minor Name =	Happy Hour			
	Time Selection	n = Previous Day (1	0/03/2017 to 10/03	/2017)	
	Hour	Qty Sold	Gross Sales		
	7:00 AM	1	6.99		
	8:00 AM	1	6.99		
	9:00 AM	2:	13.98		
	4:00 PM	25	170.05		
	5:00 PM	60	391.20		
	6:00 PM	48	295.92		
	7:00 PM	36	203.31		
4	n_ :				
Ph planet hollywood				A	ans

As you can see, we sold Happy Hour Items during the correct time 4PM -7PM

#MCON17	Нарр	ο Ηοι	ır Item	IS
	Happy Hour	tems 🔅		
	Minor Name = Time Selection		0/03/2017 to 10/03	/2017)
	Hour	Qty Sold	Gross Sales	
	7:00 AM	1	6.99	
	8:00 AM	1	6.99	
	9:00 AM	2:	13.98	
	4:00 PM	25	170.05	
	5:00 PM	60	391.20	
	6:00 PM	48	295.92	
	7:00 PM	36	203.31	
ŀ				
planet hollywood				A LINE USVEAS

You can also see the the hours of 7Am, 8AM, and 9AM happy hour items we rung up.

This can be just a coaching opportunity, or it can be an employee pocketing the difference and taking advantage of no one paying attention.

i Happy	Hour Items 🏟		
Hour	Employee Name	Qty Sold	Gross Sales
7:00 AM	ELLEN RICHARDS	1	6.99
8:00 AM	MICHELE BRAWLEY	1	6.99
9:00 AM	JENNIFER BENTDAHL	1	6.99
	WENDY GOMEZ	1	6.99

To take it further, if we added Employee to the report, you can see which Employees were ringing up Happy Hour Items during non Happy Hour times.

Questions?

- Before we continue, I want to pause so you can let this report marinate a little lit and you can evaluate if you have this in your loss prevention aresenal.
- Do you currently look at your happy hour items at other times of the day?



Now that we have covered some report examples of what you can create today, let's take a look at some examples of reports if you decided to bring in another data piece to supplement or enhance what you have.

You can take your loss prevention further if you want to integrate an additional data piece.

Cash over short	*				
Over/Short < -40	Custom (09/29/2017 t	io 10/03/2017)			
Store Name	Day	Gross Sales	Over/Short 🗘	Actual Deposit	Expected Deposit
Lusher	10/02/2017	3,889.95	(2,425.89)	0.00	2,425.8
League City	09/29/2017	4,458.50	(194.54)	2,505.00	2,699.5
Spring	09/29/2017	3,875.08	(66.43)	2,228.00	2,294.4
Fishers Landing	09/30/2017	4,400.93	(65.83)	2,459.00	2,524.8
Lusher	09/29/2017	4,806.93	(43.73)	2,971.00	3,014.7

Deposit Information (for Cash over short)

- Depending on your Point of Sales, Deposit information may or may not be included. You need this deposit information to calculate your cash over/short (since you need both expected and Actual deposit)Deposit Information is a popular data piece that many clients integrate in addition what they have.
- Here I have an example of a report using Over/short, Actual Deposit, Expected Deposit so you can see which day stores in the last 7 days had a short greater than \$40.

Cash over short	*				
Over/Short < -40	Custom (09/29/2017 to	0 10/03/2017)			
Store Name	Day	Gross Sales	Over/Short 🗘	Actual Deposit	Expected Deposit
Lusher	10/02/2017	3,889.95	(2,425.89)	0.00	2,425.8
League City	09/29/2017	4,458.50	(194.54)	2,505.00	2,699.5
Spring	09/29/2017	3,875.08	(66.43)	2,228.00	2,294.4
Fishers Landing	09/30/2017	4,400.93	(65.83)	2,459.00	2,524.8
Lusher	09/29/2017	4,806.93	(43.73)	2,971.00	3,014.7

As you can see, one store Lusher didn't drop their deposit at all on 10/2/2017.

