2018



# **AP European History**

## Sample Student Responses and Scoring Commentary

## Inside:

**Document-Based Question** 

- ☑ Scoring Guideline
- ☑ Student Samples
- **☑** Scoring Commentary

© 2018 The College Board. College Board, Advanced Placement Program, AP, AP Central, and the acorn logo are registered trademarks of the College Board. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.org. AP Central is the official online home for the AP Program: apcentral.collegeboard.org

## **Question 1** — Document-Based Question

#### **Maximum Possible Points: 7**

"Evaluate whether the Thirty Years' War was fought primarily for religious or primarily for political reasons."

Points	Rubric	Notes
A: Thesis/Claim (0-1)	<b>Thesis/claim:</b> Responds to the prompt with a historically defensible thesis/claim that establishes a line of reasoning. (1 point) <i>To earn this point the thesis must make a claim that responds to the prompt rather than restating or rephrasing the prompt. The thesis must consist of one or more sentences located in one place, either in the introduction or the conclusion.</i>	<ul> <li>The thesis must take a position on whether the Thirty Years' War was fought primarily for religious or primarily for political reasons with some indication of the reason for taking that position.</li> <li>"The Thirty Years' War was fought overwhelmingly for religious purposes, with countries being drawn into war to defend the sanctity of one religion or another, and always divided Catholics and Protestants."</li> <li>"The Thirty Years War was primarily fought over religion and all stemmed from a little squabble in Bohemia."</li> </ul>
B: Contextualization (0-1)	<b>Contextualization:</b> Describes a broader historical context relevant to the prompt. (1 point) <i>To earn this point the response must relate</i> <i>the topic of the prompt to broader historical</i> <i>events, developments, or processes that</i> <i>occur before, during, or continue after the</i> <i>time frame of the question. This point is not</i> <i>awarded for merely a phrase or reference.</i>	<ul> <li>To earn the point the essay must accurately describe a broader context relevant to the motivations for the Thirty Years' War.</li> <li><i>Examples might include the following, with appropriate elaboration:</i> <ul> <li>Earlier Protestant-Catholic conflicts</li> <li>Protestant and Catholic Reformations</li> <li>Habsburg vs. French dynastic rivalries</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

## **Question 1** — Document-Based Question (continued)

Γ		
ance (0-3)	<ul> <li>Evidence from the Documents:</li> <li>Uses the content of at least three</li> <li>documents to address the topic of the</li> <li>prompt. (1 point)</li> <li>OR</li> <li>Supports an argument in response to the</li> <li>prompt using at least six documents. (2</li> <li>points)</li> </ul>	To earn 1 point the response must accurately describe — rather than simply quote — the content from at least three of the documents to address the topic of motivations for the Thirty Years' War. To earn 2 points the response must accurately describe — rather than simply quote — the content from at least six documents. In addition, the response must use the content from the documents to support an argument in response to the prompt.
+C: Evidence (0-3)	<b>Evidence beyond the Documents:</b> Uses at least one additional piece of specific historical evidence (beyond that found in the documents) relevant to an argument about the prompt. (1 point)	See document summaries for examples of evidence. Statements credited as evidence from outside the documents will typically be more specific details relevant to an argument, analogous to the function of evidence drawn from the documents.
	To earn this point the evidence must be described, and it must be more than a phrase or reference. This additional piece of evidence must be different from the evidence used to earn the point for contextualization.	Typically, statements credited as contextualization will be more general statements that place an argument or a significant portion of it in a broader context.
D: Analysis and Reasoning (0-2)	Sourcing: For at least three documents, explains how or why the document's point of view, purpose, historical situation, and/or audience is relevant to an argument. (1 point) See document summaries for examples of possible sourcing.	To earn this point the response must explain how or why — rather than simply identifying — the document's point of view, purpose, historical situation, or audience is relevant to an argument that addresses the prompt for each of the three documents sourced.

