

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	X	
In re GENWORTH FINANCIAL, INC.	:	Master File No. 1:14-cv-02392-AKH
SECURITIES LITIGATION	:	
	:	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>
	:	
This Document Relates To:	:	DECLARATION OF DOUGLAS R.
	:	BRITTON FILED ON BEHALF OF
ALL ACTIONS.	:	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD
	:	LLP IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR
	X	AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND
		EXPENSES

I, DOUGLAS R. BRITTON, declare as follows:

1. I am a member of the firm of Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP (“Robbins Geller” or the “Firm”). I am submitting this declaration in support of my Firm’s application for an award of attorneys’ fees, expenses and charges (“expenses”) in connection with services rendered in the above-entitled action (the “Litigation”).

2. This Firm is Co-Lead Counsel of record for Lead Plaintiffs City of Hialeah Employees’ Retirement System, New Bedford Contributory Retirement System, and the Class.

3. The information in this declaration regarding the Firm’s time and expenses is taken from time and expense printouts and supporting documentation prepared and/or maintained by the Firm in the ordinary course of business. I am the partner who oversaw and/or conducted the day-to-day activities in the Litigation and I reviewed these printouts (and backup documentation where necessary or appropriate) in connection with the preparation of this declaration. The purpose of this review was to confirm both the accuracy of the entries on the printouts as well as the necessity for, and reasonableness of, the time and expenses committed to the Litigation. As a result of this review, reductions were made to both time and expenses in the exercise of billing judgment. Based on this review and the adjustments made, I believe that the time reflected in the Firm’s lodestar calculation and the expenses for which payment is sought herein are reasonable and were necessary for the effective and efficient prosecution and resolution of the Litigation. In addition, I believe that these expenses are all of a type that would normally be charged to a fee-paying client in the private legal marketplace.

4. After the reductions referred to above, the number of hours spent on the Litigation by the Firm is 10,004.65. A breakdown of the lodestar is provided in Exhibit A attached hereto. The lodestar amount for attorney/paraprofessional time based on the Firm’s current rates is

\$5,165,766.50. The hourly rates shown in Exhibit A are the usual and customary rates set by the Firm for each individual.

5. The Firm seeks an award of \$335,802.02 in expenses and charges in connection with the prosecution of the Litigation. Those expenses and charges are summarized by category in Exhibit B attached hereto.

6. The following is additional information regarding certain of these expenses:

(a) Filing, Witness and Other Fees: \$2,079.80. These expenses have been paid to the Court for filing fees and to attorney service firms or individuals who either: (i) served process of the complaint or subpoenas; or (ii) obtained copies of court documents for plaintiffs. The vendors who were paid for these services are set forth in Exhibit C attached hereto.

(b) Business Wire: \$978.00. This expense was necessary under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995's ("PSLRA") "early notice" requirements, which provides, among other things, that "[n]ot later than 20 days after the date on which the complaint is filed, the plaintiff or plaintiffs shall cause to be published, in a widely circulated national business-oriented publication or wire service, a notice advising members of the purported plaintiff class – (I) of the pendency of the action, the claims asserted therein, and the purported class period; and (II) that, not later than 60 days after the date on which the notice is published, any member of the purported class may move the court to serve as lead plaintiff of the purported class." *See* 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(3)(A)(i).

(c) Transportation, Hotels & Meals: \$93,458.77 In connection with the prosecution of this case, the Firm has paid for work-related travel expenses to, among other things, attend court hearings, meet with witnesses and opposing counsel, and to take or defend depositions.

(Any first-class airfare has been reduced to be comparable to economy rates.) The date, destination and purpose of each trip is set forth in Exhibit D attached hereto.

(d) Deposition Reporting: \$751.30. Robbins Geller paid TSG Reporting, Inc. \$726.10 to obtain the Robert W. Williams III witness exhibits and \$25.20 to Southern District Reporters PC to obtain a transcript of the July 28, 2017 hearing regarding settlement.

(e) Investigator (L.R. Hodges & Associates, Ltd. ("LRH")): \$28,993.76. Over a five-month period (July through September 2014 and February and June 2015) in which LRH provided investigative services, LRH expended 140.6 hours for combined fees of \$26,765.00, and incurred related expenses of \$2,228.76 for a total of \$28,993.76. LRH's research staff expended 45.2 hours to research, identify, and confirm the employment status of prospective witnesses, locating all key targets, as well as maintaining and updating an evolving witness list to support other investigative team members. This also involved research, retrieval and analysis of relevant documents, including U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") filings, media articles, court filings, as well as other materials related to the case issues. The case manager and interviewing investigators expended a combined 95.4 hours to research, review and analyze materials in preparation for the investigation; contacting and conducting interviews with targeted third-party witnesses; and thereafter, preparing comprehensive interview summaries and other case reports. In addition, these team members were involved in analyzing key case issues, as well as establishing and executing the joint litigation-investigation team plan, and participating in numerous strategy sessions and investigation briefings with Robbins Geller attorneys.

(f) Photocopies: \$41,147.29. In connection with this case, the Firm made 29,047 black and white copies. Robbins Geller requests \$0.15 per copy for a total of \$4,357.05. In addition, the Firm made 14,882 color copies. Robbins Geller requests \$0.50 per copy for a total of

\$7,441.00. Each time an in-house copy machine is used, our billing system requires that a case or administrative billing code be entered and that is how the number of in-house copies were identified as related to the Litigation. The Firm also paid \$29,349.24 to outside copy vendors. A breakdown of these outside charges by date and vendor is set forth in Exhibit E attached hereto.

(g) Online Legal and Financial Research: \$16,105.10. These included vendors such as ALM Media Service, Caliber Advisors, Inc., LexisNexis Products, PACER, Thomson Financial, and Westlaw. These databases were used to obtain access to SEC filings, factual databases, legal research and for cite-checking of briefs. This expense represents the expenses incurred by Robbins Geller for use of these services in connection with this Litigation. The charges for these vendors vary depending upon the type of services requested. For example, Robbins Geller has flat-rate contracts with some of these providers for use of their services. When Robbins Geller utilizes online services provided by a vendor with a flat-rate contract, access to the service is by a billing code entered for the specific case being litigated. At the end of each billing period in which such service is used, Robbins Geller's costs for such services are allocated to specific cases based on the percentage of use in connection with that specific case in the billing period. As a result of the contracts negotiated by Robbins Geller with certain providers, the Class enjoys substantial savings in comparison with the "market-rate" for *a la carte* use of such services which some law firms pass on to their clients. For example, the "market rate" charged to others by LexisNexis for the types of services used by Robbins Geller is more expensive than the rates negotiated by Robbins Geller.

(h) eDiscovery Database Hosting: \$63,048.02. Robbins Geller requests \$63,048.02 for hosting eDiscovery related to this Litigation. Robbins Geller has installed top tier software, infrastructure and security. The platform implemented, Relativity, is offered by over 120 vendors and is currently being used by 195 of the AmLaw200 law firms. Over 30 servers are

dedicated to Robbins Geller's Relativity hosting environment with all data stored in a secure SSAE 16 Type II data center with automatic replication to a datacenter located in a different geographic location. By hosting in-house, Robbins Geller is able to charge a flat, reduced rate that includes many services which are often charged as extra fees when hosted by a third party vendor. Robbins Geller's hosting fee includes user logins, processing, deduplication, OCRing, TIFFing, bates stamping, exports, productions and archiving – all at no additional cost. Also, included is unlimited structured and conceptual analytics (*i.e.*, email threading, inclusive detection, near-dupe detection, concept searching, assisted review, clustering, and more.). Robbins Geller is able to provide all these services for a rate that is typically much lower than outsourcing to third party vendors. Utilizing a secure, advanced platform in-house has allowed Robbins Geller to prosecute actions more efficiently and has reduced the time and expense associated with maintaining and searching electronic discovery databases. The amount requested reflects charges for the hosting of over 2.4 million pages of documents produced by defendants, plaintiffs and non-parties in this action. Similar to third-party vendors, Robbins Geller uses a tiered rate system to calculate hosting charges. Robbins Geller charges the all-in flat rate of \$14 per gigabyte per month for hosting less than 500 gigabytes of data.

(i) Outside ESI Collection/Forensics (Discovia Managed eDiscovery): \$675.00.

This represents service charges for onsite/remote data collection used in connection with the eDiscovery Database Hosting referred to above.

(j) My Firm maintained a litigation expense fund for certain common expenses in connection with the prosecution of this case. The category entitled "Litigation Fund Contribution" in each plaintiffs' counsel's fee and expense declaration represents contributions to this expense fund

which was used to pay various common expenses. Below is a further breakdown of the payments made from the Litigation Expense Fund:

(i) Court Reporters. The following court reporters were paid out of the Litigation Expense Fund for court and/or deposition reporting, including providing copies of transcripts:

- 1) TSG Reporting (\$18,709.90);
- 2) Southern District Reporters (\$468.00);
- 3) Aptus Court Reporting (\$5,696.38);
- 4) TLS Reporting (\$236.50).

(ii) Experts/Consultants/Investigators.

1) Crowninshield (“CFR”) (\$51,237.00). Lead Plaintiffs retained the services of the highly-regarded economic consulting firm, CFR. Dr. Steven P. Feinstein is the founder and President of CFR. CFR was retained to analyze whether Genworth Financial, Inc.’s (“Genworth”) shares traded an efficient market during the period relevant to Lead Plaintiffs’ and the Class’ claims. CFR also analyzed whether damages could be measured on a classwide basis and ultimately the amount of potential damages the Class suffered. Dr. Feinstein’s analysis and expert opinion in support of Lead Plaintiffs’ motion for class certification was necessary in obtaining the March 7, 2016 Order on motion to certify the class and preparing for settlement discussions.

2) Outten & Golder LLP (“Outten & Golder”) (\$10,601.20). Lead Plaintiffs agreed to pay Outten & Golder’s fees in connection with the legal representation of witness Glenn Meacham, including legal consultation between counsel and Mr. Meacham and representation during Mr. Meacham’s deposition. Outten & Golder’s services were necessary given the relevance and importance of Mr. Meacham’s testimony to the Litigation.

3) IMS ExpertServices (\$26,645.25). Lead Plaintiffs retained IMS ExpertServices to locate and secure the services of an industry expert with specialized knowledge of the Australian mortgage insurance industry. Class Counsel worked closely with the industry expert, who was paid by Lead Plaintiffs through IMS ExpertServices, in connection with their document reviews and in preparation for depositions in Australia. The industry expert's services were necessary given the focus of the Litigation on matters in Genworth's Australian Mortgage Insurance subsidiary.

4) Maurice Blackburn (\$27,156.85). Lead Plaintiffs retained Maurice Blackburn as legal counsel in Australia to assist Class Counsel with securing depositions of Australian witnesses and for legal advice during the depositions of Genworth Australia's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Blackburn's services were necessary because of the complexities of conducting discovery in a foreign jurisdiction.

5) Holding Redlich (\$15,572.55). Lead Plaintiffs agreed to pay the professional fees of Holding Redlich in connection with a request for production of documents to Finity Consulting Pty Ltd. ("Finity"), which was Genworth Australia's actuary during the Class Period. Holding Redlich was Finity's counsel in connection with the document request and Holding Redlich's services were necessary in order to secure Finity's cooperation in retrieving and producing documents from Australia that were relevant to the Litigation and to defendants' affirmative defenses. This charge also includes document retrieval, hosting and related fees in connection with Finity's electronic document production.

6) Lily Haggerty (\$1,480.00). In addition to amounts paid to LRH by Robbins Geller for investigative work (described above in ¶6(e)), Class Counsel retained Lily Haggerty to assist in locating potential witnesses in Australia.



A breakdown of the contributions to and payments made from the Litigation Expense Fund is attached hereto as Exhibit F.

7. The expenses pertaining to this case are reflected in the books and records of this Firm. These books and records are prepared from receipts, expense vouchers, check records and other documents and are an accurate record of the expenses.

8. The identification and background of my Firm and its partners is attached hereto as Exhibit G.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 11th day of October, 2017, at San Diego, California.

s/ Douglas R. Britton  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DOUGLAS R. BRITTON

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Douglas R. Britton, hereby certify that on October 11, 2017, I authorized a true and correct copy of the DECLARATION OF DOUGLAS R. BRITTON FILED ON BEHALF OF ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES, to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such public filing to all counsel registered to receive such notice.

s/ Douglas R. Britton  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DOUGLAS R. BRITTON

# EXHIBIT A

**EXHIBIT A**

***In re Genworth Financial, Inc. Securities Litigation***  
**Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP**  
**Inception through September 15, 2017**

<b><i>NAME</i></b>		<b><i>HOURS</i></b>	<b><i>RATE</i></b>	<b><i>LODESTAR</i></b>
Britton, Douglas	(P)	2,038.55	780	\$ 1,590,069.00
Kowalewski, Catherine	(P)	34.50	650	22,425.00
Pintar, Theodore	(P)	152.80	890	135,992.00
Walton, David	(P)	20.10	930	18,693.00
Balotta, Matthew	(A)	330.45	415	137,136.75
Ngo, Ivy	(A)	1,018.90	555	565,489.50
Price, Ashley	(A)	1,078.00	500	539,000.00
Schwartz, Andrew	(A)	24.00	460	11,040.00
Bays, Lea	(OC)	33.25	510	16,957.50
Black, Kelli	(SA)	412.95	360	148,662.00
Mejia, Francisco	(SA)	1,084.75	360	390,510.00
Melikian, Deborah	(SA)	889.25	360	320,130.00
Rudolph, Andrew	(FA)	709.50	675	478,912.50
Sader, Brad	(FA)	1,138.25	500	569,125.00
Fargo, Corbin	(FAI)	26.55	75	1,991.25
Hutton, Grant	(FAI)	85.50	75	6,412.50
Mouannes, Charbel	(FAI)	82.90	75	6,217.50
Wat, Emily	(FAI)	76.05	75	5,703.75
Barhoum, Anthony	(EA)	35.75	430	15,372.50
Cabusao, Reggie	(EA)	26.75	335	8,961.25
Keita, C. Oumar	(LS)	132.90	290	38,541.00
Milliron, Christine	(LS)	43.20	375	16,200.00
Paralegals		302.30	265-295	89,178.50
Document Clerks		206.25	150	30,937.50
Shareholder Relations		21.25	95-100	2,108.50
<b><i>TOTAL</i></b>		<b><i>10,004.65</i></b>		<b><i>\$ 5,165,766.50</i></b>

(P) Partner

(A) Associate

(OC) Of Counsel

(SA) Staff Attorney

(FA) Forensic Accountant

(FAI) Forensic Accounting Intern

(EA) Economic Analyst

(LS) Litigation Support

# EXHIBIT B

**EXHIBIT B**

***In re Genworth Financial, Inc. Securities Litigation***  
**Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP**  
**Inception through August 28, 2017**

<b><i>CATEGORY</i></b>		<b><i>AMOUNT</i></b>
Filing, Witness and Other Fees		\$ 2,079.80
Business Wire		978.00
Transportation, Hotels & Meals		93,458.77
Telephone, Facsimile		267.47
Postage		1.36
Messenger, Overnight Delivery		1,894.34
Deposition Reporting		751.30
Investigator (L.R. Hodges & Associates, Ltd.)		28,993.76
Photocopies		41,147.29
Outside	\$ 29,349.24	
In-House Color (14,882 copies at \$0.50 per page)	7,441.00	
In-House Black and White (29,047 copies at \$0.15 per page)	4,357.05	
Online Legal and Financial Research		16,105.10
eDiscovery Database Hosting		63,048.02
Outside ESI Collection/Forensics (Discovia Managed eDiscovery)		675.00
Litigation Fund Contributions		86,401.81
<b><i>TOTAL</i></b>		<b><i>\$ 335,802.02</i></b>

# EXHIBIT C

**EXHIBIT C**

***In re Genworth Financial, Inc. Securities Litigation***  
**Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP**

Filing, Witness and Other Fees: \$2,079.80

<b><i>DATE</i></b>	<b><i>VENDOR</i></b>	<b><i>PURPOSE</i></b>
04/04/14	Pack Rat Process Service	File summons and complaint
04/04/14	Clerk of the Court	File complaint
04/08/14	Class Action Research & Litigation Support Services, Inc.	Substituted Service – M. Fraizer; summons in a civil action; class action complaint  Personal Service - Genworth Financial, Inc.; summons in a civil action; class action complaint
04/14/14	Class Action Research & Litigation Support Services, Inc.	Substituted Service – M. Klein; summons in a civil action; class action complaint
08/19/14	Irma Herron	Complaint and copy cost
09/09/14	Petty Cash Custodian	Certificates of Good Standing for D. Britton and A. Price
09/16/14	Clerk of the Court	<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> for D. Britton and A. Price
12/07/15	Petty Cash Custodian	Certificate of Good Standing for I. Ngo
12/11/15	Clerk of the Court	<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> for I. Ngo
07/08/16	Petty Cash Custodian	Certificate of Good Standing for M. Balotta
07/13/16	Clerk of the Court	<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> for M. Balotta
08/03/17	Clerk of the Court	<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> for T. Pintar



# EXHIBIT D

**EXHIBIT D*****In re Genworth Financial, Inc. Securities Litigation***  
**Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP**

Transportation, Hotels and Meals: \$93,458.77

<b><i>NAME</i></b>	<b><i>DATE</i></b>	<b><i>DESTINATION</i></b>	<b><i>PURPOSE</i></b>
Britton, Douglas	09/21/14-09/23/14	Miami, FL	Client meeting
Britton, Douglas	09/24/15-09/25/15	New York, NY	Case management conference
Price, Ashley	09/24/15-09/25/15	New York, NY	Case management conference
Britton, Douglas	09/29/15-09/30/15	New York, NY	Case management conference
Britton, Douglas	12/15/15-12/17/15	Miami, FL	Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of R. Williams III, for City of Hialeah Employees' Retirement System
Price, Ashley	12/15/15-12/17/15	Miami, FL	Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of R. Williams III, for City of Hialeah Employees' Retirement System
Britton, Douglas	12/21/15-12/22/15	Houston, TX	Deposition of G. Meacham
Britton, Douglas	01/07/16-01/08/16	New York, NY	Status conference regarding class certification
Ngo, Ivy	01/07/16-01/08/16	New York, NY	Status conference regarding class certification
Price, Ashley	01/07/16-01/08/16	New York, NY	Status conference regarding class certification
Britton, Douglas	03/27/16-03/28/16	New York, NY	Status conference
Ngo, Ivy	03/28/16-03/29/16	New York, NY	Status conference
Britton, Douglas	04/04/16-04/05/16	New York, NY	Status conference
Price, Ashley	04/04/16-04/05/16	New York, NY	Status conference
Britton, Douglas	07/19/16-07/20/16	New York, NY	Status conference
Ngo, Ivy	07/19/16-07/20/16	New York, NY	Status conference
Ngo, Ivy	09/20/16-09/22/16	New York, NY	Deposition of M. Fraizer
Britton, Douglas	09/27/16-09/29/16	New York, NY	Deposition of M. Klein
Rudolph, Andrew	09/27/16-10/03/16	New York, NY	Deposition of M. Klein

Britton, Douglas	11/06/16-11/12/16	Sydney, AU	Deposition of A. O'Driscoll
Rudolph, Andrew	11/06/16-11/12/16	Sydney, AU	Deposition of A. O'Driscoll
Britton, Douglas	12/12/16-12/13/16	New York, NY	Strategy meeting with co-counsel, meet-and-confer with defendants, status conference, and follow-up meeting with co-counsel
Ngo, Ivy	12/12/16-12/13/16	New York, NY	Strategy meeting with co-counsel, meet-and-confer with defendants, status conference, and follow-up meeting with co-counsel
Britton, Douglas	03/20/17-03/21/17	New York, NY	Settlement meeting
Ngo, Ivy	03/20/17-03/21/17	New York, NY	Settlement meeting
Britton, Douglas	04/17/17-04/18/17	Miami, FL	City of Hialeah Employees' Retirement System Board meeting
Pintar, Theodore	04/17/17-04/18/17	Miami, FL	City of Hialeah Employees' Retirement System Board meeting
Britton, Douglas	07/27/17-07/28/17	New York, NY	Preliminary approval hearing
Pintar, Theodore	07/27/17-07/28/17	New York, NY	Preliminary approval hearing

# EXHIBIT E

**EXHIBIT E**

***In re Genworth Financial, Inc. Securities Litigation***  
**Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP**

Photocopies: \$41,147.29

In-House (Black and White): \$4,357.05 (29,047 copies @ \$0.15 per page)

In-House (Color): \$7,441.00 (14,882 copies @ \$0.50 per page)

Outside Photocopies: \$29,349.24 (detailed below)

<b><i>DATE</i></b>	<b><i>VENDOR</i></b>	<b><i>PURPOSE</i></b>
04/06/16	TTI Technologies	Hotel Business Center - printing charges for KPMG discovery hearing
08/17/16	KPMG Australia	The total amount paid to KPMG Australia is U.S. \$29,325.65. The invoice paid includes services for advising and producing documents from April 29, 2016-June 21, 2016. Lead Plaintiffs agreed to pay the professional fees of KPMG Australia in connection with a request for production of documents from KPMG Australia, which was Genworth Australia's accountant during the Class Period. KPMG Australia's services were necessary to retrieve and produce documents from Australia that were relevant to the litigation and to defendants' affirmative defenses

# EXHIBIT F

**GENWORTH FINANCIAL LITIGATION EXPENSE FUND**

10/6/17

Date	Description	Check #	Credit	Debit	Balance
01/13/16	<b>Robbins Geller Rudman &amp; Dowd LLP</b>		<b>\$15,000.00</b>		<b>15,000.00</b>
01/14/16	TSG Reporting	<b>1000</b>		(9,069.70)	<b>5,930.30</b>
01/14/16	Southern District Reporters	<b>1001</b>		(162.75)	<b>5,767.55</b>
01/22/16	Crowinshield Financial Research	<b>1002</b>		(3,612.00)	<b>2,155.55</b>
01/26/16	<b>Labaton Sucharow LLP</b>		<b>15,000.00</b>		<b>17,155.55</b>
01/27/16	<b>Labaton Sucharow LLP</b>		<b>25,000.00</b>		<b>42,155.55</b>
01/27/16	<b>Robbins Geller Rudman &amp; Dowd LLP</b>		<b>25,000.00</b>		<b>67,155.55</b>
02/03/16	Outten & Golder LLP	<b>1003</b>		(9,651.20)	<b>57,504.35</b>
02/03/16	TSG Reporting	<b>1004</b>		(9,640.20)	<b>47,864.15</b>
02/24/16	Crowinshield Financial Research	<b>1005</b>		(42,540.00)	<b>5,324.15</b>
04/07/16	Southern District Reporters	<b>1006</b>		(70.74)	<b>5,253.41</b>
04/13/16	<b>Labaton Sucharow LLP</b>		<b>25,000.00</b>		<b>30,253.41</b>
04/20/16	Southern District Reporters	<b>1007</b>		(96.96)	<b>30,156.45</b>
07/29/16	Southern District Reporters	<b>1008</b>		(102.18)	<b>30,054.27</b>
08/31/16	<b>Robbins Geller Rudman &amp; Dowd LLP</b>		<b>25,000.00</b>		<b>55,054.27</b>
09/21/16	IMS ExpertServices	<b>1009</b>		(15,000.00)	<b>40,054.27</b>
10/28/16	Aptus Court Reporting	<b>1010</b>		(5,696.38)	<b>34,357.89</b>
12/01/16	Lily Haggerty	<b>1011</b>		(1,480.00)	<b>32,877.89</b>
12/16/16	IMS ExpertServices	<b>1012</b>		(13,262.75)	<b>19,615.14</b>
12/20/16	Southern District Reporters	<b>1013</b>		(35.37)	<b>19,579.77</b>
02/22/17	Holding Redlich	<b>Wire</b>		(15,572.55)	<b>4,007.22</b>
03/10/17	<b>Labaton Sucharow LLP</b>		<b>21,401.82</b>		<b>25,409.04</b>
03/15/17	<b>Robbins Geller Rudman &amp; Dowd LLP</b>		<b>21,401.81</b>		<b>46,810.85</b>
03/16/17	Maurice Blackburn	<b>Wire</b>		(27,156.85)	<b>19,654.00</b>
04/03/17	IMS ExpertServices	<b>1014</b>		(13,382.50)	<b>6,271.50</b>
04/04/17	Outten & Golder LLP	<b>1015</b>		(950.00)	<b>5,321.50</b>
05/01/17	Crowinshield Financial Research	<b>1016</b>		(2,930.00)	<b>2,391.50</b>
05/10/17	TLS Reporting	<b>1017</b>		(236.50)	<b>2,155.00</b>
05/25/17	Crowinshield Financial Research	<b>1018</b>		(1,195.00)	<b>960.00</b>
06/22/17	Crowinshield Financial Research	<b>1019</b>		(830.00)	<b>130.00</b>
08/25/17	Crowinshield Financial Research	<b>1020</b>		(130.00)	<b>0.00</b>

# EXHIBIT G





# Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP

THE RIGHT CHOICE



**Firm Resume**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	1
PRACTICE AREAS AND SERVICES.....	2
Securities Fraud.....	2
Shareholder Derivative and Corporate Governance Litigation.....	6
Options Backdating Litigation.....	9
Corporate Takeover Litigation.....	9
Insurance.....	11
Antitrust.....	13
Consumer Fraud.....	15
Intellectual Property.....	16
Human Rights, Labor Practices and Public Policy.....	17
Environment and Public Health.....	18
Pro Bono.....	19
E-Discovery.....	20
PROMINENT CASES, PRECEDENT-SETTING DECISIONS AND JUDICIAL COMMENDATIONS....	22
Prominent Cases.....	22
PRECEDENT-SETTING DECISIONS.....	30
Investor and Shareholder Rights.....	30
Insurance.....	34
Consumer Protection.....	34
Additional Judicial Commendations.....	35
ATTORNEY BIOGRAPHIES.....	40
Partners.....	40
Of Counsel.....	93
Special Counsel.....	111
Forensic Accountants.....	112

## Introduction

Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP (“Robbins Geller” or the “Firm”) is a 200-lawyer firm with offices in Atlanta, Boca Raton, Chicago, Manhattan, Melville, Nashville, San Diego, San Francisco, Philadelphia and Washington, D.C. ([www.rgrdlaw.com](http://www.rgrdlaw.com)). The Firm is actively engaged in complex litigation, emphasizing securities, consumer, antitrust, insurance, healthcare, human rights and employment discrimination class actions, as well as intellectual property disputes. The Firm’s unparalleled experience and capabilities in these fields are based upon the talents of its attorneys, who have successfully prosecuted thousands of class action lawsuits and numerous individual cases, recovering billions of dollars.

This successful track record stems from our experienced attorneys, including many who came to the Firm from federal or state law enforcement agencies. The Firm also includes several dozen former federal and state judicial clerks.

The Firm currently represents more institutional investors, including public and multi-employer pension funds and domestic and international financial institutions, in securities and corporate litigation than any other plaintiffs’ securities law firm in the United States.

The Firm is committed to practicing law with the highest level of integrity in an ethical and professional manner. We are a diverse firm with lawyers and staff from all walks of life. Our lawyers and other employees are hired and promoted based on the quality of their work and their ability to treat others with respect and dignity.

We strive to be good corporate citizens and work with a sense of global responsibility. Contributing to our communities and environment is important to us. We often take cases on a pro bono basis and are committed to the rights of workers, and to the extent possible, we contract with union vendors. We care about civil rights, workers’ rights and treatment, workplace safety and environmental protection. Indeed, while we have built a reputation as the finest securities and consumer class action law firm in the nation, our lawyers have also worked tirelessly in less high-profile, but no less important, cases involving human rights and other social issues.

## Practice Areas and Services

### Securities Fraud

As recent corporate scandals demonstrate clearly, it has become all too common for companies and their executives – often with the help of their advisors, such as bankers, lawyers and accountants – to manipulate the market price of their securities by misleading the public about the company's financial condition or prospects for the future. This misleading information has the effect of artificially inflating the price of the company's securities above their true value. When the underlying truth is eventually revealed, the prices of these securities plummet, harming those innocent investors who relied upon the company's misrepresentations.

Robbins Geller is the leader in the fight to protect investors from corporate securities fraud. We utilize a wide range of federal and state laws to provide investors with remedies, either by bringing a class action on behalf of all affected investors or, where appropriate, by bringing individual cases.

The Firm's reputation for excellence has been repeatedly noted by courts and has resulted in the appointment of Firm attorneys to lead roles in hundreds of complex class-action securities and other cases. In the securities area alone, the Firm's attorneys have been responsible for a number of outstanding recoveries on behalf of investors. Currently, Robbins Geller attorneys are lead or named counsel in hundreds of securities class action or large institutional-investor cases. Some notable current and past cases include:

- ***In re Enron Corp. Sec. Litig.***, No. H-01-3624 (S.D. Tex.). Robbins Geller attorneys and lead plaintiff The Regents of the University of California aggressively pursued numerous defendants, including many of Wall Street's biggest banks, and successfully obtained settlements in excess of **\$7.2 billion** for the benefit of investors. ***This is the largest securities class action recovery in history.***
- ***Jaffe v. Household Int'l, Inc.***, No. 02-C-05893 (N.D. Ill.). As sole lead counsel, Robbins Geller obtained a record-breaking settlement of **\$1.575 billion** after 14 years of litigation, including a six-week jury trial in 2009 that resulted in a securities fraud verdict in favor of the class. In 2015, the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals upheld the jury's verdict that defendants made false or misleading statements of material fact about the company's business practices and financial results, but remanded the case for a new trial on the issue of whether the individual defendants "made" certain false statements, whether those false statements caused plaintiffs' losses, and the amount of damages. The parties reached an agreement to settle the case just hours before the retrial was scheduled to begin on June 6, 2016. ***The \$1.575 billion settlement, approved in October 2016, is the largest ever following a securities fraud class action trial, the largest securities fraud settlement in the Seventh Circuit and the seventh-largest settlement ever in a post-PSLRA securities fraud case.*** According to published reports, the case was just the seventh securities fraud case tried to a verdict since the passage of the PSLRA.
- ***In re UnitedHealth Grp. Inc. PSLRA Litig.***, No. 06-CV-1691 (D. Minn.). Robbins Geller represented the California Public Employees' Retirement System ("CalPERS") and demonstrated its willingness to vigorously advocate for its institutional clients, even under the most difficult circumstances. The Firm obtained an \$895 million recovery on behalf of the UnitedHealth shareholders, and former CEO William A. McGuire paid \$30 million and returned stock options representing more than three million shares to the shareholders, bringing the total recovery for the class to over \$925 million, the largest stock option backdating recovery ever, and ***a recovery that is more than four times larger than the next largest options backdating recovery.*** Moreover, Robbins Geller obtained unprecedented corporate governance reforms, including election of a shareholder-nominated member to the company's board of directors, a mandatory holding period for

shares acquired by executives via option exercise, and executive compensation reforms that tie pay to performance.