- 10/2/2017 was a Monday so the banks weren't closed. Here is an opportunity for you to call up the manager to investigate.
- •

Cash over short	¢				
Over/Short < -40 Time Selection = 0	Custom (09/29/2017 t	o 10/03/2017)			
Store Name	Day	Gross Sales	Over/Short 🗘	Actual Deposit	Expected Deposit
Lusher	10/02/2017	3,889.95	(2,425.89)	0.00	2,425.8
League City	09/29/2017	4,458.50	(194.54)	2,505.00	2,699.5
Spring	09/29/2017	3,875.08	(66.43)	2,228.00	2,294.4
Fishers Landing	09/30/2017	4,400.93	(65.83)	2,459.00	2,524.8
Lusher	09/29/2017	4,806.93	(43.73)	2,971.00	3,014.7

And from the report you can see the other stores where they are short as well.

•

Cash over sho	rt 🌻					
Over/Short < -40 Time Selection =) = Custom (09/29/2	2017 to 10/03/201	7)			
Store Name	Day	Gross Sales	Over/Short 🗘	% of Total deposit	Actual Deposit	Expected Deposit
Lusher	10/02/2017	3,889.95	(2,425.89)	(100.00%)	0.00	2,425.89
League City	09/29/2017	4,458.50	(194.54)	(7.21%)	2,505.00	2,699.54
Spring	09/29/2017	3,875.08	(66.43)	(2.90%)	2,228.00	2,294.43
Fishers Landing	09/30/2017	4,400.93	(65.83)	(2.61%)	2,459.00	2,524.83
Lusher	09/29/2017	4,806.93	(43.73)	(1.45%)	2,971.00	3,014.73

To take it one step further, I added a % Total so you can see what the over short is compared to the Deposit.

- So for League City, who was short 194.54, that is 7%
- •
- Questions?
- •
- •
- How many of you have Cash over and short already?

🚯 Tip % 🌣						
Store Num	Employee Name	Gross Sales	Tip % 🗘	Total Tip	Credit Card Tips	Declared Tips
109	Johannus Grevelink	646.00	22.19%	143	143.35	0.00
103	Rojas Sarmiento	735.75	22.07%	162	159.90	2.50
	Ray Farris	1,063.75	21.49%	229	228.62	0.00
105	Andrew Jarnagin	2,072.25	21.48%	445	375.22	70.00
101	Eduardo Albarron	877.50	21.40%	188	187.80	0.00
	Sarai Hernandez	1,440.50	21.38%	308	307.91	0.00
102	Jorge Aviles	1,047.00	21.20%	222	222.00	0.00
101	Sandra Garcia	2,048.00	21.11%	432	432.29	0.00
	t ood ["]			i T		

- Additional Tip information: depending on your Point of Sale you may have declared tips, cash tips, credit card tips or just pieces of each.
- Adding a new integration for Additional Tip information for the missing pieces can help you look at tip % by employee by day/check to see if there are employees that could be making inappropriate tip adjustments

11 P 1110 Tip % 	nformatio	оп (Вес		npo	, 000	
Store Num	Employee Name	Gross Sales	Tip % 🗘	Total Tip	Credit Card Tips	Declared Tips
109	Johannus Grevelink	646.00	22.19%	143	143.35	0.00
103	Rojas Sarmiento	735.75	22.07%	162	159.90	2.50
	Ray Farris	1,063.75	21.49%	229	228.62	0.00
105	Andrew Jarnagin	2,072.25	21.48%	445	375.22	70.00
101	Eduardo Albarron	877.50	21.40%	188	187.80	0.00
	Sarai Hernandez	1,440.50	21.38%	308	307.91	0.00
102	Jorge Aviles	1,047.00	21.20%	222	222.00	0.00
101	Sandra Garcia	2,048.00	21.11%	432	432.29	0.00
plane ollywo						-

This report has Tip Amt (which is the total of Declared tip and Credit Card tips) detailed out by Employee and store