## **Question 1** — Document-Based Question (continued)

## **Question 1** — Document-Based Question (continued)

## **Document Summaries and Possible Sourcing**

Document	Summary of Content	Explains the relevance of point of view, purpose, situation, and/or audience by elaborating on examples such as:
1. Emperor Matthias's letter to Bohemian Protestants (1618) 2. Constitution of the Bohemian Federation	<ul> <li>Claims he has no plans to rescind the free exercise of the Protestant religion in Bohemia, therefore there is no reason for Bohemia to take up arms</li> <li>Cites the need to defend Calvinism as the motivation for creating the Confederation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Emperor wants to reassure Protestant subjects to prevent rebellion (POV- situation).</li> <li>Protestants in Bohemia feared the re- imposition of Catholicism by the Empire (audience).</li> <li>Bohemian leaders are justifying their actions to take defensive measures if necessary (POV).</li> <li>Bohemians desire to protect the free exercise of Calvinism against Catholicism</li> </ul>
(1619) 3. Baumann report to Elector Maximillian (1628)	Describes problems with reimposing Catholicism in Protestant areas of Bavaria after the Habsburg victory there	<ul> <li>(purpose).</li> <li>Habsburgs are winning the war against the Protestant German states and re- imposing Catholicism (situation).</li> <li>Jesuit seeks to reassure the Elector Catholicism is winning despite Protestant resistance (purpose).</li> </ul>
4. Letter from Adolphus to Elector of Brandenberg (1630)	<ul> <li>Adolphus claims Swedish intervention is to prevent Catholic Habsburgs from wiping out German Protestantism</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Seeks to intimidate the elector into supporting the Swedes (purpose).</li> <li>Protestant king wants to support other Protestant princes in Germany (POV).</li> </ul>
5. Oxenstierna meeting notes (1633)	<ul> <li>Notes that Sweden looked to secure the safety of Sweden and command of the Baltic Sea</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Oxenstierna justifies Adolphus' land acquisition (POV).</li> <li>Confidential meeting with allied government reveals political power (purpose).</li> </ul>
6. Richelieu engraving (1640)	Portrays the Cardinal     protecting France from     Huguenot Protestants and rival     Catholic powers	<ul> <li>Tries to influence public opinion as to the wisdom of Richelieu's policies (audience).</li> <li>France is intervening on behalf of the Protestants in Germany to weaken the rival Habsburgs (situation).</li> </ul>
7. Pope Innocent X declaration (1648)	Criticizes Peace of Westphalia and claims that it is not legitimate	<ul> <li>Sees the settlement as a defeat for the Catholic side (POV).</li> <li>Chastises the Catholic rulers for putting secular interests ahead of faith (audience).</li> </ul>

## **Question 1** — Document-Based Question (continued)

## Scoring Notes

Introductory notes:

- Except where otherwise noted, each point of these rubrics is earned independently, e.g., a student could earn a point for evidence without earning a point for thesis/claim.
- **Accuracy**: The components of this rubric require that students demonstrate historically defensible content knowledge. Given the timed nature of the exam, the response may contain errors that do not detract from the overall quality, as long as the historical content used to advance the argument is accurate.
- **Clarity**: Exam responses should be considered first drafts and thus may contain grammatical errors. Those errors will not be counted against a student unless they obscure the successful demonstration of the content knowledge, skills, and practices described below.

#### Note: Student samples (when available) are quoted verbatim and may contain grammatical errors.

## A. Thesis/Claim (0-1 point)

The thesis must take a position on whether the Thirty Years' War was primarily fought for religious or political reasons with some indication of the reason for taking that position.

Responses earn 1 point by responding to the prompt with a historically defensible thesis that establishes a line of reasoning about the topic. To earn this point the thesis must make a claim that responds to the prompt rather than simply restating or rephrasing the prompt. The thesis must suggest at least one main line of argument development or establish the analytic categories of the argument.

The thesis must consist of one or more sentences located in one place, either in the introduction or the conclusion.

#### Examples of acceptable theses:

- "While the Thirty Years' War was religious in that it was fought to protect the freedom of
  religion throughout the Holy Roman Empire, it was also political in that it was used to
  strategically help certain powers protect themselves and stay prominent."
  (The response addresses the prompt with an evaluative claim that establishes a line of reasoning.)
- "The Thirty Years' War was fought overwhelmingly for religious purposes, with countries being drawn into war to defend the sanctity of one religion or another, and always divided Catholics and Protestants."

(The response addresses the prompt with an evaluative claim that establishes a line of reasoning.)

• "The Thirty Years' War was primarily fought over religion and all stemmed from a little squabble in Bohemia."

(The response addresses the prompt with a claim that establishes a minimally acceptable line of reasoning.)

## **Question 1** — Document-Based Question (continued)

#### Examples of unacceptable theses:

- "The Thirty Years' War was fought for political but primarily religious reasons." (The response merely indicates the position that will be argued without giving any indication as to the line of reasoning. If this statement was immediately followed or preceded by another sentence suggesting a valid reason for taking this position, then the two sentences taken together could receive credit.)
- "The Thirty Years' War was primarily fought for religious and secondarily fought for political reasons. It is hard to determine this because the two go together hand in hand and have proportional causes and effects."

