




PTIMIZE OUR INVENTORY

Inventory Optimization Experts



Improving the Accuracy of Your Forecasts

10 PRACTICAL TIPS TO HELP YOU

>> Improving the Accuracy of Your Forecasts

It's Tough Being A Distributor In Today's Market

> Increased Competition.

Competition continues to increase as new distribution channels evolve and existing distribution channels expand. Twenty years ago most distributors existed on "market islands". They may have had a few competitors but they knew how these other firms conducted business. A number of developments including the Internet, dynamic data processing capabilities, and faster, more reliable transportation have drastically changed the distribution environment. Customers have more options to choose from when looking for sources of supply.

> Lower Margins.

This "buyers market" has forced many distributors to lower their profit margins in order to remain competitive.

> More Customer Demands.

Lower margins are not the only result of this increased competition. Customers are in a position to demand more value added services and greater product availability.

The result: Distributors have to provide better material availability and more services with fewer profit dollars. They have to do more with less. In order to accomplish this goal the estimates of future usage of stocked items must be as accurate as possible. In this document we will explore some ideas we have found to be effective in developing accurate demand forecasts for your stock products.


Traditional Forecasting Methods

One of the most common methods distributors utilize to forecast future demand of products is to average the usage recorded over the previous several months. Consider the usage history of this product:

	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	July
Usage	78	100	133	145	90	154	80	?

To forecast demand for July, we might average the usage recorded over the previous six months:
 $(100 + 133 + 145 + 90 + 154 + 80) \div 6 = 117$ pieces

As the following graph shows, a forecast of 117 pieces seems to be a reasonable estimate of July's usage (the green line reflects the forecast for July):



The Effect of Unusual Usage

But averaging past usage does not always result in an accurate forecast of future demand. If the distributor experienced unusually large sales of a product, averaging the usage in the past six months would result in an inaccurate forecast. For example, suppose the distributor experienced an unusual 1,000 piece sale of the product we examined before (i.e. usage in June is 1,080 pieces instead of 80 pieces):

	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	July
Usage	78	100	133	145	90	154	1080	?

Averaging the previous six months results in a forecast of 284 pieces:

$$(100 + 133 + 145 + 90 + 154 + 1080) \div 6 \approx 284 \text{ pieces}$$

But is 284 pieces a good estimate of July's demand? Probably not. To help ensure forecast accuracy, we must adjust usage history for any unusual activity that will probably not reoccur. It is a good idea to examine all instances where the demand forecast differs significantly from actual usage. Abnormally large sales are just one type of unusual activity. Consider a situation where there was no usage of the product in the month just completed:

	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	July
Usage	78	100	133	145	90	154	0	?

A forecast based on the usage recorded in the previous six months equals 104 pieces:

$$(100 + 133 + 145 + 90 + 154 + 0) \div 6 \approx 104 \text{ pieces}$$

Neither 284 nor 104 pieces appears to be a good forecast for July. To ensure the accuracy of demand forecasts, it is critical that buyers or salespeople examine possible unusual usage. A report or inquiry should list products whose usage in the month just completed is greater than "x" percent, or less than "y" percent, of the forecast. For example, some distributors will scrutinize any item whose usage is greater than 300% or less than 20% of the predicted demand. These percentages are not "cast in stone" and should be modified to meet each distributor's specific situation. There are three reasons why an item would be included on this possible unusual activity list:

- > Activity that will not reoccur. This includes abnormally large sales as well as unusually low usage that was caused by stock outs, temporary customer shutdowns or some other reason.
- > The start of a new sales trend. There is a dramatic increase or decrease in usage that is representative of probable future usage of the product.
- > The wrong formula is being used to forecast future demand of the item.

If the possible unusual usage was caused by activity that will not reoccur, usage should be adjusted to equal what usage would have been under "normal" circumstances. If a new sales trend is detected, you might want to either adjust past usage to reflect current market conditions or override the actual forecast until adequate history that reflects the new trend has been accumulated.