- ***Alaska Elec. Pension Fund v. CitiGroup, Inc. (In re WorldCom Sec. Litig.)***, No. 03 Civ. 8269 (S.D.N.Y.). Robbins Geller attorneys represented more than 50 private and public institutions that opted out of the class action case and sued WorldCom's bankers, officers and directors, and auditors in courts around the country for losses related to WorldCom bond offerings from 1998 to 2001. The Firm's attorneys recovered more than \$650 million for their clients, substantially more than they would have recovered as part of the class.
- ***Luther v. Countrywide Fin. Corp.***, No. 12-cv-05125 (C.D. Cal.). Robbins Geller attorneys secured a \$500 million settlement for institutional and individual investors in what is the largest RMBS purchaser class action settlement in history, and one of the largest class action securities settlements of all time. The unprecedented settlement resolves claims against Countrywide and Wall Street banks that issued the securities. The action was the first securities class action case filed against originators and Wall Street banks as a result of the credit crisis. As co-lead counsel Robbins Geller forged through six years of hard-fought litigation, oftentimes litigating issues of first impression, in order to secure the landmark settlement for its clients and the class.
- ***In re Wachovia Preferred Sec. & Bond/Notes Litig.***, No. 09-cv-06351 (S.D.N.Y.). On behalf of investors in bonds and preferred securities issued between 2006 and 2008, Robbins Geller and co-counsel obtained a significant settlement with Wachovia successor Wells Fargo & Company and Wachovia auditor KPMG LLP. ***The total settlement – \$627 million – is one of the largest credit-crisis settlements involving Securities Act claims and one of the 20 largest securities class action recoveries in history.*** The settlement is also one of the biggest securities class action recoveries arising from the credit crisis. The lawsuit focused on Wachovia's exposure to "pick-a-pay" loans, which the bank's offering materials said were of "pristine credit quality," but which were actually allegedly made to subprime borrowers, and which ultimately massively impaired the bank's mortgage portfolio. Robbins Geller served as co-lead counsel representing the City of Livonia Employees' Retirement System, Hawaii Sheet Metal Workers Pension Fund, and the investor class.
- ***In re Cardinal Health, Inc. Sec. Litig.***, No. C2-04-575 (S.D. Ohio). As sole lead counsel representing Cardinal Health shareholders, Robbins Geller obtained a recovery of \$600 million for investors on behalf of the lead plaintiffs, Amalgamated Bank, the New Mexico State Investment Council, and the California Ironworkers Field Trust Fund. At the time, the \$600 million settlement was the tenth-largest settlement in the history of securities fraud litigation and is the largest-ever recovery in a securities fraud action in the Sixth Circuit.
- ***AOL Time Warner Cases I & II***, JCCP Nos. 4322 & 4325 (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles Cty.). Robbins Geller represented The Regents of the University of California, six Ohio state pension funds, Rabo Bank (NL), the Scottish Widows Investment Partnership, several Australian public and private funds, insurance companies, and numerous additional institutional investors, both domestic and international, in state and federal court opt-out litigation stemming from Time Warner's disastrous 2001 merger with Internet high flier America Online. After almost four years of litigation involving extensive discovery, the Firm secured combined settlements for its opt-out clients totaling over \$629 million just weeks before The Regents' case pending in California state court was scheduled to go to trial. The Regents' gross recovery of \$246 million is the largest individual opt-out securities recovery in history.
- ***In re HealthSouth Corp. Sec. Litig.***, No. CV-03-BE-1500-S (N.D. Ala.). As court-appointed co-lead counsel, Robbins Geller attorneys obtained a combined recovery of \$671 million from HealthSouth, its auditor Ernst & Young, and its investment banker, UBS, for the benefit of stockholder plaintiffs. The settlement against HealthSouth represents one of the larger settlements in securities

class action history and is considered among the top 15 settlements achieved after passage of the PSLRA. Likewise, the settlement against Ernst & Young is one of the largest securities class action settlements entered into by an accounting firm since the passage of the PSLRA.

- ***Jones v. Pfizer Inc.***, No. 1:10-cv-03864 (S.D.N.Y.). Lead plaintiff Stichting Philips Pensioenfonds obtained a \$400 million settlement on behalf of class members who purchased Pfizer Inc. common stock during the January 19, 2006 to January 23, 2009 class period. The settlement against Pfizer resolves accusations that it misled investors about an alleged off-label drug marketing scheme. As sole lead counsel, Robbins Geller attorneys helped achieve this exceptional result after five years of hard-fought litigation against the toughest and the brightest members of the securities defense bar by litigating this case all the way to trial.
- ***In re Dynegy Inc. Sec. Litig.***, No. H-02-1571 (S.D. Tex.). As sole lead counsel representing The Regents of the University of California and the class of Dynegy investors, Robbins Geller attorneys obtained a combined settlement of \$474 million from Dynegy, Citigroup, Inc. and Arthur Andersen LLP for their involvement in a clandestine financing scheme known as Project Alpha. Most notably, the settlement agreement provides that Dynegy will appoint two board members to be nominated by The Regents, which Robbins Geller and The Regents believe will benefit all of Dynegy's stockholders.
- ***In re Qwest Commc'ns Int'l, Inc. Sec. Litig.***, No. 01-cv-1451 (D. Colo.). In July 2001, the Firm filed the initial complaint in this action on behalf of its clients, long before any investigation into Qwest's financial statements was initiated by the SEC or Department of Justice. After five years of litigation, lead plaintiffs entered into a settlement with Qwest and certain individual defendants that provided a \$400 million recovery for the class and created a mechanism that allowed the vast majority of class members to share in an additional \$250 million recovered by the SEC. In 2008, Robbins Geller attorneys recovered an additional \$45 million for the class in a settlement with defendants Joseph P. Nacchio and Robert S. Woodruff, the CEO and CFO, respectively, of Qwest during large portions of the class period.
- ***Fort Worth Emps.' Ret. Fund v. J.P. Morgan Chase & Co.***, No. 1:09-cv-03701 (S.D.N.Y.). Robbins Geller attorneys served as lead counsel for a class of investors and obtained court approval of a \$388 million recovery in nine 2007 residential mortgage-backed securities offerings issued by J.P. Morgan. The settlement represents, on a percentage basis, the largest recovery ever achieved in an MBS purchaser class action. The result was achieved after more than five years of hard-fought litigation and an extensive investigation.
- ***NECA-IBEW Health & Welfare Fund v. Goldman Sachs & Co.***, No. 1:08-cv-10783 (S.D.N.Y.). As sole lead counsel, Robbins Geller obtained a \$272 million settlement on behalf of Goldman Sachs' shareholders. The settlement concludes one of the last remaining mortgage-backed securities purchaser class actions arising out of the global financial crisis. The remarkable result was achieved following seven years of extensive litigation. After the claims were dismissed in 2010, Robbins Geller secured a landmark victory from the Second Circuit Court of Appeals that clarified the scope of permissible class actions asserting claims under the Securities Act of 1933 on behalf of MBS investors. Specifically, the Second Circuit's decision rejected the concept of "tranche" standing and concluded that a lead plaintiff in an MBS class action has class standing to pursue claims on behalf of purchasers of other securities that were issued from the same registration statement and backed by pools of mortgages originated by the same lenders who had originated mortgages backing the lead plaintiff's securities.
- ***Schuh v. HCA Holdings, Inc.***, No. 3:11-cv-01033 (M.D. Tenn.). As sole lead counsel, Robbins Geller obtained a groundbreaking \$215 million settlement for former HCA Holdings, Inc. shareholders – the largest securities class action recovery ever in Tennessee. Reached shortly before trial was

scheduled to commence, the settlement resolves claims that the Registration Statement and Prospectus HCA filed in connection with the company's massive \$4.3 billion 2011 IPO contained material misstatements and omissions. The recovery achieved represents between 34% and 70% of the aggregate class wide damages, far exceeding the typical recovery in a securities class action.

- ***In re AT&T Corp. Sec. Litig.***, MDL No. 1399 (D.N.J.). Robbins Geller attorneys served as lead counsel for a class of investors that purchased AT&T common stock. The case charged defendants AT&T and its former Chairman and CEO, C. Michael Armstrong, with violations of the federal securities laws in connection with AT&T's April 2000 initial public offering of its wireless tracking stock, the largest IPO in American history. After two weeks of trial, and on the eve of scheduled testimony by Armstrong and infamous telecom analyst Jack Grubman, defendants agreed to settle the case for \$100 million.
- ***Silverman v. Motorola, Inc.***, No. 1:07-cv-04507 (N.D. Ill.). The Firm served as lead counsel on behalf of a class of investors in Motorola, Inc., ultimately recovering \$200 million for investors just two months before the case was set for trial. This outstanding result was obtained despite the lack of an SEC investigation or any financial restatement.
- ***Nieman v. Duke Energy Corp.***, No. 3:12-cv-00456 (W.D.N.C.). Robbins Geller, along with co-counsel, obtained a \$146.25 million settlement on behalf of Duke Energy Corporation investors. The settlement resolves accusations that defendants misled investors regarding Duke's future leadership following its merger with Progress Energy, Inc., and specifically, their premeditated coup to oust William D. Johnson (CEO of Progress) and replace him with Duke's then-CEO, John Rogers. This historic settlement represents the largest recovery ever in a North Carolina securities fraud action, and one of the five largest recoveries in the Fourth Circuit.
- ***Bennett v. Sprint Nextel Corp.***, No. 2:09-cv-02122 (D. Kan.). As co-lead counsel, Robbins Geller obtained a \$131 million recovery for a class of Sprint investors. The settlement, secured after five years of hard-fought litigation, resolved claims that former Sprint executives misled investors concerning the success of Sprint's ill-advised merger with Nextel and the deteriorating credit quality of Sprint's customer base, artificially inflating the value of Sprint's securities.
- ***Garden City Emps.' Ret. Sys. v. Psychiatric Sols., Inc.***, No. 3:09-cv-00882 (M.D. Tenn.). In the *Psychiatric Solutions* case, Robbins Geller represented lead plaintiff and class representative Central States, Southeast and Southwest Areas Pension Fund in litigation spanning more than four years. Psychiatric Solutions and its top executives were accused of insufficiently staffing their in-patient hospitals, downplaying the significance of regulatory investigations and manipulating their malpractice reserves. Just days before trial was set to commence, attorneys from Robbins Geller achieved a \$65 million settlement that was the third-largest securities recovery ever in the district and the largest in a decade.
- ***Plumbers & Pipefitters National Pension Fund v. Burns***, No. 3:05-cv-07393-JGC (N.D. Ohio). After 11 years of hard-fought litigation, Robbins Geller attorneys secured a \$64 million recovery for shareholders in a case that accused the former heads of Dana Corp. of securities fraud for trumpeting the auto parts maker's condition while it actually spiraled toward bankruptcy. The Firm's Appellate Practice Group successfully appealed to the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals twice, reversing the district court's dismissal of the action.
- ***In re St. Jude Med., Inc. Sec. Litig.***, No. 0:10-cv-00851 (D. Minn.). After four and one half years of litigation and mere weeks before the jury selection, Robbins Geller obtained a \$50 million settlement on behalf of investors in medical device company St. Jude Medical. The settlement resolves accusations that St. Jude Medical misled investors by utilizing heavily discounted end-of-quarter bulk sales to meet



quarterly expectations, which created a false picture of demand by increasing customer inventory due of St. Jude Medical devices. The complaint alleged that the risk of St. Jude Medical's reliance on such bulk sales manifested when it failed to meet its forecast guidance for the third quarter of 2009, which the company had reaffirmed only weeks earlier.

Robbins Geller's securities practice is also strengthened by the existence of a strong appellate department, whose collective work has established numerous legal precedents. The securities practice also utilizes an extensive group of in-house economic and damage analysts, investigators and forensic accountants to aid in the prosecution of complex securities issues.

## Shareholder Derivative and Corporate Governance Litigation

The Firm's shareholder derivative and corporate governance practice is focused on preserving corporate assets and enhancing long-term shareowner value. Shareowner derivative actions are often brought by institutional investors to vindicate the rights of the corporation injured by its executives' misconduct, which can effect violations of the nation's securities, anti-corruption, false claims, cyber-security, labor, environmental and/or health & safety laws.

Robbins Geller attorneys have aided Firm clients in significantly enhancing shareowner value by obtaining hundreds of millions of dollars in financial clawbacks and successfully negotiating corporate governance enhancements. Robbins Geller has worked with its institutional clients to address corporate misconduct such as options backdating, bribery of foreign officials, pollution, off-label marketing, and insider trading and related self-dealing. Additionally, the Firm works closely with noted corporate governance consultants Robert Monks, Richard Bennett and their firm, ValueEdge Advisors LLC, to shape corporate governance practices that will benefit shareowners.

Robbins Geller's efforts have conferred substantial benefits upon shareowners, and the market effect of these benefits measures in the billions of dollars. The Firm's significant achievements include:

- ***City of Westland Police and Fire Retirement System v. Stumpf (Wells Fargo Derivative Litigation)***, No. 3:11-cv-02369 (N.D. Cal.). Prosecuted shareholder derivative action on behalf of Wells Fargo & Co. alleging that Wells Fargo's executives allowed participation in the mass-processing of home foreclosure documents by engaging in widespread robo-signing, *i.e.*, the execution and submission of false legal documents in courts across the country without verification of their truth or accuracy, and failed to disclose Wells Fargo's lack of cooperation in a federal investigation into the bank's mortgage and foreclosure practices. In settlement of the action, Wells Fargo agreed to provide \$67 million in homeowner down-payment assistance, credit counseling and improvements to its mortgage servicing system. The initiatives will be concentrated in cities severely impacted by the bank's foreclosure practices and the ensuing mortgage foreclosure crisis. Additionally, Wells Fargo agreed to change its procedures for reviewing shareholder proposals and a strict ban on stock pledges by Wells Fargo board members.



- ***In re Ormat Techs., Inc. Derivative Litig.***, No. CV10-00759 (Nev. Dist. Ct., Washoe Cty.). Robbins Geller brought derivative claims for breach of fiduciary duty and unjust enrichment against the directors and certain officers of Ormat Technologies, Inc., a leading geothermal and recovered energy power business. During the relevant time period, these Ormat insiders caused the company to engage in accounting manipulations that ultimately required restatement of the company's financial statements. The settlement in this action includes numerous corporate governance reforms designed to, among other things: (i) increase director independence; (ii) provide continuing education to directors; (iii) enhance the company's internal controls; (iv) make the company's board more independent; and (iv) strengthen the company's internal audit function.
- ***In re Alphatec Holdings, Inc. Derivative S'holder Litig.***, No. 37-2010-00058586 (Cal. Super. Ct., San Diego Cty.). Obtained sweeping changes to Alphatec's governance, including separation of the Chairman and CEO positions, enhanced conflict of interest procedures to address related-party transactions, rigorous director independence standards requiring that at least a majority of directors be outside independent directors, and ongoing director education and training.
- ***In re Finisar Corp. Derivative Litig.***, No. C-06-07660 (N.D. Cal.). Prosecuted shareholder derivative action on behalf of Finisar against certain of its current and former directors and officers for engaging in an alleged nearly decade-long stock option backdating scheme that was alleged to have inflicted substantial damage upon Finisar. After obtaining a reversal of the district court's order dismissing the complaint for failing to adequately allege that a pre-suit demand was futile, Robbins Geller lawyers successfully prosecuted the derivative claims to resolution obtaining over \$15 million in financial clawbacks for Finisar. Robbins Geller attorneys also obtained significant changes to Finisar's stock option granting procedures and corporate governance. As a part of the settlement, Finisar agreed to ban the repricing of stock options without first obtaining specific shareholder approval, prohibit the retrospective selection of grant dates for stock options and similar awards, limit the number of other boards on which Finisar directors may serve, require directors to own a minimum amount of Finisar shares, annually elect a Lead Independent Director whenever the position of Chairman and CEO are held by the same person, and require the board to appoint a Trading Compliance officer responsible for ensuring compliance with Finisar's insider trading policies.
- ***Loizides v. Schramm (Maxwell Technology Derivative Litigation)***, No. 37-2010-00097953 (Cal. Super. Ct., San Diego Cty.). Prosecuted shareholder derivative claims arising from the company's alleged violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977 ("FCPA"). As a result of Robbins Geller's efforts, Maxwell insiders agreed to adopt significant changes in Maxwell's internal controls and systems designed to protect Maxwell against future potential violations of the FCPA. These corporate governance changes included, establishing the following, among other things: a compliance plan to improve board oversight of Maxwell's compliance processes and internal controls; a clear corporate policy prohibiting bribery and subcontracting kickbacks, whereby individuals are accountable; mandatory employee training requirements, including the comprehensive explanation of whistleblower provisions, to provide for confidential reporting of FCPA violations or other corruption; enhanced resources and internal control and compliance procedures for the audit committee to act quickly if an FCPA violation or other corruption is detected; an FCPA and Anti-Corruption Compliance department that has the authority and resources required to assess global operations and detect violations of the FCPA and other instances of corruption; a rigorous ethics and compliance program applicable to all directors, officers and employees, designed to prevent and detect violations of the FCPA and other applicable anti-corruption laws; an executive-level position of Chief Compliance Officer with direct board-level reporting responsibilities, who shall be responsible for overseeing and managing compliance issues within the company; a rigorous insider trading policy buttressed by enhanced review and supervision mechanisms and a requirement that all trades are timely disclosed; and enhanced provisions requiring that business entities are only acquired after thorough FCPA and anti-corruption due diligence by legal, accounting and compliance personnel at Maxwell.

- ***In re SciClone Pharm., Inc. S'holder Derivative Litig.***, No. CIV 499030 (Cal. Super. Ct., San Mateo Cty.). Robbins Geller attorneys successfully prosecuted the derivative claims on behalf of nominal party SciClone Pharmaceuticals, Inc., resulting in the adoption of state-of-the-art corporate governance reforms. The corporate governance reforms included the establishment of an FCPA compliance coordinator; the adoption of an FCPA compliance program and code; and the adoption of additional internal controls and compliance functions.
- ***Policemen & Firemen Ret. Sys. of the City of Detroit v. Cornelison (Halliburton Derivative Litigation)***, No. 2009-29987 (Tex. Dist. Ct., Harris Cty.). Prosecuted shareholder derivative claims on behalf of Halliburton Company against certain Halliburton insiders for breaches of fiduciary duty arising from Halliburton's alleged violations of the FCPA. In the settlement, Halliburton agreed, among other things, to adopt strict intensive controls and systems designed to detect and deter the payment of bribes and other improper payments to foreign officials, to enhanced executive compensation clawback, director stock ownership requirements, a limitation on the number of other boards that Halliburton directors may serve, a lead director charter, enhanced director independence standards, and the creation of a management compliance committee.
- ***In re UnitedHealth Grp. Inc. PSLRA Litig.***, No. 06-CV-1691 (D. Minn.). In the *UnitedHealth* case, our client, CalPERS, obtained sweeping corporate governance improvements, including the election of a shareholder-nominated member to the company's board of directors, a mandatory holding period for shares acquired by executives via option exercises, as well as executive compensation reforms that tie pay to performance. In addition, the class obtained \$925 million, the largest stock option backdating recovery ever and four times the next largest options backdating recovery.
- ***In re Fossil, Inc. Derivative Litig.***, No. 3:06-cv-01672 (N.D. Tex.). The settlement agreement included the following corporate governance changes: declassification of elected board members; retirement of three directors and addition of five new independent directors; two-thirds board independence requirements; corporate governance guidelines providing for "Majority Voting" election of directors; lead independent director requirements; revised accounting measurement dates of options; addition of standing finance committee; compensation clawbacks; director compensation standards; revised stock option plans and grant procedures; limited stock option granting authority, timing and pricing; enhanced education and training; and audit engagement partner rotation and outside audit firm review.
- ***Pirelli Armstrong Tire Corp. Retiree Med. Benefits Tr. v. Sinegal (Costco Derivative Litigation)***, No. 2:08-cv-01450 (W.D. Wash.). The parties agreed to settlement terms providing for the following corporate governance changes: the amendment of Costco's bylaws to provide "Majority Voting" election of directors; the elimination of overlapping compensation and audit committee membership on common subject matters; enhanced Dodd-Frank requirements; enhanced internal audit standards and controls, and revised information-sharing procedures; revised compensation policies and procedures; revised stock option plans and grant procedures; limited stock option granting authority, timing and pricing; and enhanced ethics compliance standards and training.
- ***In re F5 Networks, Inc. Derivative Litig.***, No. C-06-0794 (W.D. Wash.). The parties agreed to the following corporate governance changes as part of the settlement: revised stock option plans and grant procedures; limited stock option granting authority, timing and pricing; "Majority Voting" election of directors; lead independent director requirements; director independence standards; elimination of director perquisites; and revised compensation practices.
- ***In re Community Health Sys., Inc. S'holder Derivative Litig.***, No. 3:11-cv-00489 (M.D. Tenn.). Robbins Geller obtained unprecedented corporate governance reforms on behalf of Community Health Systems, Inc. in a case against the company's directors and officers for breaching their fiduciary duties

by causing Community Health to develop and implement admissions criteria that systematically steered patients into unnecessary inpatient admissions, in contravention of Medicare and Medicaid regulations. The governance reforms obtained as part of the settlement include two shareholder-nominated directors, the creation of a Healthcare Law Compliance Coordinator with specified qualifications and duties, a requirement that the Board's Compensation Committee be comprised solely of independent directors, the implementation of a compensation clawback that will automatically recover compensation improperly paid to the company's CEO or CFO in the event of a restatement, the establishment of an insider trading controls committee, and the adoption of a political expenditure disclosure policy. In addition to these reforms, \$60 million in financial relief was obtained, which is the largest shareholder derivative recovery ever in Tennessee and the Sixth Circuit.

## Options Backdating Litigation

As has been widely reported in the media, the stock options backdating scandal suddenly engulfed hundreds of publicly traded companies throughout the country in 2006. Robbins Geller was at the forefront of investigating and prosecuting options backdating derivative and securities cases. The Firm has recovered over \$1 billion in damages on behalf of injured companies and shareholders.

- ***In re KLA-Tencor Corp. S'holder Derivative Litig.***, No. C-06-03445 (N.D. Cal.). After successfully opposing the special litigation committee of the board of directors' motion to terminate the derivative claims, Robbins Geller recovered \$43.6 million in direct financial benefits for KLA-Tencor, including \$33.2 million in cash payments by certain former executives and their directors' and officers' insurance carriers.
- ***In re Marvell Technology Grp. Ltd. Derivative Litig.***, No. C-06-03894 (N.D. Cal.). Robbins Geller recovered \$54.9 million in financial benefits, including \$14.6 million in cash, for Marvell, in addition to extensive corporate governance reforms related to Marvell's stock option granting practices, board of directors' procedures and executive compensation.
- ***In re KB Home S'holder Derivative Litig.***, No. 06-CV-05148 (C.D. Cal.). Robbins Geller served as co-lead counsel for the plaintiffs and recovered more than \$31 million in financial benefits, including \$21.5 million in cash, for KB Home, plus substantial corporate governance enhancements relating to KB Home's stock option granting practices, director elections and executive compensation practices.

## Corporate Takeover Litigation

Robbins Geller has earned a reputation as the leading law firm in representing shareholders in corporate takeover litigation. Through its aggressive efforts in prosecuting corporate takeovers, the Firm has secured for shareholders billions of dollars of additional consideration as well as beneficial changes for shareholders in the context of mergers and acquisitions.

The Firm regularly prosecutes merger and acquisition cases post-merger, often through trial, to maximize the benefit for its shareholder class. Some of these cases include:

- ***In re Kinder Morgan, Inc. S'holders Litig.***, No. 06-C-801 (Kan. Dist. Ct., Shawnee Cty.). In the largest recovery ever for corporate takeover class action litigation, the Firm negotiated a settlement fund of \$200 million in 2010.

- ***In re Dole Food Co., Inc. Stockholder Litig.***, No. 8703-VCL (Del. Ch.). Robbins Geller and co-counsel went to trial in the Delaware Court of Chancery on claims of breach of fiduciary duty on behalf of Dole Food Co., Inc. shareholders. The litigation challenged the 2013 buyout of Dole by its billionaire Chief Executive Officer and Chairman, David H. Murdock. On August 27, 2015, the court issued a post-trial ruling that Murdock and fellow director C. Michael Carter – who also served as Dole’s General Counsel, Chief Operating Officer and Murdock’s top lieutenant – had engaged in fraud and other misconduct in connection with the buyout and are liable to Dole’s former stockholders for over \$148 million, the largest trial verdict ever in a class action challenging a merger transaction.
- ***In re Rural Metro Corp. Stockholders Litig.***, No. 6350-VCL (Del. Ch.). Robbins Geller and co-counsel were appointed lead counsel in this case after successfully objecting to an inadequate settlement that did not take into account evidence of defendants’ conflicts of interest. In a post-trial opinion, Delaware Vice Chancellor J. Travis Laster found defendant RBC Capital Markets, LLC liable for aiding and abetting Rural/Metro’s board of directors’ fiduciary duty breaches in the \$438 million buyout of Rural/Metro, citing “the magnitude of the conflict between RBC’s claims and the evidence.” RBC was ordered to pay nearly \$110 million as a result of its wrongdoing, the largest damage award ever obtained against a bank over its role as a merger adviser. The Delaware Supreme Court issued a landmark opinion affirming the judgment on November 30, 2015, *RBC Capital Mkts., LLC v. Jervis*, 129 A.3d 816 (Del. 2015).
- ***In re Del Monte Foods Co. S’holders Litig.***, No. 6027-VCL (Del. Ch.). Robbins Geller exposed the unseemly practice by investment bankers of participating on both sides of large merger and acquisition transactions and ultimately secured an \$89 million settlement for shareholders of Del Monte. For efforts in achieving these results, the Robbins Geller lawyers prosecuting the case were named Attorneys of the Year by *California Lawyer* magazine in 2012.
- ***In re TD Banknorth S’holders Litig.***, No. 2557-VCL (Del. Ch.). After objecting to a modest recovery of just a few cents per share, the Firm took over the litigation and obtained a common fund settlement of \$50 million.
- ***In re Chaparral Res., Inc. S’holders Litig.***, No. 2633-VCL (Del. Ch.). After a full trial and a subsequent mediation before the Delaware Chancellor, the Firm obtained a common fund settlement of \$41 million (or 45% increase above merger price) for both class and appraisal claims.
- ***Laborers’ Local #231 Pension Fund v. Websense, Inc.***, No. 37-2013-00050879-CU-BT-CTL (Cal. Super. Ct., San Diego Cty.). Robbins Geller successfully obtained a record-breaking \$40 million in *Websense, Inc.*, which is believed to be the largest post-merger common fund settlement in California state court history. The class action challenged the May 2013 buyout of Websense by Vista Equity Partners (and affiliates) for \$24.75 per share and alleged breach of fiduciary duty against the former Websense Board of Directors, and aiding and abetting against Websense’s financial advisor, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc. Claims were pursued by the plaintiff in both California state court and the Delaware Court of Chancery.

- ***In re Onyx Pharm., Inc. S'holder Litig.***, No. CIV523789 (Cal. Super. Ct., San Mateo Cty.). Robbins Geller obtained \$30 million in a case against the former Onyx Board of Directors for breaching its fiduciary duties in connection with the acquisition of Onyx by Amgen Inc. for \$125 per share at the expense of shareholders. At the time of the settlement, it was believed to set the record for the largest post-merger common fund settlement in California state court history. Over the case's three years, Robbins Geller defeated defendants' motions to dismiss, obtained class certification, took over 20 depositions and reviewed over one million pages of documents. Further, the settlement was reached just days before a hearing on the defendants' motion for summary judgment was set to take place, and the result is now believed to be the second largest post-merger common fund settlement in California state court history.
- ***Harrah's Entertainment***, No. A529183 (Nev. Dist. Ct., Clark Cty.). The Firm's active prosecution of the case on several fronts, both in federal and state court, assisted Harrah's shareholders in securing an additional \$1.65 billion in merger consideration.
- ***In re Chiron S'holder Deal Litig.***, No. RG 05-230567 (Cal. Super. Ct., Alameda Cty.). The Firm's efforts helped to obtain an additional \$800 million in increased merger consideration for Chiron shareholders.
- ***In re Dollar Gen. Corp. S'holder Litig.***, No. 07MD-1 (Tenn. Cir. Ct., Davidson Cty.). As lead counsel, the Firm secured a recovery of up to \$57 million in cash for former Dollar General shareholders on the eve of trial.
- ***In re Prime Hospitality, Inc. S'holders Litig.***, No. 652-N (Del. Ch.). The Firm objected to a settlement that was unfair to the class and proceeded to litigate breach of fiduciary duty issues involving a sale of hotels to a private equity firm. The litigation yielded a common fund of \$25 million for shareholders.
- ***In re UnitedGlobalCom, Inc. S'holder Litig.***, No. 1012-VCS (Del. Ch.). The Firm secured a common fund settlement of \$25 million just weeks before trial.
- ***In re eMachines, Inc. Merger Litig.***, No. 01-CC-00156 (Cal. Super. Ct., Orange Cty.). After four years of litigation, the Firm secured a common fund settlement of \$24 million on the brink of trial.
- ***In re PeopleSoft, Inc. S'holder Litig.***, No. RG-03100291 (Cal. Super. Ct., Alameda Cty.). The Firm successfully objected to a proposed compromise of class claims arising from takeover defenses by PeopleSoft, Inc. to thwart an acquisition by Oracle Corp., resulting in shareholders receiving an increase of over \$900 million in merger consideration.
- ***ACS S'holder Litig.***, No. CC-09-07377-C (Tex. Cty. Ct., Dallas Cty.). The Firm forced ACS's acquirer, Xerox, to make significant concessions by which shareholders would not be locked out of receiving more money from another buyer.

## Insurance

Fraud and collusion in the insurance industry by executives, agents, brokers, lenders and others is one of the most costly crimes in the United States. Some experts have estimated the annual cost of white collar crime in the insurance industry to be over \$120 billion nationally. Recent legislative proposals seek to curtail anti-competitive behavior within the industry. However, in the absence of comprehensive regulation, Robbins Geller has played a critical role as private attorney general in protecting the rights of consumers against insurance



fraud and other unfair business practices within the insurance industry.