(While this sentence acknowledges the terms of the question, the line of reasoning is nonspecific. If this statement was immediately followed or preceded by another sentence suggesting a valid reason for taking this position, then the two sentences taken together could receive credit.)

## **B.** Contextualization (0–1 point)

Responses earn a point for contextualization by describing a broader historical context relevant to the prompt. To earn this point the response must accurately and explicitly connect the context of the prompt to broader historical events, developments, or processes that occur before, during, or continue after the time frame of the question. This point is not awarded for merely a phrase or reference.

To earn the point the response must accurately describe a context relevant to whether the Thirty Years' War was fought for primarily political or religious reasons.

#### Examples of acceptable contextualization:

- "Prior to the Thirty Years' War period, Luther had been spreading his ideas of Protestantism
  and individual interpretation of the Bible. As a result European states were divided without the
  Catholic Church holding them together. The religious tension, primarily between the Holy
  Roman Empire and France, marked the period prior to the Thirty Years' War."
  (The response relates broader events and developments to the topic of motivations for the war.)
- "During the Thirty Years' War the Peace of Augsburg was taken away. In the Peace of Augsburg it states that the leader of the country can choose to have a Protestant or Catholic country. This was taking away people's freedom and religious toleration ... Cardinal Richelieu from France did not like the idea of Huguenots gaining power because they were Protestant." (*The response relates broader events and developments to the topic of motivations for the war*.)

## **Question 1** — Document-Based Question (continued)

#### Example of unacceptable contextualization:

"The Thirty Years' War sparked large amounts of disruption in Europe. Although it involved primarily the French Huguenots and the Holy Roman Empire (Catholics) it caused many other European countries to be involved."
 (Though largely accurate, without a clear link to the question of motivations for the war, this

statement by itself would not constitute acceptable contextualization. If these sentences were followed by some further discussion of why many areas were involved then, taken together, this would constitute acceptable contextualization.)

Students may choose to discuss such potentially relevant examples of context, such as:

- Religious conflict in England
- The Peace of Augsburg
- The Edict of Nantes
- Jesuits and the Catholic Reformation
- Fragmentation of the Holy Roman Empire
- Huguenots and French wars of religion
- Emergence of Lutheranism and Calvinism
- Increasing power of monarchies
- Defenestration of Prague

#### C. Evidence (0-3 points)

#### a) Document Content — Addressing the Topic (1 point)

In order to achieve the <u>first point</u>, the response must use the content of at least **three** documents to address the **topic** of the prompt. (1 point) To earn 1 point for evidence from the documents the response must accurately describe — rather than simply quote — the content from at least three of the documents to address the topic of motivations for the Thirty Years' War.

#### Examples of describing the content of a document:

• (Document 6): "In 1640 the Thirty Years War had reached the 'French Phase'. This phase was known as one of the bloodiest phases to exist. Jean Gagniere paints Richelieu carefully removing the French Calvinists (Huguenots) from France." Gagniere paints Catholic Austria and Spain as chained back but vicious."

(The response describes the document accurately, and thus is credited as addressing the topic, but does not explicitly tie the description to an argument in response to the prompt.)

## **Question 1 — Document-Based Question (continued)**

(Document 7): "In Doc 7 is the signing of the Treaty of Westphalia which is known to be the signing that ended religious conflicts. Pope Innocent X responds on how the authority of the Catholic church should not prevent you from seeking other interests other than God." (*The response describes the document accurately, and thus is credited as addressing the topic, but does not explicitly tie the description to an argument in response to the prompt.*)

## b) Document Content — Supporting an Argument (1 point)

In order to achieve the <u>second point</u> for evidence from the documents, the response needs to support an **argument** in response to the prompt by accurately using the content of at least **six** documents. (2 points) The six documents do not have to be used in support of a single argument, but they can be used across subarguments or to address counterarguments.

## Examples of supporting an argument using the content of a document:

(Document 1): "The Holy Roman Emperor attempted to convince others that he wasn't
motivated to start war because of religion, since he doesn't plan on altering religious policies.
However this is wholly untrue since the Holy Roman Emperor continues to be a Catholic
power."

(The response connects the contents of the document to an argument about motivations for the Thirty Years' War.)

• (Document 4): "However the war was in fact religiously motivated. In a letter from Gustavus Adolphus to the elector of Brandenburg, Adolphus declares that the HR Emperor only wants to root out the Protestant religion."

