

- The mCLASS Reporting and Analysis Suite, or RAS, provides access to various views of aggregate data.
- These reports CLICK allow you to drill from the state level to the student level to see views of data across districts, schools, teachers, and individual classes.
- CLICK they help **you** analyze data so you can make informed decisions about students and instruction.



- The Reporting and Analysis Suite is accessible to full and system level mCLASS users.
 - Generally, RAS is used by district officials, program leaders, principals, school leaders, literacy, and math coaches.
- Teachers usually do not have access to RAS. Teachers *do* have access, however, to the classroom reports on mCLASS:Home.

mCLASS R	eporting and	Analysis Suit	e
Score Reports		Fidelity Reports	
How are students pe	erforming?	Are Benchmark and Pr assessments being cor	0
	Comparing Populations		Benchmark Completion
	Comparing Measures		Progress Monitoring Fidelity
	Correlation		

- The Reporting and Analysis Suite provides information in two categories.
- CLICK Score reports display data on student performance. These reports can assist in planning for additional resources, providing additional support for teachers in need, and determining the effectiveness of instruction at your school.
- CLICK Fidelity reports help determine fidelity at your school to Benchmark and Progress Monitoring guidelines.



• Let's preview what each of these reports can tell you.



• The Comparing Populations report can help you answer questions like: "What is the performance of various populations of students, such as by grade and by school?" because it compares the performance of different populations on a single measure or indicator.



• The Comparing Measures report can help you answer questions like: "What are instructional areas of strength or weakness?" because it compares how students have performed on various assessment measures.



• The Correlation report can help you answer questions like, "How effective have teachers or grade levels or entire schools been at moving students between performance levels?" because because it compares student performance from one time of year to another, or between two measures at the same time period.



• The Benchmark Completion report can help you answer questions like, "Have all students completed Benchmark assessments?"



• The Progress Monitoring Fidelity report can help you answer questions like: "Have all students who require progress monitoring been assessed at the recommended rate?" because it shows which students have been progress monitored at the recommended rate.

Score Reports All reports after B 	All reports after Benchmark window		pletion 3-5x/week nd at the close of the
Correlation after	MOY and EOY	window	
[=====]	Comparing	 Progress Monitor 2 weeks 	ing Fidelity every
	Populations		Benchmark Completion
	Comparing Measures		
	Correlation	** 55 55 # ** 55 55 # ** 55 55 # ** 55 55 # ** 55 55 # ** 55 55 55 # ** 55 55 55 #	Progress Monitoring Fidelity

- As an Instructional Leader, you will soon discover the best way to use these reports based on your school needs.
- Here are some suggestions for how often these reports should be run and at what times of year.
 - CLICK Score reports should be generated right after the close of the benchmark assessment window. Viewing these reports soon after the benchmark window closes will allow you to see how the students in your school are performing and if the instruction is meeting the needs of all students.
 - Another reason for viewing these reports soon after the close of the window will allow you think about what adjustments should be made to instruction and/or resources in between assessment windows.
- CLICK The Correlation reports are helpful to run at MOY and at EOY so that you can compare multiple assessment windows.
 - Note that these reports can also be run at BOY if you want to compare data from the previous year.
- CLICK It is recommended that you run the Benchmark Completion report at least 3-5 times per week during the benchmark assessment window. Running this report frequently can help ensure that all students are assessed during the window. You will also be able to check-in with teachers who may be behind on their benchmark assessment.
- CLICK Progress Monitoring Fidelity reports should be run very 2 weeks. This is because the students most in need of instructional support with be progress monitored every 1 to 2 weeks. Keeping an eye on the fidelity of progress monitoring will help ensure

those students are receiving the support they need and also if any teachers require any additional resources to help maintain fidelity.



• To access the Reporting and Analysis Suite, go to www.mclasshome.com and enter your mCLASS username and password.



• CLICK Click the orange **Reporting** tile.

My Repor	ts	
Amplify. Repo	orting	RAS 1234 Log Out
My Reports My Dashboard	View My Data 🗸	Halp
		e reports have been retired. To access your data using our new reports, click into the sporting and Analysis Suite navigation and reports.
Show reports saved or sent to me	during: 2017-2018	
My Saved Reports		Reports Sent to Me
My Saved Reports	3D Measure Breakdown (Teacher) - March 7, 2016 Description: Edit Delete	Reports Sent to Me Your colleagues can share reports with you. When they do, you will see your shared reports here, instead of this message.

- The first page you see is the My Reports.
- CLICK Saved and sent reports appear here.



• Click **View my Data** and choose your assessment product from the drop-down menu.

Amplify. Repor	rting	1 Home	RAS 1234 Log Out Part Admin Classes Book List Support
ly Reports My Dashboard	View My Data 🗸		Help
Analyze Performance		Monitor Fidelity	
Comparing Populations	Compare student performance in one measure across different institutional breakdowns or by student demographics for one or more periods.	Completion Report	Review the completion status of the current benchmark assessments and/or compare it to the completion rates from earlier periods.
Correlation	Trace student performance in particular measures over time, or compare performance in two different measures.	Progress Monitoring Fidelity	View the rate at which educators administer progress monitoring to students.
Comparing Measures	Create an assessment scorecard for one or more student populations (e.g., for one district, for multiple schools).		

- Featured Reports are the one-click score and fidelity reports. These reports are based on commonly used parameters for each report type. They will load automatically with a starting set of filters.
- You can further customize these reports using the parameter settings.

View		ែំ Population		Time		📕 Measure	
Segment Results by		Grade		School Year		Show Completion For	
Teacher	\sim	All Grades	\sim	2016-2017	\sim	DIBELS Next	1
Grade Divider		District		Period			
Off	\sim	Training District	\sim	16-17 BOY	\sim		
		School		As Of			
		East School	\sim	10/10/2016 🛗			
		Teacher					
		All Teachers	\sim				
Student Filters	_		Cancel	Jpdate Report	_	Cle	ar Filter

- Educators can tailor these Score and Fidelity reports to specifically show data based on four elements: View, Population, Time, and Measure.
- CLICK **Population** selections determine what set or subset of students is represented in the report body.

View		fill Population	Population		Time	
Segment Results by		Grade		School Year		Show Completion For
Teacher	\sim	All Grades	\sim	2016-2017	\sim	DIBELS Next
Grade Divider		District		Period		
Off	\sim	Training District	\sim	16-17 BOY	\sim	
		School		As Of		
		East School	\sim	10/10/2016		
		Teacher				
		All Teachers	\sim			
> Student Filters						Clear Filt
		C	ancel	Jpdate Report		

• CLICK **Time** selections determine which school year and assessment periods are shown.

View	v	Population	n	Time		🔓 Measure	
Segment Results by		Grade		School Year		Show Completion For	
Teacher	\sim	All Grades	\sim	2016-2017	\sim	DIBELS Next	`
Grade Divider		District		Period			
Off	\sim	Training District	\sim	16-17 BOY	\sim		
		School		As Of			
		East School	\sim	10/10/2016			
		Teacher					
		All Teachers	\sim				
> Student Filters			Cancel	Update Report		Clear F	ilter

• CLICK **Measure** selections determine which assessment or combination of assessments is shown.

O View		Population	1	le Time		JE Measure	
Segment Results by		Grade		School Year		Show Completion For	
Teacher	\sim	All Grades	\sim	2016-2017	\sim	DIBELS Next	~
Grade Divider		District		Period			
Off	\sim	Training District	\sim	16-17 BOY	\sim		
		School		As Of			
		East School	\sim	10/10/2016			
		Teacher					
		All Teachers	\sim				
> Student Filters			Cancel	Update Report		ci	ear Filter

- CLICK And finally **View** selections set the scope of your report.
 - Segment Results selects the level of the student population that you want represented by each bar in the report body.
 - Turning On the Grade Divider separates the selected student population into the grades in which its students are enrolled.
- CLICK Student Filters are accessible by clicking the arrow below the parameters.

Student Filters)						Clear Filter
Custom Filters BOY to MOY PM Rec	$\mathbf{\vee}$	BOY to MOY PM Rec - S 💊	MOY	to EOY PM Rec	\sim	MOY to EOY PM Rec - S	ip 🗸
Spanish BOY to MOY PM	A V	Spanish MOY to EOY PM 🗸	1				
Standard Filters			_				
Alternate Assessment	\sim	Approved Accommodat 💊	Class	ed/Unclassed	\sim	Disability	\sim
ELL Status	\sim	Economically Disadvant 🗸	/ Englis	sh Proficiency	\sim	Gender	\sim
Home Language	\sim	Meal Status	Migra	int	\sim	Race	\sim
Section 504	\sim	Special Education	/ Speci	fic Disability	\sim	Title 1	\sim

- CLICK A number of Student Filters can be used to further narrow the scope of the report to reflect a specific demographic slice of the selected student population.
 - Student filters will only work for institutions that submit student demographic information with their student enrollment.
- CLICK Click the arrow to the left of Student Filters to expand this area and display the lists.
 - Click the arrow again to hide the Student Filters area.
- CLICK Clicking Clear Filters at the top right removes all the filters applied to the report when you update it.
- CLICK Click any list to view its filter values.
 - Lists with selected values display with orange labels.
- When applying student filters, keep in mind that filtering by multiple attributes may be so granular that your selections will not match any students.
 - In this case, an error message displays, and you should clear your filter selections by clicking Clear Filters or by clicking each list with orange text and clearing your selections from that list.
- CLICK If your institution worked with Amplify to create custom student demographics, they display above the standard filters shown here.





Center for Early Reading Amplify	Reporting	10 Home	RAS 1234 Log Out	
Reports My Dashboard	View My Data 🗸	Monitor Fidelity	Halp	
Comparing Populations	Compare student. performance in one measure across different institutional breakdowns or by student. demographics for one or more periods.	Completion Report	Review the completion status of the current benchmark assessments and/or compare the status of the status of the status from earlier periods.	
Correlation	Trace student performance in particular measures over time, or compare performance in two different measures.	Progress Monitoring Fidelity	View the rate at which educators administer progress monitoring to students.	
Comparing Measures	Create an assessment scorecard for one or more student populations (e.g., for one district, for multiple schools).			

- Ms. Halladay navigates in Amplify to the Comparing Populations report.
- This score report displays the performance of one or more student populations on a single assessment or assessment measure at one or more points in time.

Set Parame	eters		
Comparing Populations	CLASS:DIBELS V		
View	Population	C Time	LE Measure
Segment Results by	Grade	School Year	Measure
Grade 🗸	All (PK - 12)	2016-2017 🗸	Composite Score 🗸
		Parameters	
> Student Filters	Grade Pre-K		
	Grade 1 Grade 2	Report	
	Grade 3		
		1	
Amplify.			

