## MIDAS Training Series

Midas Training Series

> midas Civil

**Title: Introduction to Bridge Load Rating** 

NAME

Edgar De Los Santos / MIDAS IT – United States

2016

## **Bridge Evaluation Training Series**

Midas Training Series

> midas Civil

 Session 1: Introduction to Bridge Load Rating

 Session 2: Bridge Rehabilitation 2016

## Content

- Definition of Load Rating
- Purpose of Bridge Rating
- Difference between Bridge Design and Load Rating
- Application of Load Rating
- Load Rating Levels
- Process of Load Rating
- Load Rating in midas Civil

2016

**Midas** 

Training Series

midas

**Civil** 

## Midas Civil All-In-One Solution

2016

midas

Civil

**Midas** 

Training Series

#### midas Civil – All-in-one Solution



# What is of Load Rating ?

2016

midas

Civil

**Midas** 

Training Series

## What is Definition of Load Rating ?

The NBIS (National Bridge Inspections Standards) regulations define load rating as

"The determination of the live load carrying capacity of a bridge using as-built bridge plans and supplemented by information gathered from the latest field inspection."

Load ratings are expressed as a rating factor (RF) or as a tonnage (for legal and permit vehicles only) for a particular vehicle. Emphasis in load rating is on the live-load capacity and dictates the approach of determining rating factors instead of the design approach of satisfying limit states.

## **Purpose of Bridge Rating**

Bridge load rating provides a measure of a bridge's ability to carry a given live load in terms of a simple factor, referred to rating factor. These bridge rating factors can be used to aid in decisions about the need for:

- (1) Load posting,
- (2) Bridge strengthening,
- (3) Overweight load allowances, and
- (4) Bridge closers



Purpose of the Load Rating

## Difference between Bridge Design and Load Rating

Bridge design and rating, though similar in overall approach, differ in important aspect.

#### Philosophy of Bridge Design

Bridge Design may adopt a conservative reliability index and impose checks to ensure serviceability and durability without incurring a major cost impact.

#### Philosophy of Bridge Load Rating

Bridge ratings generally require the Engineer to consider a wider range of variables than bridge design. In rating, the added cost of overly conservative evaluation standards can be prohibitive as load restrictions, rehabilitation, and replacement become increasingly necessary. The rating procedures presented LRFR recognize a balance between safety and economics.

In most cases, a lower target reliability than design has been chosen for load rating at the strength limit states to rating is done on a more selective basis than is prescribed for design in the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications.

## **Application of Load Rating**

#### **New Construction**

When designing a new structure, it is required that **RF≥1** for the HL-93 vehicle at the Inventory Level for LRFR load rating design using Part A; therefore, a Legal Load Rating will never be required on a newly designed structure.

#### Changes in the below category in the existing bridge:

- Live loads
- Dead loads
- Physical condition
- Specifications and Laws



#### **Load Rating Levels**

Midas Civil supports the LRFR Load Rating Design using Part A only. This LRFR methodology consists of three distinct levels of evaluation:

(1) Design load rating

- (2) Legal load rating
- (3) Permit load rating

The result of each evaluation serve specific purpose and also inform the need for further evaluations. The important factors of each load rating level are summarized as shown in the next slide.

## **Load Rating Levels**



Load Rating Levels

# **Design Load Rating**

midas Civil

2016

**Midas** 

Training Series

## **Load Rating Levels**

Midas Training Series

Design load rating is a **first level** assessment of bridges. It is a measure of the performance of existing bridge to current LRFD bridge design standards.

#### Live Load

At Design load rating level, the HL-93 live-load model of the LRFD is applied, using dimensions and properties of the bridge in its present as inspected condition.

#### **Limit States**

Under this check, bridges are screened for the strength limit state at the LRFD design level of reliability. Evaluation at a second lower evaluation level of reliability is also an option. The rating also considers all applicable LRFD serviceability limit states

#### Purpose

Design load rating can serve as a screening process to identify bridges that should be load rated for legal loads.

Bridges the pass the design load check (RF≥1) at the Inventory level will have satisfactory load rating for all legal loads that fall within the LRFD exclusion limits.

## **Load Rating Levels**

#### Level of Design Load Rating

There are two levels of the Design Load Rating:

#### 1) Inventory Rating level

The Inventory rating level generally corresponds to the rating at the design level of reliability for new bridges in the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, but reflects the existing bridge and material conditions with regard to deterioration and loss of section.