🤨 Tip % 🌣					Credit Card	
Store Num	Employee Name	Gross Sales	Tip % 🏮	Total Tip	Tips	Declared Tips
109	Johannus Grevelink	646.00	22.19%	143	143.35	0.00
103	Rojas Sarmiento	735.75	22.07%	162	159.90	2.50
	Ray Farris	1,063.75	21.49%	229	228.62	0.00
105	Andrew Jarnagin	2,072.25	21.48%	445	375.22	70.00
101	Eduardo Albarron	877.50	21.40%	188	187.80	0.00
	Sarai Hernandez	1,440.50	21.38%	308	307.91	0.00
102	Jorge Aviles	1,047.00	21.20%	222	222.00	0.00
101	Sandra Garcia	2,048.00	21.11%	432	432.29	0.00
	-					

To calculate the Tip %, I just took the Tip Amt and divide by the Gross Sales

I also narrowed the scope to look at the employees with greater than or equal to 21 to see who are my top tip earners.

ACON17 Tip € ™% ✿	Informa	-)ecla ps)	red T	ips, (Cash
Store Num	Employee Name	Gross Sales	Tip %	Total Tip	Credit Card Tips 143.35	Declared Tips
103	Rojas Sarmiento	735.75	22.07%	162	159.90	2.50
	Ray Farris	1,063.75	21.49%	229	228.62	0.00
105	Andrew Jarnagin	2,072.25	21.48%	445	375.22	70.00
101	Eduardo Albarron	877.50	21.40%	188	187.80	0.00
	Sarai Hernandez	1,440.50	21.38%	308	307.91	0.00
102	Jorge Aviles	1,047.00	21.20%	222	222.00	0.00
101	Sandra Garcia	2,048.00	21.11%	432	432.29	0.00
plane	t	*	Ð,			LASVEGA

You will notice one employee who has a tip percentage of 22.

This is the employee I will look at to see if there is anything fishy.

DN17	1					
Store Num	Employee Name	Day	Check Number	Net Sales	Tip % 🏮	Total Tip
103	Rojas Sarmiento	10/02/2017	9024	38.00	65.79%	25.00
	Print Email					
	Store Employee Name Revenue Center Cover Count		Chec		2/2017 PM	
	Item Number	Menu Ite		e AM/PM Qty	Amount	
	207208 C 210847 C		4:07 P		\$20.00 \$25.00	
		Carapulora	4.33 P		\$31.00	
		Comp Birthday Comp Birthday	5:34PM 5:34PM		-\$19.00 -\$19.00	
	2002			Total Item Sales: Tax: Discounts:	\$76.00 \$3.80 (\$38.00)	
	Daumantai		Το	tal Amount Due:	\$41.80	
	Payments:			Visa: Total Tips:	\$66.80 \$25.00	
				Total Payments:	\$66.80	
anet Iywood	-				Survey of the second	LAS

When you drill down we see one check that has a 69% Tip percentage (which is extremely high)

103	Rojas Sarmiento	10/02/2017	9024	38.00		
				30.00	65.79%	25.00
	Print Email					
	Store Employee Name			10/0	2/2017	
	Revenue Center Cover Count	Bar 1		Close Time 5:34		
	Item Number	Menu Ite C. Clasico	m Minute 4:07 PM	AM/PM Qty	Amount \$20.00	
	210847		4:39 PM		\$25.00	
		Carapulcra Comp Birthday	5:09 PN 5:34PM	A 1	\$31.00 -\$19.00	-
		Comp Birthday Comp Birthday	5:34PM	1	-\$19.00	
			1	Total Item Sales: Tax: Discounts:	\$76.00 \$3.80 (\$38.00)	
			To	tal Amount Due:	\$41.80]
	Payments:			Visa:	\$66.80	
				Total Tips:	\$25.00	
				Total Payments:	\$66.80	

- You can see this employee applied 2 Birthday Comps for \$38 which brought the check total from 76 to 41.80.
- This is probably why the customer tipped them 25.00 and paid 66.80 in a Visa.