- The report will first ask her to set her parameters.
- Depending on the access level of a user, there will be different options under the "segment results" dropdown.
 - District-level administrators would see a report comparing schools, while Ms. Halladay can only see options for within her school.
- CLICK She wants to view Composite Score data for grades K-2 by grade, CLICK and she selects these options under the View and Population parameters.
- She then clicks the orange button View Report to load the report.

View	Population	Time	Į.	Measure
Segment Results by: Grade	Grade: Grade K, Grade 1	School Year: 2016-2017	Measure: Con	nposite Score
Report Level: School Grade Divider: Off Bar Length: Percentage	District: Canterbury School District School: Willow Elementary School	Period: 16-17 MOY Show Students Enrolled: On Test Day	Performance I Level Filter: A	Measurement: Levels II Levels
District Grade ≑	📕 Well Below Ben 💠 📕 Below Ben	chma 💠 📕 Benchmark 🗘	Above Benchma 🗘	Total Students 💠
Willow Elementary School				Grade Dividers
Refe	rence Data (Compare these results against a	wider population)		
Grade K	8 (16%) 10 (50
	8 (16%) 10 (.	20%) 17 (34	%) 15	(30%)
Grade 1				48
	9 (19%)	17 (35%) 2 (4%)	20	(42%)
Grade 2				50
	2 4 (8%) 12 ((4%)	24%)	32	(64%)

• She sees that at MOY, Grade 1 has the greatest number of students still Well Below Benchmark, or at red. She decides to expand her parameters to include BOY data to see if, since BOY, this represents an improvement or a decline in students reaching proficiency.



- She clicks on the orange Change Parameters Button.
- CLICK adds BOY scores by clicking the checkbox next to BOY under Time and under Period.
- CLICK Then she clicks the orange button Update Report to see her adjusted report.
- CLICK She notices that while it seemed before that Grade 1 had the most students in red, at 19%, the grade's teachers had been most effective of the three grades at moving students out of the Well Below Benchmark performance level; in fact, they'd cut their numbers by half! Whereas the Kindergarten teachers had only reduced their students at red by 2, or 4%.
- CLICK She decides to investigate further into Kindergarten, since she thinks the Grade 1 teachers have made such remarkable progress in the first part of the year.
- CLICK She clicks on the words "Grade K" to see the report now by Teacher.



- In viewing the single data point, the DIBELS Next Composite Score, now by teacher, Ms. Halladay can see that the CLICK students of one teacher, Mr. Blackwell, didn't make any movement out of Well Below Benchmark. CLICK And in fact, even many of the students who started Above Benchmark fell to Benchmark.
- CLICK In the other K classroom, Ms. Bunk's room, there was more movement towards Green and Blue.
- Ms. Halladay knows now immediately on to focus her resources on the students with Mr. Blackwell.



- In another application of the Comparing Populations report, leaders at the district office have wondered if the schools in the district are addressing the needs of boys and girls equally.
- CLICK So a district data specialist runs the Comparing Populations Report, this time segmented by Gender.
- CLICK She sees that the data appear quite similar for boys and for girls, percentage wise. However, with more boys than girls in the school system, there do appear to be more boys than girls, 147 to 113, performing Well Below Benchmark at Middle of Year.



- Let's think back to Ms.Halladay's essential question. She wanted to know on which grade(s) to focus resources.
- She determines that Grade K has the greatest need for resources/support, and especially the students with Mr. Blackwell.





mplify. Repo	_	fi Home						
Reports My Dashboard	View My Data 🧹	Monitor Fidelity	Help					
omparing Populations		Completion Report						
	Compare student performance in one measure across different institutional breakdowns or by student demographics for one or more periods.		Review the completion status or the survest but months, assessment and/or concernent to the completion rates from earlier periods.					
orrelation	Trace student performance in	Progress Monitoring Fidelity	View the rate at which					
	particular measures over time, or compare performance in two different measures.		view the rate at winninger geographics monitoring to students.					

- The teachers navigate in Amplify to the Comparing Measures report for their grade.
- This score report compares assessment results for student populations across multiple measures.
- At a glance, they can see the number or percentage of students who have achieved a specific performance level or goal on each measure.

Compar	ing N	Леа	isures R	eport					
Comparing Measu	ires: mCL/	SS:DIB	ELS Next		R-Save	•••• Share	R PDF	₽ CSV	/ E
View		ij	Population		Time		j:	Measure	
Segment Results by: Teach Grade Divider: On		Grade: All District: Jo	hnson Unified School Dis ppy Elementary School	Period: 17	r: 2017-2018 18 MOY		Measure: All Measures Level Filter: All Levels		
appy Elementary Schoo	ol							Current as c	f 12/20/2
 Apple, Anne 									
✓ Grade 2									
Measures			20%	40%	60%	80%	1	otal Students	
Composite Score	17-18 MOY	3 (11%)	2 (7%)	8 (30%)			14 (52%)	7	
DORF (Accuracy)	17-18 MOY	3 (11%)	3 (11%)	7 (26%)			14 (52%)	7	
DORF (Fluency)	17-18 MOY	5 (19%)	3 (11%)	5 (19%)			2 14 (51%)	7	
DORF (Retell)	17-18 MOY	2 (7%)	5 (19%)	1	0 (37%)		10 (37%)	7	
				_	_	_	-	_	

- The report opens to a specific comparison level in the report depending on your role:
 - District-level administrators see a report comparing schools.
 - CLICK School-level administrators see a report comparing student data by official teacher.

 Comparing Measu 	res: mCL/	ASS:DIB	ELS Next		R- Save	••• Sha	re 🖪 Pi	DF 🛛 🖪 CSV 🌔 🖊 E
View		ń	Population		C Time			E Measure
Grade Divider: On		Grade: All District: Jo School: Ha	lents Enrolled: On Test Day Grades ihnson Unified School Distr appy Elementary School II Teachers	Period:	fear: 2017-2018 17-18 MOY			All Measures r: All Levels
Happy Elementary Schoo	1							Current as of 12/20/
💛 Apple, Anne								
🗸 Grade 2								
Measures			20%	40%	60%	80%		Total Students
Composite Score	17-18 MO	3 (11%)	2 (7%)	8 (30%)			14 (52%)	27
DORF (Accuracy)	17-18 MO	3 (11%)	3 (11%)	7 (26%)			14 (52%)	27
DORF (Fluency)	17-18 MO	5 (19%)	3 (11%)	5 (19%)			14 (51%)	27
DORF (Retell)	17-18 MO	2 (7%)	5 (19%)		10 (37%)		10 (37%)	27
✓ Biscuit, Billie								
Grade 5								
Measures			20%	40%	60%	80%		Total Students
Composite Score	17-18 MO	5 (21%)	4 (179	5)	6 (25%)		9 (37%)	24
DORF (Accuracy)	17-18 MO	3 (13%)	4 (17%) 3	: (13%)			14 (57%)	24
DORF (Fluency)	17-18 MO							24

- The report presents data for Happy Elementary School at MOY in the 17 18 school year organized by teacher.
 - All measures and the most recent assessment period will display by default.
 - CLICK Since the "grade divider" is "on", a separate section displays for each grade with enrolled students in the selected student population.
- From this report page, you can:
 - CLICK Click any bar segment or the total number of students to view a list of the students it represents.
 - Save or share the report.
 - Create a PDF of the report.
 - CLICK Export a CSV file containing the report data.
 - CLICK Select Edit to make changes to any selections in the report banner.

Population	0			
	🕒 Time	📕 Measure		
Show Students Enrolled	School Year		Measure	
On Test Day	2015-2016	\sim	All Measures	1
Grade	Period		Level Filter	
All Grades 🗸	15-16 BOY	\sim	All Levels	1
III 🔽				
Grade Pre-K				
Grade K				
Grade 1				
Grade 2				
Grade 3				
	Now On Test Day 1 Grade All Grades All Grade Pre-K Grade Pre-K Grade R Grade 1 Grade 2	Now On Test Day Grade Period All Grades 15-16 BOY ✓ All 15-16 BOY ✓ Grade Pre-K Grade R ✓ Grade 1 Grade 2	 Now On Test Day 1 2015-2016 Period 15-16 BOY All Grade Pre-K Grade Pre-K Grade K Grade 1 Grade 2 	Now On Test Day Grade All Grades All Grade Pre-K Grade K Grade 1 Grade 2

- After you click Edit, you'll see the options available in report banner to adjust the report based on a different view, population, time, or measure, or a subset of students filtered by demographic information.
- CLICK For example, here the teachers wish to change the Grade selection.
 - It is possible to select/deselect all grades at once using the ALL selection.
 - "On Test Day" maintains the students who were enrolled on the day the assessment was given. If the teachers change the selection to Now, it will show only students who are currently enrolled in the school, filtering out students who may have left. This feature is useful for schools with many transient students.
- CLICK Multiple years may be selected, and multiple periods from those years may be used.
- CLICK The teachers decide to turn OFF the Grade divider and select Update Report.
| Comparing Measu | res: mCL | ASS:DIBELS | Next | | R- Save | ••‡ Share | 🖏 PDF | 🖏 CSV | 🖌 Edi |
|--|----------|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| View | | ńjiji s | opulation | G | Time | | Į: | Measure | |
| Segment Results by: School
Grade Divider: Off | | Grade: 4 Grades
District: District | | School Year: 20
Period: 16-171 | | | easure: All I
evel Filter: A | | |
| District 123 | | | | | | | | Current as | of 10/15/201 |
| ✓ Happy Elementary S | chool | | | | | | | | |
| Measures | | | 20% | 40% 60 | 0% | 80% | Т | otal Students | |
| Composite Score | 16-17 MO | Y
82 (24%) | 39 (12%) | 78 (23%) | | 1 | 38 (41%) | 37 | |
| FSF | 16-17 MO | Y
9 (12%) | 11 (15%) | | 35 (47%) | | 74
19 (26%) | 1 | |
| LNF | 16-17 MO | Y | | | | 7 | 4 (100%) | \$ | |
| PSF | 16-17 MO | Y
6 (8%) | 13 (18%) | 21 (28%) | | | 74
34 (46%) | \$ | |
| NWF (CLS) | 16-17 MO | Y
18 (13%) | 19 (13%) | 44 (31%) | | | 60 (43) | 11 | |
| NWF (WWR) | 16-17 MO | Y
11 (8%) 9 (6%) | 17 (12% | 30 (21%) | | | 74 (53%) | 11 | |
| DORF (Accuracy) | 16-17 MO | Y
63 (24%) | 31 (12%) | 60 (23%) | | 1 | 09 (41%) | 53 | |
| DORF (Fluency) | 16-17 MO | 69 (26%) | 27 (10%) | 58 (22%) | | 1 | 2
09 (42%) | 53 | |
| DORF (Retell) | 16-17 MO | Y
32 (14%) | 33 (14%) | 52 (22%) | 69 (28%) | | 2
51 (22%) | 37 | |
| Daze | 16-17 MO | Y 25 (25%) | 10 (10%) | 26 (26% | | | 39 (39%) | 00 | |

- Their adjusted report shows aggregate MOY data for the four grades, grades K-3, at Happy Elementary School.
- All of the measures are represented.
 - CLICK All students who were assessed in these measures are represented in the rows for each measure.
 - CLICK Click any bar segment or the total number of students to view a list of the students it represents.
 - CLICK The group decides to investigate into this groups, the students who scored Well Below Benchmark in DORF Fluency, so they click on this bar segment.

