2017



AP United States History

Sample Student Responses and Scoring Commentary

Inside:

- **☑** Document-Based Question
- ☑ Scoring Guideline
- ☑ Student Samples
- **☑** Scoring Commentary

© 2017 The College Board. College Board, Advanced Placement Program, AP, AP Central, and the acorn logo are registered trademarks of the College Board. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.org. AP Central is the official online home for the AP Program: apcentral.collegeboard.org

Question 1 — Document-Based Question

Evaluate the extent of change in ideas about American independence from 1763 to 1783.

Maximum Possible Points: 7

Points	Rubric	Notes
evelopment (0–2)	Thesis: Presents a thesis that makes a historically defensible claim and responds to all parts of the question. The thesis must consist of one or more sentences located in one place, either in the introduction or the conclusion. (1 point) Neither the introduction nor the conclusion is necessarily limited to a single paragraph.	 Acceptable thesis statements must explicitly make a historically defensible, evaluative claim regarding the extent of change in ideas about American independence from 1763 to 1783. Acceptable examples: From 1763 to 1783 colonial ideas about American independence changed from just questioning British policies to calling for revolution. From 1763 to 1783 colonial ideas about American independence did not change much because, throughout the period, many colonists continued to oppose the Patriot cause.
A: Thesis and Argument Development (0–2)	Argument Development: Develops and supports a cohesive argument that recognizes and accounts for historical complexity by explicitly illustrating relationships among historical evidence such as contradiction, corroboration, and/or qualification. (1 point)	 To earn this point responses must explain the relationship of historical evidence to a complex and cohesive argument and do so throughout the essay. Ways that evidence can be related to the argument include the following: Contradiction (e.g., using evidence to address a counterargument to the main argument in the essay) Corroboration (e.g., combining multiple pieces of evidence to support a single argument) Qualification (e.g., using evidence to present an argument that is subsequently made more complex by noting exceptions)
Analysis	Document Analysis (Content): Utilizes the content of at least six of the documents to support the stated thesis or a relevant argument. (1 point)	 See document summaries for details. Doc 1: Teapot, 1766–1770 Doc 2: Virginia Resolves, 1769 Doc 3: Samuel Adams, Rights of Colonists as Men, 1772
B: Document A (0–2)	Document Analysis (Sourcing): Explains the significance of the author's point of view, author's purpose, historical context, and/or audience for at least four documents. (1 point)	 Doc 4: Quaker address to Pennsylvania Assembly, 1775 Doc 5: Janet Schaw, Journal of a Lady of Quality, 1775 Doc 6: Charles Inglis, <i>The Costs of Revolution</i>, 1776 Doc 7: Thomas Paine, <i>American Crisis</i>, 1776

Question 1 — Document-Based Question (continued)

he Documents (0–2)	Contextualization: Situates the argument by explaining the broader historical events, developments, or processes immediately relevant to the question. (1 point) The contextualization point is not awarded for merely a phrase or reference, but instead requires an explanation, typically consisting of multiple sentences or a full paragraph.	 This point can be earned by explaining historical events, developments, or processes that occurred before, during, or after the time frame of the question. Common examples might include the following: Enlightenment ideas Discussion of factors separating Patriots and Loyalists Shifts in British policies and changes in the mercantile system after the end of the French and Indian War (Seven Years' War) in 1763
C: Using Evidence Beyond the Documents (0–2)	 Evidence beyond the Documents: Provides an example or additional piece of specific evidence beyond those found in the documents to support or qualify the argument. (1 point) This example must be different from the evidence used to earn other points on this rubric. This point is not awarded for merely a phrase or reference. Responses need to reference an additional piece of specific evidence and explain how that evidence supports or qualifies the argument. 	Common examples might include the following, with appropriate elaboration: • Articles of Confederation (1781) • Boston Massacre (1770) • Boston Tea Party (1773) • Boycotts / nonimportation movements • Committees of correspondence • Declaration of Independence (1776) • Benjamin Franklin • Alexander Hamilton • Thomas Jefferson • George Washington
D: Synthesis (0–1)	Synthesis: Extends the argument by explaining the connection between the argument and either a development in a different historical period or geographical area and/or a course theme and/or approach that is not the focus of the essay. (1 point) The synthesis point is not awarded for merely a phrase or reference.	 Common examples might include the following, with appropriate explanation: Different period or area: French Revolution; Haitian Revolution; United States Civil War Different theme: economic history

If response is completely blank, enter - - for all four score categories A, B, C, and D.