Robbins Geller attorneys have long been at the forefront of litigating race discrimination issues within the life insurance industry. For example, the Firm has fought the practice by certain insurers of charging African-Americans and other people of color more for life insurance than similarly situated Caucasians. The Firm recovered over \$400 million for African-Americans and other minorities as redress for civil rights abuses, including landmark recoveries in *McNeil v. American General Life & Accident Insurance Company*; *Thompson v. Metropolitan Life Insurance Company*; and *Williams v. United Insurance Company of America*.

The Firm's attorneys fight on behalf of elderly victims targeted for the sale of deferred annuity products with hidden sales loads and illusory bonus features. Sales agents for life insurance companies such as Allianz Life Insurance Company of North America, Midland National Life Insurance Company, and National Western Life Insurance Company targeted senior citizens for these annuities with lengthy investment horizons and high sales commissions. The Firm recovered millions of dollars for elderly victims and seeks to ensure that senior citizens are afforded full and accurate information regarding deferred annuities.

Robbins Geller attorneys also stopped the fraudulent sale of life insurance policies based on misrepresentations about how the life insurance policy would perform, the costs of the policy, and whether premiums would "vanish." Purchasers were also misled about the financing of a new life insurance policy, falling victim to a "replacement" or "churning" sales scheme where they were convinced to use loans, partial surrenders or withdrawals of cash values from an existing permanent life insurance policy to purchase a new policy.

- **Brokerage "Pay to Play" Cases.** On behalf of individuals, governmental entities, businesses, and non-profits, Robbins Geller has sued the largest commercial and employee benefit insurance brokers and insurers for unfair and deceptive business practices. While purporting to provide independent, unbiased advice as to the best policy, the brokers failed to adequately disclose that they had entered into separate "pay to play" agreements with certain third-party insurance companies. These agreements provide additional compensation to the brokers based on such factors as profitability, growth and the volume of insurance that they place with a particular insurer, and are akin to a profit-sharing arrangement between the brokers and the insurance companies. These agreements create a conflict of interest since the brokers have a direct financial interest in selling their customers only the insurance products offered by those insurance companies with which the brokers have such agreements.

Robbins Geller attorneys were among the first to uncover and pursue the allegations of these practices in the insurance industry in both state and federal courts. On behalf of the California Insurance Commissioner, the Firm brought an injunctive case against the biggest employee benefit insurers and local San Diego brokerage, ULR, which resulted in major changes to the way they did business. The Firm also sued on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to recover losses due to these practices. Finally, Robbins Geller represents a putative nationwide class of individuals, businesses, employers, and governmental entities against the largest brokerage houses and insurers in the nation. To date, the Firm has obtained over \$200 million on behalf of policyholders and enacted landmark business reforms.

- **Discriminatory Credit Scoring and Redlining Cases.** Robbins Geller attorneys have prosecuted cases concerning countrywide schemes of alleged discrimination carried out by Nationwide, Allstate, and other insurance companies against African-American and other persons of color who are purchasers of homeowner and automobile insurance policies. Such discrimination includes alleged redlining and the improper use of "credit scores," which disparately impact minority communities. Plaintiffs in these actions have alleged that the insurance companies' corporate-driven scheme of intentional racial discrimination includes refusing coverage and/or charging them higher premiums for homeowners and automobile insurance. On behalf of the class of aggrieved policyholders, the Firm has recovered over \$400 million for these predatory and racist policies.

- **Senior Annuities.** Robbins Geller has prosecuted numerous cases against insurance companies and their agents who targeted senior citizens for the sale of deferred annuities. Plaintiffs alleged that the insurers misrepresented or failed to disclose to senior consumers material facts concerning the costs associated with their fixed and equity indexed deferred annuities and enticed seniors to buy the annuities by promising them illusory up-front bonuses. As a result of the Firm's efforts, hundreds of millions of dollars in economic relief has been made available to seniors who have been harmed by these practices. Notable recoveries include:
  - ***Negrete v. Allianz Life Ins. Co. of N. Am.***, No. CV-05-6838 (C.D. Cal.). Robbins Geller attorneys served as co-lead counsel on behalf of a nationwide RICO class consisting of over 200,000 senior citizens who had purchased deferred annuities issued by Allianz Life Insurance Company of North America. In March 2015, after nine years of litigation, District Judge Christina A. Snyder granted final approval of a class action settlement that made available in excess of \$250 million in cash payments and other benefits to class members. In approving the settlement, the Court praised the effort of the Firm and noted that "counsel has represented their clients with great skill and they are to be complimented."
  - ***In re Am. Equity Annuity Practices & Sales Litig.***, No. CV-05-6735 (C.D. Cal.). As co-lead counsel, Robbins Geller attorneys secured a settlement that made available \$129 million in economic benefits to a nationwide class of 114,000 senior citizens.
  - ***In re Midland Nat'l Life Ins. Co. Annuity Sales Practices Litig.***, MDL No. 07-1825 (C.D. Cal.). After four years of litigation, the Firm secured a settlement that made available \$79.5 million in economic benefits to a nationwide class of 70,000 senior citizens.
  - ***Negrete v. Fidelity & Guar. Life Ins. Co.***, No. CV-05-6837 (C.D. Cal.). The Firm's efforts resulted in a settlement under which Fidelity made available \$52.7 in benefits to 56,000 class members across the country.
  - ***In re Nat'l Western Life Ins. Deferred Annuities Litig.***, No. 05-CV-1018 (S.D. Cal.). The Firm litigated this action for more than eight years. On the eve of trial, the Firm negotiated a settlement providing over \$21 million in value to a nationwide class of 12,000 senior citizens.

## Antitrust

Robbins Geller's antitrust practice focuses on representing businesses and individuals who have been the victims of price-fixing, unlawful monopolization, market allocation, tying and other anti-competitive conduct. The Firm has taken a leading role in many of the largest federal and state price-fixing, monopolization, market allocation and tying cases throughout the United States.

- ***Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners, LLC***, No. 07-cv-12388-EFH (D. Mass.). Robbins Geller attorneys served as co-lead counsel on behalf of shareholders in this action against the nation's largest private equity firms who colluded to restrain competition to suppress prices paid to shareholders of public companies in connection with leveraged buyouts. After nearly seven years of hard-fought litigation, in March 2015, the court approved several settlements totaling \$590.5 million. The aggregate settlement is the largest antitrust class action settlement involving market allocation in which no government antitrust action was taken.
- ***Alaska Elec. Pension Fund v. Bank of America Corporation***, No. 14-cv-07126-JMF (S.D.N.Y.). Robbins Geller attorneys are prosecuting antitrust claims against 13 major banks and broker ICAP plc

who are alleged to have conspired to manipulate the ISDAfix rate, the key interest rate for a broad range of interest rate derivatives and other financial instruments. The class action is brought on behalf of investors and market participants who entered into an interest rate derivative transaction during an eight-year period from 2006 to 2014.

- ***In re Currency Conversion Fee Antitrust Litig.***, 01 MDL No. 1409 (S.D.N.Y.). Robbins Geller attorneys recovered \$336 million for credit and debit cardholders in this multi-district litigation in which the Firm served as co-lead counsel. The court praised the Firm as “indefatigable” and noted that the Firm’s lawyers “represented the Class with a high degree of professionalism, and vigorously litigated every issue against some of the ablest lawyers in the antitrust defense bar.”
- ***In re Aftermarket Automotive Lighting Products Antitrust Litig.***, 09 MDL No. 2007 (C.D. Cal.). Robbins Geller attorneys are co-lead counsel in this multi-district litigation in which plaintiffs allege that defendants conspired to fix prices and allocate markets for automotive lighting products. The last defendants settled just before the scheduled trial, resulting in total settlements of more than \$50 million. Commenting on the quality of representation, the court commended the Firm for “expend[ing] substantial and skilled time and efforts in an efficient manner to bring this action to conclusion.”
- ***In re Dig. Music Antitrust Litig.***, 06 MDL No. 1780 (S.D.N.Y.). Robbins Geller attorneys are co-lead counsel in an action against the major music labels (Sony-BMG, EMI, Universal and Warner Music Group) in a case involving music that can be downloaded digitally from the Internet. Plaintiffs allege that defendants restrained the development of digital downloads and agreed to fix the distribution price of digital downloads at supracompetitive prices. Plaintiffs also allege that as a result of defendants’ restraint of the development of digital downloads, and the market and price for downloads, defendants were able to maintain the prices of their CDs at supracompetitive levels. The Second Circuit Court of Appeals upheld plaintiffs’ complaint, reversing the trial court’s dismissal. Discovery is ongoing.
- ***In re NASDAQ Market-Makers Antitrust Litig.***, MDL No. 1023 (S.D.N.Y.). Robbins Geller attorneys served as co-lead counsel in this case in which investors alleged that NASDAQ market-makers set and maintained artificially wide spreads pursuant to an industry-wide conspiracy. After years of intense litigation, the case settled for a total of \$1.027 billion, at the time the largest ever antitrust settlement.
- ***In re Dynamic Random Access Memory (DRAM) Antitrust Litig.***, 02 MDL No. 1486 (N.D. Cal.). Robbins Geller attorneys served on the executive committee in this multi-district class action in which a class of purchasers of dynamic random access memory (or DRAM) chips alleged that the leading manufacturers of semiconductor products fixed the price of DRAM chips from the fall of 2001 through at least the end of June 2002. The case settled for more than \$300 million.
- ***Microsoft I-V Cases***, JCCP No. 4106 (Cal. Super. Ct., San Francisco Cty.). Robbins Geller attorneys served on the executive committee in these consolidated cases in which California indirect purchasers challenged Microsoft’s illegal exercise of monopoly power in the operating system, word processing and spreadsheet markets. In a settlement approved by the court, class counsel obtained an unprecedented \$1.1 billion worth of relief for the business and consumer class members who purchased the Microsoft products.



## Consumer Fraud

In our consumer-based economy, working families who purchase products and services must receive truthful information so they can make meaningful choices about how to spend their hard-earned money. When financial institutions and other corporations deceive consumers or take advantage of unequal bargaining power, class action suits provide, in many instances, the only realistic means for an individual to right a corporate wrong.

Robbins Geller attorneys represent consumers around the country in a variety of important, complex class actions. Our attorneys have taken a leading role in many of the largest federal and state consumer fraud, environmental, human rights and public health cases throughout the United States. The Firm is also actively involved in many cases relating to banks and the financial services industry, pursuing claims on behalf of individuals victimized by abusive telemarketing practices, abusive mortgage lending practices, market timing violations in the sale of variable annuities, and deceptive consumer credit lending practices in violation of the Truth-In-Lending Act. Below are a few representative samples of our robust, nationwide consumer practice.

- **Volkswagen “Clean Diesel” Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation.** As a member of the Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee, Robbins Geller partner Paul Geller reached a series of settlements on behalf of purchasers, lessees and dealers that total well over \$17 billion, the largest settlement in history, concerning illegal “defeat devices” that Volkswagen installed on many of its diesel-engine vehicles. The device tricked regulators into believing the cars were complying with emissions standards, while the cars were actually emitting between 10 and 40 times the allowable limit for harmful pollutants.
- **Trump University.** After six and half years of tireless litigation and on the eve of trial, Robbins Geller, serving as co-lead counsel, secured a historic recovery on behalf of Trump University students around the country. The settlement provides \$25 million to approximately 7,000 consumers, including senior citizens who accessed retirement accounts and maxed out credit cards to enroll in Trump University. The extraordinary result means individual class members will be eligible for upwards of \$35,000 in restitution. The settlement resolves claims that President Donald J. Trump and Trump University violated federal and state laws by misleadingly marketing “Live Events” seminars and mentorships as teaching Trump’s “real-estate techniques” through his “hand-picked” “professors” at his so-called “university.” Robbins Geller represented the class on a *pro bono* basis.
- **Bank Overdraft Fees Litigation.** The banking industry charges consumers exorbitant amounts for “overdraft” of their checking accounts, even if the customer did not authorize a charge beyond the available balance and even if the account would not have been overdrawn had the transactions been ordered chronologically as they occurred – that is, banks reorder transactions to maximize such fees. The Firm brought lawsuits against major banks to stop this practice and recover these false fees. These cases have recovered over \$500 million thus far from a dozen banks and we continue to investigate other banks engaging in this practice.
- **Visa and MasterCard Fees.** After years of litigation and a six-month trial, Robbins Geller attorneys won one of the largest consumer-protection verdicts ever awarded in the United States. The Firm’s attorneys represented California consumers in an action against Visa and MasterCard for intentionally imposing and concealing a fee from cardholders. The court ordered Visa and MasterCard to return \$800 million in cardholder losses, which represented 100% of the amount illegally taken, plus 2% interest. In addition, the court ordered full disclosure of the hidden fee.

- **West Telemarketing Case.** Robbins Geller attorneys secured a \$39 million settlement for class members caught up in a telemarketing scheme where consumers were charged for an unwanted membership program after purchasing Tae-Bo exercise videos. Under the settlement, consumers were entitled to claim between one and one-half to three times the amount of all fees they unknowingly paid.
- **Dannon Activia®.** Robbins Geller attorneys secured the largest ever settlement for a false advertising case involving a food product. The case alleged that Dannon's advertising for its Activia® and DanActive® branded products and their benefits from "probiotic" bacteria were overstated. As part of the nationwide settlement, Dannon agreed to modify its advertising and establish a fund of up to \$45 million to compensate consumers for their purchases of Activia® and DanActive®.
- **Mattel Lead Paint Toys.** In 2006-2007, toy manufacturing giant Mattel and its subsidiary Fisher-Price announced the recall of over 14 million toys made in China due to hazardous lead and dangerous magnets. Robbins Geller attorneys filed lawsuits on behalf of millions of parents and other consumers who purchased or received toys for children that were marketed as safe but were later recalled because they were dangerous. The Firm's attorneys reached a landmark settlement for millions of dollars in refunds and lead testing reimbursements, as well as important testing requirements to ensure that Mattel's toys are safe for consumers in the future.
- **Tenet Healthcare Cases.** Robbins Geller attorneys were co-lead counsel in a class action alleging a fraudulent scheme of corporate misconduct, resulting in the overcharging of uninsured patients by the Tenet chain of hospitals. The Firm's attorneys represented uninsured patients of Tenet hospitals nationwide who were overcharged by Tenet's admittedly "aggressive pricing strategy," which resulted in price gouging of the uninsured. The case was settled with Tenet changing its practices and making refunds to patients.
- **Pet Food Products Liability Litigation.** Robbins Geller served as co-lead counsel in this massive, 100+ case products liability MDL in the District of New Jersey concerning the death of and injury to thousands of the nation's cats and dogs due to tainted pet food. The case settled for \$24 million.
- **Sony Gaming Networks & Customer Data Security Breach Litigation.** The Firm served as a member of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee, helping to obtain a precedential opinion denying in part Sony's motion to dismiss plaintiffs' claims involving the breach of Sony's gaming network, leading to a pending \$15 million settlement.

## Intellectual Property

Individual inventors, universities, and research organizations provide the fundamental research behind many existing and emerging technologies. Every year, the majority of U.S. patents are issued to this group of inventors. Through this fundamental research, these inventors provide a significant competitive advantage to this country. Unfortunately, while responsible for most of the inventions that issue into U.S. patents every year, individual inventors, universities and research organizations receive very little of the licensing revenues for U.S. patents. Large companies reap 99% of all patent licensing revenues.

Robbins Geller enforces the rights of these inventors by filing and litigating patent infringement cases against infringing entities. Our attorneys have decades of patent litigation experience in a variety of technical applications. This experience, combined with the Firm's extensive resources, gives individual inventors the ability to enforce their patent rights against even the largest infringing companies.

Our attorneys have experience handling cases involving a broad range of technologies, including:

- biochemistry
- telecommunications
- medical devices
- medical diagnostics
- networking systems
- computer hardware devices and software
- mechanical devices
- video gaming technologies
- audio and video recording devices

## Human Rights, Labor Practices and Public Policy

Robbins Geller attorneys have a long tradition of representing the victims of unfair labor practices and violations of human rights. These include:

- ***Does I v. The Gap, Inc.***, No. 01 0031 (D. N. Mar. I.). In this groundbreaking case, Robbins Geller attorneys represented a class of 30,000 garment workers who alleged that they had worked under sweatshop conditions in garment factories in Saipan that produced clothing for top U.S. retailers such as The Gap, Target and J.C. Penney. In the first action of its kind, Robbins Geller attorneys pursued claims against the factories and the retailers alleging violations of RICO, the Alien Tort Claims Act, and the Law of Nations based on the alleged systemic labor and human rights abuses occurring in Saipan. This case was a companion to two other actions: ***Does I v. Advance Textile Corp.***, No. 99 0002 (D. N. Mar. I.), which alleged overtime violations by the garment factories under the Fair Labor Standards Act and local labor law, and ***UNITE v. The Gap, Inc.***, No. 300474 (Cal. Super. Ct., San Francisco Cty.), which alleged violations of California's Unfair Practices Law by the U.S. retailers. These actions resulted in a settlement of approximately \$20 million that included a comprehensive monitoring program to address past violations by the factories and prevent future ones. The members of the litigation team were honored as Trial Lawyers of the Year by the Trial Lawyers for Public Justice in recognition of the team's efforts at bringing about the precedent-setting settlement of the actions.
- ***Liberty Mutual Overtime Cases***, No. JCCP 4234 (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles Cty.). Robbins Geller attorneys served as co-lead counsel on behalf of 1,600 current and former insurance claims adjusters at Liberty Mutual Insurance Company and several of its subsidiaries. Plaintiffs brought the case to recover unpaid overtime compensation and associated penalties, alleging that Liberty Mutual had misclassified its claims adjusters as exempt from overtime under California law. After 13 years of complex and exhaustive litigation, Robbins Geller secured a settlement in which Liberty Mutual agreed to pay \$65 million into a fund to compensate the class of claims adjusters for unpaid overtime. The Liberty Mutual action is one of a few claims adjuster overtime actions brought in California or elsewhere to result in a successful outcome for plaintiffs since 2004.
- ***Veliz v. Cintas Corp.***, No. 5:03-cv-01180 (N.D. Cal.). Brought against one of the nation's largest commercial laundries for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act for misclassifying truck drivers as salesmen to avoid payment of overtime.
- ***Kasky v. Nike, Inc.***, 27 Cal. 4th 939 (2002). The California Supreme Court upheld claims that an apparel manufacturer misled the public regarding its exploitative labor practices, thereby violating California statutes prohibiting unfair competition and false advertising. The Court rejected defense contentions that any misconduct was protected by the First Amendment, finding the heightened constitutional protection afforded to noncommercial speech inappropriate in such a circumstance.

Shareholder derivative litigation brought by Robbins Geller attorneys at times also involves stopping anti-union activities, including:

- ***Southern Pacific/Overnite***. A shareholder action stemming from several hundred million dollars in loss of value in the company due to systematic violations by Overnite of U.S. labor laws.
- ***Massey Energy***. A shareholder action against an anti-union employer for flagrant violations of environmental laws resulting in multi-million-dollar penalties.
- ***Crown Petroleum***. A shareholder action against a Texas-based oil company for self-dealing and breach of fiduciary duty while also involved in a union lockout.

## Environment and Public Health

Robbins Geller attorneys have also represented plaintiffs in class actions related to environmental law. The Firm's attorneys represented, on a *pro bono* basis, the Sierra Club and the National Economic Development and Law Center as *amici curiae* in a federal suit designed to uphold the federal and state use of project labor agreements ("PLAs"). The suit represented a legal challenge to President Bush's Executive Order 13202, which prohibits the use of project labor agreements on construction projects receiving federal funds. Our *amici* brief in the matter outlined and stressed the significant environmental and socio-economic benefits associated with the use of PLAs on large-scale construction projects.

Attorneys with Robbins Geller have been involved in several other significant environmental cases, including:

- ***Public Citizen v. U.S. D.O.T.*** Robbins Geller attorneys represented a coalition of labor, environmental, industry and public health organizations including Public Citizen, The International Brotherhood of Teamsters, California AFL-CIO and California Trucking Industry in a challenge to a decision by the Bush administration to lift a Congressionally-imposed "moratorium" on cross-border trucking from Mexico on the basis that such trucks do not conform to emission controls under the Clean Air Act, and further, that the administration did not first complete a comprehensive environmental impact analysis as required by the National Environmental Policy Act. The suit was dismissed by the United States Supreme Court, the Court holding that because the D.O.T. lacked discretion to prevent crossborder trucking, an environmental assessment was not required.
- ***Sierra Club v. AK Steel***. Brought on behalf of the Sierra Club for massive emissions of air and water pollution by a steel mill, including homes of workers living in the adjacent communities, in violation of the Federal Clean Air Act, Resource Conservation Recovery Act and the Clean Water Act.
- ***MTBE Litigation***. Brought on behalf of various water districts for befouling public drinking water with MTBE, a gasoline additive linked to cancer.
- ***Exxon Valdez***. Brought on behalf of fisherman and Alaska residents for billions of dollars in damages resulting from the greatest oil spill in U.S. history.
- ***Avila Beach***. A citizens' suit against UNOCAL for leakage from the oil company pipeline so severe it literally destroyed the town of Avila Beach, California.

Federal laws such as the Clean Water Act, the Clean Air Act, and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and state laws such as California's Proposition 65 exist to protect the environment and the public from abuses by corporate and government organizations. Companies can be found liable for negligence, trespass or

intentional environmental damage, be forced to pay for reparations and to come into compliance with existing laws. Prominent cases litigated by Robbins Geller attorneys include representing more than 4,000 individuals suing for personal injury and property damage related to the Stringfellow Dump Site in Southern California, participation in the Exxon Valdez oil spill litigation, and litigation involving the toxic spill arising from a Southern Pacific train derailment near Dunsmuir, California.

Robbins Geller attorneys have led the fight against Big Tobacco since 1991. As an example, Robbins Geller attorneys filed the case that helped get rid of Joe Camel, representing various public and private plaintiffs, including the State of Arkansas, the general public in California, the cities of San Francisco, Los Angeles and Birmingham, 14 counties in California, and the working men and women of this country in the Union Pension and Welfare Fund cases that have been filed in 40 states. In 1992, Robbins Geller attorneys filed the first case in the country that alleged a conspiracy by the Big Tobacco companies.

## Pro Bono

Robbins Geller provides counsel to those unable to afford legal representation as part of a continuous and longstanding commitment to the communities in which it serves. Over the years the Firm has dedicated a considerable amount of time, energy, and a full range of its resources for many pro bono and charitable actions.

Robbins Geller has been honored for its pro bono efforts by the California State Bar (including a nomination for the President's Pro Bono Law Firm of the Year award) and the San Diego Volunteer Lawyer's Program, among others.

Some of the Firm's and its attorneys' pro bono and charitable actions include:

- Representing Trump University students in two class actions against President Donald J. Trump. The historic settlement provides \$25 million to approximately 7,000 consumers. This means individual class members will be eligible for upwards of \$35,000 in restitution – an extraordinary result.
- Representing children diagnosed with Autism Spectrum Disorder, as well as children with significant disabilities, in New York to remedy flawed educational policies and practices that cause substantial harm to these and other similar children year after year.
- Representing 19 San Diego County children diagnosed with Autism Spectrum Disorder in their appeal of the San Diego Regional Center's termination of funding for a crucial therapy. The victory resulted in a complete reinstatement of funding and set a precedent that allows other children to obtain the treatments they need.
- Serving as Northern California and Hawaii District Coordinator for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit's Pro Bono program since 1993.
- Representing the Sierra Club and the National Economic Development and Law Center as *amici curiae* before the U.S. Supreme Court.
- Obtaining political asylum, after an initial application had been denied, for an impoverished Somali family whose ethnic minority faced systematic persecution and genocidal violence in Somalia, as well as forced female mutilation.
- Working with the ACLU in a class action filed on behalf of welfare applicants subject to San Diego County's "Project 100%" program. Relief was had when the County admitted that food-stamp eligibility

could not hinge upon the Project 100% “home visits,” and again when the district court ruled that unconsented “collateral contacts” violated state regulations. The decision was noted by the *Harvard Law Review*, *The New York Times* and *The Colbert Report*.

- Filing numerous *amicus curiae* briefs on behalf of religious organizations and clergy that support civil rights, oppose government-backed religious-viewpoint discrimination, and uphold the American traditions of religious freedom and church-state separation.
- Serving as *amicus* counsel in a Ninth Circuit appeal from a Board of Immigration Appeals deportation decision. In addition to obtaining a reversal of the BIA’s deportation order, the Firm consulted with the Federal Defenders’ Office on cases presenting similar fact patterns, which resulted in a precedent-setting *en banc* decision from the Ninth Circuit resolving a question of state and federal law that had been contested and conflicted for decades.

## E-Discovery

Robbins Geller has successfully litigated some of the largest and most complex shareholder and antitrust actions in history and has become the vanguard of a rapidly evolving world of e-discovery in complex litigation. The Firm has 200 attorneys supported by a large staff of forensic and e-discovery specialists and has a level of technological sophistication that is unmatched by any other firm. As the size and stakes of complex litigation continue to increase, it is more important than ever to retain counsel with a successful track record of results. Robbins Geller has consistently proven to be the right choice for anyone seeking representation in actions against the largest corporations in the world.

Led by 20-year litigation veteran Tor Gronborg, and advised by Lea Bays, e-discovery counsel, and Christine Milliron, Director of E-Discovery and Litigation Support, the Robbins Geller e-discovery practice group is a multi-disciplinary team of attorneys, forensic analysts and database professionals. No plaintiffs’ firm is better equipped to develop the type of comprehensive and case specific e-discovery strategy that is necessary for today’s complex litigation. The attorneys have extensive knowledge and experience in drafting and negotiating sophisticated e-discovery protocols, including those involving the use of predictive coding. High quality document review services are performed by a consistent group of staff attorneys who are experienced in the Firm’s litigation practice areas and specialize in document review and analysis. A team of forensic and technology professionals work closely with the attorneys to ensure an effective and efficient e-discovery strategy. The litigation support team includes six Relativity Certified Administrators. Collectively, the Robbins Geller forensic and technology professionals have more than 75 years of e-discovery experience.

Members of the practice group are also leaders in shaping the broader dialogue on e-discovery issues. They regularly contribute to industry publications, speak at conferences organized by leading e-discovery think tanks such as The Sedona Conference and Georgetown University Law Center’s Advanced eDiscovery Institute, and play prominent roles in the local chapters of Women in eDiscovery and the Relativity Users Steering Committee. The e-discovery practice group also offers regular in-house training and education, ensuring that members of the Firm are always up-to-date on the evolving world of e-discovery law and technology.

Robbins Geller has always been a leader in document-intensive litigation. Boasting high-performing infrastructure resources, state-of-the-art technology, and a deep bench of some of the most highly trained Relativity Certified Administrators and network engineers, the Firm’s capabilities rival, if not outshine, those of the top e-discovery vendors in the industry. Additionally, the Firm’s implementation of advanced analytic technologies and custom workflows makes its work fast, smart and efficient. Combined with Robbins Geller’s decision to manage and host its litigation support in-house, these technologies reduce the Firm’s reliance on third-party vendors, enabling it to offer top-notch e-discovery services to clients at a fair and reasonable cost.



Security is a top priority at Robbins Geller. The Firm's hosted e-discovery is secured using bank-level 128 encryption and is protected behind state-of-the-art Cisco firewalls. All e-discovery data is hosted on Firm-owned equipment at an SSAE 16-compliant, SOC 1, 2, and 3 audited facility that features 9.1 megawatts of power, N+1 or better redundancy on all data center systems, and security protocols required by leading businesses in the most stringent verticals. Originally designed to support a large defense contractor, it is built to rigorous standards, complete with redundant power and cooling systems plus multiple generators.

## Prominent Cases, Precedent-Setting Decisions and Judicial Commendations

### Prominent Cases

Robbins Geller attorneys obtained outstanding results in some of the most notorious and well-known cases, frequently earning judicial commendations for the quality of their representation.

- ***In re Enron Corp. Sec. Litig.***, No. H-01-3624 (S.D. Tex.). Investors lost billions of dollars as a result of the massive fraud at Enron. In appointing Robbins Geller lawyers as sole lead counsel to represent the interests of Enron investors, the court found that the Firm's zealous prosecution and level of "insight" set it apart from its peers. Robbins Geller attorneys and lead plaintiff The Regents of the University of California aggressively pursued numerous defendants, including many of Wall Street's biggest banks, and successfully obtained settlements in excess of **\$7.2 billion** for the benefit of investors. ***This is the largest securities class action recovery in history.***

The court overseeing this action had utmost praise for Robbins Geller's efforts and stated that "[t]he experience, ability, and reputation of the attorneys of [Robbins Geller] is not disputed; it is one of the most successful law firms in securities class actions, if not the preeminent one, in the country." *In re Enron Corp. Sec., Derivative & "ERISA" Litig.*, 586 F. Supp. 2d 732, 797 (S.D. Tex. 2008).

The court further commented: "[I]n the face of extraordinary obstacles, the skills, expertise, commitment, and tenacity of [Robbins Geller] in this litigation cannot be overstated. Not to be overlooked are the unparalleled results, . . . which demonstrate counsel's clearly superlative litigating and negotiating skills." *Id.* at 789.

The court stated that the Firm's attorneys "are to be commended for their zealousness, their diligence, their perseverance, their creativity, the enormous breadth and depth of their investigations and analysis, and their expertise in all areas of securities law on behalf of the proposed class." *Id.*

In addition, the court noted, "This Court considers [Robbins Geller] 'a lion' at the securities bar on the national level," noting that the Lead Plaintiff selected Robbins Geller because of the Firm's "outstanding reputation, experience, and success in securities litigation nationwide." *Id.* at 790.

The court further stated that "Lead Counsel's fearsome reputation and successful track record undoubtedly were substantial factors in . . . obtaining these recoveries." *Id.*

Finally, Judge Harmon stated: "As this Court has explained [this is] an extraordinary group of attorneys who achieved the largest settlement fund ever despite the great odds against them." *Id.* at 828.

- ***Jaffe v. Household Int'l, Inc.***, No. 02-C-05893 (N.D. Ill.). As sole lead counsel, Robbins Geller obtained a record-breaking settlement of **\$1.575 billion** after 14 years of litigation, including a six-week jury trial in 2009 that resulted in a securities fraud verdict in favor of the class. In 2015, the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals upheld the jury's verdict that defendants made false or misleading statements of material fact about the company's business practices and financial results, but remanded the case for a new trial on the issue of whether the individual defendants "made" certain false statements, whether those false statements caused plaintiffs' losses, and the amount of damages. The parties reached an agreement to settle the case just hours before the retrial was scheduled to begin on June 6, 2016. ***The \$1.575 billion settlement, approved in October 2016, is the largest ever following a securities fraud class action trial, the largest securities fraud settlement in the Seventh Circuit and the seventh-largest settlement ever in a post-PSLRA securities***



**fraud case.** According to published reports, the case was just the seventh securities fraud case tried to a verdict since the passage of the PSLRA.