0.00	ICI	nt L	151													
Amplify. Re	porti	ng											a 🖉	l. It		0
		View My Data										H	ome Notic	es Admin	Classes	Support
My Reports My Dashba															- F	R Excel
Student List	. mcD	COS.DIDELS	IVEAL						mCLAS	S:DIBELS					L	
		Completion								nt Measure		DORF				
			Composite Score	FSF	LNF	PSF	NWF (CLS)	(WWF)	DORF (Accuracy)	DORF (Fluency)	DORF (Retell)	(Retell Quality)	(Errors)	Daze	Daze (Correct)	(incorrect)
udent Name	Grade	Complete	Score 73	Score	Score	Score	Score 34	Score	Score 57	Score 13	Score 10	Score	Score 10	Score	Score	Score
	1	ž					42		-	-		1				
iorham, Easton	1		81					13	57	12	17	2	9			
ance, Rayan	1	~	148				44 27	15	88 75	15			2			
esane, Robert	1	~	101					2		_			7			
IolascoGarcia, Caroline	1	~	101				43	13	65	13			7			
aw, Paisley	1		86				31	10	65	13	14	1				
tushe, Alyssa	1	~	9				9	0	0	0			14			
Vallace, Maliyah	1	~	125				63	21	63	15 54			9			
lurkhalter, Serenity	2	ž	190						96		24	3	2			
ohnson, Hayden irickson, Teran	2	~	150 235						87	54	40	2	8			
ajardo, Porter	3	ž	235					-	97 95	67 50	1	2	2	8	12	8
ajardo, Porter iutierrez-Lopez, Aubrey	3	~	236						90 97	64	1	2	2	9	15	5
lowell, Seana	3	~	347						97 98	65	-	2	1	26	26	5
ioweii, seana AcKendree, Khloe	3	ž	248					L	95	60	3	2	3	14	16	4
tasmussen, Evan	3	~	205						25 95	53	16	2	3	14	10	5

- This student list shows results for all the students in grades K-3
 - CLICK who scored Well Below Benchmark for DORF Fluency at MOY.
- CLICK Download an excel spreadsheet displaying this data by using the excel download button on the top right.
- CLICK The teachers see that some of these students are also weak in DORF Accuracy, although not all.
 - CLICK A next step would be to look back at NWF scores to see if there was an unaddressed need in CVC decoding.
- CLICK Some students are highly accurate in their reading, but simply less fluent, so they will need targeted instruction in elements of fluency, like phrasing, expression, and speed.

Previous	s Yea	ar's D	Data						
 Comparing Measur 	res: mCL	ASS:DIBE	LS Next		R ← Save	• € # Sh	are 🛛 🖪 Pl	DF 🖪 CSV	🖌 Edit
View Segment Results by: School Grade Divider: Off		Show Studen Grade: 4 Gra District: Dist		,	Control School Year: 2015-2016 Period: 15-16 BOY			Measures 2 Measures r: All Levels	
District 123									
V Happy Elementary Sci	hool							Current as	of 07/22/2017
Measures			20%	40%	60%	80%		Total Students	
NWF (CLS)	15-16 BO	Y 31 (15%)	43 (21%)		44 (22%)		86 (42%)	204	
NWF (WWR)	15-16 BO	Y 18 (9%)	55 (27%)		42 (21%)		89 (43%)	204	
Amplify.									

- The teachers decide to run a report from the previous year and find that 9-15% of students had low NWF scores the previous year.
- It is likely that those students who are now in Grade 1 3 have needs in Alphabetic Principle and Blending needs that were not met.

Student Filters						Clear F	ilters
Alternate Assessment	\sim	Approved Accommodat	\sim	Assessed in All Periods	\sim	Classed/Unclassed	
Disability	\sim	ELL Status	\sim	Economically Disadvant	\sim	English Proficiency	
Gender	\sim	Home Language	\sim	Meal Status	\sim	Migrant	
Female Male		Section 504	\vee	Special Education	\sim	Specific Disability	
Not Applicable							
Not Specified		Cance	21	Update Report			
		Cance		Update Report			_

- Student filters for this report give you the option to report on a specific demographic group within your student population.
- When you use student filters, only the students matching the selected demographic values are represented in the report.
 - CLICK For example, if you select Female in the Gender filter, your report reflects only the students identified as female in their enrollment records.
 - CLICK Assessed in All Periods allows educators to see only those students assessed across multiple time periods--e.g., every TOY in a school year.
- CLICK Once you make your filter selections, click Update Report to view the report.

 Comparing Meas 	ures: mCL/	ASS:DIBEL	S Next		R- Save	••• Share	R PD	of 🖪 CSV	🖌 Edit
• View		(jij)	Population		C Time			Heasure	
Segment Results by: Sc Grade Divider: Off	hool	Show Studer On Test Day Grade: 4 Gra District: Dist School: Hap	ides	Period: 16	r: 2016-2017 17 MOY			All Measures er: All Levels	
Student Filters: Gende	er: Female								
District 123								Current as of 1	0/15/2017
V Happy Elementary	School								
Measures			20%	40%	60%	80%		Total Student	s
Composite Score	16-17 MO	31 (19%)	17 (11%)	40 (25%)			71 (45%)	159	
FSF	16-17 MO		4 (12%)		15 (46%)		11 (33%)	33	
LNF	16-17 MO	(3	3 (100%)	33	
PSF	16-17 MO	3 (9%)	5 (15%)	9 (27%)			16 (49%)	33	
NWF (CLS)	16-17 MO	8 (13%)	2 (3%)	26 (41%)			27 (43%)	63	
NWF (WWR)	16-17 MO	5 (8%) 3 (5%)		13 (21%)			33 (52%)	63	
DORF (Accuracy)	16-17 MO	24 (19%)	13 (10%)	29 (23%)			50 (48%)	126	
DORF (Fluency)	16-17 MO	28 (22%)	10 (8%)	32 (25%)			56 (45%)	126	
DORF (Retell)	16-17 MO	15 (13%)	16 (14%)	27 (23%)	36 (30%)	23 (20%)	117	
Daze	16-17 MO	12 (24%)	2 (4%)	14 (27%)			23 (45%)	51	

• This reports now shows data only for the female students in Grades K-3 at Happy Elementary.

Comparing Measu	ires: mCL	ASS:DIBELS Next		[E-Save • SI	nare 🛛 🖪 PE	of 🛛 🗗 CSV
⊙ View		្រែរំរៀ Populatio	n	0	Time		Measure
Segment Results by: School Grade Divider: Off		Show Students Enrolled: O Grade: 4 Grades District: District 123 School: Happy Elementary		School Year: 201 Period: 16-17 M		Measure: / Level Filter	All Measures 7: All Levels
District 123							Current as
V Happy Elementary Se	chool						
Measures		20%	40	% 601	i 80%		Total Students
Composite Score	16-17 MO	82 (24%)	39 (12%	78 (23%)		138 (41%)	337
FSF	16-17 MO	9 (12%) 11 (1	0		35 (47%)	19 (26%)	74
LNF	16-17 MO	DY .				74 (100%)	74
PSF	16-17 MO	6 (8%) 13 (18%)		21 (28%)		34 (46%)	74
NWF (CLS)	16-17 MO	DY 18 (13%) 19 (13%)		44 (31%)		60 (43%)	141
NWF (WWR)	16-17 MO	DY 11 (8%) 9 (6%) 17 (12%)	30	(21%)		74 (53%)	141
DORF (Accuracy)	16-17 MC	Y 63 (24%)	31 (12%)	60 (23%)		109 (41%)	263
DORF (Fluency)	16-17 MC	Y 69 (26%)	27 (10%)	58 (22%)		109 (42%)	263
DORF (Retell)	16-17 MO		_				237

- Let's think back to the Grade Level Leaders' question. They wanted to know if they are providing enough attention to instruction in all skill areas.
- Here are some observations we can make about this data:
 - CLICK How is the school doing overall? 64% of students have reached the Benchmark goal based on the Composite Score.
 - CLICK The strongest measures in which students are performing well are PSF, FSF, and NWF (CLS), so phonemic awareness and the alphabetic principle are relative strengths at this school.
 - CLICK DORF accuracy and fluency are relative areas of concern.
 - Students are strong in NWF (CLS), but may struggle with the advanced phonics found in DORF, which makes their accuracy scores lower and can affect fluency.





Center for Early Reading Amplify	Reporting	10 Home	RAS 1234 Log Out	
ly Reports My Dashboard	View My Data 🗸		Help	
Analyze Performance		Monitor Fidelity		
Comparing Populations	Compare student performance in one measure across offerent institutional breakdowns or by student demographics for one or more periods.	Completion Report	Review the completion status of the current benchmark assessments audio compare it to the completion rates from earlier periods.	L
	Trace student performance in particular measures over time, or compare performance in two different measures.	Progress Monitoring Fidelity	View the rate at which educators administer progress monitoring to studiess.	
Comparing Measures	Create an assessment scorecard for one or more student populations (e.g., for one district, for multiple schools).			

- Mr. Romero navigates in Amplify to the Correlation report.
- This score report tracks student performance over time on a specific measure, or can be used to compare student performance on two different measures.

View	Population	Measure 1	2 Measure 2
Segment Results by	Show Students Enrolled	Assessment	Assessment
Teacher 🗸 🗸	Now i On Test Day i	DIBELS Next 🗸	DIBELS Next 🗸
Grade Divider	Grade	Measure	Measure
Off 🗸 🗸	Grade K 🗸	NWF (WWR)	DORF (Accuracy)
Display Data As	District	School Year	School Year
Percentage %	Arcadia Public Schools 🗸	2016-2017	2016-2017
Head count	School	Period	Period
() 1	Mountain School 🗸	16-17 BOY	16-17 BOY
	Teacher		10-17 001
	16 Teachers 🗸 🗸		
> Student Filters			Clear Filters
	Cancel	Update Report	
	Cancel	Update Report	

- The report will auto populate with all assessment measures and default parameters.
- The key to interpreting this report is understanding what CLICK Measure 1 and CLICK Measure 2 represent, as these selections will determine the focus of his comparison.
- CLICK Both measures have four components:
 - The assessment is the Amplify product used to assess your students
 - The Measure is the overall Composite score or specific measure within the assessment
 - School Year is the year in which the assessment was conducted
 - Period is the benchmark assessment period in which the student results were captured
- Selecting the same Assessment and Measure and a <u>different</u> School Year and/or Period shows the number or percentage of students who improved, stayed the same, or regressed on that measure from one period to the next.
- CLICK Selecting different Assessments and/or Measures and the same School Year and Period indicates where correlations exist in student performance on the two different measures.