Question 1 — Document-Based Question (continued)

Document Summaries

Document	Content	Explains the significance of point of view, purpose, context, and/or audience by elaborating on examples such as the following:
1. Teapot, 1766–1770	• Inscribed on one side is "No Stamp Act," and on the other is "America, Liberty Restored."	 Importance of growing American trade and commerce with Britain (context) British manufacturers capitalized on/profited from Stamp Act crisis (purpose) Consumers in Britain and colonies (audience)
2. Virginia Resolves, 1769	• Declares autonomy of the Virginia legislature, together with loyalty to the British crown.	 Concepts in the resolves spread to other colonies, which adopted similar resolutions; longstanding autonomy of colonial legislatures (context) Other British North American colonial assemblies; the British Crown and Parliament (audience)
3. Samuel Adams, <i>Rights of</i> <i>Colonists as</i> <i>Men</i> , 1772	 Declares the sovereignty of man over governments. Law of nature supersedes any manmade laws. 	 Political leader, Patriot, member of Sons of Liberty (point of view) Apply Enlightenment ideas to support the growing calls for colonial independence (purpose) The growth in the trans-Atlantic exchange of concepts of republicanism (context)
4. Quaker address to Pennsylvania Assembly, 1775	 Quaker leaders encouraged other Quakers not to join agitation against the British government. Divine Right of Kings. 	 Pacifism among some Quakers (context) Apply pacifist principles to revolutionary situation; prevent war with and declaration of full independence from Britain (purpose)
5. Janet Schaw, Journal of a Lady of Quality, 1775	• Claims that if landowners do not support the Patriots the Patriots threaten them with violence or destruction of property.	 Upper-class woman critical of the treatment of Loyalists, of the Revolution, and of Loyalists who submitted to Patriots (point of view) Challenge idea that independence movement had broad popular support; depict movement as unwelcome to colonists (purpose) Loyalists found their interests threatened (context)
6. Charles Inglis, <i>The</i> <i>Costs of</i> <i>Revolution</i> , 1776	• Argues that the American Revolution is likely to be costly, and it is not clear how the colonies will pay for it.	 Undermine the independence movement (purpose) Growing economic instability in the colonies; Anglican Church sympathetic to Britain (context)

Question 1 — Document-Based Question (continued)

7. Thomas Paine, <i>American</i> <i>Crisis</i> , 1776	• Argues that the Revolutionary situation elicits great efforts by men and women who support independence.	 Supporter of the Patriot cause and the Revolution (point of view) Make an emotional appeal to the colonists whose support for the Revolution was faltering (purpose) Losses suffered by the Continental Army had begun to undermine military enlistment (context)
--	--	---

Question 1 — Document-Based Question (continued)

Scoring Notes

Note: Student samples are quoted verbatim and may contain grammatical errors.

A. Thesis and Argument Development (2 points)

a) Thesis

Responses earn one point by presenting a thesis that makes a historically defensible claim that evaluates the extent of change in ideas about American independence from 1763 to 1783 (1 point). While the thesis does not need to be a single sentence, it does need to be discrete, meaning it cannot be pieced together from across multiple places within the essay. It can be located in either the introduction or the conclusion, but not split between the two.

Note: Theses need to address change but do not necessarily need to specifically address continuity as well.

Examples of acceptable theses:

- "The ideas about American independence changed greatly from 1763 to 1783. In the beginning, colonists only wanted representation and a say in the legislation of new laws, but by 1783 Americans wanted true freedom from British rule."
- "From 1763–1783, ideas of American independence changed from the colonies blindly accepting the tyranny of the British by religious rights of divine kings to believing in natural rights of individuals against British rule."

Examples of unacceptable theses:

- "The extent of change in American ideas about American independence from 1763 to 1783 was a great change. As feelings of wanting independence from Britain intensified, so did means of seizing freedom. The American people's feelings did not remain static and only became stronger." (*This thesis does not address the change in ideas that is the focus of the prompt.*)
- "From 1763 to 1783, ideas about American independence drastically changed as many colonists shifted from being loyalists to patriots." (*This thesis does not address the prompt's focus on ideas and seems to turn the question into a different question that discusses division in colonial society.*)

b) Argument Development

To earn this point, responses must explain the relationship of historical evidence to a complex and cohesive argument and do so throughout the essay (1 point).

Examples of acceptable argument development:

• "Many factors had played a part in the rise of those dissents in the colonies, but none had greater influence then the ideals of the Enlightenment. Central to the opposition of the taxes lied in the idea of 'not taxation without representation'. To be taxed by a parliament an ocean away seemed not only absurd, but ridiculous. Enlightenment ideals of personal freedom and choice in an individual began to spread throughout the colonies. People like Sam Adams, who led the radical group Sons of Liberty, began to challenge the authority of man. He claimed only man himself can direct his own actions and decisions, not the rule of any legislative authority or man. (Doc. 3)" (*This shows strong corroboration of evidence to support a larger argument.*)

Question 1 — Document-Based Question (continued)

Examples of unacceptable argument development:

- "Also, there were people 'on the fence.' Many religious leaders just wanted peaceful harmony. (Doc. 4) However many were forced to choose sides. In the case of the revolution, violence usually won against nonviolence, so that was how many colonists thought." (*This attempt to use a piece of evidence to back an assertion is too general. This statement was indicative of an entire essay that lacked a complex and cohesive argument.*)
- "Those colonists who wanted independence from the Mother Country (England) believed that they should have the freedom to leave a society that has intolerable oppression whether it be civic or religious (Doc. 3). In addition they also believed that this society was unjust (Doc. 7) and forced them to take action into something they didn't believe in for their own well being (Doc. 5). These colonists wanted their freedom as a way to pursue their happiness." (*The premise of the paragraph is not complex for it is simply mirroring sentiments contained in the documents, and the discussion of the documents is superficial.*)

B. Document Analysis (2 points)

a) Document Content

Responses earn one point by utilizing the content of at least six of the documents to support the stated thesis or a relevant argument (1 point). Responses cannot earn a point by merely quoting or paraphrasing the documents with no connection to a thesis or argument. (See the document summaries section for descriptions of document content.)