#### 2) Operation Rating level

Load rating based of the Operation rating level generally describe the maximum permissible live load to which the structure may be subjected. Generally corresponds to the rating at the Operating level may shorten the life of the bridge.

Midas Training Series

# Legal Load Rating

2016

midas

Civil

Midas

Training Series

## Legal Load Rating

This **second level** rating provides a single safe load capacity (for a given truck configuration) applicable to AASHTO and State legal loads.

#### Live Load

Live load is categorized into the two types according to AASHTO LRFR 2011 as: 1) AASHTO Legal loads, as specified in Article 6A.4.4.2.1a 2) The Notional Rating Load as specified in Article 6A.4.4.2.1b or State legal loads.

#### **Limit States**

Strength is the primary limit state for load rating; service limit states are selectively applied.

#### Purpose

Bridges that do not have sufficient capacity under the design-load rating shall be load rated for legal loads to establish the need for load posting or strengthening.

## **Permit Load Rating**

Midas Training Series

> midas Civil

2016

## **Permit Load Rating**

This **third level** of rating should only be applied to bridges having sufficient capacity for legal loads. In other words, **Permit load rating should be used only if the bridge has a rating factor greater than 1.0 when evaluated for AASHTO legal loads.** 

#### Live Load

The actual permit vehicle's gross vehicle weight and axle configuration will be the live load used in the permit-load evaluation. The MBE (Manual for Bridge Evaluation) categorizes permit loads into two classes:

1) Routine/annual permits, and 2) Special permits.

#### **Limit States**

Permits are checked using the Strength II limit-state load combination with the Service II limit-state load combination optional for steel bridges to limit potential permanent deformations.

#### Purpose

Permit load rating checks the safety and serviceability of bridges in the review of permit application for the passage of vehicles above the legally established weight limitations.

## **Process of Load Rating**

2016

midas

Civil

**Midas** 

Training Series



## Bridge Load Rating Parameters in midas Civil

Training Series

**Midas** 

midas Civil

2016

## Bridge Load Rating in midas Civil



### **Design Parameters for Steel Composite Load Rating**

Rating > Bridge Rating Design > Steel Design > Rating Design Code

ceer natio	g beingir coue		
Rating D	esign Code :	AASHTO-L	RFD12
		0K	Cancel

The program performs the load rating based on the code selected in this dialog box.

## **Design Parameters for Steel Composite Load Rating**

Rating > Bridge Rating Design > Steel Design > Rating Parameters

	ers	
System factor 1		Update by Code
Strength Limit State		
Strength Resistance Factor		- province -
Resistance factor for yielding (	Phi_y)	0.95
Resistance factor for fracture(	0.8	
Resistance factor for axial com	0.9	
Resistance factor for flexure (P	2hi_f)	1
Resistance factor for shear(Ph	(_v)	1
Resistance factor for shear cor	nnector(Phi_se)	0.85
Resistance factor for bearing(F	₩i_b)	1
Girder Type for Box/Tub Section		
( Single Box Sections	Multiple Box	Sections
Consider St. Venant Torsion	and Distortion Stresses	5
<ul> <li>Limiting Stresses in Structural St</li> </ul>		
and the state of t	icei	
Auto-Calculation	C User Input	
Auto-Calculation     Design Load     Communication Stream	C User Input	- Charleston
Auto-Calculation     Design Load     Compressive Stress     Terrole Stress	C User Input	hissin 12
Auto-Calculation     Design Load     Compressive Stress     Tensle Stress	C User Input	hashrifi Nashrifi
Auto-Calculation     Design Load     Compressive Stress     Tensle Stress     Legal Load / Permit Load	C User Input	hashrit Nashrit
Auto-Colculation     Design Load     Compressive Stress     Tensile Stress     Legal Load / Permit Load     Compressive Stress     Compressive Stress	C User Input	hoshrifi Hoshrifi Hoshrifi
Auto-Calculation     Design Load     Compressive Stress     Tensile Stress     Cegal Load / Plennk Load     Compressive Stress     Tensile Stress     Tensile Stress	C User Input	kas(hr="2" has(hr="2" has(hr="2" has(hr="2"
Auto-Calculation     Design Load     Compressive Stress     Tensile Stress     Legal Load / Permit Load     Compressive Stress     Tensile Stress     Tensile Stress     Tensile Stress	C User Input	hoshrift Nashrift Nashrift Nashrift Nashrift
Auto-Calculation     Design Load     Compressive Stress     Tensile Stress     Compressive Stress     Tensile Stress     Tensile Stress     Tensile Stress     Tensile Stress     Tensile Stress	User Input	hoshrifit Hoshrifit Hoshrifit Hoshrifit
Auto-Calculation      Design Load      Compressive Stress      Tensile Stress      Compressive Stress      Tensile Stress      Tensile Stress      Tensile Stress      Load / Permit Load      Fatigue Umit State      kopication of Diagnostic Test Res      Load Test Measurement	C User Input	kos/m12 Nos/m12 Nos/m12 Nos/m12