View Segment Results by	기 Population Show Students Enrolled	Measure 1 Assessment	Measure 2 Assessment
Teacher 🗸 🗸	O Now i On Test Day i	DIBELS Next 🗸	DIBELS Next
Grade Divider	Grade	Measure	Measure
Off 🗸 🗸	Grade K 🗸 🗸	Composite Score 🗸	Composite Score 🗸
Display Data As	District	School Year	School Year
Percentage %	Arcadia Public Schools 🗸 🗸	2016-2017 🗸	2016-2017
Head count	School	Period	Period
· ·	Mountain School 🗸	16-17 BOY	16-17 MOY 🗸
	Teacher		
	16 Teachers 🗸 🗸		
> Student Filters]	Clear Filters
	Cancel	Update Report	

- Mr. Romero sets the report as shown:
 - CLICK Assessment: DIBELS Next
 - Measure: DIBELS Composite Score
 - School Year: 16-17
 - Period: 16-17 BOY and 16-17 MOY
- CLICK In addition, he limits the report to show only Kindergarten students at the Mountain school.
- CLICK The data is set to display as percentage (instead of head count.)

✓ Cupcake,				-
Grade K 🚺 💄 8 studer	nts 🟮 📫 4	students	2 5 students	🕽 🚨 8 students
0	9	9		3
± 13% ± 611	% 13% 13% 09	% <mark>≗</mark> 25% ≗ 50% ≗ 25%	≗ 0%	± 0% ± 0% ± 0% ± 1009

- Mr. Romero starts by looking at just one row of the report which represents one teacher/classroom.
- Each column represents students whose Composite Scores were assigned a performance level (red, yellow, green, or blue) at the beginning of the 2016-2017 school year (Measure 1).
- CLICK He can see the number of students at that performance level to the right of the 1.
- CLICK The bar to the right of the 2 represents those same students; the different colors on the bar indicate the performance level assigned to their Composite Scores from the middle of the year (Measure 2). This helps him see the movement students made within each performance level from the beginning to the middle of the year.
- He can click on any bar within the report to see a list of students within each proficiency level.



- Mr. Romero looks into the far left, column, which includes students who were at red at the beginning of the year.
- The colors and percentages show where those struggling students performed at the middle of the year.
 - 13% of those 8 students, or a single student, remained in red.
 - 61%, or 5 students, moved up a level to yellow.
 - Students who started the year in red and moved to yellow are responding
 positively to what's happening in the classroom, but they may require
 additional reinforcement to be brought to Benchmark by the end of the
 school year. Different or additional intervention strategies may be necessary
 for the students who stayed red.
 - Promisingly, 13% of the students who started at red moved to green, and another 13% to blue, so these two students responded extremely well to the instruction and supports in place for the first half of the year.



- Mr. Romero checks into the students who started the year needing Strategic Intervention after BOY.
- CLICK Of the four students, 75% moved up to Benchmark and Above, and one remained in yellow.



- Now he compares CLICK two of the Kindergarten classes as well as CLICK the results for all of the Kindergarten students at the school.
- Let's think back to Mr. Romero's essential question. He wanted to know how effective Kindergarten teachers have been at moving students between performance levels.
- Here are some observations he can make about this data:
 - Looking at all the kindergarteners in the school, CLICK he sees that 43% of students who started the year Well Below Benchmark are still Well Below Benchmark at MOY. Yet 57% moved out of Well Below Benchmark. While it's not every student, is it more than half, and this shows that the instructional program in Kindergarten showed effectiveness for those 57%.
 - CLICK 62% of Kindergartners moved from Below Benchmark, yellow, to Benchmark and Above. No students fell back to Well Below Benchmark.
 - CLICK Of note, between the two teachers being compared here, Ms. C was more effective at moving her students to proficiency than was Ms. D, which is clear both visually and by considering percentages.
 - Mr. Romero will use this report now to consider intervention strategies and supports for his Kindergarteners. He will dig deeper into the measure level data for the students who remain in red for the second half of the year. He will consider what intensive interventions and supports remain effective and necessary, as well as which strategic supports can be started and/or continued for those students who remained or moved into the Below Benchmark, or yellow level.

					🗛 Save	2 **	\$ Shar	e 🖪	PDF (I ↓ Exc	el	🖋 Edit
				1 M	easure	1			2	Measur	e 2	
					IBELS Nex				ssment: sure: Con			
			School		16-2017			Scho	ol Year: 2 od: 16-17	2016-201		
			-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Date This Report Mile General Measure 1: Measure 1:		Sáy17/JHS 7 DHELS Next Composite Scare									_	
Measure 1: Measure 1 Date: Measure 2: Measure 2 Date:		DIBULS Next Composite Score 2016-2017 BOY DIBULS Next Composite Score 2016-2017 MOV										
Measure 1: Measure 1 Date: Measure 2:	tak	DIBULS Next Composite Score 2016-2017 BOY DIBULS Next Composite Score		for Measure 1								
Measure 1: Measure 3 Date: Measure 2 Date: Measure 2 Date: Grades: This tab displays: School	ud Lutest Primary School ED	DIBLS Next Composite Scare 2016-2017 60° DIBLS Next Composite Scare 2016-2017 MOY Grade K Students who were Red (Mell Teacher	Below Benchmark) Grade	# Red for Measure 1	# Red for Measure 2			N Yolipus for Massare 2	F Green for Mean 2	Measure 2	Film for Max	N Blue for Mea sem 2 2
Measure 1: Measure 1 Date: Measure 2: Measure 2: Grades: This tab displays:		DIBLS Next Composite Scare 2016-2017 60F DIBLS Next Composite Scare 2016-2017 MOH Grade K Students who were Red (Mell	Below Benchmark)		1	139	2 Measure 2	Measure 2		Measure 2 1 6	# Blue for Mea 175. 125.	

- CLICK Tap the Excel button to download the data into spreadsheet form.
- Filters and colors are carried over from the report into the spreadsheet.





DIRECTIONS:

• Read the scenario on the slide.

plify. Repor	ting	Home	Notices Admin Classes Book	
s My Dashboard	View My Data 🗸			Help
lyze Performance		Monitor Fidelity		
baring Populations	Compare student performance in one measure across different institutional breakdowns or by student demographics for one or more periods.	Completion Report	Review the completion status of the current benchmark assessments and/or compare it to the completion rates from earlier periods.	
lation	Trace student performance in particular measures over time, or compare performance in two different measures.	Progress Monitoring Fidelity	View the rate at which educators administer progress monitoring to students.	
baring Measures	Create an assessment scorecard for one or more student populations (e.g., for one district, for multiple schools).			

- She navigates in Amplify to the Completion Report for her district.
- This fidelity report will indicate completion status of benchmark assessments for a given time of year.

View Internation Segment Results by: School Grade: All Grades School Yield	E Save → Share E PDF C CSV
Segment Results by: School Grade: All Grades School Ye	R-Save → Share R PDF R CSV
Segment Results by: School Grade: All Grades School Ye	
	Time Measure Year: 2016-2017 Show Completion For: DIBELS Next 2 Periods 55/08/2017
Student Filters: Classed/Unclassed: Official Class Assigned	Current as of 05/08/2017 75% Total Students DIBELS Next Not Complet
Adams School District	1740 99% 1%
16-17 MOY	1740 97% 3%
16-17 BOY	348 93% 7%
Adams Middle School	

٠

- CLICK Let's look at the report banner which displays the parameters selected.
- This report now shows completion status for BOY and MOY in the 16-17 school year for Adams School District.
- The report opens to a specific comparison level in the report body depending on the educator's role:
 - School-level administrators will see teachers.
 - Mrs. Cassidy is a district leader, so she sees the whole district.
 - CLICK It is current as of the date in the upper-right hand corner, 5/8/2017.
- CLICK The turquoise bar indicates the number of students who have completed the DIBELS Benchmark assessment.
- The remaining white in the bar indicates the number of students who have *not* completed the DIBELS Benchmark assessment.
- From this report, she can:
 - CLICK Click a population to drill down to a more granular view or change other selections under Time and Measure.
 - She may want to change selections in the report banner to view the Completion report for one or more specific grades, a different time of year, or a subset of students filtered by demographic information.
 - CLICK She can do that by clicking the Edit button at the top right.
 - CLICK Click the number in the Total Students column to view the students represented by the bar.
 - CLICK View reference data to see how one row compares to the complete population in the current report view. (Reference data is the top row for this report.)
 - CLICK Save or share the report using the icons at the top.
 - Create a PDF of the report.
 - Export a CSV file containing the report data.
- CLICK She scrolls down the page and notices Lincoln Street School is the school with the lowest MOY Completion percentage in the District.

Teacher 🛦		25%	50%	75%	Total Students	DIBELS Next	Not Complete	
incoln Street School	16-17 BOY				483	60%	40%	A
ancom screet school	16-17 MOY				483	75%	25%	Are teachers
	16-17 BOY				25	72%	28%	following
Acord, Sheila	16-17 MOY				25	100%	0%	Benchmark
	16-17 BOY				25	68%	32%	fidelity
Beyers, Marylou	16-17 MOY		-		25	96%	4%	guidelines?
	16-17 BOY				25	76%	24%	
Brown, Patricia	16-17 MOY				25	80%	20%	
	16-17 BOY				25	52%	48%	
Daugherty, Anthony	16-17 MOY				25	100%	0%	
	16-17 BOY				25	60%	40%	
Eure, Rosa	16-17 MOY				25	48%	52%	
Tais Forms	16-17 BOY				25	76%	24%	
Fair, Emma	16-17 MOY				25	84%	16%	
Connett French	16-17 BOY				24	42%	58%	
Garrett, Frank	16-17 MOY				24	50%	50%	
	16-17 BOY				25	48%	52%	

- Let's think back to Mrs. Cassidy's question. She wanted to know if the teachers in her district were following Benchmark fidelity guidelines. She honed in on the Lincoln Street School.
- Here are some observations she can make about this data.
 - CLICK: The average rate for MOY for the Lincoln Street School is 75%.
 - CLICK All but one teacher's assessment rates improved from BOY to MOY.
 - CLICK Two teachers had 100% completion rate at MOY. (Acord and Daugherty)
 - CLICK all teachers started the MOY Benchmark assessments, but there are some teachers who the school leaders may want to check in with, including Rosa Eure and Frank Garrett, to see what supports can be put in place for higher completion at the upcoming EOY Benchmark.