Different Patterns of Usage Require Different Forecast Formulas

It would be wonderful if we could forecast future demand of every product by averaging the usage (or adjusted usage) over the previous six months. But we have found that different patterns of usage require different forecast formulas. We've also discovered that an average of past usage is just one element of a good forecast formula. In fact comprehensive forecasting considers four elements:


- > A weighted average of past usage
- > An optional trend factor
- > Possible collaborative information from customers and/or salespeople
- > Identification of the proper time frame for the forecast, also known as the forecast horizon

Weighted Average of Past Usage

Look at this product's usage history:

	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	July
Usage	78	80	90	100	133	145	156	?

A forecast for July calculated by averaging the previous six months usage is again 117 pieces $[(80 + 90 + 100 + 133 + 145 + 156) \div 6 \approx 117]$. But notice how usage has increased over the past several months:




It is logical that June's usage of 156 pieces should have more of an effect on July's demand than January's usage of 80 pieces. We need to be able to emphasize the history of certain months in our forecast demand calculations. This can be accomplished by utilizing a set of weights with the average usage calculation. For this item we will place the greatest emphasis or weight on June's usage and gradually decrease the weight over the previous four months:

Month	Usage	Weight	Extension
June	156	3.0	468.0
May	145	2.5	362.5
April	133	2.0	266.0
March	100	1.5	150.0
February	90	1.0	90.0
Total		10.0	1336.5

The total extension of 1336.5 is divided by the total weight of 10 to equal a weighted average of about 134 pieces. A forecast of 134 pieces appears to be better than the previous estimate of 117 pieces, but it will probably still fall short of July's actual usage. But remember that past usage is just one element of a comprehensive forecast.

Trends

No average of past usage can result in a forecast that is greater (or less) than the largest (or smallest) usage quantity included in the calculation. If usage is either consistently increasing or decreasing over time, a "trend factor" should be applied to the results of the weighted average formula. Going back to our example, let's look at how usage has increased, month to month, over the past several months:



This item has experienced an average increase of 16.5% $[(33.0 + 9.0 + 7.6) \div 3]$ over the previous four months. If we increase the weighted average of 134 pieces (calculated above) by 16.5% the result is a forecast of 156 pieces. This is probably a fairly good forecast considering that the percentage increase in usage, month to month, is gradually getting smaller.

It is important to note that a trend percentage should not be applied to every forecast calculation. After all, not every item has a consistent increase or decrease in usage over time. Applied trend percentages also may have to be adjusted to reflect changes in interest rates, general business activity, housing starts or other economic factors.

Finding the Best Forecast Formula

Because various weights can be applied to any previous month's usage, there are literally thousands of sets of weights that can be used to forecast the future demand of products. So how do you determine what set of weights to use with each item? Though this may seem like a formidable task, it is actually not that difficult. We typically start by selecting the eight most common sets of weights. In this example we are forecasting demand for March, 2003:

	Feb '03	Jan'03	Dec'02	Nov '02	Oct '02	Sep '02	Aug '02	Jul '02	Jun '02	May '02	Apr '02	Mar '02
A	3.0	2.5	2.0	1.5	1.0							
B	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0					
C	1.0	1.0	1.0									
D	5.0	2.0	1.0									
E	1.0		1.0		1.0							
F											1.0	2.0
G										1.0	1.0	1.0
H											1.0	4.0

A spreadsheet is created to calculate the forecast for each item, using each formula (with and without a trend factor), for each of the past six to twelve months. Each forecast is compared to the actual usage (or adjusted usage) for that month. The formula that has the lowest average forecast error (the difference between the forecast and actual usage) for an item will be assigned to that product to forecast demand in the future. If none of these formulas generate an acceptably low forecast error, other sets of weights will be tested.

Note that formulas F, G and H use history for the upcoming months, last year. These formulas are appropriate for season items. These are products whose usage normally fluctuates in a normal pattern throughout the year (e.g., beach umbrellas, snow shovels, etc.). Trend percentages applied to seasonal forecast formulas compare usage in the last several months this year to usage in the same months, last year.

If your computer system does not allow you to place weights on the usage history in forecast calculations, try averaging the usage in the last three, four and six months to determine what average usage calculation is most appropriate for each item.