(The response accurately describes and connects the content of the document to an argument about the motivations for the Thirty Years' War.)

In a paragraph arguing for political motivations, Holy Roman Emperor Matthias (Document 1) is referenced as seeking to regain his throne in Bohemia and to dilute tensions there, while Swedish King Adolphus (Document 4) is used in reference to his intent to keep a lasting peace in the Baltic region, and, finally, Swedish Chancellor Oxenstierna (Document 5) is used as evidence in reference to Swedish political motivations for entering the war. (*The response accurately describes and connects the content of the documents to an argument about the motivations for the Thirty Years' War.*)

## c) Evidence beyond the Documents (1 point)

The response must use at least one additional piece of specific historical evidence (beyond that found in the documents) relevant to an argument that addresses the motivations for the Thirty Years' War (1 point). To earn this point the evidence must be described, and the description must be more than a phrase or reference. This additional piece of evidence must be different from the evidence used to earn the point for contextualization.

## **Question 1** — Document-Based Question (continued)

Typically, statements credited as **contextualization** will be more general statements that place an argument or a significant portion of it in a broader context. Statements credited as **evidence from outside the documents** will typically be more specific details relevant to an argument, analogous to the function of evidence drawn from the documents.

## Examples of providing an example or additional piece of specific evidence beyond the documents relevant to an argument that addresses the prompt:

 "When messengers arrived bearing news that the emperor had lied in the document, the townspeople responded by throwing the messengers out the window in the infamous Defenestration of Prague."

(The response occurs in a discussion of motivations for the war.)

"Richelieu was responsible for convincing King Louis to enter the war, seeing it as a way to extend French power. Richelieu's ambitions were successful, as the war severely weakened the Holy Roman Empire, and cemented France as the dominant European power."
 (*The response provides a piece of evidence not in the documents relevant to an argument that addresses the prompt.*)

## D. Analysis and Reasoning (2 points)

#### **Document Sourcing (0–1 point)**

For at least **three** documents, the response explains how or why the document's point of view, purpose, historical situation, and/or audience is relevant to an argument that addresses the prompt. (1 point) To earn this point the response must explain how or why — rather than simply identifying — the document's point of view, purpose, historical situation, or audience is relevant to an argument addressing the prompt for each of the three documents sourced.

## Example of acceptable explanation of the significance of the author's point of view:

(Document 3): "When this letter was written in 1628, religious tensions were still high. As a Jesuit, Baumann was a militant Catholic and held great disdain for Protestants."
 (*The response provides sourcing regarding the POV of the author relevant to an argument addressing religious motivations for the war.*)

## **Question 1** — Document-Based Question (continued)

#### Example of acceptable explanation of the relevance of the historical situation of a document:

 (Document 7): "Document 7 is an excerpt from Pope Innocent 10<sup>th</sup> denouncing all articles of religious freedom as noted in the Treaty of Westphalia. The Pope was not allowed to partake in the Westphalia agreement which signified a continent wide severing of the relationship between church and state. For this reason Pope Innocent's identity and historical situation in the balance of power at that time adds significance to his outraged and saddened tone in the response."

(The response provides sourcing regarding the historical situation of the engraving relevant to an argument regarding the motivations for the war.)

#### Example of acceptable explanation of the significance of the audience:

(Document 5): "As a confidential account, doc. 5 likely offers an honest telling of Adolphus' motives and reveals that there were indeed political motives behind Adolphus' actions" (*The response provides sourcing regarding the audience of the declaration relevant to an argument that addresses the political motivations for the war.*)

## **Demonstrating Complex Understanding (0–1 point)**

The response demonstrates a complex understanding of the historical motivations and factors that led to and continued the Thirty Years' War, using evidence to corroborate, qualify, or modify an argument that addresses the question.

Demonstrating a complex understanding might include:

- Explaining nuance of motivation by analyzing how religious and political considerations were often hard to distinguish, or that people within the same religious group could have differing goals
- Explaining both political and religious motivations
- Explaining relevant and insightful connections within and across periods, such as comparing the Thirty Years' War to other religious conflicts in Europe, such as division within the Catholic Church dating back to the Medieval period, French Wars of Religion, and the English Civil War, as well as political conflicts such as the Seven Years' War and 18<sup>th</sup>-century balance of power conflicts
- Confirming the validity of an argument by corroborating multiple perspectives across themes
- Qualifying or modifying an argument by considering diverse or alternative views or evidence, such as pointing out the political considerations behind the religious claims that states and leaders made during the war

This understanding must be part of the argument, not merely a phrase or reference.