In approving the settlement, the Honorable Jorge L. Alonso noted the team's "skill and determination" while recognizing that "Lead Counsel prosecuted the case vigorously and skillfully over 14 years against nine of the country's most prominent law firms" and "achieved an exceptionally significant recovery for the class." The court added that the team faced "significant hurdles" and "uphill battles" throughout the case and recognized that "[c]lass counsel performed a very high-quality legal work in the context of a thorny case in which the state of the law has been and is in flux." The court succinctly concluded that the settlement was "a spectacular result for the class." *Jaffe v. Household Int'l, Inc.*, No. 02-C-5892, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 156921, at \*8 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 10, 2016); *Jaffe v. Household Int'l, Inc.*, No. 02-C-05893, Transcript at 56, 65 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 20, 2016).

- ***In re UnitedHealth Grp. Inc. PSLRA Litig.***, No. 06-CV-1691 (D. Minn.). In the *UnitedHealth* case, Robbins Geller represented the California Public Employees' Retirement System ("CalPERS") and demonstrated its willingness to vigorously advocate for its institutional clients, even under the most difficult circumstances. For example, in 2006, the issue of high-level executives backdating stock options made national headlines. During that time, many law firms, including Robbins Geller, brought shareholder derivative lawsuits against the companies' boards of directors for breaches of their fiduciary duties or for improperly granting backdated options. Rather than pursuing a shareholder derivative case, the Firm filed a securities fraud class action against the company on behalf of CalPERS. In doing so, Robbins Geller faced significant and unprecedented legal obstacles with respect to loss causation, *i.e.*, that defendants' actions were responsible for causing the stock losses. Despite these legal hurdles, Robbins Geller obtained an \$895 million recovery on behalf of the UnitedHealth shareholders. Shortly after reaching the \$895 million settlement with UnitedHealth, the remaining corporate defendants, including former CEO William A. McGuire, also settled. McGuire paid \$30 million and returned stock options representing more than three million shares to the shareholders. The total recovery for the class was over \$925 million, the largest stock option backdating recovery ever, and **a recovery that is more than four times larger than the next largest options backdating recovery.** Moreover, Robbins Geller obtained unprecedented corporate governance reforms, including election of a shareholder-nominated member to the company's board of directors, a mandatory holding period for shares acquired by executives via option exercise, and executive compensation reforms that tie pay to performance.
- ***Alaska Elec. Pension Fund v. CitiGroup, Inc. (In re WorldCom Sec. Litig.)***, No. 03 Civ. 8269 (S.D.N.Y.). Robbins Geller attorneys represented more than 50 private and public institutions that opted out of the class action case and sued WorldCom's bankers, officers and directors, and auditors in courts around the country for losses related to WorldCom bond offerings from 1998 to 2001. The Firm's clients included major public institutions from across the country such as CalPERS, CalSTRS, the state pension funds of Maine, Illinois, New Mexico and West Virginia, union pension funds, and private entities such as AIG and Northwestern Mutual. Robbins Geller attorneys recovered more than \$650 million for their clients, substantially more than they would have recovered as part of the class.
- ***Luther v. Countrywide Fin. Corp.***, No. 12-cv-05125 (C.D. Cal.). Robbins Geller attorneys secured a \$500 million settlement for institutional and individual investors in what is the largest RMBS purchaser class action settlement in history, and one of the largest class action securities settlements of all time. The unprecedented settlement resolves claims against Countrywide and Wall Street banks that issued the securities. The action was the first securities class action case filed against originators and Wall Street banks as a result of the credit crisis. As co-lead counsel Robbins Geller forged through six years of hard-fought litigation, oftentimes litigating issues of first impression, in order to secure the landmark settlement for its clients and the class.

In approving the settlement, Judge Mariana R. Pfaelzer repeatedly complimented plaintiffs' attorneys, noting that it was "beyond serious dispute that Class Counsel has vigorously prosecuted the Settlement Actions on both the state and federal level over the last six years." Judge Pfaelzer also commented that "[w]ithout a settlement, these cases would continue indefinitely, resulting in significant risks to recovery and continued litigation costs. It is difficult to understate the risks to recovery if litigation had continued." *Me. State Ret. Sys. v. Countrywide Fin. Corp.*, No. 2:10-CV-00302, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 179190, at \*44, \*56 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 5, 2013).

Judge Pfaelzer further noted that the proposed \$500 million settlement represents one of the "largest MBS class action settlements to date. Indeed, this settlement easily surpasses the next largest . . . MBS settlement." *Id.* at \*59.

- ***In re Wachovia Preferred Sec. & Bond/Notes Litig.***, No. 09-cv-06351 (S.D.N.Y.). In litigation over bonds and preferred securities, issued by Wachovia between 2006 and 2008, Robbins Geller and co-counsel obtained a significant settlement with Wachovia successor Wells Fargo & Company (\$590 million) and Wachovia auditor KPMG LLP (\$37 million). ***The total settlement – \$627 million – is one of the largest credit-crisis settlements involving Securities Act claims and one of the 20 largest securities class action recoveries in history.*** The settlement is also one of the biggest securities class action recoveries arising from the credit crisis.

As alleged in the complaint, the offering materials for the bonds and preferred securities misstated and failed to disclose the true nature and quality of Wachovia's mortgage loan portfolio, which exposed the bank and misled investors to tens of billions of dollars in losses on mortgage-related assets. In reality, Wachovia employed high-risk underwriting standards and made loans to subprime borrowers, contrary to the offering materials and their statements of "pristine credit quality." Robbins Geller served as co-lead counsel representing the City of Livonia Employees' Retirement System, Hawaii Sheet Metal Workers Pension Fund, and the investor class.

- ***In re Cardinal Health, Inc. Sec. Litig.***, No. C2-04-575 (S.D. Ohio). As sole lead counsel representing Cardinal Health shareholders, Robbins Geller obtained a recovery of \$600 million for investors. On behalf of the lead plaintiffs, Amalgamated Bank, the New Mexico State Investment Council, and the California Ironworkers Field Trust Fund, the Firm aggressively pursued class claims and won notable courtroom victories, including a favorable decision on defendants' motion to dismiss. *In re Cardinal Health, Inc. Sec. Litigs.*, 426 F. Supp. 2d 688 (S.D. Ohio 2006). At the time, the \$600 million settlement was the tenth-largest settlement in the history of securities fraud litigation and is the largest-ever recovery in a securities fraud action in the Sixth Circuit. Judge Marbley commented:

The quality of representation in this case was superb. Lead Counsel, [Robbins Geller], are nationally recognized leaders in complex securities litigation class actions. The quality of the representation is demonstrated by the substantial benefit achieved for the Class and the efficient, effective prosecution and resolution of this action. Lead Counsel defeated a volley of motions to dismiss, thwarting well-formed challenges from prominent and capable attorneys from six different law firms.

*In re Cardinal Health Inc. Sec. Litigs.*, 528 F. Supp. 2d 752, 768 (S.D. Ohio 2007).

- ***AOL Time Warner Cases I & II***, JCCP Nos. 4322 & 4325 (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles Cty.). Robbins Geller represented The Regents of the University of California, six Ohio state pension funds, Rabo Bank (NL), the Scottish Widows Investment Partnership, several Australian public and private funds, insurance companies, and numerous additional institutional investors, both domestic and international, in state and federal court opt-out litigation stemming from Time Warner's disastrous 2001 merger with Internet high flier America Online. Robbins Geller attorneys exposed a massive and

sophisticated accounting fraud involving America Online's e-commerce and advertising revenue. After almost four years of litigation involving extensive discovery, the Firm secured combined settlements for its opt-out clients totaling over \$629 million just weeks before The Regents' case pending in California state court was scheduled to go to trial. The Regents' gross recovery of \$246 million is the largest individual opt-out securities recovery in history.

- ***Abu Dhabi Commercial Bank v. Morgan Stanley & Co.***, No. 1:08-cv-07508-SAS-DCF (S.D.N.Y.), and ***King County, Washington v. IKB Deutsche Industriebank AG***, No. 1:09-cv-08387-SAS (S.D.N.Y.). The Firm represented multiple institutional investors in successfully pursuing recoveries from two failed structured investment vehicles, each of which had been rated "AAA" by Standard & Poors and Moody's, but which failed fantastically in 2007. The matter settled just prior to trial in 2013. This result was only made possible after Robbins Geller lawyers beat back the rating agencies' longtime argument that ratings were opinions protected by the First Amendment.
- ***In re HealthSouth Corp. Sec. Litig.***, No. CV-03-BE-1500-S (N.D. Ala.). As court-appointed co-lead counsel, Robbins Geller attorneys obtained a combined recovery of \$671 million from HealthSouth, its auditor Ernst & Young, and its investment banker, UBS, for the benefit of stockholder plaintiffs. The settlement against HealthSouth represents one of the larger settlements in securities class action history and is considered among the top 15 settlements achieved after passage of the PSLRA. Likewise, the settlement against Ernst & Young is one of the largest securities class action settlements entered into by an accounting firm since the passage of the PSLRA. HealthSouth and its financial advisors perpetrated one of the largest and most pervasive frauds in the history of U.S. healthcare, prompting Congressional and law enforcement inquiry and resulting in guilty pleas of 16 former HealthSouth executives in related federal criminal prosecutions. In March 2009, Judge Karon Bowdre commented in the *HealthSouth* class certification opinion: "The court has had many opportunities since November 2001 to examine the work of class counsel and the supervision by the Class Representatives. The court finds both to be far more than adequate." *In re HealthSouth Corp. Sec. Litig.*, 257 F.R.D. 260, 275 (N.D. Ala. 2009).
- ***In re Dynegy Inc. Sec. Litig.***, No. H-02-1571 (S.D. Tex.). As sole lead counsel representing The Regents of the University of California and the class of Dynegy investors, Robbins Geller attorneys obtained a combined settlement of \$474 million from Dynegy, Citigroup, Inc. and Arthur Andersen LLP for their involvement in a clandestine financing scheme known as Project Alpha. Given Dynegy's limited ability to pay, Robbins Geller attorneys structured a settlement (reached shortly before the commencement of trial) that maximized plaintiffs' recovery without bankrupting the company. Most notably, the settlement agreement provides that Dynegy will appoint two board members to be nominated by The Regents, which Robbins Geller and The Regents believe will benefit all of Dynegy's stockholders.
- ***Jones v. Pfizer Inc.***, No. 1:10-cv-03864 (S.D.N.Y.). Lead plaintiff Stichting Philips Pensioenfonds obtained a \$400 million settlement on behalf of class members who purchased Pfizer Inc. common stock during the January 19, 2006 to January 23, 2009 class period. The settlement against Pfizer resolves accusations that it misled investors about an alleged off-label drug marketing scheme. As sole lead counsel, Robbins Geller attorneys helped achieve this exceptional result after five years of hard-fought litigation against the toughest and the brightest members of the securities defense bar by litigating this case all the way to trial.

In approving the settlement, United States District Judge Alvin K. Hellerstein commended the Firm, noting that "[w]ithout the quality and the toughness that you have exhibited, our society would not be as good as it is with all its problems. So from me to you is a vote of thanks for devoting yourself to this work and doing it well. . . . You did a really good job. Congratulations."

- ***In re Qwest Commc'ns Int'l, Inc. Sec. Litig.***, No. 01-cv-1451 (D. Colo.). Robbins Geller attorneys served as lead counsel for a class of investors that purchased Qwest securities. In July 2001, the Firm filed the initial complaint in this action on behalf of its clients, long before any investigation into Qwest's financial statements was initiated by the SEC or Department of Justice. After five years of litigation, lead plaintiffs entered into a settlement with Qwest and certain individual defendants that provided a \$400 million recovery for the class and created a mechanism that allowed the vast majority of class members to share in an additional \$250 million recovered by the SEC. In 2008, Robbins Geller attorneys recovered an additional \$45 million for the class in a settlement with defendants Joseph P. Nacchio and Robert S. Woodruff, the CEO and CFO, respectively, of Qwest during large portions of the class period.
- ***Fort Worth Emps.' Ret. Fund v. J.P. Morgan Chase & Co.***, No. 1:09-cv-03701 (S.D.N.Y.). Robbins Geller attorneys served as lead counsel for a class of investors and obtained court approval of a \$388 million recovery in nine 2007 residential mortgage-backed securities offerings issued by J.P. Morgan. The settlement represents, on a percentage basis, the largest recovery ever achieved in an MBS purchaser class action. The result was achieved after more than five years of hard-fought litigation and an extensive investigation. In granting approval of the settlement, the court stated the following about Robbins Geller attorneys litigating the case: "[T]here is no question in my mind that this is a very good result for the class and that the plaintiffs' counsel fought the case very hard with extensive discovery, a lot of depositions, several rounds of briefing of various legal issues going all the way through class certification."
- ***NECA-IBEW Health & Welfare Fund v. Goldman Sachs & Co.***, No. 1:08-cv-10783 (S.D.N.Y.). As sole lead counsel, Robbins Geller obtained a \$272 million settlement on behalf of Goldman Sachs' shareholders. The settlement concludes one of the last remaining mortgage-backed securities purchaser class actions arising out of the global financial crisis. The remarkable result was achieved following seven years of extensive litigation. After the claims were dismissed in 2010, Robbins Geller secured a landmark victory from the Second Circuit Court of Appeals that clarified the scope of permissible class actions asserting claims under the Securities Act of 1933 on behalf of MBS investors. Specifically, the Second Circuit's decision rejected the concept of "tranche" standing and concluded that a lead plaintiff in an MBS class action has class standing to pursue claims on behalf of purchasers of other securities that were issued from the same registration statement and backed by pools of mortgages originated by the same lenders who had originated mortgages backing the lead plaintiff's securities.

In approving the settlement, the Honorable Loretta A. Preska of the Southern District of New York complimented Robbins Geller attorneys, noting:

Counsel, thank you for your papers. They were, by the way, extraordinary papers in support of the settlement, and I will particularly note Professor Miller's declaration in which he details the procedural aspects of the case and then speaks of plaintiffs' counsel's success in the Second Circuit essentially changing the law.

I will also note what counsel have said, and that is that this case illustrates the proper functioning of the statute.

\* \* \*

Counsel, you can all be proud of what you've done for your clients. You've done an extraordinarily good job.

*NECA-IBEW Health & Welfare Fund v. Goldman Sachs & Co.*, No. 1:08-cv-10783, Transcript at 10-11 (S.D.N.Y. May 2, 2016).

- **Schuh v. HCA Holdings, Inc.**, No. 3:11-cv-01033 (M.D. Tenn.). As sole lead counsel, Robbins Geller obtained a groundbreaking \$215 million settlement for former HCA Holdings, Inc. shareholders – the largest securities class action recovery ever in Tennessee. Reached shortly before trial was scheduled to commence, the settlement resolves claims that the Registration Statement and Prospectus HCA filed in connection with the company's massive \$4.3 billion 2011 IPO contained material misstatements and omissions. The recovery achieved represents between 34% and 70% of the aggregate class wide damages, far exceeding the typical recovery in a securities class action. At the hearing on final approval of the settlement, the Honorable Kevin H. Sharp described Robbins Geller attorneys as “gladiators” and commented: “Looking at the benefit obtained, the effort that you had to put into it, [and] the complexity in this case . . . I appreciate the work that you all have done on this.” *Schuh v. HCA Holdings, Inc.*, No. 3:11-CV-01033, Transcript at 12-13 (M.D. Tenn. Apr. 11, 2016).
- **Silverman v. Motorola, Inc.**, No. 1:07-cv-04507 (N.D. Ill.). The Firm served as lead counsel on behalf of a class of investors in Motorola, Inc., ultimately recovering \$200 million for investors just two months before the case was set for trial. This outstanding result was obtained despite the lack of an SEC investigation or any financial restatement. In May 2012, the Honorable Amy J. St. Eve of the Northern District of Illinois commented: “The representation that [Robbins Geller] provided to the class was significant, both in terms of quality and quantity.” *Silverman v. Motorola, Inc.*, No. 07 C 4507, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 63477, at \*11 (N.D. Ill. May 7, 2012), *aff'd*, 739 F.3d 956 (7th Cir. 2013).

In affirming the district court's award of attorneys' fees, the Seventh Circuit noted that “no other law firm was willing to serve as lead counsel. Lack of competition not only implies a higher fee but also suggests that most members of the securities bar saw this litigation as too risky for their practices.” *Silverman v. Motorola Sols., Inc.*, 739 F.3d 956, 958 (7th Cir. 2013).

- **In re AT&T Corp. Sec. Litig.**, MDL No. 1399 (D.N.J.). Robbins Geller attorneys served as lead counsel for a class of investors that purchased AT&T common stock. The case charged defendants AT&T and its former Chairman and CEO, C. Michael Armstrong, with violations of the federal securities laws in connection with AT&T's April 2000 initial public offering of its wireless tracking stock, the largest IPO in American history. After two weeks of trial, and on the eve of scheduled testimony by Armstrong and infamous telecom analyst Jack Grubman, defendants agreed to settle the case for \$100 million. In granting approval of the settlement, the court stated the following about the Robbins Geller attorneys handling the case:

Lead Counsel are highly skilled attorneys with great experience in prosecuting complex securities action[s], and their professionalism and diligence displayed during [this] litigation substantiates this characterization. The Court notes that Lead Counsel displayed excellent lawyering skills through their consistent preparedness during court proceedings, arguments and the trial, and their well-written and thoroughly researched submissions to the Court. Undoubtedly, the attentive and persistent effort of Lead Counsel was integral in achieving the excellent result for the Class.

*In re AT&T Corp. Sec. Litig.*, MDL No. 1399, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 46144, at \*28-\*29 (D.N.J. Apr. 25, 2005), *aff'd*, 455 F.3d 160 (3d Cir. 2006).

- **In re Dollar Gen. Corp. Sec. Litig.**, No. 01-CV-00388 (M.D. Tenn.). Robbins Geller attorneys served as lead counsel in this case in which the Firm recovered \$172.5 million for investors. The *Dollar General* settlement was the largest shareholder class action recovery ever in Tennessee.



- **Carpenters Health & Welfare Fund v. Coca-Cola Co.**, No. 00-CV-2838 (N.D. Ga.). As co-lead counsel representing Coca-Cola shareholders, Robbins Geller attorneys obtained a recovery of \$137.5 million after nearly eight years of litigation. Robbins Geller attorneys traveled to three continents to uncover the evidence that ultimately resulted in the settlement of this hard-fought litigation. The case concerned Coca-Cola's shipping of excess concentrate at the end of financial reporting periods for the sole purpose of meeting analyst earnings expectations, as well as the company's failure to properly account for certain impaired foreign bottling assets.
- **Schwartz v. TXU Corp.**, No. 02-CV-2243 (N.D. Tex.). As co-lead counsel, Robbins Geller attorneys obtained a recovery of over \$149 million for a class of purchasers of TXU securities. The recovery compensated class members for damages they incurred as a result of their purchases of TXU securities at inflated prices. Defendants had inflated the price of these securities by concealing the fact that TXU's operating earnings were declining due to a deteriorating gas pipeline and the failure of the company's European operations.
- **In re Doral Fin. Corp. Sec. Litig.**, 05 MDL No. 1706 (S.D.N.Y.). In July 2007, the Honorable Richard Owen of the Southern District of New York approved the \$129 million settlement, finding in his order:

The services provided by Lead Counsel [Robbins Geller] were efficient and highly successful, resulting in an outstanding recovery for the Class without the substantial expense, risk and delay of continued litigation. Such efficiency and effectiveness supports the requested fee percentage.

Cases brought under the federal securities laws are notably difficult and notoriously uncertain. . . . Despite the novelty and difficulty of the issues raised, Lead Plaintiffs' counsel secured an excellent result for the Class.

. . . Based upon Lead Plaintiff's counsel's diligent efforts on behalf of the Class, as well as their skill and reputations, Lead Plaintiff's counsel were able to negotiate a very favorable result for the Class. . . . The ability of [Robbins Geller] to obtain such a favorable partial settlement for the Class in the face of such formidable opposition confirms the superior quality of their representation . . . .

*In re Doral Fin. Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. 1:05-md-01706, Order at 4-5 (S.D.N.Y. July 17, 2007).

- **In re NASDAQ Market-Makers Antitrust Litig.**, MDL No. 1023 (S.D.N.Y.). Robbins Geller attorneys served as court-appointed co-lead counsel for a class of investors. The class alleged that the NASDAQ market-makers set and maintained wide spreads pursuant to an industry-wide conspiracy in one of the largest and most important antitrust cases in recent history. After years of intense litigation, the case was settled for a total of \$1.027 billion, at the time the largest ever antitrust settlement. An excerpt from the court's opinion reads:

Counsel for the Plaintiffs are preeminent in the field of class action litigation, and the roster of counsel for the Defendants includes some of the largest, most successful and well regarded law firms in the country. It is difficult to conceive of better representation than the parties to this action achieved.

*In re NASDAQ Market-Makers Antitrust Litig.*, 187 F.R.D. 465, 474 (S.D.N.Y. 1998).

- **In re Exxon Valdez**, No. A89 095 Civ. (D. Alaska), and **In re Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Litig.**, No. 3 AN 89 2533 (Alaska Super. Ct., 3d Jud. Dist.). Robbins Geller attorneys served on the Plaintiffs'

Coordinating Committee and Plaintiffs' Law Committee in this massive litigation resulting from the Exxon Valdez oil spill in Alaska in March 1989. The jury awarded hundreds of millions in compensatory damages, as well as \$5 billion in punitive damages (the latter were later reduced by the U.S. Supreme Court to \$507 million).

- ***Mangini v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.***, No. 939359 (Cal. Super. Ct., San Francisco Cty.). In this case, R.J. Reynolds admitted that “the *Mangini* action, and the way that it was vigorously litigated, was an early, significant and unique driver of the overall legal and social controversy regarding underage smoking that led to the decision to phase out the Joe Camel Campaign.”
- ***Does I v. The Gap, Inc.***, No. 01 0031 (D. N. Mar. I.). In this groundbreaking case, Robbins Geller attorneys represented a class of 30,000 garment workers who alleged that they had worked under sweatshop conditions in garment factories in Saipan that produced clothing for top U.S. retailers such as The Gap, Target and J.C. Penney. In the first action of its kind, Robbins Geller attorneys pursued claims against the factories and the retailers alleging violations of RICO, the Alien Tort Claims Act, and the Law of Nations based on the alleged systemic labor and human rights abuses occurring in Saipan. This case was a companion to two other actions: ***Does I v. Advance Textile Corp.***, No. 99 0002 (D. N. Mar. I.), which alleged overtime violations by the garment factories under the Fair Labor Standards Act and local labor law, and ***UNITE v. The Gap, Inc.***, No. 300474 (Cal. Super. Ct., San Francisco Cty.), which alleged violations of California’s Unfair Practices Law by the U.S. retailers. These actions resulted in a settlement of approximately \$20 million that included a comprehensive monitoring program to address past violations by the factories and prevent future ones. The members of the litigation team were honored as Trial Lawyers of the Year by the Trial Lawyers for Public Justice in recognition of the team’s efforts in bringing about the precedent-setting settlement of the actions.
- ***Hall v. NCAA (Restricted Earnings Coach Antitrust Litigation)***, No. 94-2392 (D. Kan.). Robbins Geller attorneys were lead counsel and lead trial counsel for one of three classes of coaches in these consolidated price-fixing actions against the National Collegiate Athletic Association. On May 4, 1998, the jury returned verdicts in favor of the three classes for more than \$70 million.
- ***In re Prison Realty Sec. Litig.***, No. 3:99-0452 (M.D. Tenn.). Robbins Geller attorneys served as lead counsel for the class, obtaining a \$105 million recovery.
- ***In re Honeywell Int’l, Inc. Sec. Litig.***, No. 00-cv-03605 (D.N.J.). Robbins Geller attorneys served as lead counsel for a class of investors that purchased Honeywell common stock. The case charged Honeywell and its top officers with violations of the federal securities laws, alleging the defendants made false public statements concerning Honeywell’s merger with Allied Signal, Inc. and that defendants falsified Honeywell’s financial statements. After extensive discovery, Robbins Geller attorneys obtained a \$100 million settlement for the class.
- ***Schwartz v. Visa Int’l***, No. 822404-4 (Cal. Super. Ct., Alameda Cty.). After years of litigation and a six-month trial, Robbins Geller attorneys won one of the largest consumer protection verdicts ever awarded in the United States. Robbins Geller attorneys represented California consumers in an action against Visa and MasterCard for intentionally imposing and concealing a fee from their cardholders. The court ordered Visa and MasterCard to return \$800 million in cardholder losses, which represented 100% of the amount illegally taken, plus 2% interest. In addition, the court ordered full disclosure of the hidden fee.
- ***Thompson v. Metro. Life Ins. Co.***, No. 00-cv-5071 (S.D.N.Y.). Robbins Geller attorneys served as lead counsel and obtained \$145 million for the class in a settlement involving racial discrimination claims in the sale of life insurance.

- ***In re Prudential Ins. Co. of Am. Sales Practices Litig.***, MDL No. 1061 (D.N.J.). In one of the first cases of its kind, Robbins Geller attorneys obtained a settlement of \$4 billion for deceptive sales practices in connection with the sale of life insurance involving the “vanishing premium” sales scheme.

## Precedent-Setting Decisions

Robbins Geller attorneys operate at the forefront of litigation. Our work often changes the legal landscape, resulting in an environment that is more-favorable for obtaining recoveries for our clients.

## Investor and Shareholder Rights

- ***NECA-IBEW Health & Welfare Fund v. Goldman Sachs & Co.***, 693 F.3d 145 (2d Cir. 2012). In a securities fraud action involving mortgage-backed securities, the Second Circuit rejected the concept of “tranche” standing and found that a lead plaintiff has class standing to pursue claims on behalf of purchasers of securities that were backed by pools of mortgages originated by the same lenders who had originated mortgages backing the lead plaintiff’s securities. The court noted that, given those common lenders, the lead plaintiff’s claims as to its purchases implicated “the same set of concerns” that purchasers in several of the other offerings possessed. The court also rejected the notion that the lead plaintiff lacked standing to represent investors in different tranches.
- ***In re VeriFone Holdings, Inc. Sec. Litig.***, 704 F.3d 694 (9th Cir. 2012). The panel reversed in part and affirmed in part the dismissal of investors’ securities fraud class action alleging violations of §§10(b), 20(a), and 20A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and SEC Rule 10b-5 in connection with a restatement of financial results of the company in which the investors had purchased stock.

The panel held that the third amended complaint adequately pleaded the §10(b), §20A and Rule 10b-5 claims. Considering the allegations of scienter holistically, as the U.S. Supreme Court directed in *Matrixx Initiatives, Inc. v. Siracusano*, 563 U.S. 27, 48-49 (2011), the panel concluded that the inference that the defendant company and its chief executive officer and former chief financial officer were deliberately reckless as to the truth of their financial reports and related public statements following a merger was at least as compelling as any opposing inference.

- ***Fox v. JAMDAT Mobile, Inc.***, 185 Cal. App. 4th 1068 (2010). Concluding that Delaware’s shareholder ratification doctrine did not bar the claims, the California Court of Appeal reversed dismissal of a shareholder class action alleging breach of fiduciary duty in a corporate merger.
- ***In re Constar Int’l Inc. Sec. Litig.***, 585 F.3d 774 (3d Cir. 2009). The Third Circuit flatly rejected defense contentions that where relief is sought under §11 of the Securities Act of 1933, which imposes liability when securities are issued pursuant to an incomplete or misleading registration statement, class certification should depend upon findings concerning market efficiency and loss causation.
- ***Matrixx Initiatives, Inc. v. Siracusano***, 563 U.S. 27 (2011), *aff’g* 585 F.3d 1167 (9th Cir. 2009). In a securities fraud action involving the defendants’ failure to disclose a possible link between the company’s popular cold remedy and a life-altering side effect observed in some users, the U.S. Supreme Court unanimously affirmed the Ninth Circuit’s (a) rejection of a bright-line “statistical significance” materiality standard, and (b) holding that plaintiffs had successfully pleaded a strong inference of the defendants’ scienter.



- ***Alaska Elec. Pension Fund v. Flowserve Corp.***, 572 F.3d 221 (5th Cir. 2009). Aided by former U.S. Supreme Court Justice O'Connor's presence on the panel, the Fifth Circuit reversed a district court order denying class certification and also reversed an order granting summary judgment to defendants. The court held that the district court applied an incorrect fact-for-fact standard of loss causation, and that genuine issues of fact on loss causation precluded summary judgment.
- ***In re F5 Networks, Inc., Derivative Litig.***, 207 P.3d 433 (Wash. 2009). In a derivative action alleging unlawful stock option backdating, the Supreme Court of Washington ruled that shareholders need not make a pre-suit demand on the board of directors where this step would be futile, agreeing with plaintiffs that favorable Delaware case law should be followed as persuasive authority.
- ***Lormand v. US Unwired, Inc.***, 565 F.3d 228 (5th Cir. 2009). In a rare win for investors in the Fifth Circuit, the court reversed an order of dismissal, holding that safe harbor warnings were not meaningful when the facts alleged established a strong inference that defendants knew their forecasts were false. The court also held that plaintiffs sufficiently alleged loss causation.
- ***Institutional Inv'rs Grp. v. Avaya, Inc.***, 564 F.3d 242 (3d Cir. 2009). In a victory for investors in the Third Circuit, the court reversed an order of dismissal, holding that shareholders pled with particularity why the company's repeated denials of price discounts on products were false and misleading when the totality of facts alleged established a strong inference that defendants knew their denials were false.
- ***Alaska Elec. Pension Fund v. Pharmacia Corp.***, 554 F.3d 342 (3d Cir. 2009). The Third Circuit held that claims filed for violation of §10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 were timely, adopting investors' argument that because scienter is a critical element of the claims, the time for filing them cannot begin to run until the defendants' fraudulent state of mind should be apparent.
- ***Rael v. Page***, 222 P.3d 678 (N.M. Ct. App. 2009). In this shareholder class and derivative action, Robbins Geller attorneys obtained an appellate decision reversing the trial court's dismissal of the complaint alleging serious director misconduct in connection with the merger of SunCal Companies and Westland Development Co., Inc., a New Mexico company with large and historic landholdings and other assets in the Albuquerque area. The appellate court held that plaintiff's claims for breach of fiduciary duty were direct, not derivative, because they constituted an attack on the validity or fairness of the merger and the conduct of the directors. Although New Mexico law had not addressed this question directly, at the urging of the Firm's attorneys, the court relied on Delaware law for guidance, rejecting the "special injury" test for determining the direct versus derivative inquiry and instead applying more recent Delaware case law.
- ***Lane v. Page***, No. 06-cv-1071 (D.N.M. 2012). In May 2012, while granting final approval of the settlement in the federal component of the Westland cases, Judge Browning in the District of New Mexico commented:

Class Counsel are highly skilled and specialized attorneys who use their substantial experience and expertise to prosecute complex securities class actions. In possibly one of the best known and most prominent recent securities cases, Robbins Geller served as sole lead counsel – *In re Enron Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. H-01-3624 (S.D. Tex.). See Report at 3. The Court has previously noted that the class would "receive high caliber legal representation" from class counsel, and throughout the course of the litigation the Court has been impressed with the quality of representation on each side. *Lane v. Page*, 250 F.R.D. at 647.