Back Stude	Teacher 🛦		A	В	C	D	E DIRECT	Complete	🖏 Exc
		1	School Year		2016-2017	0		40%	
	Lincoln Street School	1 2	Time Period		16-17 MOY				
		3	As Of	_	05/08/2017		- 1	25%	
tudent Name		4	Population		School 1. Garr	ett Frank			
		1.5	Student Match Criteria		DIBELS Next//		6	28%	
Carpenter, Billy	Acord, Sheila	1 6	Student Filters			ssed: Official Class A	signed		
		7	Stotenniters		Cussed, cried		ing inclusion in the	0%	
Chiang, Aja		8				Student Filter			
		1 9			Completion			32%	
Clemons, Shauna	Beyers, Marylou	1 10				Class		4%	
			Student Name	Grade	DIBELS Next	Value			
Coleman, Julie		12	Carpenter, Billy	1		Garrett			
	Brown, Patricia	13	Chiang, Aja	1	True	Garrett		24%	
Culp, Rebecca	Brown, Patricia	1 14	Clemons, Shauna	1	True	Garrett		20%	
		15	Coleman, Julie	1		Garrett			
Durham, Sara		16	Culp, Rebecca	1		Garrett			
	Daugherty, Anthony	17	Durham, Sara	1		Garrett	•	48%	
Francis, Larry	Daugherty, Anthony	1 18	Francis, Larry	1		Garrett	56	0%	
		19	Goetz, Douglas	1		Garrett			
Goetz, Douglas		20	Halford, Beverly	1		Garrett			
	Eure, Rosa	21	Jones, Gene	1	True	Garrett		40%	
Halford, Beverly		1 22	King, Maria	1		Garrett	6	52%	
		23	Kort, Chang	1		Garrett			
ones, Gene		24	Lawson, Travis	1		Garrett		24%	
	Fair, Emma	25	Mahone, Orlando	1	True	Garrett	1	24%	
King, Maria		1 26	Melancon, Nina	1	True	Garrett		16%	
		27	Page, Edna	1	True	Garrett			
Kort, Chang		28	Pena, Stephanie	1		Garrett		58%	
	Garrett, Frank	29	Pruitt, Leda	1	True	Garrett		5070	
awson, Travis		1 30	Ray, Ryan	1	True	Garrett		50%	
		31	Roberts, James	1		Garrett			
Mahone, Orlando		32	Schreiber, Micaela	1	-	Garrett		52%	
	Hanson, Jean	33	Vinson, Jalia	1	True	Garrett			
Melancon, Nina		1 34	Waymire, Waldo	1	True	Garrett		40%	
		35	Yardley, Ashleigh	1		Garrett		1 BSC	

- Ms. Cassidy might suggest the Lincoln Street School principal meet with teacher Frank Garrett to discuss his completion plan. She can click on the total number of students (24) to see a list of students with completed and incomplete Benchmark data.
- CLICK A student list displays.
- CLICK To view this list in excel form, click on the button in the top right corner and save the file to your computer.





nplify. Repor	ting	B Home	Notices Admin Classes Book	
ports My Dashboard	View My Data 🗸			Help
alyze Performance	Compare student performance in one measure across different institutional breekdowns or by student demographics for one or more periods.	Monitor Fidelity Completion Report	Review the completion status of the current benchmark assessments and/or compare it to the completion rates from earlier periods.	
relation	Trace student performance in particular measures over time, or compane performance in two different measures.	Progress Monitoring Fidelity	View the rate at which educators administer progress monitoring to students.	
nparing Measures	Create an assessment scorecard for one or more student populations (e.g., for one district, for multiple schools).			- 1

- She navigates in Amplify to the Progress Monitoring Fidelity Report for her school.
- This fidelity report will show her the percentage of the student population that scored within a specific performance band who were administered progress monitoring during a given time.
- At a glance, she can determine the fidelity of Progress Monitoring assessment for her Amplify assessments.

View	iiii Popula	tion	C Time	2
Segment Results by	Grade		School Year	
School	All Grades	\sim	2016-2017	
Grade Divider	District		Period	
Off	 All Districts 	\sim	MOY→EOY	
Display "At Rate" Column	School		View Data for Date Ran	ge
On	All Schools	\sim	01/01/2017 📷 - 05	/31/2017
> Student Filters				

Ms. Oswalt can view the report in various ways, by teacher, school, or by grade by adjusting the View parameters.

CLICK She can look at the report for her entire school by choosing to segment results by school and turning the grade divider off. This will aggregate all school-level results into one group.

		ation	Time	5
Segment Results by	Grade		School Year	
School	✓ All Grades	\sim	2016-2017	
Grade Divider	District		Period	
On	✓ All Districts	\sim	MOY→EOY	
Display "At Rate" Column	School		View Data for Date Rar	nge
On	✓ All Schools	\sim	01/01/2017 📷 - 05	5/31/201

CLICK She can turn the grade divider ON to look at the report by grade. This will organize all school-level results by grades.

Segment Results by Grade Teacher All Grades Grade Divider District	School Year 2016-2017
	2016-2017
Grade Divider District	
	Period
Off V All Districts	✓ MOY→EOY
Display "At Rate" Column School	View Data for Date Range
On 🗸 All Schools	V 01/01/2017 🖬 - 05/31/2013

She decides to view the report by teacher by CLICK segmenting results by teacher and turning the grade divider off. This will compile all school-level results into groups by teacher.

✓ View	iiiii Popula	ation	l Time	Heasures and Levels
Segment Results by	Grade		School Year	Student Performance Level to Displ
Teacher	All Grades	\sim	2016-2017 🗸	Red, Yellow 🗸
Grade Divider	District		Period	Progress Monitoring Target for Red
On	All Districts	\sim	MOY->EOY V	2 weeks 🗸
Display "At Rate" Column	School		View Data for Date Range	Progress Monitoring Target for Yello
On	 All Schools 	\sim	01/01/2017	4 weeks 🗸
	Teacher			
	All Teachers	\sim		
> Student Filters			J	Clear Filters
		View	Report	

Within the population parameters,

- CLICK She can select the grades to view.
- She may also decide to select certain teachers, or all teachers.
- Please note that the availability of district and school drop downs will depend on your access level.

View		ijiji Popula	ition	l Time		📕 Measures an	d Levels
Segment Results by		Grade		School Year		Student Performance I	evel to Displ.
Teacher	\sim	All Grades	\sim	2016-2017	\sim	Red, Yellow	\sim
Grade Divider		District		Period		Progress Monitoring Ta	rget for Red
On	\sim	All Districts	\sim	MOY→EOY	\sim	2 weeks	\sim
Display "At Rate" Column		School		View Data for Date Range	2	Progress Monitoring Ta	rget for Yello
On	\sim	All Schools	\sim	01/01/2017 🚞 - 05/3	1/2017 🛗	4 weeks	\sim
		Teacher					
		All Teachers	\sim				
> Student Filters						Cle	ar Filters
			View	Report			

Within the TIME parameters,

- The report defaults to show all time periods between the latest benchmark and the current date.
- CLICK Use the calendar selector to narrow your time period using the calendar icons.

Grade All Grades District All Districts	\sim	School Year 2016-2017 Period	~	Student Performance L Red, Yellow	evel to Disp.
District	\vee		\sim	Red, Yellow	~
		Pariod			~
All Districts		Feriou		Progress Monitoring Ta	rget for Red
All Districts	\sim	MOY→EOY	\sim	2 weeks	\sim
School		View Data for Date Rang	je	Progress Monitoring Ta	rget for Yell
All Schools	\sim	01/01/2017 🚞 - 05/	31/2017 🛗	4 weeks	\sim
Teacher					
All Teachers	\sim				
				Cle	ar Filters
	All Schools Teacher	All Schools V Teacher	All Schools V 01/01/2017	All Schools v 01/01/2017 🖬 - 05/31/2017 👹 Teacher	All Schools V 01/01/2017 05/31/2017 4 weeks Teacher All Teachers V 01/01/2017 05/31/2017 05/31/2017

With the MEASURES and LEVELS parameters

- Select the targeted number of weeks students should be progress monitored at each performance level.
- The default Performance levels are Red and Yellow; you may deselect either and/or add the Green and Blue performance levels.
- The default frequency is every 2 weeks for red and every 4 weeks for students at yellow. These can be adjusted from 1 to 10 weeks.

reacher ▲	At Rate	None	2/12 - 2/25	2/26 - 3/11	3/12 - 3/25	3/26 - 4/08	Total
arlow, Ronda	0%	0%	100%	50%	100%	0%	2
ankow, Karen	33%	0%	94%	100%	89%	39%	18
ane, Michael	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	2
/larks, Ellen	85%	0%	92%	100%	92%	92%	13
eeds, Patricia	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	11
Vest School	65%	0%	96%	98%	93%	70%	46

Ms. Oswalt views the report by teacher, for the period after MOY Benchmark, February 12 to April 8.

- CLICK The total number of students from each teacher's class who have a Composite Score of Well Below Benchmark, or red, during the last assessment is listed in the last column of the table.
 - CLICK The last entry in the column is the total number of students in the selection who scored Well Below Benchmark.
- The columns in the middle represent the percentage of students in the teacher's class who were progress monitored in ANY DIBELS measure during each 2 week period.
 - The last row for each of the columns is the percentage for all the students in the school.

PM Fidelity: mCLASS:D	BELS N	lext							
⊙ View		ເຊິ່ງ	on		C Time		ł	Measures a	nd Levels
Segment Results by	Gr	ade		School Year			Stude	ent Performance	Level to Displa
Teacher 🗸		All Grades	\sim	2016-201	7	\sim	Rec	d, Yellow	\sim
Grade Divider	Dis	strict		Period			Progr	ess Monitoring	Target for Red
On 🗸		All Districts	\sim	MOY→EC	ργ	\sim	2 W	veeks	\sim
Display "At Rate" Column	Sci	loor		View Data fo	or Date Range		Progr	ess Monitoring	Farget for Yello
On 🗸		Well Below	v Bench	mark Stud	ents				
	1	Teacher 🛦		At Rate	None	2/12 - 2	2/25	2/26 - 3/11	3/12 - 3/2
		Barlow, Ron	da	0%	0%	1009	96	50%	100%
> Student Filters		Jankow, Kar	en	33%	0%	949	6	100%	89%
		Lane, Micha	el	100%	0%	100	%	100%	100%
		Marks, Ellen		85%	0%	929	6	100%	92%
		Leeds, Patri	cia	100%	0%	100	%	100%	100%
		West School		65%	0%	969	6	98%	93%

- The At Rate column is a unique part of the updated PM fidelity report.
 - It can be toggled on and off from the View menu.
 - CLICK The column will indicate the percentage of students who were progress monitored during *every* two week window of the time frame for which the report is set.
 - CLICK Michael Lane administered DIBELS Next progress monitoring with 100% of his Well Below Benchmark students during <u>each and every</u> two week time period between February 12th and April 8th.
 - If the at rate % looks incorrect, be sure the range does not include a vacation period.