(1) The system factor ( $\leq$ 1.2) can be inputted according to the Article 6A.4.2.4, provided in The Manual for Bridge Evaluation, 2nd Edition, 2011. The system factor is multiplied to the flexural strength (Mn) and shear strength (Vn) and, therefore, applied to all elements

- (2) Strength Resistance Factor
- (3) Girder Type for Box/Tub Section
- (4) Options for Strength Limit State
- (5) Service Limit State
- (6) Fatigue Limit State
- (7) Application of Diagnostic Test Result

#### **Design Parameters for Steel Composite Load Rating**

Rating > Bridge Rating Design > Steel Design > Unbraced Length

Unbraced Length(L,	Lb) 🔹
Option	C Delete
Unbraced Length	1 Delete
Lv : D	_ in
Lz : 0	n in
Laterally Unbraced	Length
Lb : 0	T in
🗖 Do not conside	er

The Unbraced Length for steel composite section can be entered to calculate lateral torsional buckling resistance in compression flange of I Girder or top flange of Tub Girder.

If the lateral unbraced length is not inputted, the program will use span lengths entered in Span Information. If Span Information was defined either, the lateral unbraced length is applied as the corresponding element length.

## **Design Parameters for Steel Composite Load Rating**

Rating > Bridge Rating Design > Steel Design > Fatigue Parameter

Fatigue Parame	eter	▼			
Option					
Add/Rep	lace	C Delete			
Both end pa	ırts(i &	j) have the same			
I J	1	(			
Category	A	-			
(ADTT)SL	0				
N(n/cycle)	0				
Warping Stress Range					
Auto Calculation					
C User In	put				
Top Flange		_			
0		kips/in^2			
Bot, Flange	9				
0		kips/in^2			
	A	pply Close			

**Category:** Category defined by 75yr-(ADTT)SL equivalent to infinite life (Table 6.6.1.2.3-2).

(ADTT)SL: Number of trucks per day in a singlelane averaged over the design life (3.6.1.4.2) Value can be manually calculated as per 3.6.1.4.2-1.

N: Number of cycles per truck passage.

Warping Stress Range: For the verification of fatigue, flexure stress is calculated as the summation of Longitudinal Bending Stress Range and Longitudinal Warping Stress Range.

## **Design Parameters for Steel Composite Load Rating**

Rating > Bridge Rating Design > Steel Design > Curved Bridge Info

Option	
Add/Replace	C Delete
Girder Radius	) (1)(2) in
Girder Radius	) (1)(2) in (3)

Once the girder radius value of the element units in the steel composite section is entered, the corresponding elements are categorized as curved bridges.

- (1) Radius is used for the review of shear connector's pitch and the moment of inertia of area for the longitudinal stiffener attached to web.
- (2) Curve Type Convex, Concave

## **Design Parameters for Steel Composite Load Rating**

Rating > Bridge Rating Design > Steel Design > Diagnostic Test Result...

Diagnostic Tes	t Results	<b>.</b> .				
Option						
Add/Rep	lace	O Delete				
Define Ratin	g					
Position —						
• I	C J	O I & J				
Load Test Measurements     Auto-Calculation						
🔿 User Inj	put					
Displ.(I)	0.	0393' in				
Displ.(J)	0.	0393' in				
КЬ	1					
	Apply	Close				

Adjustment factor resulting from the comparison of measured test behavior with the analytical model can be considered to calculate the load-rating factor based on the test result.