## **Question 1** — Document-Based Question (continued)

#### Examples of demonstrating complex understanding:

- Nuance: The response acknowledges that Cardinal Richelieu in Document 6 is achieving political gains and using religion as an excuse. As a politique he is willing to disregard his religious beliefs in favor of the state. He is killing two birds with one stone by removing Huguenots and increasing unity in France. Along with Adolphus, these leaders are extending the security of their states and increasing their power.
   (*The response explains nuance of an issue by analyzing how a ruler can use religious and political motivations to achieve their goal of increasing the power of the state, thus demonstrating an*
- understanding of broader historical developments behind the document.)
  Explains multiple causes: The response discusses both religious and political reasons but makes a clear chronological demarcation between the two. The transition is based on the intervention of France and Sweden into the conflict. The response uses Document 1 (Emperor is trying to comfort and pacify the agitation) and Document 2 (Bohemia's response ... defending its right to practice Calvinism). A discussion of religious reasons is followed by an analysis of Documents 4, 5, and 6 discussing Adolphus and France's intervention into the war. (*The response explains multiple motivations by analyzing how the aims of Sweden and France changed the complexity of the war from religiously to politically motivated actions.*)
- Corroboration: The response establishes the argument that the true purpose of the Thirty Years' War was the gain of power. Document 1 is used to explain how Matthias tries to avoid alienating Protestants by allowing the free practice of religion, but in reality this is a façade to protect his own power by deterring the Bohemians from taking up arms. The response then corroborates this line of reasoning by suggesting that the coalition of Calvinist nobles and cities is deceptive in that its actual motivation is political rather than being based on religious freedom.

(The response confirms the validity of an argument by using religion as a pretext for political motives from the differing perspective of a Catholic and a Protestant ruler.)

• Connections: To support an argument that the Thirty Years' War can be connected to the Spanish Inquisition, the response uses Document 6 to contrast the relatively placid way that Richelieu removed Protestants from France with the violent way in which Philip forced the exodus of Spanish Jews who would not convert. The response continues by noting that Richelieu's approach is less violent than the treatment Huguenots could expect in Spain or Austria.

(The response explains relevant and insightful connections between the Thirty Years' War and the Spanish Inquisition using outside evidence elaborating on an analysis of Document 6.)

Circle the question number that you are answering on this page. DBC Mandatory Circle one A-10F3 2 or 3 or 4

The Trutty & Years' war was from 1610 to 1640 and spread from socaused regions to the majority of continental Europe. H 15 Commonly referred to as the last of the religious wars, it has been divided into four phoses: Bohemian phase, Danish phase, Swedish-Erabic phase, and lastly, the Franco-swedish phase. In the bequening, continct arose between Bahemia and the How Roman Empire pertaining to religion. Calvinists were the majority in Bahemia, and the How Roman Empire was truing to exercise. religion upon them. To the worth of the How Roman Empire, conflict arose within the pockets of Danish terntory nested within the Empire. Swedien soon get involved, truing to protect their terntory and gain, two phases of the War reached across the continent and use second last two phases of the War reached across the continent and was about diminishing Hapsburg Power. The third battle for power accurred, it is clear this war was phimanily political.

In 1618 the war began with religious solution in Bohemia. The Holy coman Empire Said they were thing to perserve religion freedom at the same time they were diminishing it. This is best demonstrated by document one, a letter from the Emporer Matthias. He is clearly thying to settle threats of revolution and to Calm his people, although it was to no avail. This war was breaking out due to the potential supression of free religion. In 1619, the construction of the Bohemian Federation (document two) perpetuated a movement against their ruler and any ruler who threatened their prepe. Interties. The conflict between Bohemia and its ruler intensified in a small scale, over a religious cause. It is important to hote Bohemia is a rather small portion of the Holy Coman Empire, and the component section is a rather small performed the the Holy Coman Empire, and the component for the bohemia is a rather small performed the Holy Coman Empire, and the component worth-