	0	,		at the i		nended	
Well Below Bend	hmark Stude	ents					
Teacher 🛦	At Rate	None	2/12 - 2/25	2/26 - 3/11	3/12 - 3/25	3/26 - 4/08	Total
Barlow, Ronda	0%	0%	100%	50%	100%	0%	2
Jankow, Karen	33%	0%	94%	100%	89%	39%	18
Lane, Michael	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	2
Marks, Ellen	85%	0%	92%	100%	92%	92%	13
Leeds, Patricia	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	11
West School	65%	0%	96%	98%	93%	70%	46

- Let's think back to Mrs. Oswalt's essential question. She wanted to know which teachers at her school may require additional resources to administer progress monitoring at the recommended rate.
- Here are some observations we can make about this data.
 - CLICK All students with red or yellow Benchmark scores received at least one progress monitoring during this period.
 - The average rate for the school for students with scores at red is 65%.
 - CLICK Ms. Oswalt sees fidelity for both Ms. Jankow and Ms. Barlow falling well below both of these averages.
 - When she looks at the total students for each teacher, she notices that Ms. Jankow has 9 times as many students to monitor in each period than does Ms. Barlow, and both were doing well with progress monitoring fidelity until this last two-week period. Ms. Oswalt makes a note of this and looks next at the rates for those at yellow.

0		,				ended rate?
Below Benchma	rk Students					
Teacher 🛦	At Rate	None	2/12 - 3/11	3/12 - 4/08	Total	
Barlow, Ronda	83%	0%	83%	100%	6	
Jankow, Karen	83%	0%	100%	83%	6	
Lane, Michael	75%	0%	100%	75%	8	
Marks, Ellen	100%	0%	100%	100%	4	
Leeds, Patricia	100%	0%	100%	100%	1	
West School	84%	0%	96%	88%	25	

I

- CLICK Within the group of students at yellow, there are three teachers who are falling below the average, although not as far as for those at red. She will check in with Ms. Jankow and Mr. Lane who each have one or two students, respectively, who haven't been monitored in the most recent period. Perhaps the handful of students not monitored were absent on the day Progress Monitoring was done, or they may have extenuating circumstances.
- She will make a note to check on the Class Summaries, as well, to make sure students are being monitored on appropriate measures. This fidelity report won't tell her which measures are being given, only the fidelity of their administration.

View			ijiji Popula	tion	Time		E Measures and Levels	
egment Results by		Grade			School Year		Measure	
Teacher	\sim	All Gr	All Grades 🗸		2016-2017 🗸		DIBELS Next	\sim
irade Divider		District		Period		Student Performance Level to Display		
Off	\sim	City District 🗸		MOY→EOY		All Student Performanc.	~	
isplay "At Rate" Column		School			View Data for Date Range		Progress Monitoring Target for Red	
On	\sim	West		\sim	02/12/2017 🛗 - 04	/19/2017 🛗	2 weeks	\sim
		Tracker				-	Progress Monitoring Target for	or Yellow
Benchmark	Students						4 weeks	\sim
Denemiark								
Teacher A		At Rate	None	2/12 - 3/25	Total		Progress Monitoring Target fo	or Green
Teacher▲ Barlow, Ronda		At Rate	None 92%	2/12 - 3/25 8%	Total	ъ	Progress Monitoring Target fo	or Green
Barlow, Ronda	1	8%	92%	8%	12	1	6 weeks	\sim
Barlow, Ronda Jankow, Karen	1	8% 14%	92% 86%	8% 14%	12 7	1		\sim
Barlow, Ronda	1	8%	92%	8%	12	l	6 weeks	\sim
Barlow, Ronda Jankow, Karen	1	8% 14%	92% 86%	8% 14%	12 7		6 weeks	\sim
Barlow, Ronda Jankow, Karen Lane, Michael		8% 14% 8%	92% 86% 92%	8% 14% 8%	12 7 13	l	6 weeks	\sim

- Ms. Oswalt decides to check on students at green to see if any of them have received monitoring and at what rate.
- The default setting shows data for her students with red and yellow scores. To view Progress Monitoring rates for At Benchmark and Above Benchmark students, she selects green or blue, respectively, and the selected weekly target.
- She includes students at Green and sets the selection to 6 weeks and clicks Update Report to see students at green.
 - She sees that Ms. Jankow is actually monitoring some of her students in green. Ms. Oswalt wonders why when many of her students in Well Below Benchmark didn't receiving their more frequent monitoring.
- Through her reporting, Ms. Oswalt now has some more insight on how to support her teachers with Progress Monitoring Fidelity and will direct her resources as appropriate.
Amplify.



• The Reporting and Analysis Suite also contains an option to Download Your Data.

Amplify. Reporting			Roger Goodman Lo Roger Goodman Lo Admin Classes So Notices Admin Classes So	0
My Reports My Dashboard View I	My Data 🗸			Halp
mCLASS:DIBELS Next			Data current as of 05/05/2018	
Analyze Performance	M	Monitor Fidelity		
K across diff 1 breakdown	student nce in one measure ferent institutional ns or by student shics for one or	ompletion Report	Review the completion status of the current banchmark assessments and/or compare it is the completion rates from earlier periods.	
particular time, or co	Sent performance in measures over ompare nce in two different	rogress Monitoring Fidelity	View the rate at which educator administer progress monitoring to students.	
scorecard student po	assessment for one or more opulations (e.g., for ct, for multiple			
	v data into a CSV file Lyour own analyses.			

• Navigate in Amplify to the Download Your Data icon below the Featured Score and Fidelity reports.

Amplify. Reporting		Roger Goodman Log Out
My Reports My Dashboard Vi	w My Data 🗸	Help
	Download Your Data	
	Assessment MCLASS:DIBELS Next Benchmark Progress Monitoring Classroom Growth D Updates to Download Your Data	W BELS Deep
	District	
	All Districts	\checkmark
	Grade	
	All Grades	\sim
	School Year	
	2016-2017	\checkmark
	Period	
	All Periods	~
	Clear Download	

• Educators can make selections for which raw data to generate into a CSV file, which can be downloaded to your computer and manipulated further in a spreadsheet program, like Excel.

My Reports My Dashboard	View My Data 🗸		Hel
	Download Your	Data	
	Assessment		
	mCLASS:DIBELS Next	\sim	
	Benchmark Progress Monitoring Classroom	m Growth DIBELS Deep	
	Updates to Download Your Data		
	District		
	All Districts	\sim	
	Grade		
	All Grades	\sim	
	School Year		
	2016-2017	\sim	
	Period		
	All Periods	\sim	

• Let's download Benchmark data first.

Amplify. Reporting		Roger Goodman Log Out
My Reports My Dashboard Vi	wr My Data 🗸	Holp
	Download Your Data	
	Assessment MCLASS:DIBELS Next Benchmark Progress Monitoring Classroom Growth DiBl	LS Deep
	Updates to Download Your Data	
	District	
	All Districts	\sim
	Grade	
	All Grades	\sim
	School Year	
	2016-2017	\sim
	Period	
	All Periods	\sim
	Clear Download	

• First select the Assessment data you are interested in. Options available are specific to your district and school.

Amplify. Reporting	별 Ho	Roger Goodman Log Out
My Reports My Dashboard View	My Data 🗸	Help
	Download Your Data	
	Assessment The sense of the sen	
	Updates to Download Your Data District Adams School District	
	School All School All All School All Adams Middle School All Adams Middle School Alexandria Elementary	
	Chandler Elementary Lincoln Street School Woodlawn Academy	
	Clear Download	

- District level users will be prompted to first select the district, CLICK and then the School or Schools.
- School level users will automatically have their school selected.
- Select or deselect all schools at once with the All Checkbox.

Amplify. Reporting		Roger Goodman Log Out
My Reports My Dashboard View My Data	~	Help
	Download Your Data	
	Assessment mCLASS:DIBELS Next Beschmark Progress Monitoring Classroom Growth DIBELS Deep Updates to Download Your Data District	
	Adams School District V School Alexandria Elementary, Chandler Elementary V	
	Grade All Grades All Grade Pre-K Grade R Grade 1 Grade 2	

• Then select the grades you wish the data to represent.

mCLASS:DIBELS Next
Benchmark Progress Monitoring Classroom Growth DIBELS Deep
Updates to Download Your Data
District
Adams School District
School
Alexandria Elementary, Chandler Elementary
Grade
Grade K, Grade 1, Grade 2
School Year
2016-2017 🗸
Period
16-17 BOY, 16-17 MOY
Clear Download

- Choose the Year
- CLICK and select the periods.
- CLICK then click the orange button to Download.

istrict
Adams School District
Download Your Data
Downloading your data can take several minutes. Continue?
Do not show this message again.
Cancel OK
16-17 BOY, 16-17 MOY
Clear Download

- You will receive a notice that this could take several minutes.
- Time to download will depend on how much data you request.
- Click OK.



- You will be prompted to open the file with a spreadsheet program or to Save the file.
- Choose your option and click OK.
- The raw data file is now available for additional analyses as you would with any data set.

