



Bradley C. Morris
Chief Legal Counsel
brad.morris@petscreening.com

HUD Issues New Guidelines for Assistance Animals in Housing

January 29, 2020

After much anticipation, HUD yesterday issued new guidelines relating to assistance animals. The publication, FHEO-2020-01, is referred to as the "Assistance Animal Notice." The AAN has long been needed, frankly, as those of us in the practice of reviewing requests for accommodation for assistance animals see many areas of gray, and many participants in the process who are not informed such as housing providers, and medical providers. The AAN replaces the prior HUD Guidelines from 2013. Guidelines from HUD do not alter or expand a housing provider's obligations under the Fair Housing Act, but serve as a guide toward following the requirements of the law. Finally, the AAN is effective immediately and will guide the review of AA requests going forward. No AA request assessed under the previous guidelines is to be re-evaluated.

The AAN has two component parts. The first is a guide for housing providers in assessing requests for accommodation of assistance animals. The second part is a guide to be used by persons with assistance animals to explain what is needed from housing providers when assessing a request for accommodation. Significantly, the second section is intended to be given to medical providers to show what information should be in a letter in support of an assistance animal. Hopefully, this part of the AAN will educate animal owners and medical providers of what housing providers need when assessing requests for assistance animals. Here are some of the key points in the AAN.

Assistance Animal defined. Just as California did with its new regulations (effective 1.1.20), the AAN brings some clarity of language regarding assistance animals. "Assistance animals" is the broader term and includes two subsets of animals, service animals, and support animals.

Under the ADA, "*service animal* means any dog that is individually trained to do work or perform tasks for the benefit of an individual with a disability, including a physical, sensory, psychiatric, intellectual, or other mental disability. Other species of animals, whether wild or domestic, trained or untrained, are not service animals for the purposes of this definition. The work or tasks performed by a service animal must be directly related to the individual's disability."

The AAN instructs that the first inquiry in assessing a request for accommodation is determining whether the animal is a service animal. Note that "work or tasks" the dog is trained to do does not include emotional support. If the animal is determined to be a service animal, no documentation is required, and the request should be granted.

If the animal is not a service animal, then the inquiry proceeds to determine if it is a "support animal," defined as "other trained or untrained animals that do work, perform tasks, provide

assistance, and/or provide therapeutic emotional support for individuals with disabilities.” The analysis for support animals includes analysis on whether a disability is obvious, or observable. “Observable impairments generally tend to be obvious and would not be reasonably attributable to non-medical causes by a lay person.”

Truth and Accuracy of Information. Significantly, the AAN states: “A housing provider, at its discretion, may make the truth and accuracy of information provided during the process part of the representations made by the tenant under a lease or similar housing agreement to the extent that the lease or agreement requires the truth and accuracy of other material information.” PetScreening does seek an affirmation from the animal owner as to the truth and accuracy of the information provided in the request for accommodation. PetScreening strongly suggests that housing providers include confirmation from prospective and current tenants that the information provided in the application and lease is true and accurate.

Determinations of Disability/Internet letters. The AAN provides helpful information relating to the determination whether the person is disabled, including assessment of information provided by the requester. Most of this information is familiar to housing providers, but there is an interesting statement on Internet providers:

Some websites sell certificates, registrations, and licensing documents for assistance animals to anyone who answers certain questions or participates in a short interview and pays a fee. Under the Fair Housing Act, a housing provider may request reliable documentation when an individual requesting a reasonable accommodation has a disability and disability-related need for an accommodation that is not obvious or otherwise known. In HUD’s experience, such documentation from the internet is not, by itself, sufficient to reliably establish that an individual has a non-observable disability or disability-related need for an assistance animal. By contrast, many legitimate, licensed health care professionals deliver services remotely, including over the internet. One reliable form of documentation is a note from a person’s health care professional that confirms a person’s disability and/or need for an animal when the provider has personal knowledge of the individual.

Housing providers have largely been vocal about internet providers and sought a tougher stand from HUD on how internet medical providers operate in the area of ESA letters. It appears, however, that HUD did not expand the inquiry, as many industry proponents advocated, to press providers to state their personal interaction and relationship with the patient. While some inquiry into “personal knowledge” seems allowable, it appears the guidance from HUD supports continuing practices regarding letters from internet-based, remote location health care professionals.

Disability Related Need. The AAN is instructive as to the documentation and support of the “need” requirement in any request for accommodation:

Information Confirming Disability-Related Need for an Assistance Animal.

- Reasonably supporting information often consists of information from a licensed health care professional – e.g., physician, optometrist, psychiatrist, psychologist, physician’s assistant, nurse practitioner, or nurse – general to the condition but specific as to the individual with a disability and the assistance or therapeutic emotional support provided by the animal.
- A relationship or connection between the disability and the need for the assistance animal

must be provided. This is particularly the case where the disability is non-observable, and/or the animal provides therapeutic emotional support.

- For non-observable disabilities and animals that provide therapeutic emotional support, a housing provider may ask for information that is consistent with that identified in the Guidance on Documenting an Individual's Need for Assistance Animals in Housing (*see Questions 6 and 7) in order to conduct an individualized assessment of whether it must provide the accommodation under the Fair Housing Act. The lack of such documentation in many cases may be reasonable grounds for denying a requested accommodation.

The second part of the AAN includes information relevant to need and, for example, provides a list of work or tasks provided by support animals:

Some other examples of work, tasks or other types of assistance provided by animals include:⁶⁰

- Helping persons with psychiatric and neurological disabilities by preventing or interrupting impulsive or destructive behaviors,
- Reminding a person with mental illness to take prescribed medication,
- Alerting a person with diabetes when blood sugar is high or low,
- Taking an action to calm a person with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) during an anxiety attack,
- Assisting the person in dealing with disability-related stress or pain,
- Assisting a person with mental illness to leave the isolation of home or to interact with others,
- Enabling a person to deal with the symptoms or effects of major depression by providing a reason to live, or
- Providing emotional support that alleviates at least one identified symptom or effect of a physical or mental impairment.

Type of Animal. The AAN makes a significant distinction in types of animals. No longer is any type animal readily subject to be a support animal. If the animal is commonly kept in households (“dog, cat, small bird, rabbit, hamster, gerbil, other rodent, fish, turtle, or other small, domesticated animal”) then the request should be granted assuming disability related need has been established. “Reptiles (other than turtles), barnyard animals, monkeys, kangaroos, and other non-domesticated animals are not considered common household animals.” These other type animals are considered unique, and the requester has a “substantial burden” to demonstrate the disability related need for these animals.¹ One other aspect of the “unique animal” category is the housing provider may enforce a “no pets” policy or a policy prohibiting the type animal the requester seeks to have, if the requester obtains the animal before submitting reliable documentation from a medical provider that reasonably supports the disability related need for the animal. The AAN provides a couple examples of unique animals that might be appropriate.

Guidance on Documenting an Individual's Need for Assistance Animals in Housing. This second part of the AAN seems very helpful to housing providers and will assist PetScreening in our work. This guidance is a “summary of information that a housing provider may need to know from a

¹ There is a tension here where the AAN says only a dog can be a service animal. Note the AAN is only a guide and does not alter laws, such as the ADA which says a miniature horse, for example, can also be a service animal. Also, California regulations, effective 1.1.20, state that miniature horses, and possibly other animals may be service animals.

health care provider” about the individual’s need for the assistance animal. The intent is that individuals will give the guidance to their medical providers so that letters are tailored to comply with the guidance. Housing providers hopefully will avoid much deliberation and frustration in trying to interpret vague letters, and dispute the substance with requesters. These clear guidelines should be cited when a request is returned for better documentation, stating the clear requirement that disability related need must be articulated. This section of the AAN appears to be a big improvement over the 2013 HUD guidelines and sample letter, which were unclear and probably rarely read.

In sum, the AAN seems to blend well with current best practices which were already based on the previous HUD guidelines. The changes address issues that have frustrated housing providers for some time, including the question whether service animals were treated differently under the FHAct, and lack of knowledge of medical professionals in the needed substance of letters they write. Hopefully, the AAN will lead to better practices in the requests that animal owners submit as well as assessments like those PetScreening does for its housing provider customers.



Bradley C. Morris
Chief Legal Counsel
brad.morris@petscreening.com

PetScreening, Inc.
19925 Jetton Road, Suite 102
Cornelius, NC 28031
www.petscreening.com