

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 16-1480V

Filed: June 22, 2017



[Redacted],

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH
AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Damages Decision Based on Proffer;
Concession; Tetanus-diphtheria-
acellular pertussis (Tdap) Vaccine;
Shoulder Injury Related to Vaccine
Administration (SIRVA); Special
Processing Unit (SPU)

Paul R. Brazil, Muller Brazil, LLP, Dresher PA, for petitioner.

Ann D. Martin, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

DECISION AWARDING DAMAGES¹

Dorsey, Chief Special Master:

On November 9, 2016, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,² (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleged that [Redacted] suffered left shoulder injuries as a result of a tetanus-diphtheria-acellular pertussis (“Tdap”) vaccine that [Redacted] received on February 3, 2016. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit (“SPU”) of the Office of Special Masters.

On April 19, 2017, the undersigned issued a ruling on entitlement, finding petitioner entitled to compensation for a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (“SIRVA”). On June 21, 2017, respondent filed a proffer on award of compensation

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all “§” references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

("Proffer") indicating petitioner should be awarded \$132,500.00. Proffer at 1. In the Proffer, respondent represented that petitioner agrees with the proffered award. *Id.*

Based on the record as a whole, the undersigned finds that petitioner is entitled to an award as stated in the Proffer.

Pursuant to the terms stated in the attached Proffer, **the undersigned awards petitioner a lump sum payment of \$132,500.00 in the form of a check payable to petitioner, [REDACTED]**. This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under § 300aa-15(a).

The clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this decision.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey
Nora Beth Dorsey
Chief Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.