	A	В	с	D	E	F	G	н	1	J	K	L	м	N	0	Р
	School Year	Roster Option	External Program	State	Account Name	Municipality Name	Municipality Primary ID		District Primary ID	Internal Program	School Name	Primary School ID	Secondary School ID	RF School	Student Last Name	Student First Name
	2016-2017	On Test Day		NY	Washington	Washington	Falls Municip	Adams Scho	ol District		Alexandria	lementary		N	Anderson	Azyien
1	2016-2017	On Test Day		NY	Washington	Washington	Falls Municip	Adams Scho	ol District		Alexandria 8	lementary		N	Anderson	Azyien
1	2016-2017	On Test Day		NY		Washington					Alexandria	lementary		N	Atkins	Leaya
	2016-2017	On Test Day		NY		Washington					Alexandria 8			N	Atkins	Leaya
	2016-2017	On Test Day		NY		Washington					Alexandria			N	Bailey	Steven
		On Test Day		NY		Washington					Alexandria			N	Bailey	Steven
	2016-2017	On Test Day		NY		Washington					Alexandria			N	Banda	Ryan
		On Test Day		NY		Washington					Alexandria 8			N	Banda	Ryan
		On Test Day		NY		Washington					Alexandria			N	Blue	Riley
	2016-2017	On Test Day		NY		Washington					Alexandria 8			N	Blue	Riley
	2016-2017	On Test Day		NY		Washington					Alexandria			N	Bowes	Brianna
		On Test Day On Test Day		NY		Washington Washington					Alexandria E Alexandria E			N	Bowes	Brianna
		On Test Day On Test Day		NY		Washington					Alexandria E Alexandria E			N	Bowman	Jaelynn Jaelynn
		On Test Day		NY		Washington					Alexandria 8			N	Breen	Samira
	2016-2017	On Test Day		NY		Washington					Alexandria 8			N	Breen	Samira
		On Test Day		NY		Washington					Alexandria E Alexandria E			N	Britt	Evans
		On Test Day		NY		Washington					Alexandria 8			N	Britt	Evans
	2016-2017	On Test Day		NY		Washington					Alexandria			N	Brown	Cadence
		On Test Day		NY		Washington					Alexandria			N	Brown	Cadence
	2016-2017	On Test Day		NY		Washington					Alexandria			N	Brown	Christophe
		On Test Day		NY		Washington					Alexandria			N	Brown	Christophe
		On Test Day		NY		Washington					Alexandria I			N	Bullard	Harliv
				NY		Washington					Alexandria 8			N	Bullard	Harliv
6	2016-2017	On Test Day		NY	Washington	Washington	Falls Municip	Adams Scho	ol District		Alexandria (lementary		N	Carpenter	Kenneth
7	2016-2017	On Test Day		NY	Washington	Washington	Falls Municip	Adams Scho	ol District		Alexandria 8	lementary		N	Carpenter	Kenneth
8	2016-2017	On Test Day		NY	Washington	Washington	Falls Municip	Adams Scho	ol District		Alexandria (lementary		N	Coble	Aubree
9	2016-2017	On Test Day		NY	Washington	Washington	Falls Municip	Adams Scho	ol District		Alexandria 8	lementary		N	Coble	Aubree
0	2016-2017	On Test Day		NY	Washington	Washington	Falls Municip	Adams Scho	ol District		Alexandria	lementary		N	Cole	Kayleigh
1	2016-2017	On Test Day		NY	Washington	Washington	Falls Municip	Adams Scho	ol District		Alexandria 8	lementary		N	Cole	Kayleigh
37	2016-2017 0	On Test Day	NY	Washin	ngton Washington			strict	Alexand	fria Elementary		N C	Deen Caro	lyn	11/29/1	
	And And A	JentSummary-	4 +	100 10									u		22 (50 fr	LP IA

- CLICK Select row 1 and CLICK then click the button "Wrap Text to show the entirety of the cell.
- CLICK

	AT	AU	AV	AW	AX	AY	AZ	BA	BB	BC	BD	BE	BF	BG	BH
	Benchmark	Pathway Achieved- Composite		Composite	Measure- Composite	Measure-	Measure-	Measure-	Measure-	Assessment Measure-	Measure-	Measure- NWF (CLS)-	Measure- NWF (CLS)-	Assessment Measure- NWF (WWR)-	Measure- NWF (WWR)-
1	Period	Score	Score	Score-Levels			FSF-Score		LNF-Score	PSF-Levels	PSF-Score	Levels	Score	Levels	Score
2	BOY			Above Bench		Above Bench		Not Determi							
3	MOY	Well Below		Below Bench		Above Bench		Not Determi		Benchmark	37	Benchmark	18	Not Determi	1
4	BOY			Above Bench		Above Bench		Not Determi				D	47		
5	MOY BOY	Well Below		Benchmark		Above Bench		Not Determi		Above Bench	73	Benchmark	1/	Not Determi	1
0	MOY	Well Above		Above Bench Above Bench		Above Bench Above Bench		Not Determi Not Determi		Above Bench		Above Bench		Not Determi	2
8	BOY	well Above		Benchmark		Below Bench		Not Determi			/1	Above benci		NOC Determi	2
9	MOY	Below		Benchmark		Above Bench		Not Determi		Benchmark	20	Below Bench	16	Not Determi	(
	BOY	DEIOW		Above Bench		Above Bench		Not Determi			20	DEIGW DEIRI		HOL DECENTIN	
	MOY	Well Above		Above Bench		Above Bench		Not Determi		Above Bench	74	Above Bench	46	Not Determi	15
	BOY			Above Bench		Above Bench		Not Determi				risere serie			
3	MOY	Well Above		Above Bench	225	Above Bench	48	Not Determi	68	Benchmark	39	Above Bench	70	Not Determi	21
4	BOY			Above Bench	109	Above Bench	38	Not Determi	71						
5	MOY	Typical		Above Bench	237	Above Bench	52	Not Determi	70	Above Bench	76	Above Bench	39	Not Determi	13
6	BOY			Above Bench	82	Above Bench	36	Not Determi	46						
7	MOY	Well Below		Benchmark	131	Benchmark	36	Not Determi	46	Benchmark	43	Well Below I	6	Not Determi	(
	BOY			Above Bench		Above Bench		Not Determine							
	MOY	Typical		Above Bench		Above Bench		Not Determi		Above Bench	50	Above Bench	28	Not Determi	7
	BOY			Above Bench		Above Bench		Not Determi							
	MOY	Above		Above Bench		Above Bench		Not Determi		Above Bench	56	Above Bench	45	Not Determi	10
	BOY	Delaw.		Above Bench		Above Bench		Not Determi				About Deced			(
	MOY BOY	Below		Benchmark Benchmark		Above Bench Below Bench		Not Determi Not Determi		Above Bench	44	Above Bench	32	Not Determi	(
	MOY	Below				Above Bench		Not Determi		Benchmark	41	Below Bench		Net Determi	(
	BOY	Delow		Benchmark Above Bench		Above Bench Above Bench		Not Determi			41	below bench	9	Not Determi	
	MOY	Well Above		Above Bench		Above Bench		Not Determi		Above Bench	60	Above Bench	114	Not Determi	40
1	MOT	well Above		Above benci	201	Above bench		Not betermin		Above benci	09	Above benci	114	Not betermi	

- Column Headers in row 1 explain what each column represents.
- CLICK There are first Population headers that identify the school, names and grade levels of students, ID numbers, even birthdates, Demographic headers about each student, depending on what is submitted to Amplify from a school's Student Information System, and then
- CLICK Measure headers, which give the raw scores of assessments CLICK as well as score level, like Benchmark or Below Benchmark. You will also find the date that scores were synced.
- Remember to save the file in a Spreadsheet format, like XLS, to preserve any formatting or formulas.

	к	0	Р	AS	AT	AU	AV	AW	AX	AY
	School Name	Student Last Name	Student First Name	Assessment Grade	Benchmark Period	Pathway Achieved- Composite Score	Pathway Set- Composite Score	Composite	Assessment Measure- Composite Score-Score	Assessment Measure-
2	Alexandria Elementary	Anderson	Azyien	K	BOY	Jeone	30010	Above Bench		Above Benc
3	Alexandria Elementary	Anderson	Azyien	ĸ	MOY	Well Below		Below Bench		Above Bend
i	Alexandria Elementary	Atkins	Leava	K	BOY			Above Bench		Above Ben
	Alexandria Elementary	Atkins	Leava	ĸ	MOY	Well Below		Benchmark		Above Ben
5	Alexandria Elementary	Bailey	Steven	К	BOY			Above Bench	55	Above Ben
,	Alexandria Elementary	Bailey	Steven	K	MOY	Well Above		Above Bench	274	Above Bend
3	Alexandria Elementary	Banda	Ryan	к	BOY			Benchmark	32	Below Ben
,	Alexandria Elementary	Banda	Ryan	к	MOY	Below		Benchmark	131	Above Ben
0	Alexandria Elementary	Blue	Riley	К	BOY			Above Bench	53	Above Ben
1	Alexandria Elementary	Blue	Riley	к	MOY	Well Above		Above Bench	215	Above Ben
2	Alexandria Elementary	Bowes	Brianna	к	BOY			Above Bench	60	Above Ben
3	Alexandria Elementary	Bowes	Brianna	к	MOY	Well Above		Above Bench	225	Above Ben
4	Alexandria Elementary	Bowman	Jaelynn	K	BOY			Above Bench	109	Above Bend
5	Alexandria Elementary	Bowman	Jaelynn	к	MOY	Typical		Above Bench	237	Above Ben

- Here we've zoomed in to the CSV file to study the Pathway Achieved column.
- CLICK At MOY, students Azyien Anderson and Leava Atkins are on a pathway CLICK considered Well Below Typical given their BOY Composite Score.
- However, most teachers may only notice Azyien needs additional support CLICK given his MOY Composite Score is Below Benchmark, or yellow. CLICK Leaya achieved a Benchmark, or green, Composite Score, but is on a similar pathway as Azyien and needs a bit of challenge or targeted instruction to return her proficiency to Above Benchmark.
- CLICK Ryan Banda is like Leaya: he's at green at MOY, as well, but on a Below Typical Pathway. His potential may not be reached if he continues on this trajectory.
- RAS raw data helps the teachers identify students whose Pathways do not meet Typical Progress. With appropriate, targeted instruction and monitoring, Azyien, Leaya, and Ryan could rise back to a Typical or better Pathway by EOY.

mCLASS:DIBELS Next	\sim
Benchmark Progress Monitoring Dassroom Growth	DIBELS Deep
District	
Adams School District	\sim
ichool	
Alexandria Elementary, Chandler Elementary	\sim
Grade	
Grade K, Grade 1, Grade 2	\sim
2016-2017	~
Period BOY→MOY, MOY→EOY	
✓ BOY→MOY	
✓ MOY→EOY	
After EOY	
MOY-EOY	

- There are three other download options for raw data files.
- Raw Progress Monitoring data can be downloaded by clicking the Progress Monitoring Button in the top row.
- CLICK You'll notice that the period options adjust to show ranges of time, like BOY to MOY, MOY to EOY, and after EOY to reflect Progress Monitoring ranges.
- Then select Download.