Examples of acceptable uses of content from a document to support a thesis or relevant argument:

- "Charles Inglis uses reason to note that the colonies would be unable to sustain themselves without British support because the colonies don't make enough money through agriculture and commerce."
- "He claimed only man himself can direct his own actions and decisions, not the rule of any legislative authority or man (Doc. 3). These ideals, of course, contradict the essence of colonialism."

Examples of unacceptable uses of content from a document to support a thesis or relevant argument:

- "Charles Inglis brought to point the economic unfairness that if it weren't for the limitation set before them they would be better off financially, but the Europeans were taking everything." (*This statement misconstrues the content of the document.*)
- "According to Doc 4, Quaker leaders are saying that colonies should stay loyal to Britain because of the commercial interests colonies get." (*This statement mistakenly gives the reason for Quaker opposition to the revolutionaries reflected in the document as commercial when, in fact, it was religious.*)

b) Significance of Point of View, Purpose, Context, and/or Audience

Responses earn one point by explaining the significance of the author's point of view, author's purpose, historical context, and/or audience for at least four documents (1 point).

Question 1 — Document-Based Question (continued)

Examples of acceptable explanations of the significance of the author's point of view:

- "Sam Adams had the point of view of a patriot and it was significant because it showed the radicalization of many colonists' views stemming from direct and bloody confrontations involving quartered British troops in cities such as Boston."
- "Thomas Paine, with his publication of Common Sense, popularized the revolutionary sentiment for many Americans."

Examples of unacceptable explanations of the significance of the author's point of view:

- "Sam Adams was a loyalist who wanted to promote peace with the king and he supported the Olive Branch petition." (*This statement incorrectly identifies Sam Adams as a Loyalist.*)
- "As Janet Schaw, a Scot visiting her brother in Wilmington, North Carolina reflects, any officer can enter a man's plantation without being allowed to and he can threaten the plantation owner." (Though Schaw's point of view is identified as a Scot, the response does not explain the significance of ideas about American independence that might be associated with this perspective.)

Examples of acceptable explanations of the significance of the author's purpose:

- "Adams aims to establish rule by the consent of the people, which is later written in the Constitution when the US wanted to ensure it does not become like Britain."
- "The sentiment that taxes should be discussed with colonists through proper deliberation became common during the time period, and was expressed by many (Doc. 2)."

Examples of unacceptable explanations of the significance of the author's purpose:

- "Although the cost of war would be high, Inglis argued for an independent economy as a necessity of life." (*This statement incorrectly states the purpose by arguing that Inglis desired an independent economy when in fact he was arguing against leaving the British Empire.*)
- "The delegates at the House of Burgesses used the Virginia Resolves as propaganda to increase involvement towards the Revolutionary War." (*The purpose of this document was to influence colonists to advocate for monarchical assistance in changing Parliamentary policies, not to push for war.*)

Examples of acceptable explanations of the significance of the historical context of a document:

- "Inglis's statement illustrates how some remained committed to England because of profitability of mercantile trade relationships."
- "The teapot was made during the opposed taxing, but it also demonstrated how Great Britain did try to settle with the colonists, by repealing taxes such at the Stamp Act."

Example of unacceptable explanations of the significance of the historical context of a document:

• "The historical context of Doc 4 [January 1775] is the first shots at Lexington and Concord [April 1775]." (This statement ascribes the situation of the document to the Battles of Lexington and Concord, which occurred after the document was written and thus could not be part of its authorship).

Question 1 — Document-Based Question (continued)

Examples of acceptable explanations of the significance of the audience:

- Burgesses was based on English Parliament, and this shows the connection established between the two."
- "Adams desires to confirm the ideas of the colonists who are fed up with British rule as well as advocate his desire to see American independence."

Examples of unacceptable explanations of the significance of the audience:

- "Addressing Loyalists, Thomas Paine stated that tyranny must be fought against."(*The audience is incorrect and the significance of the audience is not identified.*)
- "The intended audience of Doc. 2 is the British Crown so they feel impelled to negotiate with the colonists to reach an agreement."

C. Using Evidence Beyond the Documents (2 points)

a) Contextualization

Responses earn a point for contextualization by explaining the broader historical events, developments, or processes immediately relevant to the question (1 point). To earn the point the essay must situate the thesis, argument, or parts of the argument by accurately and explicitly connecting changes in ideas about American independence from 1763 to 1783 to larger historical processes. The context can be from before, during, or after the period of the question as long as the response accurately and explicitly connects the context to the topic.