The war shifted to a more polincal domain with the entry of sweden into the

#### Circle the question number that you are answering on this page.

ge. DBQ A-ZOF3 Circle one Mandatory 2 or 3 or 4 1

\_CONFLICE. The king of sweden, Gustavus Adoi phus, stated to the protestant Elector\_ - of Bragenburg in 1990 1630 ( dowment four) that the Holy Doman Emporer - must make up his mind on how he would like to proceed with the mark. He must take a side and fight to gain tomatory or keep what Fride at of the Hely Roman - Empire. This was how about taking decisive sides and decianny ones, which \_points to a more polycol war in Document Saven, the Pope innocent & reacted to. the Treaty of Westphana, sampat was about one's cwn want and need rather than religion. The thirty years was a contrict sentered around which nations. wonted what and whe was as each side, which is what a political war was all - about. The thought of religion was amost abandoned at this point in the war - Lastry land goin and control were major muturehons for the war to spread to a larger scale, with invaluement of the sounds Hausburgs Franch Austria Hungary and Prophe Diminishing the power of the Habsburgs of Spain and the Holy Roman Empire was essentia to the invaluement of multiple European noticity to this war. This is shown with Document six an image of the \_ chief ministrof France, Pichereni. He got France involved in the Worder to a want\_ - of balance of power. He and want the Habsburg dynasty controlling all of Europe This caused him to entry France Into the War, to alminish to the spanish. - Netherlands and distupt their control This would also lead to a gain for-France. Also vulling for power was the swedish king bustawus adol phus, which ad a - non revaing the Portish at the time. In document five bustavus' intensions dynna war before his death was to retain sweden and the Baltic sea . It wanted to retain power. the involution of continental elirope also jeads to the belief he wanted Mure konton, and more control seeing as ne was an absolute phonatch. The love XM directed by Richelouis this idea is very plaurible. The retaining of power may also shown in accument 3, whitch in the height of the war. This demonstratesCircle the question number that you are answering on this page.

A-30F3 Mandatory Circle one 2 or 3 or 4

the willingness of citizens to emigrate if their mants of religion were lanored. This prompted action, as maximilian didnt want to lose any of his control \_of the population. It was about retaining power, and the Holy Roman

Emporer did nut comprehend the fact he needed the peoples suppor to un the war when he did not have it.

10 conclusion, the involvemental most of continental Europe in this war, \_ combined with their actions and stated metrivations points to the fait that this smale scale, religious war turned into a large politically driven conflict. As the Way progressed, the contest for power and dominion revealed itself over the outiging tagade of a religious war. It was about taking down the Habsburgs \_ and establishing a balance of power (especially proper) which was established. \_ with the Treaty of Westphallo, territores were determined, the medern day bernuny \_ was broken up into 300 stars miled by different prices, and the Hay Roman\_\_\_\_\_ Empire was forced into a decine with a Bauchen placed on the throne.

> © 2018 The College Board. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.org.

DRQ Circle the question number that you are answering on this page. B-10F3 Mandatory Circle one 2 or 3 or 4 was bought over war The Wirt glass 60 rensons Several main being religious One Whil politicul Clabons. Darts 0 Rec 6 was re ason was 60 000 and La had 65 here. 0 ensions ee pur co 60 ies alon 20 60 war 100 Prague des 6 hich Cadl ind 0165 rown buildmy 20 0 G mande. omsica Purches 20 becauge ne Holy Roman Emporor ( Calledic) upn prague to reg ! hearsonthe newson no, N. hup A 1 Me wer religious uns canll íc. 4 rkr icul 2011 CON helpe ky; au 5 is write 20 104 u irs 6 5 Unly Koman Empire CANUSHOP 0 Des Bohemia np Or nere 20 reasons 0.1 usid huni been a 20 bean had a ious Can ich Lac 12 14 [ dels praque ). ration. 6150 Ò SID he 5 No cl In in doing NO an 3 Bohemia make aca will Trolic 50 alloy Roman Em 2 Paror on picel more -15 5 religion a ins 1 6 1e

DRO

Circle the question number that you are answering on this page.
Mandatory Circle one D 2 or 3 or 4 B-2073
Document 2 is written by a coalitions
of noties. The point of this document is
to not incite Violence "All churches in carbinality
hunds are to remain 501 (Doca). By announcing this
to the Holy Roman Emperor to his
and showing brow they do not work to
became cultioliz if he takes can Irol of Bohim 14,
However the people will fight if day are to
1050 have religion as the passage 62m Les. There is
nothing pollifical about their newson to fight. It is
Strictly religions
Document 3 was wilden by a Jesut Offrord
It is about making probatant regions angle and by
Calholics, cutholic again. "1,200 outside 12 have been
Fred from besery (Core3) There main god has to
make projectavit areas cutholic ayan and this shows
17. They even recieved ordiers to "Idensity Callidiz
connersims". If privery a political war frequould hura
not recrand orders ); he has.
Document 4 is a letter from Garstmous Adolphis,
King of sweden and a prodesdant. In this letter he describes
his reasons for fighting and Joining the war.
"[Holy roman Emperar][does] not mento next until the protestant
religion is whelly as noted on to the empire "(Pory). By listing
Mis as his reason to fight he confirms that
this naraway a religious one. He would not have

Circle the question number that you are answering on this page.