#### **Design Material Data**

Midas Training Series

Rating > Bridge Rating Design > Steel Design > Rating Material

Addify Composite Material
_ Material List
ID         Name         Steel         Concrete         Main-bar         Sub-bar         1           1         Grade C         A36         Grade C3         Grade 40         Grade 40
(1)-1)
Composite Material Selection
Code : ASTM09(S) ▼         ✓ Hybrid Factor         Grade A36 ▼         Es : 29000 kips/in² Fu : 58 kips/in²         Fy : 36 kips/in²         (1)-2)         - Concrete Material Selection         Code : ASTM(RC) ▼         Grade : Grade C300 ▼
Specified Compressive Strength (f`c/fck) : 3    kips/in²
<ul> <li>Reinforcement Selection</li> <li>Code : ASTM(RC) ▼</li> <li>Grade of Main Rebar : Grade 40 ▼ Fyr : 40 kips/in²</li> <li>Grade of Sub-Rebar : Grade 40 ▼ Fys : 40 kips/in²</li> </ul>
(1)-3) Modify Close

In this dialog box, the Material Properties can be modified for the calculation of the structure capacity.

The material utilized for composite sections are provided in the SRC material properties. The material should be defined as SRC Type.

#### 1) Steel of the Steel Girder Section Hybrid Factor

Hybrid Factor is considered in the case where flanges and web have different material properties.

- 2) Concrete of the Concrete slab
- 3) Steel Rebar of the Concrete slab

#### **Design Material Data**

Midas Training Series

Rating > Bridge Rating Design > Steel Design > Rating Material

Flange(Top) Grade <mark>(A36 _</mark> Es : [29000	kins/in²	Fu	: [58	kins/in²
Fy : 36	kips/in²		,	Kipo/ III
Flange(Bot) Grade A36 <u>-</u> Es : 29000 Fy : 36	kips/in² kips/in²	Fu	:  58	kips/in²
Web Grade A36 <u>-</u> Es : 29000 Fy : 36	kips/in² kips/in²	Fu	: [58	kips/in²
		ļ	ОК	Close

#### (2) Hybrid Factor(Rh)

When the check box for Hybrid Factor is selected, icon on the right is activated. The different materials for the top and bottom flanges and web of the steel girder can be defined.

Hybrid Factor (Rh) is determined based on these material information.

## **Settings for Load Rating**

Rating > Bridge Rating Design > Steel Design > Rating Group Setting...

The Bridge Rating Group Setting Dialog allows users to apply Condition Factors per different groups defined already and i- and j-end check positions.

(1) Selected Groups are targeted for the design of the Rating Factor. Structural groups composed of SRC material properties are shown in the list after performing an analysis.

(2) Different values of Condition Factor,  $\varphi c$ , can be applied to different Structure Groups of elements. In the program, the Condition Factor is internally multiplied to Nominal Flexural Strength/Resistance, Nominal Shear Strength and Nominal Fatigue Resistance to calculate the Load Factor. Condition Factor can be inputted in accordance with the Article 6A.4.2.3 of The Manual For Bridge Evaluation, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, 2011.

(3) The Check Position, i- and/or j- end, is considered and selected for the Groups selected for the design.

## **Settings for Load Rating**

Rating > Bridge Rating Design > Steel Design > Rating Group Setting...



## **Settings for Load Rating**

Rating > Bridge Rating Design > Steel Design > Define Rating Case...

Define Rating Case								
-Stat	Static Load Combination Service Limit State C Fatigue Limit State							
	Load Type	max	min			Load	Cases	
	DC (Before)	1.00	1.00		*			
	DC (After)	1.00	1.00					_
	DW	1.00	1.00					
	Temperature		1.00					
	T. Gradient		1.00					
	Secondary		1.00					
	Permanent		1.00					
	User Defined		1.00					
*								
Live	e Load Combin	ation –						
	Live Load Combination							
Live Load Factors for hating								
Prim	Primary Vehicle							
Adia	Adiacent Vehicle							
Auja	Adjacent venicle							
_Ev	Evaluation Live Load Model							
	💿 Design Live Load 🔿 Legal Load / Permit Load							
Nom	Name of Rating Coop							
Ivan	Name of Hating Case							
Desi	Description							
Name Limit St, Description								
	Add							
							Mod	lify
								<u> </u>
							Del	ete
							01	
	Close							
							_	

In Define Rating Case Dialog, Load Factor is defined for each of the Service Limit State, Strength Limit State and Fatigue Limit State.

(1) For the Fatigue Limit State calculation, only live load can be selected.

(2) Maximum and minimum Load Factors for each Load Type (DC, DW, ...) can be manually modified by users as per Table 6A.4.2.2-1 of The Manual for Bridge Load Evaluation, 2nd Edition, 2011 and Table 3.4.1-2 of AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, 6th Edition, 2012.