Examples might include the following:

- Enlightenment ideas moved through trans-Atlantic exchanges and popularized concepts of natural rights, republican ideologies, the rejection of inherited authority, and social contract theory.
- Patriots and Loyalists could be separated by social standing, connections to the British government, and their ideas about the sources of legitimate authority in society.
- The legacy of the English Civil War influenced ideas of the rights of English people and British traditions of liberty and self-rule.
- Shifts in British policies and changes in the mercantile system after the end of the French and Indian War (Seven Years' War) in 1763 resulted in the end of salutary neglect, the acceleration of taxation, and the imposition of other acts on the North American colonies.
- The formation of the Stamp Act Congress (1765) and later the committees of correspondence or shadow governments in the colonies by the early 1770s furthered resistance to British policies and were precursors to the First and Second Continental Congress.
- Pacifist and separatist religious ideologies persisted in the colonies, which created opposition to engaging in a revolutionary movement or bloodshed.
- The experiences of American Indians and enslaved African Americans during the Revolution differed from that of the British colonists.
- Support in Great Britain for engaging in a war in order to retain the British North American colonies dwindled and some degree of sympathy existed in Great Britain for the colonial independence movement.
- Revolutionary ideas were adapted and changed in the United States Constitution.

Question 1 — Document-Based Question (continued)

Examples of acceptable contextualization:

- "The French and Indian War caused Britain to increase involvement in the affairs of the American colonies. British leaders, such as George Grenville, believed that the colonists, who had been beneficiaries of the war, be required to assist in paying the debt incurred by it. This was achieved through a series of taxes imposed upon the colonists."
- "Prior to the fight for independence from 1763 to 1783, colonists were not properly enforced to follow certain laws, such as the Navigation Acts, a restriction on colonial trade. Britain's treatment towards the colonists is described as Salutary Neglect, which contributed to a sense of freedom and rights for the colonists."

Examples of unacceptable contextualization:

- "This tax along with the end of Salutary Neglect was a shock to the colonists and caused anger."
- "As the American colonies were being constructed and an American government formed, its inhibitors began to seek independence from Great Britain. This period was called, the Enlightenment Era."

b) Evidence Beyond the Documents

Responses earn a separate point for providing an example or additional piece of specific evidence beyond those found in the documents to support or qualify the argument (1 point). This example must be different from the evidence used to earn other points on this rubric. This point is **not** awarded for merely a phrase or reference. The specific example or evidence should be immediately relevant to the question.

Examples might include the following with elaboration:

- Articles of Confederation
- Battles at Lexington and Concord (1775)
- Boston Massacre (1770)
- Boston Tea Party (1773)
- Boycotts / nonimportation movements
- Committees of correspondence
- Continental Army
- Daughters of Liberty
- Declaration of Independence (1776)
- Declaration of Rights and Grievances (1765)
- Enlightenment ideas: natural rights, social contract, republicanism
- First and Second Continental Congresses
- Benjamin Franklin
- French and Indian (Seven Years') War (1754–63)
- Alexander Hamilton
- Patrick Henry
- Homespun cloth and nonconsumption
- Intolerable (Coercive) Acts (1774)
- Thomas Jefferson

Question 1 — Document-Based Question (continued)

- Liberty Tea
- Militias
- Olive Branch Petition
- Royal Proclamation of 1763
- Quartering Act (1765)
- Salutary Neglect
- Sons of Liberty
- Sugar (Revenue) Act (1764)
- Tea Act (1773)
- Townshend Acts (1767)
- George Washington

Examples of providing an example or additional piece of specific evidence beyond those found in the documents to support or qualify the argument:

- "These ideals, of course, contradict the essence of colonialism. Enlightenment began the shift from being ruled by others to ruling yourself. Colonists wanted more control over their own lives. Another thinker, Thomas Paine, also raised questions surrounding the nature of government rule in Common Sense."
- "Beyond the documents, the Olive Branch petition was proposed by the colonies as a last ditch effort to spare change without the expense of war. The petition affirmed the colonies' loyalty to the king while also suggesting reforms. Despite such attempts for peace, King George III promptly rejected the negotiations, sparking the potential for war to an elevated degree."

Examples of improperly providing an example or additional piece of specific evidence beyond those found in the documents to support or qualify the argument:

- "Soon after colonists recognized that England was giving them salutary neglect, after Common Sense was written and revealed other factors, finally in July 1776 the colonist had achieved their independence and achieved their freedom and had the room to preserve their happiness." (*This collection of factual evidence does not directly answer the question but rather presents events that occurred previous to the Revolution and that bare no relationship to a developed argument.*)
- "The Stamp Act required a tax on stamps in the United States." (*This piece of information does not qualify or support an argument.*)

D. Synthesis (1 point)

Responses earn a point for synthesis by extending their arguments in one of two possible ways (1 point).

a) Responses can extend their argument by appropriately connecting the extent of change in ideas about American independence from 1763 to 1783 to other historical periods, situations, eras, or geographical areas. These connections must consist of more than just a phrase or reference. A response that lists events from other time periods or areas but does not explain how they relate to the argument cannot earn a synthesis point.

Question 1 — Document-Based Question (continued)

Examples of acceptable synthesis by appropriately connecting the argument to a development in a different historical period, situation, era, or geographic area:

- "Extreme ideas about American independence changed the way Americans would view foreign nations in the future. During the French Revolution, several Americans, mainly Democratic Republicans sympathized with the French and raised support for aiding the French in their own fight for independence. This feeling of sympathy can largely be a result of America's own strong fight for independence just years earlier."
- "This split between the colonists in America can parallel to the split in Americans during the Civil War. Just like how the loyalists were loyal to their previous rulers, the union/north was loyal to the federal government. Similarly, the patriots and the confederates wished to break away from their former government. Both the patriots and the Confederates fought against a government they believed was treating them unfairly. Patriots believed they were being taxed unfairly and Confederates believed the federal government was favoring Northern industry and trying to slowly end slavery."