NRCR Circle the question number that you are answering on this page. B-3OF3 Mandatory Circle one 1 2 or 3 or 4 12 hadn't been for the ( P 1, war mis freeles the employ. oh is an account OC. Wuren 6 8 (700 D( ne flevi 6 cms on ung (Dor 5 main one 11;6 con h K men) -116erde use DCO Jos Den oc a little very 15 POL me 100 e alan cd. persould religion 48 he the and of n is from 6 alia, It is 4 Aul rea đ lan 0 OPP. Gra He derlanen ne wir Duly/or -res Me whe work Variani ω 10 molic Jung about ca political war. reena USion enjance 23 4 00ho SL un rimaria nu Dun ice Inces: di on over a se 5 0 . hL evidence 1200 ows on ension 00

Circle the question number that you are answering on this page.

Mandatory	Circle one	
(I)	2 or 3 or 4	C-10F4

DBQ

Throughout Seventeenth - century the. there has hroughout anv OVCIDE esdonse racess reliaion Prix 501 205 2 nna n ears' faith lies there Int mark entic eligious aronably **a**1 04 INTE The Chi Ine n  $\mathcal{M}$ sna Fought Was WOK Drimaril reasons. 10 SMDEROC Koman HOLV SSec

Mathias 1Kes nis letter 99 the ideal 04 restriction 1b trom ects these relici roughout nis KAtes 15 words obalient. ane ot PCN ch 20 These loval 51ibert etc WCS! 291 RW or ot **MASSUMMCP** (200) quick reliaion CS the means 70 Unitier with Roman Se the HOIL no min *JO*( x n  $\mathbf{r}$ neath H the 0 **MAN** sedie high chancellor PPKS 4 40 make 715 ortanti ( ACTIV ction recarchino etter GY 1103 Inrow account trom this

Circle the question number that you are answering on this page.

Mandatory Circle one 2 or 3 or 4
----------------------------------

DBQ

revealed Adolphus that intended tt ĪS enemy. disnot the H iS 60 TO then that thes intentions Sic OC mt PS Sec UPY Racinc the P attic means Drovices ndina + Ď ne Rinocom: 1 inich 30 exemplifier Stance. 1 AV aker 1AM reactor 1894 1906 wee and NH akeconòmic opportunities CINT DOCTO hinodom ne PVPr account on tact at 100 letter writter 033 1015 Pronser OS *di* reett undermines th n ocant PC let sith the his the Jer 105 PN Q of ONA 100 Gar Nhich ο nu ies Cn importance ot unification R the + P NON 0 icn 30 cna oman TMDI (Dor 4) 16205 the muahant there conflict 00 e. 10 1000 R Baumann TR CXIMILI CA r that attempts TO NASK the OCN Latholic religious desires 5 imperial istic

Circle the question number that you are answering on this page.

Mandatory	Circle one	F. SZACI
	2 or 3 or 4	C. Sor 4

the demeanor of Freeing with from heresy. This Such Deoples is for both Baumann critical addronch ( atholics Nell the. leading ofter he Martin leading utnes NPSE the downtroll church the in atm novernment Protestant Beformation in since. the rance\_ Doc 3). This overarching tor political control desire through reliction Further supported declaration DU the Pope Innocent in 1048 where directly church's states he Treaty of the Westphalia lanomine 10 challenges Catholic authority. This it example of how the Church CN continually advocates for return to DIG order, When the Benaissance the midst, the Catholic In murch V.V.S a monopoly on not only held the. the overnmentr.1 Dower GS bit 1. Pre Diliticians 0° that Nav- although ne nealiable tre ret an rominent n rotestant DODVI ant Doc

DISIQ

DBQ

Circle the question number that you are answering on this page.

