UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

		X	
CONSTRUCTION LABORERS PENSION TRUST OF GREATER ST. LOUIS,		:	Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-02546-JPO
		:	:
Individually and on Behalf of All Others			: <u>CLASS ACTION</u>
Similarly Situated,	laintiff,	:	ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION OF SETTLEMENT PROCEEDS
VS.		•	
AUTOLIV, INC., et al.,			
D	efendants.	:	
		— X	

This matter having come before the Court on October 24, 2014, on Lead Plaintiffs' motion for approval of the Plan of Distribution of the settlement proceeds in the above-captioned action; the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated August 14, 2014 (the "Stipulation") and all capitalized terms used, but not defined herein, shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

2. Pursuant to and in full compliance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was directed to all persons who are Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort, advising them of the Plan of Distribution and of their right to object thereto, and a full and fair opportunity was accorded to all persons and entities who are Class Members to be heard with respect to the Plan of Distribution.

3. The Court finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the claims of Authorized Claimants which is set forth in the Notice of Pendency of Class Action and Proposed Settlement, Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Settlement Fairness Hearing (the "Notice") sent to Class Members provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund established by the Stipulation among the Class Members, with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.

- 1 -

4. This Court finds and concludes that the Plan of Distribution, as set forth in the Notice, is, in all respects, fair and reasonable and the Court approves the Plan of Distribution.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 29, 2014

J. PAUL OETKEN

United States District Judge