Examples that do not accurately connect the argument to a development in a different historical period, situation, era, or geographic area:

- "This drastic change in attitude towards a leader is not uncommon. The French Revolution also shows a country unhappy with their ruler. Like the colonists, the French overthrew their king." (The parallel of ideas between the French Revolution and American Revolution is not defined by ideas that both revolutions represent but rather by feelings of unhappiness.)
- "The ideas of the independence movement are quite drastic. This is similar to the rights of enslaved African Americans during the Civil War. At first, abolitionists wanted freedom for slaves because it was morally right. But then, freedom looked good for other reasons. Abolitionists realized that free blacks can help the economy, they could work for pay, which would allow them to spend money and help businesses. Abolition movements changed drastically, it was no longer a moral effort, but an economic effort to help everyone." (*Although a synthesis with the abolitionist movement might work if it paralleled similar paradigms, this one does not. An underlying fallacy is presented that abolitionists moved from wanting emancipation for moral reasons to economic reasons.*)
- b) Responses can extend their arguments by appropriately connecting the extent of change in ideas about American independence from 1763 to 1783 to course themes and/or approaches to history that are not the main focus of the question. These connections must consist of more than just a phrase or reference.

Example of acceptable synthesis by connecting the argument to different course themes and/or approaches to history that are not the main focus of the question:

• "Thomas Paine in 1776 helped shape public opinion and garner public support for the war. Another example of shaping public opinion was the Truman Doctrine, which declared that the US would contain communism. This declaration shaped foreign policy and public opinion. It caused the public to initially support the Korean and later Vietnam War to fight communism."

Circle the question number that you are answering on this page.

1 A Jofy

Mandatory	Circle one
(1)	2 or 3

French-Indian War of Following -the 1754-1763, the British -the and Amind themsel tarma new that solutio ened roblems demanded immodisto F\S accumulation massive ponse a Oh new debt am 68 salutory ended there period Of near which DALOUTO. provoked strong antagonism non coloma nad secome who accustomed d tox a gindiac the Amer Revolution fas no 24 Although most identi colonists 10 OLIESSOON British representation, part the Deople and only Soviaht aveater contention the Confinued over economic Koose (180 etica autonomy torced manus calonists tor. to Strill new identity independent as America nn

the PAA BR 163 British the colonists themselves Viewed an British DEOR APALLONAD 2 CONMUCAIL mistreate there mu relia examp TSY many rous 0 ARMARK 40 their (monegrations 1 daget from revol agains utian IM (1) oc ALLAR First the aveat Awakening there. was (onflict the anto 010 RM the New Lights Stations Deve relignous maintain SATU Social -the or der hat evas a ne 1ghts) attempts Jeev question 10 alethority nQ 0 this allestroning 21 dia Want 61 politer the ta appi guestusning Al relianon ther Another example the continued 0K altion laentitu colonists Britich can 62 seem amonast re highe lass

ofy

Mandatory	Circle one
(1)	2 or 3

afizens the. oh South (DOC 5) WARDAN FRAM Indy dur the pers 0 status an upper class olonies Jouthern then Longso -ten ARd 2 10 0 renn C proprieto DULA in re also Schaur vimakes inclined Nen more the henarchy QG South even sturg parts a reato otrioto ower the trom 01 addition those calls maintaine loyalty 101 to riter the 54 apols -the the C omprento on ress Calls independence aron aM ontinental attem Und am deal With -10 ams Lyttolerable the c+s supporting the and bu conomic bourgotte OK Sul Such 00 enouves ento the and the ormati ssociation the 6 the, marens uren ndence (N) allino mer cam Md. though yez many me Postriotac port Cause VAR 32 one prily BY tun Saught hey. merel economic reduction and A even orto Lasty +41 a.s. GAR 76 manu as revolutions as a Sti Oppose unneressar Costl and American Wou An w hur 0 in is importan it () 6C Por content enco run vemender colonio eldom Fhat want American that from WI only aercen PNR about Ъ IN Patric Will 10 De. never the Ma 10r Ul Revolution . transican

_			
	Mandatory	Circle one	
	(T)	2	~~~ ()
		2 OF 3	- Ox e

A

American opposition throughout theme, voices lesoute, O.R the RONDINTION. the. 601 misto Will eventually UM, OSSUK COGN their, imphip ritam with am 1-08 1225 thes malpendenco merican For e Kamar reaction the 10 中门 ritain B money 4.05 Varierus to ant M DIa -to rause colonia Unvolved that was delot resentment 000 England with roduction Various war the, GK ĩm 1AQ Doc the motivations and viewpoints protestima materia Aid colonisto P. - and mol Ano. -the not 0 Britsh the relate thous to other for content the WITCH (plimists that only. the Days for theirs benefits tair PIN. that the, French-Indian War while the colonist end resulted trom the without that thee, should be no taxation representations The, would only their between perspectives DAITIM contr between rifain the American tension colonies ATTY. and GUNNO colonists were used rition -to. GEAR certiain autor. A -extent . seen declarations monus in by the 01 touse Burgesses their government -toux. their can.)oc 2 Devil 10. the American revolution, colonists were 62F EVEN Prr. then Word Self-government -10 own early torms that of they did want Sacrik The way -the Not 10 uebec. 2 ONio ench people living River forced dra, in the alla rioht their to representative, assemb Another Aga rannole anoustra dindo American Colon 0 petween ntish the Chilightenment Was ideal Cr Ud people 7.51 8P mfluencing the Locke's rights natural continuts robber CATTAN