	A	S	AR	AT	AU	AV	AW	AX	AY	AZ
1	School Year	Grade	Assessment Edition	PM Period	Measure	Probe Number	Total Number of Probes	Score	Score Change	Probe Clientdate
755	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - CLS	1	13	38		1/9/1
756	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - CLS	2	13	32	-6	1/17/1
757	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - CLS	3	13	33	1	1/24/1
758	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - CLS	4	13	26	-7	1/31/1
759	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - CLS	5	13	36	10	2/5/1
760	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - CLS	6	13	44	8	2/13/1
761	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - CLS	7	13	36	-8	2/21/1
762	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - CLS	8	13	43	7	3/8/1
763	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - CLS	9	13	48	5	3/12/1
764	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - CLS	10	13	33	-15	4/4/1
765	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - CLS	11	13	53	20	4/10/1
766	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - CLS	12	13	54	1	4/17/1
767	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - CLS	13	13	56	2	5/7/1
768	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - WWR	1	13	9		1/9/1
769	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - WWR	2	13	8	-1	1/17/1
770	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - WWR	3	13	10	2	1/24/1
771	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - WWR	4	13	8	-2	1/31/1
772	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - WWR	5	13	9	1	2/5/1
773	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - WWR	6	13	12	3	2/13/1
774	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - WWR	7	13	11	-1	2/21/1
775	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - WWR	8	13	15	4	3/8/1
776	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - WWR	9	13	17	2	3/12/1
777	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - WWR	10	13	11	-6	4/4/1
778	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - WWR	11	13	18	7	4/10/1
779	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - WWR	12	13	17	-1	4/17/1
780	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - WWR	13	13	17	0	5/7/1
781	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Phoneme Segmentation Fluency	1	2	37		1/9/1
782	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Phoneme Segmentation Fluency	2	2	44	7	1/17/1
783	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	DORF Accuracy	1	. 1	99		3/8/1
784	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	DORF Fluency	1	1	157		3/8/1
785	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	DORF Retell	1	1	62		3/8/1
786	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - CLS	1	. 1	143		4/2/1
787	2017-2018	1	DIBELS Next	MOY->EOY	Nonsense Word Fluency - WWR	1	1	50		4/2/1

- Here we've zoomed in to the CSV file to study the DIBELS Progress Monitoring data.
- Within the download, you will find CLICK the Measure given for Progress Monitoring, CLICK the total number of times it was given during the semester, in this case MOY to EOY, as CLICK well as the score for that instance and how it changed from the previous probe.
- CLICK We can zoom in to one student who received PM In Nonsense Word Fluency 13 times between Jan 9 and May 7, about once every week. His Correct Letter Sound Scores increased from 38 to 56 across that time, CLICK and his Whole Words Read nearly doubled from 9 to 17.
- In another example, a student received PM in PSF just twice, and the teacher stopped administering that measure when the goal of 40 was met.

-		<u> </u>					kentSummar	y						
н	ome Insert	Page Layou	t Formulas I	Data P	teview View	PivotTable Analyze	Design							۲
Г		albri (Body)	• 16 • A• A•	-		Whap Text	Genera	l .	•	l. ∭.	- N	4 🗰 - 🗰	κ. 🛄. Σ	. <u>₹</u> ₹
Pa	n _ []	Β Ι ⊻ •	. · <u>*</u> · <u>*</u>	-	8 3 43 43	Merge & Center	· ·	% > %	An Cond	itional Format atting as Table	Cell	Insert Dele	te Format	Sort &
A3	*	√ f _x Cour	t of Grade						Form	atting as table	Styles		ble Builder	Filler
~3	* ^										-			-
1	A	c	D	E	F	6	н	1	1	к	FIELD	NAME	Q, Bearch fields	
2											- A	sessment		
1	Count of Grade	1										sessment Edi	tion	
1			Phoneme									sessment Gra		
		First Sound	Segmentation		Nonsense Word	Nonsense Word	DORF	DORF	DORF	Grand		A Period		
4	Row Labels	Fluency	Fluency	Daze	Fluency - CLS	Fluency - WWR	Retell	Fluency	Accurac	y Total				
5	1		4		221	221	267	313	313	1339	9 Filt	ers	III Columns	
6	2				196	196	349	354	354	1449			: Measure	0
7	3	50	1 142	519	14 228	14 228	266	269	269	1352 648				
8	K Grand Total	50	142	519	659	659	882	936	936	4788	·			
11		~	247	515	055	033	UUL	230	330	4700	-			
2											1			- 1
3											1		_	
4											∃ Ro		∑ Values	
15											: Grad	0	: Count of Grade	0
16											-			
17														
18											-			
20											1			
21												Dana Balda		
22												Drag fields	between areas	
13														
14														
	▶ Sheet2	Sheet1	StudentSummary	+										
4			y and the second s	-										

- Large sets of data can be pulled into a Pivot Table in Excel for analysis. The Pivot Table Builder helps you create a table with the right fields to answer your questions. CLICK
- This Pivot Table CLICK analyzes students by grade and CLICK how many of each measures those students were given for Progress Monitoring.
- School leaders might use this to determine the volume of Progress Monitoring for each measure and in which grades.
 - CLICK It seems Kindergarten teachers only administered FSF 50 times but NWF 228 times.
 - This mightmean that phonemic awareness skills are strong in Kindergarten and teachers were able to move quickly to monitoring students in growth on letter sounds and CVC blends through NWF.

ssessment	
mCLASS:DIBELS Next	\checkmark
Benchmark Progress Monitoring Classroom Growth	DIBELS Deep
Updates to Download Your Data	
District	
Adams School District	\sim
ichool	
Alexandria Elementary, Chandler Elementary	\sim
Grade	
Grade K, Grade 1, Grade 2	\sim
ichool Year	
2016-2017	\sim
evel Filter	
Well Below Benchmark, Below Benchmark	\sim
Period	

- In a third raw data download, there is an option to download DIBELS Deep data.
- CLICK Click the option for DIBELS Deep.
- CLICK Select the Levels you wish to concentrate on in this download, or select All.
- Then select the Benchmark Period, as for other reports, and select Download.

	A	AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT	AY	AZ
						Assessment Measure-	Last Deep	Last Deep
		Assessment	Assessment	Benchmark	Assessment Measure-	Composite Score-	Assmnt-	Assmnt-
1	School Year	Edition	Grade	Period	Composite Score-Levels	Score	Name	Date
8	2017-2018	DIBELS Next		BOY	Well Below Benchmark	57	PA	10/24/17
9	2017-2018	DIBELS Next		MOY	Well Below Benchmark	58	PA	10/24/17
10	2017-2018	DIBELS Next		BOY	Well Below Benchmark	49	PA	10/24/17
11	2017-2018	DIBELS Next		MOY	Well Below Benchmark	59	PA	10/24/17
12	2017-2018	DIBELS Next	2	EOY	Well Below Benchmark	97	PA	10/24/17
13	2017-2018	DIBELS Next	3	BOY	Well Below Benchmark	37	PA	10/24/17
14	2017-2018	DIBELS Next	3	MOY	Well Below Benchmark	125	PA	10/24/17
15	2017-2018	DIBELS Next	3	BOY	Well Below Benchmark	145	PA	10/24/17
16	2017-2018	DIBELS Next	3	MOY	Well Below Benchmark	210	PA	10/24/17
17	2017-2018	DIBELS Next	1	BOY	Well Below Benchmark	72	WRD	10/24/17
18	2017-2018	DIBELS Next	1	MOY	Well Below Benchmark	48	WRD	10/24/17
19	2017-2018	DIBELS Next	1	BOY	Well Below Benchmark	60	WRD	10/24/17
20	2017-2018	DIBELS Next	1	MOY	Well Below Benchmark	67	WRD	10/24/17
21	2017-2018	DIBELS Next	2	BOY	Well Below Benchmark	8	WRD	10/24/17
22	2017-2018	DIBELS Next	2	MOY	Well Below Benchmark	13	WRD	10/24/17

- Here we've zoomed in to the CSV file to study the DIBELS Deep Assessment data.
- Within the download, for easy reference, there will also be CLICK a column with the Composite Score Level CLICK as well as the raw Composite Score.
- CLICK The final two columns will indicate which Deep assessment was given to students CLICK and when.

Assessment	
mCLASS:DIBELS Next	\sim
Benchmark Progress Monitorin Classroom Growth I	ELS Deep
Updates to Download Your Data	
District	
Adams School District	\sim
School	
Alexandria Elementary, Chandler Elementary	\sim
Grade	
Grade K, Grade 1, Grade 2	\sim
School Year	
2016-2017	\sim

- In our final download option, Classroom Growth raw data can be obtain by clicking the Classroom Growth Button.
- CLICK Notice that there is no longer a Period option because the Classroom Growth report generates data based on the whole school year. It can only be generated after the close of the EOY assessment window for the current school year.
- Select the year, and then click Download.



- The Classroom Growth Report uses Pathways of Progress illustrate how well classroom literacy skills developed over the course of an academic year.
- Using BOY DIBELS Next Composite Scores as a baseline, each student's EOY Composite Score falls into one of five Pathways.



• CLICK This report shows the number and percentage of students whose EOY performance placed them CLICK in the top three Pathways (Typical, Above Typical, or Well Above Typical progress).

D	E	F	G	н	1	1	К	L	M
					Total		Percentage of		
						Total Students in	Students in	Classroom Reading	
Grade	Teacher	Teacher ID		Class ID	Class	Pathways 3-5	Pathways 3-5		Classroom Reading Progress Descriptor
K	Teacher, Demo	6	Grade K	1					average classroom reading progress
1	Teacher, Demo	6	Grade 1	1					above average classroom reading progres
2	Teacher, Demo Teacher, Demo	6	Grade 2 Grade 3	1		14	70		average classroom reading progress
3	Teacher, Demo	D	Grade 3	1	1/	13	/6.4/	69	average classroom reading progress

- The report displays this information across the following columns:
 - CLICK Total Students in Class
 - CLICK Total Students in Pathways 3-5
 - CLICK Percentage of Students in Pathways 3-5
 - CLICK Classroom Reading Progress Percentile
 - CLICK Classroom Reading Progress Descriptor
- Because the Classroom Reading Progress Descriptor depends on the performance of other same-grade classrooms, CLICK each grade has its own table mapping the percentage of students making typical progress or better to each progress descriptor. See Appendix B of the <u>DIBELS Next Summative Growth Report</u> <u>Implementation Guide</u> for these tables.



- The classroom reading progress criteria tables allow comparison between the reading progress of students in one classroom to the reading progress of all students in all other classrooms at the same grade level.
- CLICK Classroom Reading Progress Percentiles are used to determine the Classroom Reading Progress Descriptor.
- CLICK You can use this descriptor as shown in your Growth Report download to examine trends across classes, to identify high-performing classrooms and best practices for your school or district, or to help determine the necessary resources and instructional changes to support high performance in the coming school year.

mplify. Report	ting		Roger Goodman Log Out
Reports My Dashboard	View My Data 🗸		Help
nCLASS:DIBELS Next			Data current as of 04/28/2018
Analyze Performance comparing Populations	Compare student performance in one measure across different institutional breakdowns or by student demographics for one or more periods.	Monitor Fidelity Completion Report	Review the completion status of the current benchmark assessments and/or compare it to the completion rates from earlier periods.
orrelation	Trace student performance in particular measures over time, or compare performance in two different measures.	Progress Monitoring Fidelity	View the rate at which educators administer progress monitoring to students.

• This help system can be opened by clicking the blue Help link at the top of each page. Each help topic specifically addresses the page or report you are viewing.



- CLICK Click Contents to view the table of contents in the window along the left of the page.
- CLICK Hyperlinks within the help text link to pages with additional information on the linked text.
- Click a book to view or hide its topics; click a topic to view it.
- Click Search to conduct a keyword search of the help system.



- Live Chat is now available for all mCLASS customers.
- This feature will directly connect you to our Customer Support team. This team is available to help you troubleshoot any problems you may be experiencing.
- Live Chat is available from 8:00 a.m. 6:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday.



• Our customer care team is eager to assist you.