Collaborative Information


Sometimes a customer's or salesperson's estimate of future usage provides a better forecast than an average of past history. If you can obtain reliable estimates of what will be needed, this information can be included as a component in your forecast calculation:

$$\begin{array}{r}
 \text{Results of the Weighted Average Formula} \\
 + \text{ Effects of a Trend Percentage} \\
 + \text{ Collaborative Forecast Information} \\
 \hline
 \text{Total Forecast}
 \end{array}$$

If a customer regularly supplies collaborative information for your forecast, make sure that you do not include shipments to that customer in your usage history. If the same customer demand is reflected in both usage history and collaborative information, the resulting forecast will reflect twice the customer's actual needs.

Forecast Horizon

Forecasting is a lot like going to a rifle range. You have to be sure to aim at the right target. That is you have to be sure that you are forecasting demand for the correct inventory period. For example suppose a product has a 90 day lead time. you are forecasting demand at the end of January, your forecast needs to reflect your anticipated usage in May:



After all, an order placed with the vendor will not arrive until late April or early May. Forecasts for February, March and April should have no effect on your current replenishment plans.

Identify Products Whose Future Demand Cannot be Forecast

We've spent a lot of time discussing how to accurately forecast future demand of products. Unfortunately, like lottery winners, future usage of some products cannot be accurately predicted. These items tend to have "sporadic" or irregular usage. Here is the usage history of one of these products:

	January	February	March	April	May	June
Usage	1	0	0	0	1	0

There was usage of one piece in January and one piece in May. Any average of past monthly usage will result in a forecast of less than one piece. What are the chances a customer will ask for one-sixth of a unit?

Here is the history of another item with sporadic usage:

	January	February	March	April	May	June
Usage	50	0	0	50	0	50

It appears that customers buy 50 pieces of the product at a time. Any average of past monthly usage will result in a forecast demand of less than 50 pieces (e.g., 150 pieces divided by six months equals 25 pieces per month). But even a forecast of 50 pieces per month will not be accurate. After all, the item has sporadic usage. Fifty pieces are not sold every single month!

Products with sporadic usage can usually be identified as those whose average quantity sold or used in one transaction is greater than the average monthly usage. Instead of a forecast of future demand, these products should be maintained with a target stock level. The target stock level is a multiple of the average or normal quantity used in one transaction. For example, you might want to maintain a target inventory of one normal usage quantity of the product sold fifty pieces at a time:

Minimum = 50 pieces

Maximum = 50 pieces

When the stock level drops below the minimum of fifty pieces, enough of the product will be ordered to bring the stock level back up to fifty pieces. If the item has a long lead time or requires a very high level of customer service, you might consider maintaining a target stock level of two normal use quantities:

Minimum = 100 pieces

Maximum = 100 pieces

Accurate demand forecasts are a critical factor in achieving effective inventory management. If you do not have good estimates of future usage, you are forced to overstock in order to maintain a high level of customer service. This is the equivalent of adding "fat" to your warehouse. It costs a lot of money to maintain this excess inventory, money that probably could be put to better use. In today's competitive environment you must be "lean and mean" to prosper and maximize your company's profitability. You need to develop the most accurate forecast of future demand possible for every stocked product in your inventory!

The team at aimINSIGHT worked as manufacturers and distributors before conceiving the idea of a management consulting firm that would draw upon their extensive experience in supply chain management.

We are uniquely positioned to help your company streamline its operations, minimize costs and increase profits thanks to our awareness of industry standards in supply chain management that help us realize untapped potential across the entire spectrum of business operations.



Beauty Supply

Integrated UPS shipping to automatically update tracking numbers with their own two way system.



HVAC Manufacturer

Extended visibility to customer order history and item history across the company to enable maximized customer service.

Developed automated fax and email delivery system for key documents, saving mailing costs and speeding up collections



Lighting Distributor

Complex sales commission calculations done automatically. Commission statements automatically delivered to salesperson in the field.



Consumer Packaged Goods

Integrated order processing with EDI for various large trading partners including Walmart and Target.



Food and Beverage

Integrated inventory with images, pricing, weights and packaging. Built a business to business portal for clients to place orders online.



Behavior Health Clinics

Created a web-based timesheet to integrate and automate California payroll laws including multiple rates and auto-calculation of travel expenses and mileage.

Developed a portal for employees to enter timesheets and track Human resources data to reduce errors.

How can we help you? We understand your needs.
Let us help you maximize your potential for value creation.
Contact us today!

aimINSIGHT

Tel: 310.313.0047

Fax: 310.313.1187

Email: InTouch@aiminsight.com