Mandatory	Circle one
(I)	2 or 3

with Britan their antagonism thoughto m nandle now calls then Idames SUC are brainna ionists Samuel control natural British lect revolution agrain 0 motertina government rights not Paino 11 Thomas ohlet ATT. by UD tomos îm sinaple and charalo anguage pratma domons 17 with must be Musimon pression met helps motivate coloni establish the, ventually marblet Inderen uch immediate U. BK S the ween reightens mencan m crown. Brutish the CIM

1li unite pristo INGRE. not a und noua over growing desire Sme M Ind pendence Mons AY C42 NO Papli divide ne. mono ema automomia INP. nerican bevon venas 201 -tD N Simil HMLM Ca. aende M TOMOR Word enc ON/Q M BC OY ND the Enlightermen im 180 NIS were in Anine d . 1 similarl motivate ideal Amenca like K AU VISION and the SILC wn Cesa 0 momcam overnations end witch American VEN ON moratic governmen Wh MARTI the dictatos with eventua ends grml TON A Napoleon G

Circle the question number that you are answering on this page.

1043

Mandatory	Circle one
(1)	2 or 3

The years leading up to the American Independence movement War were plaqued with conflicting ideas Utionaria O.F conflict the Revolutionary attitude ot INDS OF the 0505 and 2000 twarminds of the people tactors public opinion the shaped the in inevitable insurrection included not only which influenced Such Awerican Britains Intolerable Acts. but also a reflection the response to Ideology of the Enlightenment. However, the appular independence ideals 50 Faction also developed in response to animosity and threatening Loyalist Ö., mar deht, not only demonstrating support for the king but also serving ac reflection of American hostility in the revolution faction of America The Loyalist cause represented a small minority of business leaders and dovernors leading up to the war, who were mainly concerned with in trade will Britain from keeping the peace or the keeping the Americas lourtkington. In an attress to the Pennsylvania polonial assembly, the Qualcers remarked "F, delity we owe to the King" and interest. their obligation of "restoration of that harmony" that once united America the. early Quarcers a poace- Keeping Faction throughout history 4) The demonstrate Loyalist support in favor of peace. Meanwhile wowld powers in America would instead rely on the taxing economic. economic challenges that faced for American revolutionaries to justify Charles Inglis an example of one witha loyalist stance. economic "commerce and agriculture" interest. asserted that 1800 nf America such an expense" (Doc 6). This reflects the Support_ Mould NOT for straying from trading ties with Britain: motivation anti-war nn escential factor for many early colonial companies Revolutionary couse was instead shaped by not only resentment The

Circle the question number that you are answering on this page.

Mandatory	Circle one)
(\mathbf{I})	2 or 3	Sox
		/

of & desputic British taxes, but also the ideals associated with the hostite responses to Loyalist attitudes. teapor and Enlightemment, "No Stamp Act." BERNICK reflects. American disdain of with Inscribed British the American attitude favoring Liberty 0.5 119WI as Liberty Restored" the (Doc 1). Not only "America, implementation "Intorerable Acis" their Aniores but 0210 [emoval stirred revolutionary Such by repeatedly revoluting taxes influence 10 America G.S Britain rontinued 1050 motivation that tempot would support the cause, CL5 one with a malcer under the Intoperable Actso The ideologies was also included Enlightenment also the the aroused associated Insurrection wand colonists. Thomas justification Paine serving moral justified the Clerious Kevolution the "Commion wrote agilton government of overthrow, Sence Britains criticizes its Support turannu revolutionary "bind" colonists +0 taxes (Doc D. The ideas to of the attempt government Samuel Adams who viewed man as able over throw. to "intolerable oppression," (Dac 3) Served a similar to effect arousing literary justification for such insurrection. Yerhaps the ideas of John Locke could be applied hore as well, who supported and originally Rebellion in Encland, X instified the Glorious American revolutionaries' responses to Loualists also characterized the time, similar to American opposition of the anti-war effort in World War Scot woman from North Carolina remarked iournal of that Patriot cause often resulted in the the destruction failure to 4100002 (Doc 5). The scot woman properties" "persons and was most litely additional support of Patriots, as the scottion were truther brutally mistreated at the time by England and women Saw

an increased

Mandatory	Circle one
\bigcirc	2 or 3



role in insurrection and boycotting just before the war in organizations <u>such as the Daughters of Liberty. The hostile American action</u> <u>faced before the Revolutionary War could be compared to that of</u> <u>the years American involvement in</u> <u>before the First World War, as organizations of non-governmental</u> <u>cettizens also were created at the time in favor of committing</u> <u>hostile actions against those of the anti-war effort and of</u> <u>Germen sentiment</u>.