## **Settings for Load Rating**

Rating > Bridge Rating Design > Steel Design> Position for Rating Output...



In this Dialog, the Position for Rating Output is inputted.

(1) Define the Position for Rating Output.

(2) Users can select Groups in the Filters for Load Rating Result Table of Excel Report. Select All in order to find the most critical elements from all composite sections. Select Group to limit the target elements to find out the most critical elements.

(3) When Apply is clicked in this dialog box, the elements to be printed in the output is defined and saved.

### **Composite Section Data**

Midas Training Series

Rating > Bridge Rating Design > Steel Design > Longitudinal Reinforcement

#### **Longitudinal Reinforcement**

In a steel composite section, the longitudinal reinforcements are arranged within the concrete deck. The strength is calculated as shown in the below table.



[Table3.5] Material Application for Strength Calculation

### **Composite Section Data**



Longitudinal Reinforcement Dialog

### **Composite Section Data**

Rating > Bridge Rating Design > Steel Design > Transverse Stiffener...

#### **Transverse Stiffener**

When the transverse stiffeners are installed, the existence and spacing between stiffeners determine whether the web is stiffened or unstiffened under strength limit state.

Stiffener Type	<b>—</b>
	Flat       Transverse Stiffener       One stiffener       Fy     50       Fy     50       Pitch     62       in       B     5.5       t     0.5       in
	Cancel

Stiffener Type Dialog



Transverse Stiffener Parameters

### **Composite Section Data**

Rating > Bridge Rating Design > Steel Design > Transverse Stiffener...

**Transverse Stiffener** 

(1) Stiffener Type

1) One / Two Stiffener Option Button Choose between one or two stiffeners. The two stiffener option is available for I/Box/Tub sections.

2) Pitch (do)

Pitch refers to the Transverse Stiffener spacing. At the strength limit state, this can be used to distinguish between stiffened and unstiffened webs or calculate shear strength of the web.

Midas Training Series

## Application of Bridge Load Rating

2016

**Midas** 

Training Series

> midas Civil

## **Application of Bridge Load Rating**

#### **Rating Factor**

The Bridge Load Rating function of midas Civil calculates the Rating Factor (RF) at i/j nodes of elements for the Rating Cases according to AASHTO LRFR 2011 standard and finds the minimum RF.

Rating load carrying capacity needs to be done at three different levels - Design Load Rating, Legal Load Rating, and Permit Load Rating - according to the AASHTO LRFR 2011. Midas Civil Bridge Load Rating calculates RF for Design Load Rating and Legal Load Rating for the load cases defined in Define Load Case.

The RF calculated in Midas Civil determines whether it is safe to carry the Primary Vehicle. If RF>1 it is safe and the larger RF, the greater the load carrying capacity of the bridge.

## **Application of Bridge Load Rating**

#### **RF** Calculation as per AASHTO LRFR

The RF value shall be taken as below according to the LRFR standard:

Where,

- RF : Rating factor
- C: Capacity
- $f_R$  : Allowable Stress specified in the LRFD code
- DC : Dead-load effect due to structural components and attachments
- DW : Dead-load effect due to wearing surfaces and utilities
- P: Permanent loads other than dead loads
- LL : Live load effect
- IM : Dynamic load allowance
- $\gamma_{DC}$  : LRFD load factor for structural components and attachments
- $\gamma_{DW}$  : LRFD load factor for wearing surfaces and utilities
- $\gamma_P$  : LRFD load factor for permanent loads other than dead loads

$\gamma_{LL}$ : Evaluation live load factor	Case	С
$\varphi_c$ : Condition Jacion $\varphi_s$ : System factor	Strength Limit States	$C = \varphi_c \varphi_s \varphi R_n$ ( $\varphi_c \varphi_s \ge 0.85$ )
φ : LRFD resistance factor Rn : Nominal member resistance	Service Limit States	$C = f_R$

$$RF = \frac{C - (\gamma_{DC})(DC) - (\gamma_{DW})(DW) \pm (\gamma_{P})(P)}{(\gamma_{L})(LL + IM)}$$

Midas Training Series

## **Design Guide**

#### User Design Guide for midas Civil AAShTO LRFD and LRFR



## MIDAS Technical Support http://globalsupport.midasuser.com/

# Thank you