*Lane v. Page*, 862 F. Supp. 2d 1182, 1253-54 (D.N.M. 2012).

In addition, Judge Browning stated, “‘Few plaintiffs’ law firms could have devoted the kind of time, skill, and financial resources over a five-year period necessary to achieve the pre- and post-Merger benefits obtained for the class here.’ . . . [Robbins Geller is] both skilled and experienced, and used those skills and experience for the benefit of the class [Robbins Geller is] both skilled and experienced, and used those skills and experience for the benefit of the class.” *Id.* at 1254.

- ***Luther v. Countrywide Home Loans Servicing LP***, 533 F.3d 1031 (9th Cir. 2008). In a case of first impression, the Ninth Circuit held that the Securities Act of 1933’s specific non-removal features had not been trumped by the general removal provisions of the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005.
- ***In re Gilead Scis. Sec. Litig.***, 536 F.3d 1049 (9th Cir. 2008). The Ninth Circuit upheld defrauded investors’ loss causation theory as plausible, ruling that a limited temporal gap between the time defendants’ misrepresentation was publicly revealed and the subsequent decline in stock value was reasonable where the public had not immediately understood the impact of defendants’ fraud.
- ***In re WorldCom Sec. Litig.***, 496 F.3d 245 (2d Cir. 2007). The Second Circuit held that the filing of a class action complaint tolls the limitations period for all members of the class, including those who choose to opt out of the class action and file their own individual actions without waiting to see whether the district court certifies a class – reversing the decision below and effectively overruling multiple district court rulings that *American Pipe* tolling did not apply under these circumstances.
- ***In re Merck & Co. Sec., Derivative & ERISA Litig.***, 493 F.3d 393 (3d Cir. 2007). In a shareholder derivative suit appeal, the Third Circuit held that the general rule that discovery may not be used to supplement demand-futility allegations does not apply where the defendants enter a voluntary stipulation to produce materials relevant to demand futility without providing for any limitation as to their use. In April 2007, the Honorable D. Brooks Smith praised Robbins Geller partner Joe Daley’s efforts in this litigation:

Thank you very much Mr. Daley and a thank you to all counsel. As Judge Cowen mentioned, this was an exquisitely well-briefed case; it was also an extremely well-argued case, and we thank counsel for their respective jobs here in the matter, which we will take under advisement. Thank you.

*In re Merck & Co., Inc. Sec., Derivative & ERISA Litig.*, No. 06-2911, Transcript at 35:37-36:00 (3d Cir. Apr. 12, 2007).

- ***Alaska Elec. Pension Fund v. Brown***, 941 A.2d 1011 (Del. 2007). The Supreme Court of Delaware held that the Alaska Electrical Pension Fund, for purposes of the “corporate benefit” attorney-fee doctrine, was presumed to have caused a substantial increase in the tender offer price paid in a “going private” buyout transaction. The Court of Chancery originally ruled that Alaska’s counsel, Robbins Geller, was not entitled to an award of attorney fees, but Delaware’s high court, in its published opinion, reversed and remanded for further proceedings.
- ***Crandon Capital Partners v. Shelk***, 157 P.3d 176 (Or. 2007). Oregon’s Supreme Court ruled that a shareholder plaintiff in a derivative action may still seek attorney fees even if the defendants took actions to moot the underlying claims. The Firm’s attorneys convinced Oregon’s highest court to take the case, and reverse, despite the contrary position articulated by both the trial court and the Oregon Court of Appeals.

- ***In re Qwest Commc'ns Int'l***, 450 F.3d 1179 (10th Cir. 2006). In a case of first impression, the Tenth Circuit held that a corporation's deliberate release of purportedly privileged materials to governmental agencies was not a "selective waiver" of the privileges such that the corporation could refuse to produce the same materials to non-governmental plaintiffs in private securities fraud litigation.
- ***In re Guidant S'holders Derivative Litig.***, 841 N.E.2d 571 (Ind. 2006). Answering a certified question from a federal court, the Supreme Court of Indiana unanimously held that a pre-suit demand in a derivative action is excused if the demand would be a futile gesture. The court adopted a "demand futility" standard and rejected defendants' call for a "universal demand" standard that might have immediately ended the case.
- ***Denver Area Meat Cutters v. Clayton***, 209 S.W.3d 584 (Tenn. Ct. App. 2006). The Tennessee Court of Appeals rejected an objector's challenge to a class action settlement arising out of Warren Buffet's 2003 acquisition of Tennessee-based Clayton Homes. In their effort to secure relief for Clayton Homes stockholders, the Firm's attorneys obtained a temporary injunction of the Buffet acquisition for six weeks in 2003 while the matter was litigated in the courts. The temporary halt to Buffet's acquisition received national press attention.
- ***DeJulius v. New Eng. Health Care Emps. Pension Fund***, 429 F.3d 935 (10th Cir. 2005). The Tenth Circuit held that the multi-faceted notice of a \$50 million settlement in a securities fraud class action had been the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and thus satisfied both constitutional due process and Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- ***In re Daou Sys.***, 411 F.3d 1006 (9th Cir. 2005). The Ninth Circuit sustained investors' allegations of accounting fraud and ruled that loss causation was adequately alleged by pleading that the value of the stock they purchased declined when the issuer's true financial condition was revealed.
- ***Barrie v. Intervoice-Brite, Inc.***, 397 F.3d 249 (5th Cir.), *reh'g denied and opinion modified*, 409 F.3d 653 (5th Cir. 2005). The Fifth Circuit upheld investors' accounting-fraud claims, holding that fraud is pled as to both defendants when one knowingly utters a false statement and the other knowingly fails to correct it, even if the complaint does not specify who spoke and who listened.
- ***City of Monroe Emps. Ret. Sys. v. Bridgestone Corp.***, 399 F.3d 651 (6th Cir. 2005). The Sixth Circuit held that a statement regarding objective data supposedly supporting a corporation's belief that its tires were safe was actionable where jurors could have found a reasonable basis to believe the corporation was aware of undisclosed facts seriously undermining the statement's accuracy.
- ***Ill. Mun. Ret. Fund v. Citigroup, Inc.***, 391 F.3d 844 (7th Cir. 2004). The Seventh Circuit upheld a district court's decision that the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund was entitled to litigate its claims under the Securities Act of 1933 against WorldCom's underwriters before a state court rather than before the federal forum sought by the defendants.
- ***Nursing Home Pension Fund, Local 144 v. Oracle Corp.***, 380 F.3d 1226 (9th Cir. 2004). The Ninth Circuit ruled that defendants' fraudulent intent could be inferred from allegations concerning their false representations, insider stock sales and improper accounting methods.
- ***Southland Sec. Corp. v. INSpire Ins. Sols. Inc.***, 365 F.3d 353 (5th Cir. 2004). The Fifth Circuit sustained allegations that an issuer's CEO made fraudulent statements in connection with a contract announcement.

## Insurance

- **Smith v. Am. Family Mut. Ins. Co.**, 289 S.W.3d 675 (Mo. Ct. App. 2009). Capping nearly a decade of hotly contested litigation, the Missouri Court of Appeals reversed the trial court's judgment notwithstanding the verdict for auto insurer American Family and reinstated a unanimous jury verdict for the plaintiff class.
- **Troyk v. Farmers Grp., Inc.**, 171 Cal. App. 4th 1305 (2009). The California Court of Appeal held that Farmers Insurance's practice of levying a "service charge" on one-month auto insurance policies, without specifying the charge in the policy, violated California's Insurance Code.
- **Lebrilla v. Farmers Grp., Inc.**, 119 Cal. App. 4th 1070 (2004). Reversing the trial court, the California Court of Appeal ordered class certification of a suit against Farmers, one of the largest automobile insurers in California, and ruled that Farmers' standard automobile policy requires it to provide parts that are as good as those made by vehicle's manufacturer. The case involved Farmers' practice of using inferior imitation parts when repairing insureds' vehicles.
- **In re Monumental Life Ins. Co.**, 365 F.3d 408, 416 (5th Cir. 2004). The Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals reversed a district court's denial of class certification in a case filed by African-Americans seeking to remedy racially discriminatory insurance practices. The Fifth Circuit held that a monetary relief claim is viable in a Rule 23(b)(2) class if it flows directly from liability to the class as a whole and is capable of classwide "computation by means of objective standards and not dependent in any significant way on the intangible, subjective differences of each class member's circumstances."

## Consumer Protection

- **Kwikset Corp. v. Superior Court**, 51 Cal. 4th 310 (2011). In a leading decision interpreting the scope of Proposition 64's new standing requirements under California's Unfair Competition Law (UCL), the California Supreme Court held that consumers alleging that a manufacturer has misrepresented its product have "lost money or property" within the meaning of the initiative, and thus have standing to sue under the UCL, if they "can truthfully allege that they were deceived by a product's label into spending money to purchase the product, and would not have purchased it otherwise." *Id.* at 317. *Kwikset* involved allegations, proven at trial, that defendants violated California's "Made in the U.S.A." statute by representing on their labels that their products were "Made in U.S.A." or "All-American Made" when, in fact, the products were substantially made with foreign parts and labor.
- **Safeco Ins. Co. of Am. v. Superior Court**, 173 Cal. App. 4th 814 (2009). In a class action against auto insurer Safeco, the California Court of Appeal agreed that the plaintiff should have access to discovery to identify a new class representative after her standing to sue was challenged.
- **Consumer Privacy Cases**, 175 Cal. App. 4th 545 (2009). The California Court of Appeal rejected objections to a nationwide class action settlement benefiting Bank of America customers.
- **Koponen v. Pac. Gas & Elec. Co.**, 165 Cal. App. 4th 345 (2008). The Firm's attorneys obtained a published decision reversing the trial court's dismissal of the action, and holding that the plaintiff's claims for damages arising from the utility's unauthorized use of rights-of-way or easements obtained from the plaintiff and other landowners were not barred by a statute limiting the authority of California courts to review or correct decisions of the California Public Utilities Commission.

- **Sanford v. MemberWorks, Inc.**, 483 F.3d 956 (9th Cir. 2007). In a telemarketing-fraud case, where the plaintiff consumer insisted she had never entered the contractual arrangement that defendants said bound her to arbitrate individual claims to the exclusion of pursuing class claims, the Ninth Circuit reversed an order compelling arbitration – allowing the plaintiff to litigate on behalf of a class.
- **Ritt v. Billy Blanks Enters.**, 870 N.E.2d 212 (Ohio Ct. App. 2007). In the Ohio analog to the West case, the Ohio Court of Appeals approved certification of a class of Ohio residents seeking relief under Ohio's consumer protection laws for the same telemarketing fraud.
- **Haw. Med. Ass'n v. Haw. Med. Serv. Ass'n**, 148 P.3d 1179 (Haw. 2006). The Supreme Court of Hawaii ruled that claims of unfair competition were not subject to arbitration and that claims of tortious interference with prospective economic advantage were adequately alleged.
- **Branick v. Downey Sav. & Loan Ass'n**, 39 Cal. 4th 235 (2006). Robbins Geller attorneys were part of a team of lawyers that briefed this case before the Supreme Court of California. The court issued a unanimous decision holding that new plaintiffs may be substituted, if necessary, to preserve actions pending when Proposition 64 was passed by California voters in 2004. Proposition 64 amended California's Unfair Competition Law and was aggressively cited by defense lawyers in an effort to dismiss cases after the initiative was adopted.
- **McKell v. Wash. Mut., Inc.**, 142 Cal. App. 4th 1457 (2006). The California Court of Appeal reversed the trial court, holding that plaintiff's theories attacking a variety of allegedly inflated mortgage-related fees were actionable.
- **West Corp. v. Superior Court**, 116 Cal. App. 4th 1167 (2004). The California Court of Appeal upheld the trial court's finding that jurisdiction in California was appropriate over the out-of-state corporate defendant whose telemarketing was aimed at California residents. Exercise of jurisdiction was found to be in keeping with considerations of fair play and substantial justice.
- **Kruse v. Wells Fargo Home Mortg., Inc.**, 383 F.3d 49 (2d Cir. 2004), and **Santiago v. GMAC Mortg. Grp., Inc.**, 417 F.3d 384 (3d Cir. 2005). In two groundbreaking federal appellate decisions, the Second and Third Circuits each ruled that the Real Estate Settlement Practices Act prohibits marking up home loan-related fees and charges.

## Additional Judicial Commendations

Robbins Geller attorneys have been praised by countless judges all over the country for the quality of their representation in class-action lawsuits. In addition to the judicial commendations set forth in the Prominent Cases and Precedent-Setting Decisions sections, judges have acknowledged the successful results of the Firm and its attorneys with the following plaudits:

- On May 31, 2017, in granting final approval of the settlement, the Honorable Gonzalo P. Curiel hailed the settlement as “extraordinary” and “all the more exceptional when viewed in light of the risk” of continued litigation. The court further commended Robbins Geller for prosecuting the case on a *pro bono* basis: “Class Counsel's exceptional decision to provide nearly seven years of legal services to Class Members on a *pro bono* basis evidences not only a lack of collusion, but also that Class Counsel are in fact representing the best interests of Plaintiffs and the Class Members in this Settlement. Instead of seeking compensation for fees and costs that they would otherwise be entitled to, Class Counsel have acted to allow maximum recovery to Plaintiffs and Class Members. Indeed, that Eligible



Class Members may receive recovery of 90% or greater is a testament to Class Counsel's representation and dedication to act in their clients' best interest." In addition, at the final approval hearing, the court commented that "this is a case that has been litigated – if not fiercely, zealously throughout." *Low v. Trump Univ., LLC*, No. 3:10-cv-00940-GPC-WVG, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 49739, at \*14-\*15, \*40-\*41 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 31, 2017); *Low v. Trump University LLC and Donald J. Trump*, No. 10-cv-0940 GPC-WVG, and *Cohen v. Donald J. Trump*, No. 13-cv-2519-GPC-WVG, Transcript at 7 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 30, 2017).

- In January 2017, at the final approval hearing, the Honorable Kevin H. Sharp of the Middle District of Tennessee commended Robbins Geller attorneys, stating: "It was complicated, it was drawn out, and a lot of work clearly went into this [case] . . . I think there is some benefit to the shareholders that are above and beyond money, a benefit to the company above and beyond money that changed hands." *In re Community Health Sys., Inc. S'holder Derivative Litig.*, No. 3:11-cv-00489, Transcript at 10 (M.D. Tenn. Jan. 17, 2017).
- In December 2016, at the final approval hearing, the Honorable James G. Carr stated: "I kept throwing the case out, and you kept coming back. . . . And it's both remarkable and noteworthy and a credit to you and your firm that you did so. . . . [Y]ou persuaded the Sixth Circuit. As we know, that's no mean feat at all." Judge Carr further complimented the Firm, noting that it "goes without question or even saying" that Robbins Geller is very well-known nationally and that the settlement is an excellent result for the class. He succinctly concluded that "given the tenacity and the time and the effort that [Robbins Geller] lawyers put into [the case]" makes the class "a lot better off." *Plumbers & Pipefitters Nat'l Pension Fund v. Burns*, No. 3:05-cv-07393-JGC, Transcript at 4, 10, 14, 17 (N.D. Ohio Nov. 18, 2016).
- In September 2016, in granting final approval of the settlement, Judge Arleo commended the "vigorous and skilled efforts" of Robbins Geller attorneys for obtaining "an excellent recovery." Judge Arleo added that the settlement was reached after "contentious, hard-fought litigation" that ended with "a very, very good result for the class" in a "risky case." *City of Sterling Heights Gen. Emps.' Ret. Sys. v. Prudential Fin., Inc.*, No. 2:12-cv-05275-MCA-LDW, Transcript of Hearing at 18-20 (D.N.J. Sept. 28, 2016).
- In August 2015, at the final approval hearing for the settlement, the Honorable Karen M. Humphreys praised Robbins Geller's "extraordinary efforts" and "excellent lawyering," noting that the settlement "really does signal that the best is yet to come for your clients and for your prodigious labor as professionals. . . . I wish more citizens in our country could have an appreciation of what this [settlement] truly represents." *Bennett v. Sprint Nextel Corp.*, No. 2:09-cv-02122-EFM-KMH, Transcript at 8, 25 (D. Kan. Aug. 12, 2015).
- In August 2015, the Honorable Judge Max O. Cogburn, Jr. noted that "plaintiffs' attorneys were able [to] achieve the big success early" in the case and obtained an "excellent result." The "extraordinary" settlement was because of "good lawyers . . . doing their good work." *Nieman v. Duke Energy Corp.*, No. 3:12-cv-456, Transcript at 21, 23, 30 (W.D.N.C. Aug. 12, 2015).
- In July 2015, in approving the settlement, the Honorable Douglas L. Rayes of the District of Arizona stated: "Settlement of the case during pendency of appeal for more than an insignificant amount is rare. The settlement here is substantial and provides favorable recovery for the settlement class under these circumstances." He continued, noting, "[a]s against the objective measures of . . . settlements [in] other similar cases, [the recovery] is on the high end." *Teamsters Local 617 Pension & Welfare Funds v. Apollo Grp., Inc.*, No. 2:06-cv-02674-DLR, Transcript at 8, 11 (D. Ariz. July 28, 2015).
- In June 2015, at the conclusion of the hearing for final approval of the settlement, the Honorable Susan Richard Nelson of the District of Minnesota noted that it was "a pleasure to be able to preside over a

case like this,” praising Robbins Geller in achieving “an outstanding [result] for [its] clients,” as she was “very impressed with the work done on th[e] case.” *In re St. Jude Med., Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 0:10-cv-00851-SRN-TNL, Transcript at 7 (D. Minn. June 12, 2015).

- In May 2015, at the fairness hearing on the settlement, the Honorable William G. Young noted that the case was “very well litigated” by Robbins Geller attorneys, adding that “I don’t just say that as a matter of form. . . . I thank you for the vigorous litigation that I’ve been permitted to be a part of.” *Courtney v. Avid Tech., Inc.*, No. 1:13-cv-10686-WGY, Transcript at 8-9 (D. Mass. May 12, 2015).
- In January 2015, the Honorable William J. Haynes, Jr. of the Middle District of Tennessee described the settlement as a “highly favorable result achieved for the Class” through Robbins Geller’s “diligent prosecution . . . [and] quality of legal services.” The settlement represents the third largest securities recovery ever in the Middle District of Tennessee and the largest in more than a decade. *Garden City Emps.’ Ret. Sys. v. Psychiatric Sols., Inc.*, No. 3:09-cv-00882, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 181943, at \*6-\*7 (M.D. Tenn. Jan. 16, 2015).
- In September 2014, in approving the settlement for shareholders, Vice Chancellor John W. Noble noted “[t]he litigation caused a substantial benefit for the class. It is unusual to see a \$29 million recovery.” Vice Chancellor Noble characterized the litigation as “novel” and “not easy,” but “[t]he lawyers took a case and made something of it.” The Court commended Robbins Geller’s efforts in obtaining this result: “The standing and ability of counsel cannot be questioned” and “the benefits achieved by plaintiffs’ counsel in this case cannot be ignored.” *In re Gardner Denver, Inc. S’holder Litig.*, No. 8505-VCN, Transcript at 26-28 (Del. Ch. Sept. 3, 2014).
- In May 2014, at the conclusion of the hearing for final approval of the settlement, the Honorable Elihu M. Berle stated: “I would finally like to congratulate counsel on their efforts to resolve this case, on excellent work – it was the best interest of the class – and to the exhibition of professionalism. So I do thank you for all your efforts.” *Liberty Mutual Overtime Cases*, No. JCCP 4234, Transcript at 20:1-5 (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles Cty. May 29, 2014).
- In March 2014, Ninth Circuit Judge J. Clifford Wallace (presiding) expressed the gratitude of the court: “Thank you. I want to especially thank counsel for this argument. This is a very complicated case and I think we were assisted no matter how we come out by competent counsel coming well prepared. . . . It was a model of the type of an exercise that we appreciate. Thank you very much for your work . . . you were of service to the court.” *Eclectic Properties East, LLC v. The Marcus & Millichap Co.*, No. 12-16526, Transcript (9th Cir. Mar. 14, 2014).
- In February 2014, in approving a settlement, Judge Edward M. Chen noted the “very substantial risks” in the case and recognized Robbins Geller had performed “extensive work on the case.” *In re VeriFone Holdings, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. C-07-6140, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 20044, at \*5, \*11-\*12 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 18, 2014).
- In August 2013, in granting final approval of the settlement, the Honorable Richard J. Sullivan stated: “Lead Counsel is to be commended for this result: it expended considerable effort and resources over the course of the action researching, investigating, and prosecuting the claims, at significant risk to itself, and in a skillful and efficient manner, to achieve an outstanding recovery for class members. Indeed, the result – and the class’s embrace of it – is a testament to the experience and tenacity Lead Counsel brought to bear.” *City of Livonia Emps. Ret. Sys. v. Wyeth*, No. 07 Civ. 10329, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 113658, at \*13 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 7, 2013).

- In July 2013, in granting final approval of the settlement, the Honorable William H. Alsup stated that Robbins Geller did “excellent work in this case,” and continued, “I look forward to seeing you on the next case.” *Fraser v. Asus Comput. Int’l*, No. C 12-0652, Transcript at 12:2-3 (N.D. Cal. July 11, 2013).
- In June 2013, in certifying the class, U.S. District Judge James G. Carr recognized Robbins Geller’s steadfast commitment to the class, noting that “plaintiffs, with the help of Robbins Geller, have twice successfully appealed this court’s orders granting defendants’ motion to dismiss.” *Plumbers & Pipefitters Nat’l Pension Fund v. Burns*, 292 F.R.D. 515, 524 (N.D. Ohio 2013).
- In November 2012, in granting appointment of lead plaintiff, Chief Judge James F. Holderman commended Robbins Geller for its “substantial experience in securities class action litigation and is recognized as ‘one of the most successful law firms in securities class actions, if not the preeminent one, in the country.’” *In re Enron Corp. Sec.*, 586 F. Supp. 2d 732, 797 (S.D. Tex. 2008) (Harmon, J.). He continued further that, “‘Robbins Geller attorneys are responsible for obtaining the largest securities fraud class action recovery ever [\$7.2 billion in *Enron*], as well as the largest recoveries in the Fifth, Sixth, Eighth, Tenth and Eleventh Circuits.’” *Bristol Cty. Ret. Sys. v. Allscripts Healthcare Sols., Inc.*, No. 12 C 3297, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161441 at \*21 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 9, 2012).
- In June 2012, in granting plaintiffs’ motion for class certification, the Honorable Inge Prytz Johnson noted that other courts have referred to Robbins Geller as “‘one of the most successful law firms in securities class actions . . . in the country.’” *Local 703, I.B. v. Regions Fin. Corp.*, 282 F.R.D. 607, 616 (N.D. Ala. 2012) (quoting *In re Enron Corp. Sec. Litig.*, 586 F. Supp. 2d 732, 797 (S.D. Tex. 2008)), *aff’d in part and vacated in part on other grounds*, 762 F.3d 1248 (11th Cir. 2014).
- In June 2012, in granting final approval of the settlement, the Honorable Barbara S. Jones commented that “class counsel’s representation, from the work that I saw, appeared to me to be of the highest quality.” *In re CIT Grp. Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 08 Civ. 6613, Transcript at 9:16-18 (S.D.N.Y. June 13, 2012).
- In March 2012, in granting certification for the class, Judge Robert W. Sweet referenced the *Enron* case, agreeing that Robbins Geller’s “‘clearly superlative litigating and negotiating skills’” give the Firm an “‘outstanding reputation, experience, and success in securities litigation nationwide,’” thus, “[t]he experience, ability, and reputation of the attorneys of [Robbins Geller] is not disputed; it is one of the most successful law firms in securities class actions, if not the preeminent one, in the country.” *Billhofer v. Flamel Techs., S.A.*, 281 F.R.D. 150, 158 (S.D.N.Y. 2012).
- In March 2011, in denying defendants’ motion to dismiss, Judge Richard Sullivan commented: “Let me thank you all. . . . [The motion] was well argued . . . and . . . well briefed . . . . I certainly appreciate having good lawyers who put the time in to be prepared . . . .” *Anegada Master Fund Ltd. v. PxRE Grp. Ltd.*, No. 08-cv-10584, Transcript at 83 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 16, 2011).
- In January 2011, the court praised Robbins Geller attorneys: “They have gotten very good results for stockholders. . . . [Robbins Geller has] such a good track record.” *In re Compellent Technologies, Inc. S’holder Litig.*, No. 6084-VCL, Transcript at 20-21 (Del. Ch. Jan. 13, 2011).
- In August 2010, in reviewing the settlement papers submitted by the Firm, Judge Carlos Murguia stated that Robbins Geller performed “a commendable job of addressing the relevant issues with great detail and in a comprehensive manner . . . . The court respects the [Firm’s] experience in the field of derivative [litigation].” *Alaska Elec. Pension Fund v. Olofson*, No. 08-cv-02344-CM-JPO (D. Kan.) (Aug. 20, 2010 e-mail from court re: settlement papers).



- In June 2009, Judge Ira Warshawsky praised the Firm's efforts in *In re Aeroflex, Inc. S'holder Litig.*: "There is no doubt that the law firms involved in this matter represented in my opinion the cream of the crop of class action business law and mergers and acquisition litigators, and from a judicial point of view it was a pleasure working with them." *In re Aeroflex, Inc. S'holder Litig.*, No. 003943/07, Transcript at 25:14-18 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., Nassau Cty. June 30, 2009).
- In March 2009, in granting class certification, the Honorable Robert Sweet of the Southern District of New York commented in *In re NYSE Specialists Sec. Litig.*, 260 F.R.D. 55, 74 (S.D.N.Y. 2009): "As to the second prong, the Specialist Firms have not challenged, in this motion, the qualifications, experience, or ability of counsel for Lead Plaintiff, [Robbins Geller], to conduct this litigation. Given [Robbins Geller's] substantial experience in securities class action litigation and the extensive discovery already conducted in this case, this element of adequacy has also been satisfied."
- In June 2008, the court commented, "Plaintiffs' lead counsel in this litigation, [Robbins Geller], has demonstrated its considerable expertise in shareholder litigation, diligently advocating the rights of Home Depot shareholders in this Litigation. [Robbins Geller] has acted with substantial skill and professionalism in representing the plaintiffs and the interests of Home Depot and its shareholders in prosecuting this case." *City of Pontiac General Employees' Ret. Sys. v. Langone*, No. 2006-122302, Findings of Fact in Support of Order and Final Judgment at 2 (Ga. Super. Ct., Fulton Cty. June 10, 2008).
- In a December 2006 hearing on the \$50 million consumer privacy class action settlement in *Kehoe v. Fidelity Fed. Bank & Tr.*, No. 03-80593-CIV (S.D. Fla.), United States District Court Judge Daniel T.K. Hurley said the following:

First, I thank counsel. As I said repeatedly on both sides, we have been very, very fortunate. We have had fine lawyers on both sides. The issues in the case are significant issues. We are talking about issues dealing with consumer protection and privacy. Something that is increasingly important today in our society. . . . I want you to know I thought long and hard about this. I am absolutely satisfied that the settlement is a fair and reasonable settlement. . . . I thank the lawyers on both sides for the extraordinary effort that has been brought to bear here . . . .

*Kehoe v. Fidelity Fed. Bank & Tr.*, No. 03-80593-CIV, Transcript at 26, 28-29 (S.D. Fla. Dec. 7, 2007).

- In *Stanley v. Safeskin Corp.*, No. 99 CV 454 (S.D. Cal.), where Robbins Geller attorneys obtained \$55 million for the class of investors, Judge Moskowitz stated:

I said this once before, and I'll say it again. I thought the way that your firm handled this case was outstanding. This was not an easy case. It was a complicated case, and every step of the way, I thought they did a very professional job.

*Stanley v. Safeskin Corp.*, No. 99 CV 454, Transcript at 13 (S.D. Cal. May 25, 2004).

## Attorney Biographies

### Mario Alba Jr. | Partner

Mario Alba is a partner in the Firm's Melville office. He has served as lead counsel in numerous cases and is responsible for initiating, investigating, researching, and filing securities and consumer fraud class actions. He has recovered millions of dollars in numerous actions, including cases against NBTY, Inc. (\$16 million), OSI Pharmaceuticals (\$9 million recovery) and PXRe Group, Ltd. (\$5.9 million). Alba is also a member of the Firm's Institutional Outreach Team, which provides advice to the Firm's institutional clients, including numerous public pension systems and Taft-Hartley funds throughout the United States, and consults with them on issues relating to corporate fraud in the U.S. securities markets, as well as corporate governance issues and shareholder litigation. Some of Alba's institutional clients are currently involved in cases involving Microsoft Corp., Endo International PLC, L-3 Communications Holdings, Inc., Iconix Brand Group and BHP Billiton Limited. Alba has lectured at institutional investor conferences throughout the United States on various shareholder issues, including at the Illinois Public Pension Fund Association, the New York State Teamsters Conference, the American Alliance Conference, and the TEXPERS/IPPFA Joint Conference at the New York Stock Exchange, among others.