Questions?

How many of you have tips and look for tip adjustments?



- Manager Transaction, or something similar is information that shines light on what your managers are doing. This file or set of files can show you which manager is doing improper things and can help you see into which managers are stealing from you. You could use this data to see if a specific manager is colluding with other employees.
- Audit File, or something similar, can shine light onto adjustments to checks. (when employees open the drawers without sales, reprint checks, void or refund checks after the check has been closed) Again, this is all based on your POS and what data they may have that you can integrate into Mirus.

Mange	r reporting	•						
Time S	election = We	ek-To-Date (10/	02/2017 to 10/04	/2017)				
		Day	10/03	2/2017	10/0	3/2017	10/04/2017	7
Store Num	Mgr Num	Mgr Name	Mgr Overring Amt	Mgr Overring Qty	Mgr Overring Amt	Mgr Overring Qty	Mgr Overring Amt	Mgr Overrin Qty
56	11	SHELLEY	358.43	6	553.03	28	309.45	
	56	DAHANA S	0.00	0	0.00	0	203.76	
	20	ARAUJO	115.16	34	102.11	23	98.77	
	22	NILSON	21.57	5	17.87	5	84.80	
	5	ADAM	24.47	7	16.74	7	0.00	
	41	JASMINE C	48.17	16	0.00	0	0.00	

This is an example of a report looking at Overrings that only manager can approve to see which manager uses the overrings the most

•

Mange	r reporting	•						
Time S	Selection = We	eek-To-Date (10	02/2017 to 10/04	2017)				
		Day	10/02	2/2017	10/0	3/2017	10/04/2017	7
Store Num	Mgr Num	Mgr Name	Mgr Overring Amt	Mgr Overring Qty	Mgr Overring Amt	Mgr Overring Qty	Mgr Overring Amt 🗘	Mgr Overring Qty
56	11	SHELLEY	358.43	6	553.03	28	309.45	:
	56	DAHANA S	0.00	0	0.00	0	203.76	:
	20	ARAUJO	115.16	34	102.11	23	98.77	
	22	NILSON	21.57	5	17.87	5	84.80	
	5	ADAM	24.47	7	16.74	7	0.00	
	41	JASMINE C	48.17	16	0.00	0	0.00	

- As you can see Shelley is the only manager that uses the overrings the most.
- •
- Based on your business you can set thresholds to say if \$553 or \$309 is appropriate for the manager and your business.
- •
- •
- Questions?
- •
- •



Sentinel is a Mirus Product that focuses on Fraud Detection



- Sentinel is Mirus product designed for fraud detection.
- Detects fraudulent activity within your restaurant by monitoring for multiple fraud events: Clears, Comps, Promos, Refunds, Voids, Re-opens and adjustments to Checks after close of checks, RePrints of checks, and more
- Thresholds are customizable
- Mirus will alert Managers or owners
- Then, the manager has to log into Mirus to take an action against the fraud event. to close the loop and resolve the Fraud Event



- Those dedicated to reducing fraud and want something automated, accurate, and responsive.
- Those who want to be able to have more visibility into which employees are stealing and to be able to track and monitor actions taken by Managers, District Managers, and operators.

i Ch	eck Clears 🔅				
	Fraud Name Default Threshhold		eared To Zero 0000		wer Open 000
Store 🗘 Num	Employee Name	Fraud Count	Impact Amt 🇘	Fraud Count	Impact Amt
99	Haley Hargrove	4	255.26	4	94.80
	Kelsey Campbell	3	88.52	3	58.50
	Hanna Roesch	3	87.16	3	70.82
	Charlotte Driver	3	25.20	3	14.5
	Raleigh Moore	1	20.83	1	17.84
	Andrea Bell	3	16.34	2	5.98
	Jordan Crawford	1	9.57	1	6.08
	Rebecca Collins	2	7.17	2	7.1
	Diego Hernandez	2	4.49	2	4.49

