



**Burkburnett ISD**  
**Financial Management Report**  
**FIRST**  
**2018-2019**  
**(Based on Fiscal Year 2017-18 Data)**

The purpose of the financial accountability rating system is to ensure that school districts will be held accountable for the quality of their financial management practices and achieve improved performance in the management of their financial resources. The system is designed to encourage Texas public schools to manage their financial resources better in order to provide the maximum allocation possible for direct instructional purposes. The system will also disclose the quality of local management and decision-making processes that impact the allocation of financial resources in Texas public schools. An evaluation of the long-term effectiveness of the system should disclose a measurable improvement in the quality of Texas public schools' financial decision-making processes. (Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 109)

RATING YEAR **2018-2019** DISTRICT NUMBER **district #** **Select An Option** **Help** **Home**



**Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas**

**2018-2019 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2017-2018 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL**

<b>Name:</b> BURKBURNETT ISD(243901)	<b>Publication Level 1:</b> 8/7/2019 3:33:27 PM
<b>Status:</b> Passed	<b>Publication Level 2:</b> 8/8/2019 2:06:12 PM
<b>Rating:</b> A = Superior	<b>Last Updated:</b> 8/8/2019 2:06:12 PM
<b>District Score:</b> 90	<b>Passing Score:</b> 60

#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score
1	<a href="#">Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?</a>	8/6/2019 12:41:42 AM	Yes
2	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and material weaknesses. The school district must pass 2.A to pass this indicator. The school district fails indicator number 2 if it responds "No" to indicator 2.A. or to both indicators 2.A and 2.B.		
2.A	<a href="#">Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)</a>	8/6/2019 12:41:42 AM	Yes
2.B	<a href="#">Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)</a>	8/6/2019 12:41:42 AM	No
3	<a href="#">Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)</a>	8/6/2019 12:41:42 AM	Yes
4	<a href="#">Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?</a>	8/6/2019 12:41:43 AM	Yes
5	This indicator is not being scored.		
			1 Multiplier Sum
6	<a href="#">Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school</a>	8/6/2019	10

	<u>district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)</u>	12:41:43 AM	
7	<u>Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)</u>	8/6/2019 12:41:43 AM	10
8	<u>Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)</u>	8/6/2019 12:41:44 AM	10
9	<u>Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?</u>	8/6/2019 12:41:44 AM	10
10	<u>Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)</u>	8/6/2019 12:41:45 AM	10
11	<u>Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)</u>	8/6/2019 12:41:46 AM	10
12	<u>Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)</u>	8/6/2019 12:41:47 AM	10
13	<u>Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?</u>	8/6/2019 12:41:47 AM	10
14	<u>Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)</u>	8/6/2019 12:41:47 AM	0
15	<u>Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?</u>	8/6/2019 12:41:48 AM	10
			90 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			90 Score

**DETERMINATION OF RATING**

<b>A.</b>	Did the district answer 'No' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is <b>F for Substandard Achievement</b> regardless of points earned.
<b>B.</b>	Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)
	<b>A = Superior</b> 90-100
	<b>B = Above Standard</b> 80-89

C = Meets Standard	60-79
F = Substandard Achievement	<60

No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**  
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

FIRST 5.7.1.0

# School FIRST Annual Financial Management Report

## BURKBURNETT INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Title 19 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109, Budgeting, Accounting, and Auditing Subchapter AA, Commissioner's Rules Concerning Financial Accountability Rating System, Section 109.1001(q). Effective 8/1/2018. The template has been established to help the districts in gathering their data and presenting it at their School FIRST hearing. The template may not be all inclusive.

### 1. Superintendent's Current Employment Contract

A copy of the superintendent's current employment contract at the time of the School FIRST hearing is to be provided. In lieu of publication in the annual School FIRST financial management report, the school district may chose to publish the superintendent's employment contract on the school district's Internet site.

If published on the Internet, the contract is to remain accessible for twelve months.

### 2. Reimbursements Received by the Superintendent and Board Members

For the Twelve-Month Period  
Ended June 30 or August 31, 2018

Description of Reimbursements	CHAPLIN Superintendent	BEESON Board Member 1	GODSEY Board Member 2	KLIPP Board Member 3	ANDRAJACK Board Member 4	HEALTON Board Member 5	MYERS Board Member 6	SMITH Board Member 7
Meals	\$15.04	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lodging	\$0	\$0	\$72.89	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Transportation	\$785.40	\$0	\$142.98	\$0	\$539.95	\$0	\$213.71	\$0
Motor Fuel	\$0	\$0	\$38.00	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other	\$27.00	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$827.44	\$0	\$253.87	\$0	\$539.95	\$0	\$213.71	\$0

All "reimbursements" expenses, regardless of the manner of payment, including direct pay, credit card, cash, and purchase order are to be reported. Items to be reported per category include:

Meals – Meals consumed out of town, and in-district meals at area restaurants (outside of board meetings, excludes catered board meeting meals).

Lodging - Hotel charges.

Transportation - Airfare, car rental (can include fuel on rental, taxis, mileage reimbursements, leased cars, parking and tolls).

Motor fuel – Gasoline.

Other: - Registration fees, telephone/cell phone, internet service, fax machine, and other reimbursements (or on-behalf of) to the superintendent and board member not defined above.

**3. Outside Compensation and/or Fees Received by the Superintendent for Professional Consulting and/or Other Personal Services**

For the Twelve-Month Period	
Ended June 30 or August 31, 2018	
Name(s) of Entity(ies)	Amt Received
	\$0
Total	\$0.00

**Note** - Compensation does not include business revenues generated from a family business (farming, ranching, etc.) that has no relation to school district business.

**4. Gifts Received by Executive Officers and Board Members (and First Degree Relatives, if any) (gifts that had an economic value of \$250 or more in the aggregate in the fiscal year)**

For the Twelve-Month Period  
Ended June 30 or August 31, 2018

	CHAPLIN Superintendent	BEESON Board Member 1	GODSEY Board Member 2	KLIPP Board Member 3	ANDRAJACK Board Member 4	HEALTON Board Member 5	MYERS Board Member 6	SMITH Board Member 7
Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**Note** – An executive officer is defined as the superintendent, unless the board of trustees or the district administration names additional staff under this classification for local officials.

**5. Business Transactions Between School District and Board Members**

For the Twelve-Month Period  
Ended June 30 or August 31, 2018

	CHAPLIN Superintendent	BEESON Board Member 1	GODSEY Board Member 2	KLIPP Board Member 3	ANDRAJACK Board Member 4	HEALTON Board Member 5	MYERS Board Member 6	SMITH Board Member 7
Amounts	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**Note** - The summary amounts reported under this disclosure are not to duplicate the items disclosed in the summary schedule of reimbursements received by board members.