#### Education

B.S., St. John's University, 1999; J.D., Hofstra University School of Law, 2002

#### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2012-2013, 2016-2017; B.S., Dean's List, St. John's University, 1999; Selected as participant in Hofstra Moot Court Seminar, Hofstra University School of Law

## Susan K. Alexander | Partner

Susan Alexander is a partner in the Firm's San Francisco office. Alexander's practice specializes in federal appeals of securities fraud class actions on behalf of investors. With nearly 30 years of federal appellate experience, she has argued on behalf of defrauded investors in circuit courts throughout the United States. Among her most notable cases are *In re VeriFone Holdings, Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$95 million recovery), which is one of the largest securities class action settlements ever achieved in the Northern District of California, and the successful appellate ruling in *Alaska Elec. Pension Fund v. Flowserve Corp.* (\$55 million recovery). Other representative results include: *W. Va. Pipe Trades Health & Welfare Fund v. Medtronic, Inc.*, 845 F.3d 384 (8th Cir. 2016) (reversing summary judgment of securities fraud action on statute of limitations grounds); *In re Ubiquiti Networks, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 19141 (9th Cir. 2016) (reversing dismissal of §11 claim); *Carpenters Pension Tr. Fund of St. Louis v. Barclays PLC*, 750 F.3d 227 (2d Cir. 2014) (reversing dismissal of securities fraud complaint, focused on loss causation); *Panther Partners Inc. v. Ikanos Commc'ns, Inc.*, 681 F.3d 114 (2d Cir. 2012) (reversing dismissal of §11 claim); *City of Pontiac Gen. Emps. Ret. Sys. v. MBIA, Inc.*, 637 F.3d 169 (2d Cir. 2011) (reversing dismissal of securities fraud complaint, focused on statute of limitations); *In re Gilead Scis. Sec. Litig.*, 536 F.3d 1049 (9th Cir. 2008) (reversing dismissal of securities fraud complaint, focused on loss causation); and *Barrie v. Intervoice-Brite, Inc.*, 397 F.3d 249 (5th Cir. 2005) (reversing dismissal of securities fraud complaint, focused on scienter). Alexander's prior appellate work was with the California Appellate Project ("CAP"), where she prepared appeals and petitions for writs of *habeas corpus* on behalf of individuals sentenced to death. At CAP, and subsequently in private practice, she litigated and consulted on death penalty direct and collateral appeals for ten years.

### Education

B.A., Stanford University, 1983; J.D., University of California, Los Angeles, 1986

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, 2015-2017; American Academy of Appellate Lawyers; California Academy of Appellate Lawyers; Ninth Circuit Advisory Rules Committee; Appellate Delegate, Ninth Circuit Judicial Conference; ABA Council of Appellate Lawyers

## Jason H. Alperstein | Partner

Jason Alperstein is a partner in the Firm's Boca Raton office. He focuses his practice in the areas of class action, consumer fraud and securities litigation, and is admitted to the bars of both Florida and New York. Alperstein is an integral member of the *In re Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Marketing, Sales Practices, & Prods. Liab. Litig.* (N.D. Cal.) litigation team, prosecuting claims on behalf of almost 600,000 consumers who were duped into purchasing and leasing Volkswagen, Audi and Porsche vehicles that were marketed as environmentally friendly, yet spewed toxic pollutants up to 40 times the legal limit permitted by the EPA. Working closely with named partner Paul J. Geller, who was selected by Judge Charles R. Breyer to serve as a member of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee, Alperstein has been involved in almost all aspects of the litigation. The settlement pertaining to the 2.0-liter vehicles at issue, in combination with the related government settlements, is valued at over \$17 billion and represents arguably the largest consumer class action settlement in U.S. history. He is also actively involved in a number of nationwide class actions currently pending throughout the country, including *In re National Hockey League Players' Concussion Injury Litig.* (D. Minn.), involving the NHL's failure to warn players of the risk of long-term neurological damages from repetitive head trauma, and *Evans v. Arizona Cardinals Football Club, LLC* (N.D. Cal.), involving the conspiracy of the NFL and its Clubs to violate federal drug laws to ensure that injured players returned to play as soon as possible.

Alperstein has also served on lead and co-lead litigation teams in nationwide and statewide class action lawsuits against dozens of the largest banking institutions in connection with the unlawful assessment of checking account overdraft fees. His efforts resulted in over \$250 million in settlements for his clients and significant changes in the way banks charge overdraft fees to their customers. In addition, he has led consumer class actions against national banks for illegal payday lending, consumer product manufacturers for false and deceptive labeling, and some of the world's largest clothing retailers for their use of false and deceptive comparative pricing in their outlet stores.

### Education

B.A., Brown University, 2004; M.B.A., University of Miami School of Business, 2008, J.D., University of Miami School of Law, 2008

### Honors / Awards

Under 40 Hotlist, *Benchmark Litigation*, 2017; Rising Star, Consumer Protection, *Law360*, 2017; Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2014-2017; J.D., *Cum Laude*, University of Miami School of Law, 2008

## Matthew I. Alpert | Partner

Matthew Alpert is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office and focuses on the prosecution of securities fraud litigation. He has helped recover over \$800 million for individual and institutional investors financially harmed by corporate fraud. Alpert's current cases include securities fraud cases against Marvell Technology (N.D. Cal.), Diplomat Pharmacy (E.D. Mich.), Valeant (D.N.J.), Santander Consumer USA (N.D. Tex.) and Banc of California (C.D. Cal.). Alpert is part of the litigation team that successfully obtained class certification in a securities fraud class action against Regions Financial, a class certification decision which was substantively affirmed by the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit in *Local 703, I.B. of T. Grocery & Food Emps. Welfare Fund v. Regions Fin. Corp.*, 762 F.3d 1248 (11th Cir. 2014). Upon remand, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama granted class certification again, rejecting defendants' post-*Halliburton II* arguments concerning stock price impact.

### Education

B.A., University of Wisconsin at Madison, 2001; J.D., Washington University, St. Louis, 2005

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2015-2017

## Darryl J. Alvarado | Partner

Darryl Alvarado is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. Alvarado focuses his practice on securities fraud and other complex civil litigation. Alvarado helped secure \$388 million for investors in J.P. Morgan RMBS in *Fort Worth Emps.' Ret. Fund v. J.P. Morgan Chase & Co.* That settlement is, on a percentage basis, the largest recovery ever achieved in an RMBS class action. He was also a member of a team of attorneys that secured \$95 million for investors in Morgan Stanley-issued RMBS in *In re Morgan Stanley Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates Litig.* In addition, Alvarado was a member of a team of lawyers that obtained landmark settlements, on the eve of trial, from the major credit rating agencies and Morgan Stanley arising out of the fraudulent ratings of bonds issued by the Cheyne and Rhinebridge structured investment vehicles in *Abu Dhabi Commercial Bank v. Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated* and *King County, Washington v. IKB Deutsche Industriebank AG*. He was integral in obtaining several precedent-setting decisions in those cases, including defeating the rating agencies' historic First Amendment defense and defeating the ratings agencies' motions for summary judgment concerning the actionability of credit ratings.

### Education

B.A., University of California, Santa Barbara, 2004; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law, 2007

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2015-2017; "Outstanding Young Attorneys," *San Diego Daily Transcript*, 2011

## X. Jay Alvarez | Partner

Jay Alvarez is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. He focuses his practice on securities fraud litigation and other complex litigation. Alvarez's notable cases include *In re Qwest Commc'ns Int'l, Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$400 million recovery), *In re Coca-Cola Sec. Litig.* (\$137.5 million settlement), *In re St. Jude Medical, Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$50 million settlement) and *In re Cooper Cos. Sec. Litig.* (\$27 million recovery). Most recently, Alvarez was a member of the litigation team that secured a historic recovery on behalf of Trump University students in two class actions against President Donald J. Trump. The settlement provides \$25 million to approximately 7,000 consumers. This result means individual class members will be eligible for upwards of \$35,000 in restitution. He represented the class on a *pro bono* basis.

Prior to joining the Firm, Alvarez served as an Assistant United States Attorney for the Southern District of California from 1991-2003. As an Assistant United States Attorney, he obtained extensive trial experience, including the prosecution of bank fraud, money laundering and complex narcotics conspiracy cases. During his tenure as an Assistant United States Attorney, Alvarez also briefed and argued numerous appeals before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

### Education

B.A., University of California, Berkeley, 1984; J.D., University of California, Berkeley, Boalt Hall School of Law, 1987

## Stephen R. Astley | Partner

Stephen Astley is a partner in the Firm's Boca Raton office. Astley devotes his practice to representing institutional and individual shareholders in their pursuit to recover investment losses caused by fraud. He has been lead counsel in numerous securities fraud class actions across the country, helping secure significant recoveries for his clients and investors. He was on the trial team that recovered \$60 million on behalf of investors in *City of Sterling Heights Gen. Emps.' Ret. Sys. v. Hospira, Inc.* Other notable representations include: *In re Red Hat, Inc. Sec. Litig.* (E.D.N.C.) (\$20 million settlement); *Eshe Fund v. Fifth Third Bancorp* (S.D. Ohio) (\$16 million); *City of St. Clair Shores Gen. Emps.' Ret. Sys. v. Lender Processing Servs., Inc.* (M.D. Fla.) (\$14 million); and *In re Synovus Fin. Corp.* (N.D. Ga.) (\$11.75 million).

Prior to joining the Firm, Astley was with the Miami office of Hunton & Williams, where he concentrated his practice on class action defense, including securities class actions and white collar criminal defense. Additionally, he represented numerous corporate clients accused of engaging in unfair and deceptive practices. Astley was also an active duty member of the United States Navy's Judge Advocate General's Corps where he was the Senior Defense Counsel for the Naval Legal Service Office Pearl Harbor Detachment. In that capacity, Astley oversaw trial operations for the Detachment and gained substantial first-chair trial experience as the lead defense counsel in over 75 courts-martial and administrative proceedings. Additionally, from 2002-2003, Astley clerked for the Honorable Peter T. Fay, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit.

### Education

B.S., Florida State University, 1992; M. Acc., University of Hawaii at Manoa, 2001; J.D., University of Miami School of Law, 1997

### Honors / Awards

J.D., *Cum Laude*, University of Miami School of Law, 1997; United States Navy Judge Advocate General's Corps., Lieutenant

## A. Rick Atwood, Jr. | Partner

Rick Atwood is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. As a recipient of the California Lawyer Attorney of the Year ("CLAY") Award for his work on behalf of shareholders, he has successfully represented shareholders in securities class actions, merger-related class actions, and shareholder derivative suits in federal and state courts in more than 30 jurisdictions. Through his litigation efforts at both the trial and appellate levels, Atwood has helped recover billions of dollars for public shareholders, including the largest post-merger common fund recoveries on record.

Most recently, in *In re Dole Food Co., Inc. Stockholder Litig.*, which went to trial in the Delaware Court of Chancery on claims of breach of fiduciary duty on behalf of Dole Food Co., Inc. shareholders, Atwood helped obtain \$148 million, the largest trial verdict ever in a class action challenging a merger transaction. He was also a key member of the litigation team in *In re Kinder Morgan, Inc. S'holders Litig.*, where he helped obtain an unprecedented \$200 million common fund for former Kinder Morgan shareholders, the largest merger & acquisition class action recovery in history.

Atwood also led the litigation team that obtained an \$89.4 million recovery for shareholders in *In re Del Monte Foods Co. S'holders Litig.*, after which the Delaware Court of Chancery stated that "it was only through the effective use of discovery that the plaintiffs were able to 'disturb[ ] the patina of normalcy surrounding the transaction.'" The court further commented that "Lead Counsel engaged in hard-nosed discovery to penetrate and expose problems with practices that Wall Street considered 'typical.'" One Wall Street banker even wrote in *The Wall Street Journal* that "Everybody does it, but Barclays is the one that got caught with their hand in the cookie jar . . . . Now everybody has to rethink how we conduct ourselves in financing situations." Atwood's other significant opinions include *Brown v. Brewer* (\$45 million recovery) and *In re Prime Hospitality, Inc. S'holders Litig.* (\$25 million recovery).

### Education

B.A., University of Tennessee, Knoxville, 1987; B.A., Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium, 1988; J.D., Vanderbilt School of Law, 1991

### Honors / Awards

Recommended Lawyer, *The Legal 500*, 2017; M&A Litigation Attorney of the Year in California, *Corporate International*, 2015; Super Lawyer, 2014-2017; Attorney of the Year, *California Lawyer*, 2012; B.A., Great Distinction, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium, 1988; B.A., Honors, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, 1987; Authorities Editor, *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law*, 1991



## Aelish M. Baig | Partner

Aelish Marie Baig is a partner in the Firm's San Francisco office. She specializes in federal securities and consumer class actions. She focuses primarily on securities fraud litigation on behalf of individual and institutional investors, including state and municipal pension funds, Taft-Hartley funds, and private retirement and investment funds. Baig has litigated a number of cases through jury trial, resulting in multi-million dollar awards and settlements for her clients and has prosecuted securities fraud, consumer and derivative actions obtaining millions of dollars in recoveries against corporations such as Wells Fargo, Verizon, Celera, Pall and Prudential.

Baig prosecuted an action against Wells Fargo's directors and officers accusing the giant of engaging in robo-signing foreclosure papers so as to mass-process home foreclosures, a practice which contributed significantly to the 2008-2009 financial crisis. The resulting settlement was worth more than \$67 million in cash, corporate preventative measures and new lending initiatives for residents of cities devastated by Wells Fargo's alleged unlawful foreclosure practices. Baig was part of the litigation and trial team in *White v. Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless*, which resulted in a \$25 million settlement and Verizon's agreement to an injunction restricting its ability to impose early termination fees in future subscriber agreements. She was also part of the team that prosecuted dozens of stock option backdating actions, securing tens of millions of dollars in cash recoveries as well as the implementation of comprehensive corporate governance enhancements for numerous companies victimized by their directors' and officers' fraudulent stock option backdating practices. Additionally, Baig prosecuted an action against Prudential Insurance for its alleged failure to pay life insurance benefits to beneficiaries of policyholders it knew or had reason to know had died, resulting in a settlement in excess of \$30 million.

### Education

B.A., Brown University, 1992; J.D., Washington College of Law at American University, 1998

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, 2012-2013; J.D., *Cum Laude*, Washington College of Law at American University, 1998; Senior Editor, *Administrative Law Review*, Washington College of Law at American University

## Randall J. Baron | Partner

Randy Baron is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. He specializes in securities litigation, corporate takeover litigation and breach of fiduciary duty actions. For almost two decades, Baron has headed up a team of lawyers whose accomplishments include obtaining instrumental rulings both at injunction and trial phases, establishing liability of financial advisors and investment banks. With an in-depth understanding of merger and acquisition and breach of fiduciary duty law, an ability to work under extreme time pressures, and the experience and willingness to take a case through trial, he has been responsible for recovering more than a billion dollars for shareholders. Notable achievements over the years include: *In re Kinder Morgan, Inc. S'holders Litig.* (Kan. Dist. Ct., Shawnee Cty.) (\$200 million common fund for former Kinder Morgan shareholders, the largest merger & acquisition class action recovery in history); *In re Dole Food Co., Inc. Stockholder Litig.* (Del. Ch.) (obtained \$148 million, the largest trial verdict ever in a class action challenging a merger transaction); and *In re Rural/Metro Corp. Stockholders Litig.* (Del. Ch.) (Baron and co-counsel obtained nearly \$110 million for shareholders against Royal Bank of Canada Capital Markets LLC). In *In re Del Monte Foods Co. S'holders Litig.* (Del. Ch.), he exposed the unseemly practice by investment bankers of participating on both sides of large merger and acquisition transactions and ultimately secured an \$89 million settlement for shareholders of Del Monte. Baron was one of the lead attorneys representing about 75 public and private institutional investors that filed and settled individual actions in *In re WorldCom Sec. Litig.* (S.D.N.Y.), where more than \$657 million was recovered, the largest opt-out (non-class) securities action in history. In *In re Dollar Gen. Corp. S'holder Litig.* (Tenn. Cir. Ct., Davidson Cty.), Baron was lead trial counsel and helped to secure a settlement of up to \$57 million in a common fund shortly before trial, and in *Brown v. Brewer* (C.D. Cal.), he secured \$45 million for shareholders of Intermix Corporation, relating to News Corp.'s acquisition of that company. Formerly, Baron served as a Deputy District Attorney from 1990-1997 in Los Angeles County.

### Education

B.A., University of Colorado at Boulder, 1987; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law, 1990

### Honors / Awards

Leading Lawyer, *Chambers USA*, 2016-2017; Litigation Star, *Benchmark Litigation*, 2016-2017; Leading Lawyer, *The Legal 500*, 2014-2017; Recommended Lawyer, *The Legal 500*, 2017; Leading Lawyer in America, *Lawdragon*, 2011, 2017; Super Lawyer, 2014-2016; Mergers & Acquisitions Trailblazer, *The National Law Journal*, 2015-2016; Litigator of the Week, *The American Lawyer*, October 16, 2014; Attorney of the Year, *California Lawyer*, 2012; Litigator of the Week, *The American Lawyer*, October 7, 2011; J.D., *Cum Laude*, University of San Diego School of Law, 1990

## James E. Barz | Partner

James Barz is a partner at the Firm, manages the Firm's Chicago office, and is one of the co-leaders of the Firm's whistleblower practice. He is a former federal prosecutor and registered CPA with extensive experience in complex litigation. Barz has been lead counsel in approximately 20 jury trials and argued 9 appeals in the Seventh Circuit. He has been an adjunct professor at Northwestern University School of Law from 2008 to 2016, teaching courses on trial advocacy and class action litigation. Barz has focused on representing investors in securities fraud class actions that have resulted in recoveries of over \$900 million, including: *HCA* (\$215 million, M.D. Tenn.); *Motorola* (\$200 million, N.D. Ill.); *Sprint* (\$131 million, D. Kan.); *Psychiatric Solutions* (\$65 million, M.D. Tenn.); Dana Corp. (\$64 million, N.D. Ohio); and *Hospira* (\$60 million, N.D. Ill.). He has been lead or co-lead trial counsel in several of these cases obtaining favorable settlements just days or weeks before trial and after obtaining denials of summary judgment. Barz is currently representing investors in securities fraud litigation against Valeant Pharmaceuticals Inc. (D.N.J.). Barz also has responsibilities for Firm training and professional responsibility matters.

Prior to joining the Firm, Barz was a partner at Mayer Brown LLP from 2006 to 2011 and an associate from 1998 to 2002. At Mayer Brown, he was active in their pro bono program where, in his first jury trial, he won an acquittal on all charges and, in his first appeal, he obtained the reversal of a decades-old conviction where the trial judge had solicited a bribe. From 2002 to 2006 he served as an Assistant United States Attorney in Chicago, trying cases and supervising investigations involving public corruption, financial frauds, tax offenses, money laundering, and drug and firearm offenses. He successfully obtained a conviction against every defendant who went to trial.

### Education

B.B.A., Loyola University Chicago, School of Business Administration, 1995; J.D., Northwestern University School of Law, 1998

### Honors / Awards

B.B.A., *Summa Cum Laude*, Loyola University Chicago, School of Business Administration, 1995; J.D., *Cum Laude*, Northwestern University School of Law, 1998

## Nathan W. Bear | Partner

Nate Bear is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. Bear advises institutional investors on a global basis. His clients include Taft-Hartley funds, public and multi-employer pension funds, fund managers, insurance companies and banks around the world. He counsels clients on securities fraud and corporate governance, and frequently speaks at conferences worldwide. He has recovered over \$1 billion for investors, including *In re Cardinal Health, Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$600 million) and *Jones v. Pfizer Inc.* (\$400 million). In addition to initiating securities fraud class actions in the United States, he possesses direct experience in potential group actions in the United Kingdom, settlements in the European Union under the Wet Collectieve Afwikkeling Massaschade (WCAM), the Dutch Collective Mass Claims Settlement Act, as well as representative actions in Germany utilizing the Kapitalanlegermusterverfahrensgesetz (KapMuG), the Capital Market Investors' Model Proceeding Act. In *Abu Dhabi Commercial Bank v. Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc.*, Bear commenced a lawsuit resulting in the first major ruling upholding fraud allegations against the chief credit rating agencies. That ruling led to the filing of a similar case, *King County, Washington v. IKB Deutsche Industriebank AG*. These cases, arising from the fraudulent ratings of bonds issued by the Cheyne and Rhinebridge structured investment vehicles, ultimately obtained landmark settlements – on the eve of trial – from the major credit rating agencies and Morgan Stanley. Bear maintained an active role in litigation at the heart of the worldwide financial crisis, and is currently pursuing banks over their manipulation of LIBOR, FOREX and other benchmark rates.

### Education

B.A., University of California at Berkeley, 1998; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law, 2006

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2015-2016; "Outstanding Young Attorneys," *San Diego Daily Transcript*, 2011

## Alexandra S. Bernay | Partner

Xan Bernay is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office, where she specializes in antitrust and unfair competition class-action litigation. She has also worked on some of the Firm's largest securities fraud class actions, including the *Enron* litigation, which recovered an unprecedented \$7.2 billion for investors. Bernay's current practice focuses on the prosecution of antitrust and consumer fraud cases. In *In re Payment Card Interchange Fee and Merchant Discount Antitrust Litig.* Bernay serves as co-lead counsel. That case, pending in the Eastern District of New York, is brought on behalf of millions of U.S. merchants against Visa and MasterCard and various card-issuing banks.

Additionally, Bernay is involved in antitrust cases on behalf of various generic drug purchasers who allege a wide-ranging scheme against major drug companies. She is also a member of the team in *In re Digital Music Antitrust Litig.*, pending in the Southern District of New York. In the past, Bernay was actively involved in the consumer action on behalf of bank customers who were overcharged for debit card transactions. That case, *In re Checking Account Overdraft Litig.*, resulted in more than \$500 million in settlements with major banks that manipulated customers' debit transactions to maximize overdraft fees.

### Education

B.A., Humboldt State University, 1997; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law, 2000

### Honors / Awards

Litigator of the Week, *Global Competition Review*, October 1, 2014

## Erin W. Boardman | Partner

Erin Boardman is a partner in the Firm's Melville office, where her practice focuses on representing individual and institutional investors in class actions brought pursuant to the federal securities laws. She has been involved in the prosecution of numerous securities class actions that have resulted in millions of dollars in recoveries for defrauded investors, including: *Medoff v. CVS Caremark Corp.* (D.R.I.) (\$48 million recovery); *Construction Laborers Pension Trust of Greater St. Louis v. Autoliv Inc.* (S.D.N.Y.) (\$22.5 million recovery); *In re Gildan Activewear Inc. Sec. Litig.* (S.D.N.Y.) (resolved as part of a \$22.5 million global settlement); *In re L.G. Phillips LCD Co., Ltd., Sec. Litig.* (S.D.N.Y.) (\$18 million recovery); *In re Giant Interactive Grp., Inc. Sec. Litig.* (S.D.N.Y.) (\$13 million recovery); *In re Coventry HealthCare, Inc. Sec. Litig.* (D. Md.) (\$10 million recovery); *Lenartz v. American Superconductor Corp.* (D. Mass.) (\$10 million recovery); *Dudley v. Haub* (D.N.J.) (\$9 million recovery); *Hildenbrand v. W Holding Co.* (D.P.R.) (\$8.75 million recovery); *In re Doral Financial Corp. Sec. Litig.* (D.P.R.) (\$7 million recovery); and *Van Dongen v. CNinsure Inc.* (S.D.N.Y.) (\$6.625 million recovery). During law school, Boardman served as Associate Managing Editor of the *Journal of Corporate, Financial and Commercial Law* interned in the chambers of the Honorable Kiyo A. Matsumoto in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, and represented individuals on a *pro bono* basis through the Workers' Rights Clinic.

### Education

B.A., State University of New York at Binghamton, 2003; J.D., Brooklyn Law School, 2007

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2015-2017; B.A., *Magna Cum Laude*, State University of New York at Binghamton, 2003

## Douglas R. Britton | Partner

Doug Britton is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. His practice focuses on securities fraud and corporate governance. Britton has been involved in settlements exceeding \$1 billion and has secured significant corporate governance enhancements to improve corporate functioning. Notable achievements include *In re WorldCom, Inc. Sec. & "ERISA" Litig.*, where he was one of the lead partners that represented a number of opt-out institutional investors and secured an unprecedented recovery of \$651 million; *In re SureBeam Corp. Sec. Litig.*, where he was the lead trial counsel and secured an impressive recovery of \$32.75 million; and *In re Amazon.com, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, where he was one of the lead attorneys securing a \$27.5 million recovery for investors.

### Education

B.B.A., Washburn University, 1991; J.D., Pepperdine University School of Law, 1996

### Honors / Awards

J.D., *Cum Laude*, Pepperdine University School of Law, 1996

## Luke O. Brooks | Partner

Luke Brooks is a partner in the Firm's securities litigation practice group in the San Diego office. He focuses primarily on securities fraud litigation on behalf of individual and institutional investors, including state and municipal pension funds, Taft-Hartley funds, and private retirement and investment funds. Brooks was on the trial team in *Jaffe v. Household Int'l, Inc.*, a securities class action that obtained a record-breaking \$1.575 billion settlement after 14 years of litigation, including a six-week jury trial in 2009 that resulted in a verdict for plaintiffs. Other prominent cases recently prosecuted by Brooks include *Fort Worth Employees' Retirement Fund v. J.P. Morgan Chase & Co.*, in which plaintiffs recovered \$388 million for investors in J.P. Morgan residential mortgage-backed securities, and a pair of cases – *Abu Dhabi Commercial Bank v. Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc.* ("Cheyne") and *King County, Washington, et al. v. IKB Deutsche Industriebank AG* ("Rhinebridge") – in which plaintiffs obtained a settlement, on the eve of trial in Cheyne, from the major credit rating agencies and Morgan Stanley arising out of the fraudulent ratings of bonds issued by the Cheyne and Rhinebridge structured investment vehicles.

### Education

B.A., University of Massachusetts at Amherst, 1997; J.D., University of San Francisco, 2000

### Honors / Awards

Local Litigation Star, *Benchmark Litigation*, 2017; Recommended Lawyer, *The Legal 500*, 2017; Member, *University of San Francisco Law Review*, University of San Francisco

## Andrew J. Brown | Partner

Andrew Brown is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office where his practice focuses on securities fraud, shareholder derivative and corporate governance litigation. He has worked on a variety of cases, recovering over \$1 billion for investors and achieving precedent-setting changes in corporate practices. Brown's most notable cases include: *In re UnitedHealth Grp. Inc. PSLRA Litig.* (\$895 million settlement); *Local 703, I.B. of T. Grocery & Food Emps. Welfare Fund v. Regions Fin. Corp.*, 762 F.3d 1248 (11th Cir. 2014) (\$90 million settlement); *In re Questcor Sec. Litig.*, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 142865 (C.D. Cal. 2013) (\$38 million settlement); *In re Constar Int'l Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$23.5 million settlement); and *Freidus v. Barclays Bank Plc*, 734 F.3d 132 (2d Cir. 2013). Prior to joining the Firm, Brown worked as a trial lawyer for the San Diego County Public Defender's Office. He later opened his own firm in San Diego, representing consumers and insureds in lawsuits against major insurance companies.

### Education

B.A., University of Chicago, 1988; J.D., University of California, Hastings College of the Law, 1992



## Spencer A. Burkholz | Partner

Spence Burkholz is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office and a member of the Firm's Executive and Management Committees. He has 21 years of experience in prosecuting securities class actions and private actions on behalf of large institutional investors. Burkholz was one of the lead trial attorneys in *Jaffe v. Household Int'l, Inc.*, a securities class action that obtained a record-breaking \$1.575 billion settlement after 14 years of litigation, including a six-week jury trial in 2009 that resulted in a verdict for plaintiffs. Burkholz has also recovered billions of dollars for injured shareholders in cases such as *Enron* (\$7.2 billion), *WorldCom* (\$657 million), *Countrywide* (\$500 million) and *Qwest* (\$445 million). He is currently representing large institutional investors in actions involving the credit crisis.

### Education

B.A., Clark University, 1985; J.D., University of Virginia School of Law, 1989

### Honors / Awards

Best Lawyer in America, *Best Lawyers®*, 2018; Recommended Lawyer, *The Legal 500*, 2017; Local Litigation Star, *Benchmark Litigation*, 2015-2017; Top Lawyer in San Diego, *San Diego Magazine*, 2013-2017; Super Lawyer, 2015-2016; B.A., *Cum Laude*, Clark University, 1985; *Phi Beta Kappa*, Clark University, 1985

## Joseph D. Daley | Partner

Joseph Daley is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office, serves on the Firm's Securities Hiring Committee, and is a member of the Firm's Appellate Practice Group. Precedents include: *DeJulius v. New Eng. Health Care Emps. Pension Fund*, 429 F.3d 935 (10th Cir. 2005); *Frank v. Dana Corp.* ("Dana I"), 547 F.3d 564 (6th Cir. 2008); *Frank v. Dana Corp.* ("Dana II"), 646 F.3d 954 (6th Cir. 2011); *Freidus v. Barclays Bank Plc*, 734 F.3d 132 (2d Cir. 2013); *In re HealthSouth Corp. Sec. Litig.*, 334 F. App'x 248 (11th Cir. 2009); *In re Merck & Co. Sec., Derivative & ERISA Litig.*, 493 F.3d 393 (3d Cir. 2007); *In re Quality Sys., Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 865 F.3d 1130 (9th Cir. 2017); *In re Qwest Commc'ns Int'l*, 450 F.3d 1179 (10th Cir. 2006); *Luther v. Countrywide Home Loans Servicing LP*, 533 F.3d 1031 (9th Cir. 2008); *NECA-IBEW Health & Welfare Fund v. Goldman Sachs & Co.*, 693 F.3d 145 (2d Cir. 2012); *Rosenbloom v. Pyott* ("Allergan"), 765 F.3d 1137 (9th Cir. 2014); *Silverman v. Motorola Solutions, Inc.*, 739 F.3d 956 (7th Cir. 2013); *Siracusano v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc.*, 585 F.3d 1167 (9th Cir. 2009), *aff'd*, 563 U.S. 27 (2011); and *Southland Sec. Corp. v. INSpire Ins. Solutions Inc.*, 365 F.3d 353 (5th Cir. 2004). Daley is admitted to practice before the U.S. Supreme Court, as well as before 12 U.S. Courts of Appeals around the nation.

### Education

B.S., Jacksonville University, 1981; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law, 1996

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, 2011-2012, 2014-2017; Appellate Moot Court Board, Order of the Barristers, University of San Diego School of Law; Best Advocate Award (Traynore Constitutional Law Moot Court Competition), First Place and Best Briefs (Alumni Torts Moot Court Competition and USD Jessup International Law Moot Court Competition)

## Patrick W. Daniels | Partner

Patrick Daniels is a founding and managing partner in the Firm's San Diego office. He is widely recognized as a leading corporate governance and investor advocate. The *Daily Journal*, the leading legal publisher in California, named him one of the 20 most influential lawyers in California under 40 years of age. Additionally, the Yale School of Management's Millstein Center for Corporate Governance and Performance awarded Daniels its "Rising Star of Corporate Governance" honor for his outstanding leadership in shareholder advocacy and activism. Daniels counsels private and state government pension funds, central banks and fund managers in the United States, Australia, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom, the Netherlands, and other countries within the European Union on issues related to corporate fraud in the United States securities markets and on "best practices" in the corporate governance of publicly traded companies. Daniels has represented dozens of institutional investors in some of the largest and most significant shareholder actions, including *Enron*, *WorldCom*, *AOL Time Warner*, *BP*, *Pfizer*, *Countrywide*, *Petrobras* and *Volkswagen*, to name just a few. In the wake of the financial crisis, he represented dozens of investors in structured investment products in groundbreaking actions against the ratings agencies and Wall Street banks that packaged and sold supposedly highly rated shoddy securities to institutional investors all around the world.