- This report is an example of 2 Fraud Events detailed by Employee and Store.
- The Fraud event is Check Cleared to Zero.
- The Threshold or expectation is that Employees are not allowed to clear an entire check to zero.
- •
- When this happens, it triggers Sentinel.
- A Fraud Count is created.
- The Impact Amt is the total of all of the items on the check that got cleared to zero.
- •
- •

#MCON17	Check Clears	by Empl	оуее
i Ch	ieck Clears 🌻		
	Fraud Name Default Threshhold		eared To Zero
Store 🗘 Num	Employee Name	Fraud Count	Impact Amt 🗘
99	Haley Hargrove	4	255.26
	Kelsey Campbell	3	88.52
	Hanna Roesch	3	87.16
	Charlotte Driver	3	25.20
Ph planet hollywood			LIS VEGAS

- For example: Haley has done this on 4 Checks, clearing out a total of \$255.26.
- •
- These 4 checks are flagged and will require the manager to investigate and then based on that finding, either coach or terminate.
- •
- •
- •
- •

i Cl	ieck Clears 🌻			
	Fraud Name	Clear Dra	wer Open	
	Default Threshhold	0.0000		
Store 🗘 Num	Employee Name	Fraud Count	Impact Amt	
99	Haley Hargrove	4	94.80	
	Kelsey Campbell	3	58.50	
	Hanna Roesch	3	70.82	
	Charlotte Driver	3	14.55	

- The second Fraud event is Clear Drawer Open
- Which means the employee cleared the items off the check and then opened the drawer
- Employees are not allowed to clear items AND then open the drawer.
- Example, I ring in a burger and fries, tell the customer it is #10 dollars, then clear all of the items off the check and take the 10 dollars.
- •
- Here Haley did this on 4 checks for a total of \$94.80.
- •
- If you didn't have sentinel to alert you when someone clears things off a check AND opens the drawer, you would never know because their drawer would be even.

i) Cł	neck Clears 🌻				
	Fraud Name	Checks Cle	eared To Zero	Clear Dra	wer Open
	Default Threshhold	0.	0000	0.0	000
Store 🗘 Num	Employee Name	Fraud Count	Impact Amt 🇘	Fraud Count	Impact Amt
99	Haley Hargrove	4	255.26	4	94.8
	Kelsey Campbell	3	88.52	3	58.5
	Hanna Roesch	3	87.16	3	70.8
	Charlotte Driver	3	25.20	3	14.5
	Raleigh Moore	1	20.83	1	17.8
	Andrea Bell	3	16.34	2	5.9
	Jordan Crawford	1	9.57	1	6.0
	Rebecca Collins	2	7.17	2	7.1
	Diego Hernandez	2	4.49	2	4.4

- These are just 2 Fraud Events out of many within Sentinel.
- •
- •
- •
- •

Fraud Alert Resolution Tracki	ing 🌻				
Fraud Name	Fraud Count	Impact Amt	Num Alerted Fraud Events	Resolved Alerted Fraud Events	Avg Days to Resolution
Gift Card Redeemed Multiple Times	430	3,936.65	430	421	17.7
Post-Close Comps All Tenders	13	551.95	13.	9	7.7
Post-Close Promos All Tenders	17	779.06	17	17	6.
Post-Close Voids All Tenders	117	3,808.59	114	114	15.3
Reopened Cash Checks w/Comps	1	0.16	1.	1	
Reopened Cash Checks w/Promos	9	18.94	9	9	3.3
Reopened Cash Checks w/Voids	5	18.32	5	5	2.1
Reprint Count/Check	19,193	0.00	N/A	N/A	N//

- This is just a Sample report that you can only create with Sentinel.
- Since Sentinel is a closed loop system, the manager has to resolve the Fraud event by taking an action (coach, terminate, etc) They resolve the fraud event in Mirus so everything is logged with time stamps and by who.
- This report lists some Fraud events, the Fraud count of those events, the impact amount of those fraud events, the Number of alerts that went out, the number of fraud events that were resolved by the manager, and the avg number of days it took for your managers to "resolve the event" for the Quarter
- •
- •