Overally the American sentement of the years of the Colonials prerevolutionary period were split into supporters and opponents of the same cause, but for different reasons. Whether supported economically or by the fallout of overthrows of previous decades, the idéologies of the Americans of the time were greatly determined by how they responded in their own way to British Influence in America. For this reason, the years of 1763 to 1783 were a catalyst for opposing viewpoints.

Both	Thomas fairie and Samuel Adams.
X An three of these res	Thomas fairie and Samuel Adams, volutionary Figures, shared ideals of insurrection, leading
them to arouse insurrer	ction among American colonists in support of British
inpertains.	

of3 Circle the question number that you are answering on this page. Circle one Mandatory 1 2 3 or Since the the mendi 16005 Novith Colonies mo Imerican CO 00 HOWEVEY mid Britan Colten be loula as lonisits an entruit Inore governmen Coloris Bhitain enactin animosi More praav he Sentrally Wag 714 Amerilan hru 641 American In deput dence evolved tre troin only a couple idea of agains war Sin fost 125 ħu supinor-Builsh colonats rul-e Stand cien nou -would anymore the SPIL hvin 7605 many colonists WER on aho Britsh American Was inde They SUPAN or Brie TIN were upicall Very eva Supporkis an how revol apains countr 1 BU ava Colonics asicalli ablishmen all C OSIAP British Obstitud who Wanted govannun pendence nn Sher estublishe this own system noverning -loweved office oth po lines Bittist Proved. tares Souchimen and he Buttosh hate Gew 1 94 siding will as people Mure leapor made epizta Merolu-honuss divin 1605 a Britain the the objects as nifl pendence from FSIN Site hde Liberty will Amerizan Doe restored be the q that colonists inqued 1 mposing faites ova OH 1) America ana OH A Og 115

Circle the question number that you are answering on this page. 1 (2 of 3 Mandatory Circle one D 3 2 or 2 Por 00 America Britism's was actions Lesu 11 Th Amerizan reaction Ideas GOWING -acts Vanous historica Shtish ignes Indepence vights people unue arguir Degan TUNTALI ha on he have N Park Hauns to men 0 the 170 them selves 1.I Sarto Sour Governmen Or allowed. another other and the are Les centirm \$ the desnes are Joams कारा as 6 04.05 British fule as with well 1 sile Many 2000 Independence. pento American other +0 000 merican Gilar homas what = pices -argous th durateres Cuc thei. hoependence argues they 06 acin hall w as worth end 47 tre other Host Britain consolation nums any (123 offer Bein Britai hivanny 8. tree NOW eates C men their Sou Cou achere put rn-to only B Star h G Anwitch evolutra stdes up fairt theast many bisizilly as wite 100 deci ova no white ch 44 win pendence 10WEVE One did not the tight nabel dependent were pically NY Patrots their theil OV plustations farms. aven amed destroya land kms and Ago 64 101 anound TI LANA C7.14 Soul thut who besul.fi many SUgan sun Rople Cause Valinon way OWN ausis 44 0 Out merican conclusion Idea independence In 04 endve tiom fill lowarde limited animosit hale of Xuppor ON ah to OW

Circle the question number that you are answering on this page. 3.43 Mandatory Circle one (1) 2 or 3 ligés Batist acts. gevermuch 12.9 au Stick well the liberation with American Reord eventua not fle the mericans leizann esta blish meny 0-In sulfed which gare 61 Je hon democroch of PAUR ME ah llung the huen and ecused 041 people which the een monarch hra . ** an 16 ...

AP[®] UNITED STATES HISTORY 2017 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 1 — Document-Based Question

Overview

This question asked students to evaluate the extent of change in ideas about American independence from Britain from 1763 to 1783. The question was derived from Period 3 of the Content Framework and addresses ideas about democracy, freedom, and national identity. The intent of the question was to assess whether students could evaluate the degree to which ideas underpinning the movement toward revolution changed.

This question assessed the historical thinking skills of Continuity and Change over Time, Argumentation, Use of Evidence, Contextualization, and Synthesis.

This question focuses on multiple Learning Objectives, including American and national identity (how ideas about democracy, freedom, and individualism found expression). The prompt allowed for flexibility in making a response. Responses could succeed by emphasizing either change or continuity. In addition, the prompt also created opportunities for responses to articulate and explain the ideas that fomented the revolution. Based on the documents and outside evidence, responses could trace the ideas present during the period to opposition to British taxation policies, oppression caused by British mercantile policies, and political tyranny because of violations of natural rights (Enlightenment ideas).

Sample: 1A Score: 7 Score — Thesis and Argument Development: 2

The thesis at the bottom of the first paragraph presents the historically defensible claim that there was a change in ideas about colonial identity, shown by the colonists shifting from wanting to be British subjects to wanting to be independent Americans. This earned the thesis point.

The strong and consistent argument throughout the response earned the argument development point. The argument about the British colonists' initial view of themselves as members of the British Empire is supported by Documents 4 and 5, as well as with the additional outside evidence presented in paragraph 2. At the bottom of paragraph 2 the response emphasizes the limited extent of support for independence by stating, "Patriots will never be the majority during the American Revolution." This is followed in paragraph 3 by a discussion of the forces that caused colonists to embrace ideas of self-government that uses Documents 1 and 2 in the process.