### Education

B.A., University of California, Berkeley, 1993; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law, 1997

### Honors / Awards

One of the Most 20 Most Influential Lawyers in the State of California Under 40 Years of Age, *Daily Journal*; Rising Star of Corporate Governance, Yale School of Management's Milstein Center for Corporate Governance & Performance; B.A., *Cum Laude*, University of California, Berkeley, 1993

## Stuart A. Davidson | Partner

Stuart Davidson is a partner in the Firm's Boca Raton office. His practice focuses on complex consumer class actions, including cases involving deceptive and unfair trade practices, privacy and data breach issues, as well as representing investors in class actions involving mergers and acquisitions, and prosecuting derivative lawsuits on behalf of public corporations. Since joining the Firm, Davidson has obtained multi-million dollar recoveries for consumers, healthcare providers and shareholders, including cases involving Aetna Health, Vista Healthplan, Fidelity Federal Bank & Trust, Winn-Dixie, and UnitedGlobalCom. He currently serves as co-lead counsel for hundreds of retired NHL players in *In re NHL Players' Concussion Injury Litigation* in the District of Minnesota, serves as co-lead counsel on behalf of over one thousand retired NFL players in *Evans v. Arizona Cardinals Football Club, LLC* in the Northern District of California regarding the illegal distribution of painkillers and other drugs to players, and is actively assisting the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in *In re Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Litigation* in the Northern District of California, a case involving Volkswagen's worldwide emissions cheating scandal.

Davidson is a former lead assistant public defender in the Felony Division of the Broward County, Florida Public Defender's Office. During his tenure at the Public Defender's Office, he tried over 30 jury trials, conducted hundreds of depositions, handled numerous evidentiary hearings, engaged in extensive motion practice, and defended individuals charged with major crimes ranging from third-degree felonies to life and capital felonies.

### Education

B.A., State University of New York at Geneseo, 1993; J.D., Nova Southeastern University Shepard Broad Law Center, 1996

### Honors / Awards

J.D., *Summa Cum Laude*, Nova Southeastern University Shepard Broad Law Center, 1996; Associate Editor, *Nova Law Review*, Book Awards in Trial Advocacy, Criminal Pretrial Practice and International Law

## Jason C. Davis | Partner

Jason Davis is a partner in the Firm's San Francisco office where he practices securities class actions and complex litigation involving equities, fixed-income, synthetic and structured securities issued in public and private transactions. Davis was on the trial team in *Jaffe v. Household Int'l, Inc.*, a securities class action that obtained a record-breaking \$1.575 billion settlement after 14 years of litigation, including a six-week jury trial in 2009 that resulted in a verdict for plaintiffs.

Prior to joining the Firm, Davis focused on cross-border transactions, mergers and acquisitions at Cravath, Swaine and Moore LLP in New York.

### Education

B.A., Syracuse University, 1998; J.D., University of California at Berkeley, Boalt Hall School of Law, 2002

### Honors / Awards

B.A., *Summa Cum Laude*, Syracuse University, 1998; International Relations Scholar of the year, Syracuse University; Teaching fellow, examination awards, Moot court award, University of California at Berkeley, Boalt Hall School of Law

## Mark J. Dearman | Partner

Mark Dearman is a partner in the Firm's Boca Raton office, where his practice focuses on consumer fraud, securities fraud, mass torts, antitrust, whistleblower and corporate takeover litigation. Dearman was most recently appointed to the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee in *In re FieldTurf Artificial Turf Mktg. Practices Litig.*, which alleges that FieldTurf USA Inc. and its related companies sold defective synthetic turf for use in athletic fields. His other recent representative cases include: *In re NHL Players' Concussion Injury Litig.*, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 38755 (D. Minn. 2015); *In re Sony Gaming Networks & Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, 903 F. Supp. 2d 942 (S.D. Cal. 2012); *In re Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Mktg. Sales Practice, & Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 1357 (N.D. Cal. 2016); *In re Ford Fusion & C-Max Fuel Econ. Litig.*, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 155383 (S.D.N.Y. 2015); *Looper v. FCA US LLC*, No. 5:14-cv-00700 (C.D. Cal.); *In re Aluminum Warehousing Antitrust Litig.*, 95 F. Supp. 3d 419 (S.D.N.Y. 2015), *aff'd*, 833 F.3d 151 (2d Cir. 2016); *In re Liquid Aluminum Sulfate Antitrust Litig.*, No. 16-md-2687 (D.N.J.); *In re Winn-Dixie Stores, Inc. S'holder Litig.*, No. 16-2011-CA-010616 (Fla. 4th Jud. Cir. Ct., Duval Cty.); *Gemelas v. Dannon Co. Inc.*, No. 1:08-cv-00236 (N.D. Ohio); and *In re AuthenTec, Inc. S'holder Litig.*, No. 05-2012-CA-57589 (Fla. 18th Jud. Cir. Ct., Brevard Cty.). Prior to joining the Firm, he founded Dearman & Gerson, where he defended Fortune 500 companies, with an emphasis on complex commercial litigation, consumer claims, and mass torts (products liability and personal injury), and has obtained extensive jury trial experience throughout the United States. Having represented defendants for so many years before joining the Firm, Dearman has a unique perspective that enables him to represent clients effectively.

### Education

B.A., University of Florida, 1990; J.D., Nova Southeastern University, 1993

### Honors / Awards

AV rated by Martindale-Hubbell; Super Lawyer, 2014-2017; In top 1.5% of Florida Civil Trial Lawyers in *Florida Trend's* Florida Legal Elite, 2006, 2004

## Travis E. Downs III | Partner

Travis Downs is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. His areas of expertise include prosecution of shareholder and securities litigation, including complex shareholder derivative actions. Downs led a team of lawyers who successfully prosecuted over sixty-five stock option backdating derivative actions in federal and state courts across the country, resulting in hundreds of millions in financial givebacks for the plaintiffs and extensive corporate governance enhancements, including annual directors elections, majority voting for directors and shareholder nomination of directors. Notable cases include: *In re Community Health Sys., Inc. S'holder Derivative Litig.* (\$60 million in financial relief and unprecedented corporate governance reforms); *In re Marvell Tech. Grp. Ltd. Derivative Litig.* (\$54 million in financial relief and extensive corporate governance enhancements); *In re McAfee, Inc. Derivative Litig.* (\$30 million in financial relief and extensive corporate governance enhancements); *In re Affiliated Computer Servs. Derivative Litig.* (\$30 million in financial relief and extensive corporate governance enhancements); *In re KB Home S'holder Derivative Litig.* (\$30 million in financial relief and extensive corporate governance enhancements); *In re Juniper Networks Derivative Litig.* (\$22.7 million in financial relief and extensive corporate governance enhancements); and *In re Nvidia Corp. Derivative Litig.* (\$15 million in financial relief and extensive corporate governance enhancements).

He was also part of the litigation team that obtained a \$67 million settlement in *City of Westland Police & Fire Ret. Sys. v. Stumpf*, a shareholder derivative action alleging that Wells Fargo participated in the mass-processing of home foreclosure documents by engaging in widespread robo-signing, and a \$250 million settlement in *In re Google, Inc. Derivative Litig.*, an action alleging that Google facilitated in the improper advertising of prescription drugs. Downs is a frequent speaker at conferences and seminars and has lectured on a variety of topics related to shareholder derivative and class action litigation.

### Education

B.A., Whitworth University, 1985; J.D., University of Washington School of Law, 1990

### Honors / Awards

Best Lawyer in America, *Best Lawyers®*, 2018; Top Lawyer in San Diego, *San Diego Magazine*, 2013-2017; Board of Trustees, Whitworth University; Super Lawyer, 2008; B.A., Honors, Whitworth University, 1985

## Daniel S. Drosman | Partner

Dan Drosman is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office and a member of the Firm's Management Committee. He focuses his practice on securities fraud and other complex civil litigation and has obtained significant recoveries for investors in cases such as *Morgan Stanley*, *Cisco Systems*, *Coca-Cola*, *Petco*, *PMI* and *America West*. Drosman served as one of the lead trial attorneys in *Jaffe v. Household Int'l, Inc.* in the Northern District of Illinois, a securities class action that obtained a record-breaking \$1.575 billion settlement after 14 years of litigation, including a six-week jury trial in 2009 that resulted in a verdict for plaintiffs. Drosman also led a group of attorneys prosecuting fraud claims against the credit rating agencies, where he was distinguished as one of the few plaintiffs' counsel to overcome the credit rating agencies' motions to dismiss.

Prior to joining the Firm, Drosman served as an Assistant District Attorney for the Manhattan District Attorney's Office, and an Assistant United States Attorney in the Southern District of California, where he investigated and prosecuted violations of the federal narcotics, immigration, and official corruption law.

### Education

B.A., Reed College, 1990; J.D., Harvard Law School, 1993

### Honors / Awards

Top 100 Lawyer, *Daily Journal*, 2017; Recommended Lawyer, *The Legal 500*, 2017; Super Lawyer, 2017; Department of Justice Special Achievement Award, Sustained Superior Performance of Duty; B.A., Honors, Reed College, 1990; *Phi Beta Kappa*, Reed College, 1990

## Thomas E. Egler | Partner

Thomas Egler is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office and focuses his practice on the prosecution of securities class actions on behalf of defrauded shareholders. He is responsible for prosecuting securities fraud class actions and has obtained recoveries for investors in litigation involving WorldCom (\$657 million), AOL Time Warner (\$629 million), and Qwest (\$445 million), as well as dozens of other actions. Prior to joining the Firm, Egler was a law clerk to the Honorable Donald E. Ziegler, Chief Judge, United States District Court, Western District of Pennsylvania.

### Education

B.A., Northwestern University, 1989; J.D., The Catholic University of America, Columbus School of Law, 1995

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, 2017; Associate Editor, *The Catholic University Law Review*

## Jason A. Forge | Partner

Jason Forge is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office, specializing in complex investigations, litigation and trials. As a federal prosecutor and private practitioner, he has conducted and supervised scores of jury and bench trials in federal and state courts, including the month-long trial of a defense contractor who conspired with Congressman Randy "Duke" Cunningham in the largest bribery scheme in congressional history.

Forge was a key member of the litigation team that secured a historic recovery on behalf of Trump University students in two class actions against President Donald J. Trump. The settlement refunds over 90% of the money thousands of students paid to "enroll" in Trump University. He represented the class on a *pro bono* basis. Forge has also successfully defeated motions to dismiss and obtained class certification against several prominent defendants, including the first securities fraud case against Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and the first federal RICO case against Scotts Miracle-Gro. In a case against another prominent defendant, Pfizer Inc., he led an investigation that uncovered key documents that Pfizer had not produced in discovery. Although fact discovery in the case had already closed, the district judge ruled that the documents had been improperly withheld, and ordered that discovery be reopened, including reopening the depositions of Pfizer's former CEO, CFO and General Counsel. Less than six months after completing these depositions, Pfizer settled the case for \$400 million. Forge has also taught trial practice techniques on local and national levels, and has written and argued many state and federal appeals, including an *en banc* argument in the Ninth Circuit. He also teaches White Collar Crime at the University of San Diego School of Law.

### Education

B.B.A., The University of Michigan Ross School of Business, 1990; J.D., The University of Michigan Law School, 1993

### Honors / Awards

Top 100 Lawyer, *Daily Journal*, 2017; Litigator of the Year, *Our City San Diego*, 2017; Two-time recipient of one of Department of Justice's highest awards: Director's Award for Superior Performance by Litigation Team; numerous commendations from Federal Bureau of Investigation (including commendation from FBI Director Robert Mueller III), Internal Revenue Service, and Defense Criminal Investigative Service; J.D., *Magna Cum Laude*, Order of the Coif, The University of Michigan Law School, 1993; B.B.A., High Distinction, The University of Michigan Ross School of Business, 1990



## Paul J. Geller | Partner

Paul Geller, Managing Partner of the Boca Raton, Florida office, is a Founding Partner of the Firm, a member of its Executive and Management Committees and head of the Firm's Consumer Practice Group. Geller's 23 years of litigation experience is broad, and he has handled cases in each of the Firm's practice areas. Notably, before devoting his practice to the representation of consumers and investors, he defended companies in high-stakes class action litigation, providing him an invaluable perspective. Geller has tried bench and jury trials on both the plaintiffs' and defendants' sides, and has argued before numerous state, federal and appellate courts throughout the country.

Geller was selected to serve in a leadership position on behalf of consumers in the massive Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Emissions case. This notable appointment came after a record-setting application process in which over 150 attorneys sought the court's designation as a member of the plaintiffs' Steering Committee. Along with the committee and government agencies, Geller reached a series of settlements on behalf of purchasers, lessees and dealers that total well over \$17 billion, the largest settlement in history, concerning illegal "defeat devices" that Volkswagen installed on many of its diesel-engine vehicles. Geller was most recently appointed as Co-Lead Counsel in *In re EpiPen (Epinephrine Injection, USP) Mktg., Sales Practices & Antitrust Litig.*, a nationwide class action that alleges that pharmaceutical company Mylan N.V. and others engaged in anticompetitive and unfair business conduct in its sale and marketing of the EpiPen Auto-Injector device.

Other noteworthy recent successes include a \$265 million recovery against Massey Energy in *In re Massey Energy Co. Sec. Litig.*, in which Massey was found accountable for a tragic explosion at the Upper Big Branch mine in Raleigh County, West Virginia. Geller also secured a \$146.25 million recovery against Duke Energy in *Nieman v. Duke Energy Corp.*, the largest recovery in North Carolina for a case involving securities fraud, and one of the five largest recoveries in the Fourth Circuit. Additionally, Geller was the lead counsel in *Kehoe v. Fidelity Fed. Bank & Tr.*, one of the country's first cases alleging a class-wide privacy violation, settling the case for a \$50 million recovery in addition to enhanced privacy protections. More recently, he was one of the lead counsel in the Sony Gaming Networks Data Breach litigation, which resulted in significant monetary recovery and other benefits to class members. Geller was also instrumental in resolving a case against Dannon for falsely advertising the health benefits of yogurt products.

### Education

B.S., University of Florida, 1990; J.D., Emory University School of Law, 1993

### Honors / Awards

Rated AV by Martindale-Hubbell; Fellow, Litigation Counsel of America (LCA) Proven Trial Lawyers; Lawyer of the Year, *Best Lawyers®*, 2018; Best Lawyer in America, *Best Lawyers®*, 2017-2018; Attorney of the Month, *Attorney At Law*, 2017; Leading Lawyer in America, *Lawdragon*, 2006-2007, 2009-2017; Featured in "Lawyer Limelight" series, *Lawdragon*, 2017; Super Lawyer, 2007-2017; Recommended Lawyer, *The Legal 500*, 2016; Top Rated Lawyer, South Florida's Legal Leaders, *Miami Herald*, 2015; Litigation Star, *Benchmark Litigation*, 2013; "Legal Elite," *Florida Trend Magazine*; One of "Florida's Most Effective Lawyers," *American Law Media*, One of Florida's top lawyers in *South Florida Business Journal*, One of the Nation's Top "40 Under 40," *The National Law Journal*; One of Florida's Top Lawyers, *Law & Politics*; Editor, *Emory Law Journal*; Order of the Coif, Emory University School of Law

## Jonah H. Goldstein | Partner

Jonah Goldstein is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office and responsible for prosecuting complex securities cases and obtaining recoveries for investors. He also represents corporate whistleblowers who report violations of the securities laws. Goldstein has achieved significant settlements on behalf of investors including in *In re HealthSouth Sec. Litig.* (over \$670 million recovered against HealthSouth, UBS and Ernst & Young) and *In re Cisco Sec. Litig.* (approximately \$100 million). He also served on the Firm's trial team in *In re AT&T Corp. Sec. Litig.*, which settled after two weeks of trial for \$100 million. Prior to joining the Firm, Goldstein served as a law clerk for the Honorable William H. Erickson on the Colorado Supreme Court and as an Assistant United States Attorney for the Southern District of California, where he tried numerous cases and briefed and argued appeals before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

### Education

B.A., Duke University, 1991; J.D., University of Denver College of Law, 1995

### Honors / Awards

Comments Editor, *University of Denver Law Review*, University of Denver College of Law

## Benny C. Goodman III | Partner

Benny Goodman is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. He primarily represents plaintiffs in shareholder actions on behalf of aggrieved corporations. Goodman has recovered hundreds of millions of dollars in shareholder derivative actions pending in state and federal courts across the nation. Most recently, he led a team of lawyers in litigation brought on behalf of Community Health Systems, Inc., resulting in a \$60 million payment to the company, the largest recovery in a shareholder derivative action in Tennessee and the Sixth Circuit, as well as best in class value enhancing corporate governance reforms that included two shareholder nominated directors to the Community Health Board of Directors.

Similarly, Goodman recovered a \$25 million payment to Lumber Liquidators and numerous corporate governance reforms, including a shareholder nominated director, in *In re Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. S'holder Derivative Litig.* In *In re Google Inc. S'holder Derivative Litig.*, Goodman achieved groundbreaking corporate governance reforms designed to mitigate regulatory and legal compliance risk associated with online pharmaceutical advertising, including among other things, the creation of a \$250 million fund to help combat rogue pharmacies from improperly selling drugs online.

### Education

B.S., Arizona State University, 1994; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law, 2000

### Honors / Awards

Recommended Lawyer, *The Legal 500*, 2017

## Elise J. Grace | Partner

Elise Grace is a partner in the San Diego office and counsels the Firm's institutional clients on options to secure premium recoveries in securities litigation both within the United States and internationally. Grace is a frequent lecturer and author on securities and accounting fraud, and develops annual MCLE and CPE accredited educational programs designed to train public fund representatives on practices to protect and maximize portfolio assets, create long-term portfolio value and best fulfill fiduciary duties. Grace has routinely been named a Recommended Lawyer by *The Legal 500*. Grace has prosecuted various significant securities fraud class actions, as well as the AOL Time Warner state and federal securities opt-out litigations, which resulted in a combined settlement of over \$629 million for defrauded investors. Prior to joining the Firm, Grace practiced at Clifford Chance, where she defended numerous Fortune 500 companies in securities class actions and complex business litigation.

### Education

B.A., University of California, Los Angeles, 1993; J.D., Pepperdine School of Law, 1999

### Honors / Awards

Recommended Lawyer, *The Legal 500*, 2016-2017; J.D., *Magna Cum Laude*, Pepperdine School of Law, 1999; American Jurisprudence Bancroft-Whitney Award – Civil Procedure, Evidence, and Dalsimer Moot Court Oral Argument; Dean's Academic Scholarship Recipient, Pepperdine School of Law; B.A., *Summa Cum Laude*, University of California, Los Angeles, 1993; B.A., *Phi Beta Kappa*, University of California, Los Angeles, 1993

## Tor Gronborg | Partner

Tor Gronborg is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office and a member of the Firm's Management Committee. He often lectures on topics such as the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and electronic discovery. Gronborg has served as lead or co-lead counsel in numerous securities fraud cases that have collectively recovered nearly \$2 billion for investors. Gronborg's work has included significant recoveries against corporations such as Cardinal Health (\$600 million), Motorola (\$200 million), Duke Energy (\$146.25 million), Sprint Nextel Corp. (\$131 million), Prison Realty (\$104 million), CIT Group (\$75 million), Wyeth (\$67.5 million) and Intercept Pharmaceuticals (\$55 million). On three separate occasions, his pleadings have been upheld by the federal Courts of Appeals (*Broudo v. Dura Pharm., Inc.*, 339 F.3d 933 (9th Cir. 2003), *rev'd on other grounds*, 554 U.S. 336 (2005); *In re Daou Sys.*, 411 F.3d 1006 (9th Cir. 2005); *Staeher v. Hartford Fin. Servs. Grp.*, 547 F.3d 406 (2d Cir. 2008)), and he has been responsible for a number of significant rulings, including *In re Sanofi-Aventis Sec. Litig.*, 293 F.R.D. 449 (S.D.N.Y. 2013); *Silverman v. Motorola, Inc.*, 798 F. Supp. 2d 954 (N.D. Ill. 2011); *Roth v. Aon Corp.*, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18471 (N.D. Ill. 2008); *In re Cardinal Health, Inc. Sec. Litigs.*, 426 F. Supp. 2d 688 (S.D. Ohio 2006); and *In re Dura Pharm., Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 452 F. Supp. 2d 1005 (S.D. Cal. 2006).

### Education

B.A., University of California, Santa Barbara, 1991; Rotary International Scholar, University of Lancaster, U.K., 1992; J.D., University of California, Berkeley, 1995

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, 2013-2017; Moot Court Board Member, University of California, Berkeley; AFL-CIO history scholarship, University of California, Santa Barbara

## Ellen Gusikoff Stewart | Partner

Ellen Gusikoff Stewart is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. She currently practices in the Firm's settlement department, negotiating and documenting complex securities, merger, ERISA and derivative action settlements. Notable settlements include: *Landmen Partners Inc. v. The Blackstone Grp. L.P.* (S.D.N.Y. 2013) (\$85 million); *Garden City Emps.' Ret. Sys. v. Psychiatric Sols., Inc.* (M.D. Tenn. 2015) (\$65 million); *City of Sterling Heights Gen. Emps.' Ret. Sys v. Hospira, Inc.* (N.D. Ill. 2014) (\$60 million); and *The Bd. of Trs. of the Operating Eng's Pension Tr. v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.* (S.D.N.Y. 2013) (\$23 million).

### Education

B.A., Muhlenberg College, 1986; J.D., Case Western Reserve University, 1989

### Honors / Awards

Peer-Rated by Martindale-Hubbell

## Robert Henssler | Partner

Bobby Henssler is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office, where he focuses his practice on securities fraud and other complex civil litigation. He has obtained significant recoveries for investors in cases such as *Enron*, *Blackstone* and *CIT Group*. Henssler is currently a key member of the team of attorneys prosecuting fraud claims against Goldman Sachs stemming from Goldman's conduct in subprime mortgage transactions (including "Abacus").

Most recently, Henssler served on the litigation team for *Schuh v. HCA Holdings, Inc.*, which resulted in a \$215 million recovery for shareholders, the largest securities class action recovery ever in Tennessee. The recovery represents between 34% and 70% of the aggregate damages, far exceeding the typical recovery in a securities class action. He was also part of the litigation teams for *Landmen Partners Inc. v. The Blackstone Group L.P.* (\$85 million recovery); *In re Novatel Wireless Sec. Litig.* (\$16 million recovery); *Carpenters Pension Trust Fund of St. Louis v. Barclays PLC* (\$14 million settlement); and *Kmiec v. Powerwave Technologies, Inc.* (\$8.2 million settlement).

### Education

B.A., University of New Hampshire, 1997; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law, 2001

## Dennis J. Herman | Partner

Dennis Herman is a partner in the Firm's San Francisco office where he focuses his practice on securities class actions. He has led or been significantly involved in the prosecution of numerous securities fraud claims that have resulted in substantial recoveries for investors, including settled actions against Massey Energy (\$265 million), Coca-Cola (\$137 million), VeriSign (\$78 million), Psychiatric Solutions, Inc. (\$65 million), St. Jude Medical, Inc. (\$50 million), NorthWestern (\$40 million), BancorpSouth (\$29.5 million), America Service Group (\$15 million), Specialty Laboratories (\$12 million), Stellant (\$12 million) and Threshold Pharmaceuticals (\$10 million).

### Education

B.S., Syracuse University, 1982; J.D., Stanford Law School, 1992

### Honors / Awards

Best Lawyer in America, *Best Lawyers®*, 2018; Super Lawyer, 2017; Order of the Coif, Stanford Law School; Urban A. Sontheimer Award (graduating second in his class), Stanford Law School; Award-winning Investigative Newspaper Reporter and Editor in California and Connecticut

## John Herman | Partner

John Herman is a partner at the Firm, the Chair of the Firm's Intellectual Property Practice and manages the Firm's Atlanta office. His practice focuses on complex civil litigation, with a particular emphasis on high technology matters. His experience includes securities, patent, antitrust, whistleblower and class action litigation. Herman also has significant first chair trial experience, handling numerous cases through verdict in both federal and state courts. Herman has worked on many noteworthy cases and successfully achieved favorable results for his clients. His notable cases include a recent derivative settlement of \$60 million on behalf of Community Health Systems, as well as leading a team of attorneys enforcing the 3Com Ethernet patents, winning two jury trial victories in federal court. Herman also represented renowned inventor Ed Phillips in the landmark case of *Phillips v. AWH Corp.* He has represented the pioneers of mesh technology – David Petite, Edwin Brownrigg and SIPCO – in connection with their mesh technology portfolio. Herman has also worked on numerous class action cases, including acting as lead plaintiffs' counsel in the Home Depot shareholder derivative action, which achieved landmark corporate governance reforms for investors.

### Education

B.S., Marquette University, 1988; J.D., Vanderbilt University Law School, 1992

### Honors / Awards

Fellow, Litigation Counsel of America (LCA) Proven Trial Lawyers; Top Lawyers, *Atlanta Magazine*, 2017; Super Lawyer, 2005-2010, 2016-2017; Top 100 Georgia Super Lawyers list, 2007; One of "Georgia's Most Effective Lawyers," *Legal Trend*; John Wade Scholar, Vanderbilt University Law School; Editor-in-Chief, *Vanderbilt Journal*, Vanderbilt University Law School; B.S., *Summa Cum Laude*, Marquette University, 1988

## Steven F. Hubachek | Partner

Steve Hubachek is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. He is a member of the Firm's appellate group, where his practice concentrates on federal appeals. He has more than 25 years of appellate experience, has argued over 100 federal appeals, including 3 cases before the United States Supreme Court and 7 cases before en banc panels of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. Prior to his work with the Firm, Hubachek joined Perkins Coie in Seattle, Washington, as an associate. He was admitted to the Washington State Bar in 1987 and was admitted to the California State Bar in 1990, practicing for many years with Federal Defenders of San Diego, Inc. He also had an active trial practice, including over 30 jury trials, and was Chief Appellate Attorney for Federal Defenders.

### Education

B.A., University of California, Berkeley, 1983; J.D., Hastings College of the Law, 1987

### Honors / Awards

Top Lawyer in San Diego, *San Diego Magazine*, 2014-2017; Assistant Federal Public Defender of the Year, National Federal Public Defenders Association, 2011; Appellate Attorney of the Year, San Diego Criminal Defense Bar Association, 2011 (co-recipient); President's Award for Outstanding Volunteer Service, Mid City Little League, San Diego, 2011; E. Stanley Conant Award for exceptional and unselfish devotion to protecting the rights of the indigent accused, 2009 (joint recipient); Super Lawyer, 2007-2009; *The Daily Transcript* Top Attorneys, 2007; AV rated by Martindale-Hubbell; J.D., *Cum Laude*, Order of the Coif, Thurston Honor Society, Hastings College of Law, 1987

## James I. Jaconette | Partner

James Jaconette is one of the founding partners of the Firm and is located in its San Diego office. He manages cases in the Firm's securities class action and shareholder derivative litigation practices. He has served as one of the lead counsel in securities cases with recoveries to individual and institutional investors totaling over \$8 billion. He also advises institutional investors, including hedge funds, pension funds and financial institutions. Landmark securities actions in which he contributed in a primary litigating role include *In re Informix Corp. Sec. Litig.*, and *In re Dynegy Inc. Sec. Litig.* and *In re Enron Corp. Sec. Litig.*, where he represented lead plaintiff The Regents of the University of California. Most recently, Jaconette was part of the trial team in *Schuh v. HCA Holdings, Inc.*, which resulted in a \$215 million recovery for shareholders, the largest securities class action recovery ever in Tennessee. The recovery represents between 34% and 70% of the aggregate damages, far exceeding the typical recovery in a securities class action.

### Education

B.A., San Diego State University, 1989; M.B.A., San Diego State University, 1992; J.D., University of California Hastings College of the Law, 1995

### Honors / Awards

J.D., *Cum Laude*, University of California Hastings College of the Law, 1995; Associate Articles Editor, *Hastings Law Journal*, University of California Hastings College of the Law; B.A., with Honors and Distinction, San Diego State University, 1989

## Rachel L. Jensen | Partner

Rachel Jensen is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. Her practice focuses on consumer, antitrust and securities fraud class actions. Jensen has played a key role in recovering billions of dollars for individuals, government entities, and businesses injured by fraudulent schemes, anti-competitive conduct, and hazardous products placed in the stream of commerce.

Jensen was one of the lead attorneys who secured a historic recovery on behalf of Trump University students in two class actions against President Donald J. Trump. The settlement provides \$25 million to approximately 7,000 consumers. This result means individual class members will be eligible for upwards of \$35,000 in restitution. She represented the class on a *pro bono* basis. She also represents car owners in the MDL litigation concerning the Volkswagen fraudulent emissions scandal, as well as litigation against Scotts Miracle-Gro, which has pled guilty to selling bird food as bird poison.

Among other recoveries, Jensen has played significant roles in the following cases: *In re Ins. Brokerage Antitrust Litig.* (\$200 million recovered for policyholders who paid inflated premiums due to kickback scheme among major insurers and brokers); *In re Mattel, Inc., Toy Lead Paint Prods. Liab. Litig.* (\$50 million in refunds and other relief for Mattel and Fisher-Price toys made in China with lead and dangerous magnets); *In re Nat'l Western Life Ins. Deferred Annuities Litig.* (\$25 million in relief to senior citizens targeted for exorbitant deferred annuities that would not mature in their lifetime); *In re Checking Account Overdraft Litig.* (\$500 million in settlements with major banks that manipulated customers' debit transactions to maximize overdraft fees); and *In re Groupon Mktg. & Sales Practices Litig.* (\$8.5 million in refunds for consumers sold vouchers with illegal expiration dates). Prior to joining the Firm, Jensen was part of the litigation department at Morrison & Foerster in San Francisco, clerked for the Honorable Warren J. Ferguson of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, worked abroad in Arusha, Tanzania as a law clerk in the Office of the Prosecutor at the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR) and then worked at the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia (ICTY), located in the Hague, Netherlands.