Fraud Eve Fraud Alert Resolution Trace		Reso	olution	Гаск	ing
Fraud Name	Fraud Count	Impact Amt	Num Alerted Fraud Events	Resolved Alerted Fraud Events	Avg Days to Resolution
Gift Card Redeemed Multiple Times	430	3,936.65	430	421	17.7
Poet Close Comps All Tandors	10	661.05	10	n	77
			4	, .	

- For example.
- Fraud Event: Gift Cards redeemed multiple times,
- Which means the serial number of a gift card has been used multiple times on different checks.
- in the Quarter, this happened 430 times for a total of \$3,900
- 421 out of the 430 events were resolved (actions taken by the manager) and it took an average of 17.75 days for the managers to take the action.
- •
- This report gives you insight to the managers actually following up with the employees.
- This report is summary level, but you could drill down to see with Store/Employee Check these events occurred on.
- •

Fraud Alert Resolution Tracking					
Fraud Name	Fraud Count	Impact Amt	Num Alerted Fraud Events	Resolved Alerted Fraud Events	Avg Days to Resolution
Post-Close Comps All Tenders	13	551.95	13.	9	7.7
Post-Close Promos All Tenders	17	779.06	17	17	6.
Post-Close Voids All Tenders	117	3,808.59	114	114	15.
Respond Cash Chesks w/Compo		0.46	4	1	

- There are some Fraud Events that the managers reacted better to.
- •
- Example: All of the Post Close Fraud Events:
- Post Close event are when a check is closed, and an employee re-opens the check and applies a Comp, Promo, or Void to the check.
- This Post Close is for all Tenders (Credit Cards and Cash)
- •
- You can see the the avg number of days to resolutions is smaller (7.75, 6.5.15.5 days)
- •

Fraud Alert Resolution Tracking					
Fraud Name	Fraud Count	Impact Amt	Num Alerted Fraud Events	Resolved Alerted Fraud Events	Avg Days to Resolution
Reopened Cash Checks w/Comps	1	0.16	1	1	
Reopened Cash Checks w/Promos	9	18.94	9	9	3.
Reopened Cash Checks w/Voids	5	18.32	5	5	2.

- The Fraud Events where the managers are the fastest at resolving are the Reopened Cash Checks.
- These events only focus on the CASH Checks that are then re-opened and a Comp, Promo, or a void is applied.
- You can see that the Avg Days to Resolutions is much smaller (1, 3.5, 2.5) so in these cases, the fraud event happens, the manager gets alerted, and they speak to the employee within that same week.
- This is probably why the Fraud Counts are lower since employees know Managers are watching for when you re-open cash checks.

Fraud Alert Resolution Tracking 🏟							
Fraud Name	Fraud Count	Impact Amt	Num Alerted Fraud Events	Resolved Alerted Fraud Events	Avg Days to Resolution		
Gift Card Redeemed Multiple Times	430	3,936.65	430	421	17.75		
Post-Close Comps All Tenders	13	551.95	13.	9	7.75		
Post-Close Promos All Tenders	17	779.06	17	17	6.5		
Post-Close Voids All Tenders	117	3,808.59	114	114	15.5		
Reopened Cash Checks w/Comps	1	0.16	1.	1	1		
Reopened Cash Checks w/Promos	9	18.94	9	9	3.5		
Reopened Cash Checks w/Voids	5	18.32	5	5	2.5		
Reprint Count/Check	19,193	0.00	N/A	N/A	N/A		

- This is just a small example of what Sentinel can do.
- If you are interested in discussing Sentinel, we can always have a side conversation, or after MCON, you can get with your account coordinator to discuss building any of these reports in your database, adding some more integrations or even a Sentinel Demo...
- •
- Questions?
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •



