

School of Medicine

# Longitudinal Evaluation of a Standardized P-Selectin Flow Adhesion Bioassay: Potential Role for the Assessment and Prediction of Vaso-Occlusive Episodes in Sickle Cell Disease

Ke Liu<sup>1</sup>, Xiufeng Gao<sup>1</sup>, Jennell White<sup>2</sup>, Michael Callaghan<sup>3</sup>, Patrick Hines<sup>1</sup>

RESULTS

<sup>1</sup>Functional Fluidics, Detroit, MI<sup>2</sup>Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, MI<sup>3</sup>Detroit Medical Center, Children's Hospital of Michigan, Detroit, MI



### INTRODUCTION

- Sickle cell disease (SCD) is characterized by vaso-occlusive pain episodes (VOEs).
- · VOEs are subjective, thus difficult to use as a primary clinical endpoint in SCD.
- · P-selectin supports pathological adhesive interactions critical mechanism in VOEs.
- · Inhibition of P-selectin in sickle mice lowered leukocyte-endothelial interaction and reversed acute vascular occlusion.2,3
- Transgenic sickle mice deficient in either P-selectin developed fewer vascular occlusions.<sup>4</sup>
- · Crizanlizumab, an anti-P-selectin monoclonal antibody, reduced the annual rate of vasoocclusive crises prospectively in a recent Phase 2 clinical trial.<sup>5</sup>
- Rivipansel, a pan-selectin inhibitor, decreased the length of active sickle crises.<sup>6</sup>
- · A standardized P-selectin adhesion bioassay may be a useful prognostic biomarker to for VOEs in SCD.

# **STUDY OBJECTIVES**

- Determine the baseline variability whole blood (WB) and isolated white blood cell (WBC) Pselectin adhesion indices in individuals with SCD over time (6-months).
- · Establish the relationship of whole blood (WB) and isolated white blood cell (WBC) P-selectin adhesion indices with self-reported baselines and VOEs in individuals with SCD.



#### Figure 1. Schematic of study design

- 6-month observational cohort study in 35 SCD subjects (Fig. 1)
- Clinical baseline or VOE was determined using an electronic patient-reported (ePRO) tool.<sup>7</sup>
- · In-home baseline blood samples were collected by a mobile phlebotomist every 3 weeks
- · VOE sample were collected within 24 and 48 hours of self-report VOE (home, ED, or hospital), followed by another collection 2 days after VOE resolution, and baseline blood draw was resumed 2 weeks afterwards.
- Hematologic lab tests were conducted for all blood samples (Table 1).
- A standardized microfluidic flow-based P-selectin adhesion assay was performed on all blood samples at physiological flow conditions (1 dyne/cm<sup>2</sup>, 1.67 Hz pulsatile flow, 37°C).
- · Adhesion index was defined as the number of cells adhering within a fixed viewing area (cells/mm<sup>2</sup>).
- Linear regression and mixed model were used for statistical analysis by R software. p ≤ 0.05 was deemed statistically significant.



Figure 2A. No significant difference between WB P-selectin adhesion indices at baseline (n = 288, mean = 42 cells/mm<sup>2</sup>) vs. VOE (n = 59, mean = 46 cells/mm<sup>2</sup>) were observed based on mixed model analysis.

Figure 2B WBC P-selectin adhesion indices were significantly different at baseline (n = 282, mean = 163 cells/mm<sup>2</sup>) vs. VOE (n = 59, mean = 205 cells/mm<sup>2</sup>) based on mixed model analysis



Figure 3. (L) Longitudinal baseline (black dots) and VOE (red dots) of WBC adhesion on P-selectin by subject showing inter- and intra-subject variability; (R) comparison (t-test) of individual patient geometric mean adhesion indices shows significantly higher adhesion indices at VOE (n = 23, mean = 148 cells/mm<sup>2</sup>) than baseline (n = 35, mean = 109 cells/mm<sup>2</sup>).



Figure 4. Significant negative correlations were found for individual patient adhesion index of WB on P-selectin with days to 2<sup>nd</sup> VOE event (L) and days between 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> VOE event (R). Among the 35 SCD patients in this study, 10 patients reported > 2 VOEs during the 6-month study period. The geometric mean of adhesion index was based on all blood samples collected prior to the 2<sup>nd</sup> VOE event.

## RESULTS



Figure 5. Significant correlation is observed between baseline WBC P-selectin adhesion index vs. annualized VOE rate

#### Table 1. Summary of significant correlations between adhesion indices and hematologic parameters

	WB on P-selectin at Baseline	WBC on P-selectin at Baseline	WB on P-selectin at Home-VOE	WBC on P-selectin at Home-VOE	WB on P-selectin at Medical Contact-VOE
CRP	n = 276, r = 0.12 p = 0.047		n = 33, r = 0.44 p = 0.011		
WBC count		n = 277, r = 0.26 p < 0.0001	n = 33, r = 0.63 p < 0.0001		n = 26, r = 0.51 p = 0.0021
Hematocrit		n = 271, r = 0.15 p = 0.017		n = 33, r = -0.37 p = 0.027	
Reticulocyte Percent				n = 33, r = 0.44 p = 0.0058	

VOEs that were managed and treated at emergency department and hospital are categorized as medical-contact VOE

#### CONCLUSIONS

- · The presented P-selectin adhesion assay identifies the unique adhesive phenotype of individuals with SCD.
- · WBC adhesion indices on P-selectin were significantly higher during ePRO-validated VOEs compared to ePRO-validated baseline conditions.
- · Individuals with higher adhesion indices on P-selectin were more likely to have a VOE event sooner compared to individuals with lower P-selectin indices.
- · Adhesion indices of WB and WBCs on P-selectin may be useful prognostic biomarkers for the likelihood and frequency of impending VOEs in individuals with SCD.
- · Limitations: The small number of subjects makes sub-group analyses challenging. These observations should be validated in a larger multi-center trial. Also, the annualized VOE rate was mathematically deduced rather than through patient observation. Patients should be followed for >12 month in future study.
- Future Directions: We are developing predictive model for impending VOEs incorporating the P-selectin adhesion index. Investigations are underway to determine the relationship of adhesion indices to the clinical response to SCD-modifying therapy. It is plausible that clinical adhesion indices may prove to be surrogate endpoints for VOEs and other primary clinical endpoints in SCD.

### References

- Blood, 2013, 122, 3892-3898
- Blood, 2012, 120, 3862-3864 3
- Blood. 2010, 116, 1779-1786
- PNAS, 2002, 99, 3047-3051
- N Engl J Med. 2017, 376, 429-439 5.
- 6. Blood. 2015, 125, 2656-2664
- Blood, 2017, 130, 973 7

4