C-40F4 Mandatory Circle one (1)2 or 3 or 4 AS 1619 the intention within the. Rohemian pheration aro aute orent empt CLEC On the SIG hir reliaion ne )er me Strugale the examd Ω trom secular C reliam . Same elicion the DIC KO) Danan Ch rinc CANTUR both SSIC Germany. 19 20 w ere Soviets the over init 400 communist Nationalism Thir Years' NON 400 this. rellains erction. lack - OF Thay th 100 which OC through Simil the 84 Dortrai Cardina Chelieus )0C the neliera IMago 15 Dortrai Drotector trom and  $O_s$ CS hatth RII tisciphots ( 20 . 0 athonic SOC  $\sim$ 10 This rer exemi 2 ire PCK 100 + 001 rchino 0 OVer 101 M YX Y locies Docle. MATAMATA

## AP<sup>®</sup> EUROPEAN HISTORY 2018 SCORING COMMENTARY

## **Question 1 — Document-Based Question**

#### Overview

The Document — Based Question (DBQ) is designed to evaluate the degree to which students can analyze various types of historical documents in order to construct an analytical essay that responds to the tasks required by the prompt (as outlined in the exam instructions). This particular DBQ asked students to evaluate whether the Thirty Years' War was fought primarily for religious or primarily for political reasons. Students were provided with seven documents (including an image) on which to base their responses. In order to answer this question, students had to have an understanding of the 17<sup>th</sup> century and recognize that the Thirty Years' War was fought for a variety of reasons. These themes are discussed in Key Concept 1.5 in the curriculum framework. Responses were assessed on how they performed in the following four categories: thesis and/or claim, contextualization, evidence, and analysis and reasoning.

#### Sample: 1A Score: 7

The response earned the thesis point in the introduction by stating that while the Thirty Years' War began with localized disputes over religion, it was primarily political in the last phases of the war as the goals shifted to diminishing Hapsburg power. The response earned the contextualization point in the introduction by successfully situating the Thirty Years' War chronologically and geographically. The response earned 2 evidence points for using the content of at least six documents to support an argument relevant to the prompt, by using all seven documents successfully. It earned 1 point for evidence beyond the documents with the discussion about Document 6 of the French goal in the Thirty Years' War, to diminish the power of the Spanish Netherlands in order to disrupt the political control of the Hapsburgs. The response earned the sourcing point by successfully analyzing Document 1 for purpose, Document 2 for historical situation, and Document 5 for purpose. The response earned the complexity point for a nuanced argument about the Thirty Years' War being primarily a political war about balance of power despite localized religious conflicts. It then describes the shift from religious to political motivations with the entry of Sweden for primarily political reasons, concluding that by the later stages of the war religious motives were a facade, covering the real motive of shifting the balance of power.

Thesis 1 Contextualization 1 Evidence 3 Analysis and Reasoning 2

#### Sample: 1B Score: 4

The response earned 1 point for thesis because the introduction makes a historically defensible claim that the Thirty Years' War was a conflict between Catholics and Protestants, which eventually became political. It earned 1 point for contextualization by discussing the ongoing dispute between Catholics and Protestants, which lead to the Defenestration of Prague. The response earned 1 point for using at least three documents (Documents 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 7) to address the topic of the prompt, but it did not earn a point for using the content of at least six documents to support an argument because, while it adequately uses content from Document 5, the response does not successfully use the document to argue that there was a political motivation for the war. It does not attempt sourcing for any of the documents. The use of the Defenestration of Prague in the first body paragraph earned 1 point for using at least one additional piece of specific historical

## AP<sup>®</sup> EUROPEAN HISTORY 2018 SCORING COMMENTARY

## Question 1 — Document-Based Question (continued)

evidence relevant to an argument about the prompt. Note that the mere use of the phrase is not sufficient, but, coupled with the previous sentence explaining why the emperor is present, it is minimally acceptable, and it differs from the use of the Defenestration of Prague as contextualization. The response does not attempt to source any of the documents and did not earn a point for demonstrating a complex understanding of the historical development that is the focus of the prompt by corroborating, qualifying, or modifying an argument.

Thesis 1 Contextualization 1 Evidence 2 Analysis and Reasoning 0

#### Sample: 1C Score: 1

The response did not earn the thesis point because it indicates that the Thirty Years' War had political causes but does not identify the motivation for the war, nor does it attempt to contextualize the war. The response earned 1 point for using at least three documents (Documents 1, 4, 5, 6, and 7) to address the topic of the prompt, but it does not use the content of at least six documents to support an argument. It attempts to connect Sweden and Russia with information that is not relevant to the motivation for the wars; thus, the response did not earn the evidence beyond the documents point. The response did not earn the sourcing point, despite the successful sourcing of Documents 1 and 5 for purpose, because one more successful attempt at sourcing is required to earn the point. The response attempts to compare Soviet and Nazi propaganda to the painting of Richelieu (Document 6) as an attempt at complexity, but the connection is neither valid nor insightful.

Thesis 0 Contextualization 0 Evidence 1 Analysis and Reasoning 0