Score — Document Analysis: 2

The document content use point was awarded. The response dutifully utilizes Documents 1 through 6 to support its straightforward thesis. Document 7 is not used, despite a brief discussion of Thomas Paine's *Common Sense* on the last page that instead counts as outside information. This was sufficient to earn the point for content.

The document sourcing point was awarded since the response correctly sources four of seven documents. At the top of the second page discussion of Document 5 features analysis of Lady Schaw's point of view as a member of the upper class inclined to "protect the existing hierarchy." Likewise, at the bottom of page 2, discussion of Document 6 also features analysis of point of view in regard to some colonists' impression that the revolution was "a costly and unnecessary hassle." At the top of the page 3 the response demonstrates the historical context of Document 1 by discussing conflicting opinions in Britain and in the American colonies

AP[®] UNITED STATES HISTORY 2017 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 1 — Document-Based Question (continued)

concerning the revenue-raising measures instituted at the end of the French and Indian War. Also on page 3, the response gives historical context to Document 2 by referencing the importance of self-government and colonists' awareness of the loss of representation for colonists in Canada due to the Quebec Act. The response successfully sources four documents, thus it earned the point.

Score — Evidence Beyond the Documents: 2

This response received the contextualization point. It provides contextualization in the first paragraph by identifying the French and Indian War as bringing about problems such as increased British debt and the end of salutary neglect. In turn, these factors restricted freedom in the colonies. This brief yet accurate discussion earned the point for contextualization.

The response received the point for evidence beyond the documents. The response features an extended discussion of the First Continental Congress on page 2 that introduces several pieces of additional information and explains how they relate to the argument that colonists did not initially seek independence. On page 1 the discussion of the First Great Awakening does not relate to the argument and thus did not count as additional evidence outside the document.

Score — Synthesis: 1

The response achieved the synthesis point in the last paragraph by relating the movement for independence in America to the French Revolution. The response notes that both revolutions were inspired by the ideas of the Enlightenment, even though they had very different outcomes.

Sample: 1B Score: 4 Score — Thesis and Argument Development: 0

No points were awarded for thesis or argument development. There is an attempt at a thesis in the last line of the final paragraph, but it addresses opposing viewpoints and not extent of change.

There is no cohesive argument that recognizes and accounts for historical complexity by explicitly illustrating relationships among historical evidence regarding the extent of change.

Score — Document Analysis: 1

The point was awarded for document content use to substantiate an argument as six of seven documents are correctly used. Document 2 is not discussed.

No point was awarded for document sourcing. Only three documents are sourced correctly. In paragraph 2 the point of view for Document 4 is established with the reference to a "peace-keeping faction" that demonstrated loyalist support. The point of view for Document 6 is established with the idea that Inglis was representative of those people with economic interests maintaining commercial ties with Great Britain. At the top of page 2 the reference to Enlightenment ideas gives the historical context for Document 7. At the bottom of page 2, however, the point of view is incorrect. The reference to Schaw as a supporter of the patriots is incorrect.

AP[®] UNITED STATES HISTORY 2017 SCORING COMMENTARY

Question 1 — Document-Based Question (continued)

Score — Evidence Beyond the Documents: 2

The response received 1 contextualization point since the first paragraph links broader historical events with ideologies developed during the Enlightenment.

At the top of page 3 the inclusion of the violent actions of the Daughters of Liberty provides additional evidence beyond the documents, which earned a second point.

Score — Synthesis: 1

The synthesis point was awarded. At the top of page 3, the hostile actions taken by voluntary organizations against antiwar activists and German sympathizers during World War I are compared to the hostile actions taken by patriots against loyalists during the Revolutionary War, which establishes a strong element of synthesis.

Sample: 1C Score: 1 Score — Thesis and Argument Development: 1

A historically defensible thesis is presented at the end of the first paragraph and again in the concluding paragraph; this earned the thesis point.

There is not a cohesive argument that recognizes and accounts for historical complexity by explicitly illustrating relationships among pieces of historical evidence. The attempted argument derails in paragraph 2 and weakly describes the documents in categories of loyalists and patriots.

Score—Document Analysis: 0

The response did not receive the content use point. Documents 4 and 6 are not used. There is an error in presentation for Document 1 with the reference to the desire for independence. The discussion of Document 2 does not present a clear understanding of the content. Documents 3, 5, and 7 utilize the content of the documents appropriately, but this does not meet the minimum requirement of six documents.

No point was awarded for document sourcing. No attempts are made for any of the documents except Document 3. At the top of page 2 the response identifies Adams's audience as the colonists and explains his purpose to "confirm" the ideas of the colonists as well as to "advocate" his ideas for independence.

Score — Evidence Beyond the Documents: 0

An attempt is made in the first paragraph to contextualize, but it does not sufficiently explain the ties to a broader historical development. Instead, it builds up to the response's thesis by describing some colonists' animosity toward Britain.

There is no specific evidence beyond the documents presented in the response.

Score — Synthesis: 0 points

No attempt is made at synthesis.