### Education

B.A., Florida State University, 1997; University of Oxford, International Human Rights Law Program at New College, Summer 1998; J.D., Georgetown University Law School, 2000

### Honors / Awards

Top Women Lawyer, *Daily Journal*, 2017; Leading Lawyer in America, *Lawdragon*, 2017; Super Lawyer, 2016-2017; Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2015; Nominated for 2011 Woman of the Year, *San Diego Magazine*; Editor-in-Chief, *First Annual Review of Gender and Sexuality Law*, Georgetown University Law School; Dean's List 1998-1999; B.A., *Cum Laude*, Florida State University's Honors Program, 1997; *Phi Beta Kappa*



## Steven M. Jodlowski | Partner

Steven Jodlowski is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. His practice focuses on high-stakes complex litigation, often involving antitrust, securities and consumer claims. In recent years, he has specialized in representing investors in a series of antitrust actions involving the manipulation of benchmark rates, including the ISDAFix Benchmark litigation, which to date has resulted in the recovery of nearly \$400 million on behalf of investors, *In re Treasuries Sec. Auction Antitrust Litig.*, and *In re SSA Bonds Antitrust Litig.* Jodlowski was also part of the trial team in an antitrust monopolization case against a multinational computer and software company.

Jodlowski has successfully prosecuted numerous antitrust and RICO cases. These cases resulted in the recovery of more than \$1 billion for investors and policyholders. Jodlowski has also represented institutional and individual shareholders in corporate takeover actions in state and federal court. He has handled pre- and post-merger litigation stemming from the acquisition of publicly listed companies in the biotechnology, oil and gas, information technology, specialty retail, electrical, banking, finance and real estate industries, among others.

### Education

B.B.A., University of Central Oklahoma, 2002; J.D., California Western School of Law, 2005

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2015-2017; CAOC Consumer Attorney of the Year Award Finalist, 2015; J.D., *Cum Laude*, California Western School of Law, 2005

## Peter M. Jones | Partner

Peter Jones is a partner in the Firm's Atlanta office. Though his practice primarily focuses on patent litigation, Jones has experience handling a wide range of complex litigation matters, including product liability actions and commercial disputes. Jones was part of the litigation team in *U.S. Ethernet Innovations, LLC v. Texas Instruments Incorporated*, in which he helped to enforce the 3Com Ethernet patents, winning two jury trial victories in federal court. Prior to joining the Firm, Jones practiced at King & Spalding LLP and clerked for the Honorable J.L. Edmondson, then Chief Judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit.

### Education

B.A., University of the South, 1999; J.D., University of Georgia School of Law, 2003

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2012-2013; Member, *Georgia Law Review*, Order of the Barristers, University of Georgia School of Law

## Evan J. Kaufman | Partner

Evan Kaufman is a partner in the Firm's Melville office. He focuses his practice in the area of complex litigation in federal and state courts including securities, corporate mergers and acquisitions, derivative, and consumer fraud class actions. Kaufman has served as lead counsel or played a significant role in numerous actions, including *In re TD Banknorth S'holders Litig.* (\$50 million recovery); *In re Gen. Elec. Co. ERISA Litig.* (\$40 million cost to GE, including significant improvements to GE's employee retirement plan, and benefits to GE plan participants valued in excess of \$100 million); *EnergySolutions, Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$26 million recovery); *Lockheed Martin Corp. Sec. Litig.* (\$19.5 million recovery); *In re Warner Chilcott Ltd. Sec. Litig.* (\$16.5 million recovery); *In re Third Avenue Mgmt. Sec. Litig.* (\$14.25 million recovery); *In re Giant Interactive Grp., Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$13 million recovery); *In re Royal Grp. Tech. Sec. Litig.* (\$9 million recovery); *Fidelity Ultra Short Bond Fund Litig.* (\$7.5 million recovery); *In re Audiovox Derivative Litig.* (\$6.75 million recovery and corporate governance reforms); *State Street Yield Plus Fund Litig.* (\$6.25 million recovery); *In re Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc., Internet Strategies Sec. Litig.* (resolved as part of a \$39 million global settlement); and *In re MONY Grp., Inc. S'holder Litig.* (obtained preliminary injunction requiring disclosures in proxy statement).

### Education

B.A., University of Michigan, 1992; J.D., Fordham University School of Law, 1995

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, 2013-2015, 2017; Member, *Fordham International Law Journal*, Fordham University School of Law

## David A. Knotts | Partner

David Knotts is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office and, in addition to ongoing litigation work, teaches a full-semester course on M&A litigation at the University of California Berkeley School of Law as a Lecturer. He focuses his practice on securities class action litigation in the context of mergers and acquisitions, representing both individual shareholders and institutional investors. Knotts has been counsel of record for shareholders on a number of significant recoveries in California state courts and in the Delaware Court of Chancery, including *In re Rural/Metro Corp. Stockholders Litig.* (nearly \$110 million total recovery, affirmed by the Delaware Supreme Court in *RBC v. Jervis*), *In re Del Monte Foods Co. S'holders Litig.* (\$89.4 million), *Websense* (\$40 million), and *In re Onyx S'holders Litig.* (\$30 million). Indeed, *Websense* and *Onyx* – both approved in late 2016 – are believed to be the largest post-merger class settlements in California state court history.

Prior to joining Robbins Geller, Knotts was an associate at one of the largest law firms in the world and represented corporate clients in various aspects of state and federal litigation, including major antitrust matters, trade secret disputes, unfair competition claims, and intellectual property litigation.

### Education

B.S., University of Pittsburgh, 2001; J.D., Cornell Law School, 2004

### Honors / Awards

Recommended Lawyer, *The Legal 500*, 2017; Wiley W. Manuel Award for Pro Bono Legal Services, State Bar of California; Casa Cornelia Inns of Court; J.D., *Cum Laude*, Cornell Law School, 2004

## Laurie L. Largent | Partner

Laurie Largent is a partner in the Firm's San Diego, California office. Her practice focuses on securities class action and shareholder derivative litigation and she has helped recover millions of dollars for injured shareholders. Largent was part of the litigation team that obtained a \$265 million recovery in *In re Massey Energy Co. Sec. Litig.*, in which Massey was found accountable for a tragic explosion at the Upper Big Branch mine in Raleigh County, West Virginia. She also helped obtain \$67.5 million for Wyeth shareholders in *City of Livonia Employees' Retirement System v. Wyeth, et al.*, settling claims that the defendants misled investors about the safety and commercial viability of one of the company's leading drug candidates. Most recently, Largent was on the team that secured a \$64 million recovery for Dana Corp. shareholders in *Plumbers & Pipefitters National Pension Fund v. Burns*, in which the Firm's Appellate Practice Group successfully appealed to the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals twice, reversing the district court's dismissal of the action. She has been a board member on the San Diego County Bar Foundation and the San Diego Volunteer Lawyer Program since 2014. Largent has also served as an Adjunct Business Law Professor at Southwestern College in Chula Vista, California.

### Education

B.B.A., University of Oklahoma, 1985; J.D., University of Tulsa, 1988

### Honors / Awards

Board Member, San Diego County Bar Foundation, 2014-present; Board Member, San Diego Volunteer Lawyer Program, 2014-present

## Arthur C. Leahy | Partner

Art Leahy is a founding partner in the Firm's San Diego office and a member of the Firm's Executive and Management Committees. He has nearly 20 years of experience successfully litigating securities actions and derivative cases. Leahy has recovered well over a billion dollars for the Firm's clients and has negotiated comprehensive pro-investor corporate governance reforms at several large public companies. Most recently, Leahy helped secure a \$272 million recovery on behalf of mortgage-backed securities investors in *NECA-IBEW Health & Welfare Fund v. Goldman Sachs & Co.* In the *Goldman Sachs* case, he helped achieve favorable decisions in the Second Circuit Court of Appeals on behalf of investors of Goldman Sachs mortgage-backed securities and again in the Supreme Court, which denied Goldman Sachs' petition for certiorari, or review, of the Second Circuit's reinstatement of the plaintiff's case. He was also part of the Firm's trial team in the AT&T securities litigation, which AT&T and its former officers paid \$100 million to settle after two weeks of trial. Prior to joining the Firm, he served as a judicial extern for the Honorable J. Clifford Wallace of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, and served as a judicial law clerk for the Honorable Alan C. Kay of the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii.

### Education

B.A., Point Loma College, 1987; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law, 1990

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, 2016-2017; Top Lawyer in San Diego, *San Diego Magazine*, 2013-2017; J.D., *Cum Laude*, University of San Diego School of Law, 1990; Managing Editor, *San Diego Law Review*, University of San Diego School of Law

## Jeffrey D. Light | Partner

Jeff Light is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office and also currently serves as a Judge Pro Tem for the San Diego County Superior Court. Light practices in the Firm's settlement department, negotiating, documenting, and obtaining court approval of the Firm's complex securities, merger, consumer and derivative actions. These settlements include *In re VeriFone Holdings, Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$95 million recovery); *Louisiana Mun. Police Ret. Sys. v. KPMG, LLP* (\$31.6 million recovery); *In re Kinder Morgan, Inc. S'holders Litig.* (\$200 million recovery); *In re Qwest Commc'ns Int'l, Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$400 million recovery); *In re Currency Conversion Fee Antitrust Litig.* (\$336 million recovery); and *In re AT&T Corp. Sec. Litig.* (\$100 million recovery). Prior to joining the Firm, he served as a law clerk to the Honorable Louise DeCarl Adler, United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of California, and the Honorable James Meyers, Chief Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of California.

### Education

B.A., San Diego State University, 1987; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law, 1991

### Honors / Awards

Top Lawyer in San Diego, *San Diego Magazine*, 2013-2017; J.D., *Cum Laude*, University of San Diego School of Law, 1991; Judge Pro Tem, San Diego Superior Court; American Jurisprudence Award in Constitutional Law

## Nathan R. Lindell | Partner

Nate Lindell is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office, where his practice focuses on representing aggrieved investors in complex civil litigation. He has helped achieve numerous significant recoveries for investors, including: *In re Enron Corp. Sec. Litig.* (\$7.2 billion recovery); *In re HealthSouth Corp. Sec. Litig.* (\$671 million recovery); *Luther v. Countrywide Fin. Corp.* (\$500 million recovery); *Fort Worth Employees' Retirement Fund v. J.P. Morgan Chase & Co.* (\$388 million recovery); *NECA-IBEW Health & Welfare Fund v. Goldman Sachs & Co.* (\$272 million recovery); *In re Morgan Stanley Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates Litig.* (\$95 million recovery); *Massachusetts Bricklayers and Masons Trust Funds v. Deutsche Alt-A Securities, Inc.* (\$32.5 million recovery); *City of Ann Arbor Employees' Ret. Sys. v. Citigroup Mortgage Loan Trust Inc.* (\$24.9 million recovery); and *Plumbers' Union Local No. 12 Pension Fund v. Nomura Asset Acceptance Corp.* (\$21.2 million recovery). In October 2016, Lindell successfully argued in front of the New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Judicial Department, for the reversal of an earlier order granting defendants' motion to dismiss in *Phoenix Light SF Limited, et al. v. Morgan Stanley, et al.*

Lindell was also a member of the litigation team responsible for securing a landmark victory from the Second Circuit Court of Appeals in its precedent-setting *NECA-IBEW Health & Welfare Fund v. Goldman Sachs & Co.* decision, which dramatically expanded the scope of permissible class actions asserting claims under the Securities Act of 1933 on behalf of mortgage-backed securities investors, and ultimately resulted in a \$272 million recovery for investors.

### Education

B.S., Princeton University, 2003; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law, 2006

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2015-2017; Charles W. Caldwell Alumni Scholarship, University of San Diego School of Law; CALI/AmJur Award in Sports and the Law

## Ryan Llorens | Partner

Ryan Llorens is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. Llorens' practice focuses on litigating complex securities fraud cases. He has worked on a number of securities cases that have resulted in significant recoveries for investors, including *In re HealthSouth Corp. Sec. Litig.* (\$670 million); *AOL Time Warner* (\$629 million); *In re AT&T Corp. Sec. Litig.* (\$100 million); *In re Fleming Cos. Sec. Litig.* (\$95 million); and *In re Cooper Cos., Inc. Sec Litig.* (\$27 million).

### Education

B.A., Pitzer College, 1997; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law, 2002

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2015

## Andrew S. Love | Partner

Andrew Love is a partner in the Firm's San Francisco office. His practice focuses primarily on appeals of securities fraud class action cases. Love has briefed and argued cases on behalf of defrauded investors and consumers in several U.S. Courts of Appeal, as well as in the California appellate courts. Prior to joining the Firm, Love represented inmates on California's death row in appellate and habeas corpus proceedings, successfully arguing capital cases in both the California Supreme Court and the Ninth Circuit. During his many years as a death penalty lawyer, he co-chaired the Capital Case Defense Seminar (2004-2013), recognized as the largest conference for death penalty practitioners in the country. He regularly presented at the seminar and at other conferences on a wide variety of topics geared towards effective appellate practice. Additionally, he was on the faculty of the National Institute for Trial Advocacy's Post-Conviction Skills Seminar. Love has also written several articles on appellate advocacy and capital punishment that have appeared in *The Daily Journal*, *CACJ Forum*, *American Constitution Society*, and other publications.

### Education

University of Vermont, 1981; J.D., University of San Francisco School of Law, 1985

### Honors / Awards

J.D., *Cum Laude*, University of San Francisco School of Law, 1985; McAuliffe Honor Society, University of San Francisco School of Law, 1982-1985

## Carmen A. Medici | Partner

Carmen Medici is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office and focuses on complex antitrust class action litigation and unfair competition law. He represents businesses and consumers who are the victims of price-fixing, monopolization, collusion, and other anticompetitive and unfair business practices. Medici specializes in litigation against giants in the financial sector, and has worked on behalf of merchants in payment card cases for a decade. A veteran of litigation in the credit card industry, Medici is currently representing merchants in *In re Payment Card Interchange Fee and Merchant Discount Litigation*, a large-scale case charging Visa, MasterCard and the country's major banks with antitrust violations related to the allegedly collusive way rules are set in the industry, including rules requiring payment of ever-increasing interchange fees by merchants. He is also a part of the co-lead counsel team in *In re SSA Bonds Antitrust Litig.*, pending in the Southern District of New York, representing bond purchasers who were defrauded by a brazen price-fixing scheme perpetrated at some of the nation's largest banks.

In federal district court in New Jersey, Medici litigates *Lincoln Adventures, LLC v. Those Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London*, where he represents buyers of insurance in an antitrust action against insurance companies in the London market. He is also a member of the co-lead litigation team in *In re Aluminum Warehousing Antitrust Litig.*, currently on appeal before the Second Circuit. He is also a member of the team in *In re Digital Music Antitrust Litig.*, pending in the Southern District of New York. In the past, Medici was a member of the discovery team in *In re NCAA Student-Athlete Name & Likeness Licensing Litig.*, which culminated in a trial victory for student athletes against the NCAA. He was also on the litigation team in *In re Fresh & Process Potatoes Antitrust Litig.*, which resulted in a multi-million dollar settlement. In addition, he is involved in a number of the Firm's other major antitrust and consumer actions. Medici regularly identifies and pursues potential new antitrust matters and drafts complaints on behalf of individual and class plaintiffs.

### Education

B.S., Arizona State University, 2003; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law, 2006

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2015-2017

## Mark T. Millkey | Partner

Mark Millkey is a partner in the Firm's Melville office. He has significant experience in the areas of securities and consumer litigation, as well as in federal and state court appeals.

During his career, Millkey has worked on a major consumer litigation against MetLife that resulted in a benefit to the class of approximately \$1.7 billion, as well as a securities class action against Royal Dutch/Shell that settled for a minimum cash benefit to the class of \$130 million and a contingent value of more than \$180 million. Since joining Robbins Geller, he has worked on securities class actions that have resulted in approximately \$300 million in settlements.

### Education

B.A., Yale University, 1981; M.A., University of Virginia, 1983; J.D., University of Virginia, 1987

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, 2013-2017

## David W. Mitchell | Partner

David Mitchell is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office and focuses his practice on securities fraud, antitrust and derivative litigation. He leads the Firm's antitrust benchmark litigations as well as the Firm's pay-for-delay actions. He has served as lead or co-lead counsel in numerous cases and has helped achieve substantial settlements for shareholders. His recent cases include *Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners, LLC*, obtaining more than \$590 million for shareholders, and *In re Payment Card Interchange Fee and Merchant Discount Antitrust Litig.* Currently, Mitchell serves as court-appointed counsel in the ISDAfix Benchmark action and *In re Aluminum Warehousing Antitrust Litig.*

Prior to joining the Firm, he served as an Assistant United States Attorney in the Southern District of California and prosecuted cases involving narcotics trafficking, bank robbery, murder-for-hire, alien smuggling, and terrorism. Mitchell has tried nearly 20 cases to verdict before federal criminal juries and made numerous appellate arguments before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

### Education

B.A., University of Richmond, 1995; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law, 1998

### Honors / Awards

Member, Enright Inn of Court; Best Lawyer in America, *Best Lawyers®*, 2018; Super Lawyer, 2016-2017; Antitrust Trailblazer, *The National Law Journal*, 2015; "Best of the Bar," *San Diego Business Journal*, 2014



## Maureen E. Mueller | Partner

Maureen Mueller is a partner in the Firm's Boca Raton office, where her practice focuses on complex securities litigation. Mueller has helped recover more than \$1 billion for investors. She was a member of the team of attorneys responsible for recovering a record-breaking \$925 million for investors in *In re UnitedHealth Grp. Inc. PSLRA Litig.* Mueller was also a member of the Firm's trial team in *Jaffe v. Household Int'l, Inc.*, a securities class action that obtained a record-breaking \$1.575 billion settlement after 14 years of litigation, including a six-week jury trial in 2009 that resulted in a verdict for plaintiffs. She also served as co-lead counsel in *In re Wachovia Preferred Securities and Bond/Notes Litig.*, which recovered \$627 million.

### Education

B.S., Trinity University, 2002; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law, 2007

### Honors / Awards

Under 40 Hotlist, *Benchmark Litigation*, 2017; Top Women Lawyer, *Daily Journal*, 2017; Recommended Lawyer, *The Legal 500*, 2017; Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2015-2017; "Outstanding Young Attorneys," *San Diego Daily Transcript*, 2010; Lead Articles Editor, *San Diego Law Review*, University of San Diego School of Law

## Danielle S. Myers | Partner

Danielle Myers is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office, and focuses her practice on complex securities litigation. Myers is one of the partners that oversees the Portfolio Monitoring Program® and provides legal recommendations to the Firm's institutional investor clients on their options to maximize recoveries in securities litigation, both within the United States and internationally, from inception to settlement. In addition, Myers advises the Firm's clients in connection with lead plaintiff applications and has secured appointment of the Firm's clients as lead plaintiff in nearly 100 cases, including *Knurr v. Orbital ATK, Inc.*, No. 1:16-cv-01031 (E.D. Va.), *Evellard v. LendingClub Corp.*, No. 3:16-cv-02627 (N.D. Cal.), *In re Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. Sec. Litig.*, No. 4:15-cv-02404 (S.D. Tex.), *Marcus v. J.C. Penney Co., Inc.*, No. 6:13-cv-00736 (E.D. Tex.), *In re Hot Topic, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 2:13-cv-02939 (C.D. Cal.), *Smilovits v. First Solar, Inc.*, No. 2:12-cv-00555 (D. Ariz.), and *In re Goldman Sachs Grp., Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 1:10-cv-03461 (S.D.N.Y.). Myers has obtained significant recoveries for shareholders in several cases, including: *Marcus v. J.C. Penney Co., Inc.*, No. 13-cv-00736 (E.D. Tex.) (\$97.5 million settlement preliminarily approved); *In re Hot Topic, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 2:13-cv-02939 (C.D. Cal.) (\$14.9 million recovery); *Genesee Cty. Emps.' Ret. Sys. v. Thornburg Mortg., Inc.*, No. 1:09-cv-00300 (D.N.M.) (\$11.25 million recovery); *Goldstein v. Tongxin Int'l Ltd.*, No. 2:11-cv-00348 (C.D. Cal.) (\$3 million recovery); and *Lane v. Page*, No. Civ-06-1071 (D.N.M.) (pre-merger increase in cash consideration and post-merger cash settlement). Myers is also a frequent lecturer on securities fraud and corporate governance reform at conferences and events around the world.

### Education

B.A., University of California at San Diego, 1997; J.D., University of San Diego, 2008

### Honors / Awards

Next Generation Lawyer, *The Legal 500*, 2017; Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2015-2017; One of the "Five Associates to Watch in 2012," *Daily Journal*; Member, *San Diego Law Review*; CALI Excellence Award in Statutory Interpretation

## Eric I. Niehaus | Partner

Eric Niehaus is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office, where his practice focuses on complex securities and derivative litigation. His efforts have resulted in numerous multi-million dollar recoveries to shareholders and extensive corporate governance changes. Recent examples include: *In re NYSE Specialists Sec. Litig.* (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Novatel Wireless Sec. Litig.* (S.D. Cal.); *Batwin v. Occam Networks, Inc.* (C.D. Cal.); *Commc'ns Workers of Am. Plan for Emps.' Pensions and Death Benefits v. CSK Auto Corp.* (D. Ariz.); *Marie Raymond Revocable Tr. v. Mat Five* (Del. Ch.); and *Kelleher v. ADVO, Inc.* (D. Conn.). Niehaus is currently prosecuting cases against several financial institutions arising from their role in the collapse of the mortgage-backed securities market. Prior to joining the Firm, Niehaus worked as a Market Maker on the American Stock Exchange in New York, and the Pacific Stock Exchange in San Francisco.

### Education

B.S., University of Southern California, 1999; J.D., California Western School of Law, 2005

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2015-2016; J.D., *Cum Laude*, California Western School of Law, 2005; Member, *California Western Law Review*

## Brian O. O'Mara | Partner

Brian O'Mara is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. His practice focuses on complex securities and antitrust litigation. Since 2003, O'Mara has served as lead or co-lead counsel in numerous shareholder and antitrust actions, including: *Bennett v. Sprint Nextel Corp.* (D. Kan.) (\$131 million recovery); *In re CIT Grp. Inc. Sec. Litig.* (S.D.N.Y.) (\$75 million recovery); *In re MGM Mirage Sec. Litig.* (D. Nev.) (\$75 million recovery); *C.D.T.S. No. 1 v. UBS AG* (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Aluminum Warehousing Antitrust Litig.* (S.D.N.Y.); and *Alaska Elec. Pension Fund v. Bank of Am. Corp.* (S.D.N.Y.). O'Mara has been responsible for a number of significant rulings, including: *Alaska Elec. Pension Fund v. Bank of Am. Corp.*, 175 F. Supp. 3d 44 (S.D.N.Y. 2016); *Bennett v. Sprint Nextel Corp.*, 298 F.R.D. 498 (D. Kan. 2014); *In re MGM Mirage Sec. Litig.*, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 139356 (D. Nev. 2013); *In re Constar Int'l, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16966 (E.D. Pa. 2008), *aff'd*, 585 F.3d 774 (3d Cir. 2009); *In re Direct Gen. Corp. Sec. Litig.*, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 56128 (M.D. Tenn. 2006); and *In re Dura Pharm., Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 452 F. Supp. 2d 1005 (S.D. Cal. 2006). Prior to joining the Firm, he served as law clerk to the Honorable Jerome M. Polaha of the Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada.

### Education

B.A., University of Kansas, 1997; J.D., DePaul University, College of Law, 2002

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, 2016-2017; CALI Excellence Award in Securities Regulation, DePaul University, College of Law

## Lucas F. Olts | Partner

Luke Olts is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office, where his practice focuses on securities litigation on behalf of individual and institutional investors. Olts has recently focused on litigation related to residential mortgage-backed securities, and has served as lead counsel or co-lead counsel in some of the largest recoveries arising from the collapse of the mortgage market. For example, he was a member of the team that recovered \$388 million for investors in J.P. Morgan residential mortgage-backed securities in *Fort Worth Employees' Retirement Fund v. J.P. Morgan Chase & Co.*, and a member of the litigation team responsible for securing a \$272 million settlement on behalf of mortgage-backed securities investors in *NECA-IBEW Health & Welfare Fund v. Goldman Sachs & Co.* Olts also served as co-lead counsel in *In re Wachovia Preferred Securities and Bond/Notes Litig.*, which recovered \$627 million under the Securities Act of 1933. He also served as lead counsel in *Siracusano v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc.*, in which the U.S. Supreme Court unanimously affirmed the decision of the Ninth Circuit that plaintiffs stated a claim for securities fraud under §10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and SEC Rule 10b-5. Prior to joining the Firm, Olts served as a Deputy District Attorney for the County of Sacramento, where he tried numerous cases to verdict, including crimes of domestic violence, child abuse and sexual assault.

### Education

B.A., University of California, Santa Barbara, 2001; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law, 2004

### Honors / Awards

Next Generation Lawyer, *The Legal 500*, 2017; Top Litigator Under 40, *Benchmark Litigation*, 2017; Under 40 Hotlist, *Benchmark Litigation*, 2016

## Steven W. Pepich | Partner

Steve Pepich is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. His practice has focused primarily on securities class action litigation, but has also included a wide variety of complex civil cases, including representing plaintiffs in mass tort, royalty, civil rights, human rights, ERISA and employment law actions. Pepich has participated in the successful prosecution of numerous securities class actions, including: *Carpenters Health & Welfare Fund v. Coca-Cola Co.*, No. 1:00-CV-2838 (\$137.5 million recovery); *In re Fleming Cos. Inc. Sec. & Derivative Litig.*, No. 5-03-MD-1530 (\$95 million recovered); *In re Boeing Sec. Litig.*, No. C-97-1715Z (\$92 million recovery); *In re Louisiana-Pacific Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. C-95-707 (\$65 million recovery); *Haw. Structural Ironworkers Pension Trust Fund v. Calpine Corp.*, No. 1-04-CV-021465 (\$43 million recovery); *In re Advanced Micro Devices Sec. Litig.*, No. C-93-20662 (\$34 million recovery); and *Gohler v. Wood*, No. 92-C-181 (\$17.2 million recovery). Pepich was a member of the plaintiffs' trial team in *Mynaf v. Taco Bell Corp.*, which settled after two months of trial on terms favorable to two plaintiff classes of restaurant workers for recovery of unpaid wages. He was also a member of the plaintiffs' trial team in *Newman v. Stringfellow* where, after a nine-month trial in Riverside, California, all claims for exposure to toxic chemicals were ultimately resolved for \$109 million.

### Education

B.S., Utah State University, 1980; J.D., DePaul University, 1983

## Daniel J. Pfefferbaum | Partner

Daniel Pfefferbaum is a partner in the Firm's San Francisco office, where his practice focuses on complex securities litigation. He has been a member of litigation teams that have recovered more than \$100 million for investors, including: *Garden City Emps.' Ret. Sys. v. Psychiatric Sols., Inc.* (\$65 million recovery); *In re PMI Grp., Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$31.25 million recovery); *Cunha v. Hansen Natural Corp.* (\$16.25 million recovery); *In re Accuray Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$13.5 million recovery); and *Twinde v. Threshold Pharm., Inc.* (\$10 million recovery). Pfefferbaum was a member of the litigation team that secured a historic recovery on behalf of Trump University students in two class actions against President Donald J. Trump. The settlement provides \$25 million to approximately 7,000 consumers. This result means individual class members will be eligible for upwards of \$35,000 in restitution. He represented the class on a *pro bono* basis.

### Education

B.A., Pomona College, 2002; J.D., University of San Francisco School of Law, 2006; LL.M. in Taxation, New York University School of Law, 2007

### Honors / Awards

Top 40 Under 40, *Daily Journal*, 2017; Under 40 Hotlist, *Benchmark Litigation*, 2016-2017; Top Litigator Under 40, *Benchmark Litigation*, 2017; Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2013-2017

## Theodore J. Pinta | Partner

Ted Pinta is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. Pinta has over 20 years of experience prosecuting securities fraud actions and derivative actions and over 15 years of experience prosecuting insurance-related consumer class actions, with recoveries in excess of \$1 billion. He was part of the litigation team in the AOL Time Warner state and federal court securities opt-out actions, which arose from the 2001 merger of America Online and Time Warner. These cases resulted in a global settlement of \$618 million. Pinta was also on the trial team in *Knapp v. Gomez*, which resulted in a plaintiff's verdict. Pinta has successfully prosecuted several RICO cases involving the deceptive sale of deferred annuities, including cases against Allianz Life Insurance Company of North America (\$250 million), American Equity Investment Life Insurance Company (\$129 million), Midland National Life Insurance Company (\$80 million) and Fidelity & Guarantee Life Insurance Company (\$53 million). He has participated in the successful prosecution of numerous other insurance and consumer class actions, including: (i) actions against major life insurance companies such as Manufacturer's Life (\$555 million initial estimated settlement value) and Principal Mutual Life Insurance Company (\$380+ million) involving the deceptive sale of life insurance; (ii) actions against major homeowners insurance companies such as Allstate (\$50 million) and Prudential Property and Casualty Co. (\$7 million); (iii) actions against automobile insurance companies such as the Auto Club and GEICO; and (iv) actions against Columbia House (\$55 million) and BMG Direct, direct marketers of CDs and cassettes. Additionally, Pinta has served as a panelist for numerous Continuing Legal Education seminars on federal and state court practice and procedure.

### Education

B.A., University of California, Berkeley, 1984; J.D., University of Utah College of Law, 1987

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, 2014-2017; Top Lawyer in San Diego, *San Diego Magazine*, 2013-2017; CAOC Consumer Attorney of the Year Award Finalist, 2015; Note and Comment Editor, *Journal of Contemporary Law*, University of Utah College of Law; Note and Comment Editor, *Journal of Energy Law and Policy*, University of Utah College of Law

## Willow E. Radcliffe | Partner

Willow Radcliffe is a partner in the Firm's San Francisco office and concentrates her practice on securities class action litigation in federal court. Radcliffe has been significantly involved in the prosecution of numerous securities fraud claims, including actions filed against Flowserve, NorthWestern and Ashworth, and has represented plaintiffs in other complex actions, including a class action against a major bank regarding the adequacy of disclosures made to consumers in California related to Access Checks. Prior to joining the Firm, she clerked for the Honorable Maria-Elena James, Magistrate Judge for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

### Education

B.A., University of California, Los Angeles 1994; J.D., Seton Hall University School of Law, 1998

### Honors / Awards

J.D., *Cum Laude*, Seton Hall University School of Law, 1998; Most Outstanding Clinician Award; Constitutional Law Scholar Award

## Mark S. Reich | Partner

Mark Reich is a partner in the Firm's Melville office. Reich focuses his practice on challenging unfair mergers and acquisitions in courts throughout the country. Reich's notable cases include: *In re Aramark Corp. S'holders Litig.*, where he achieved a \$222 million increase in consideration paid to shareholders of Aramark and a substantial reduction to management's voting power – from 37% to 3.5% – in connection with the approval of the going-private transaction; *In re Delphi Fin. Grp. S'holders Litig.*, resulting in a \$49 million post-merger settlement for Class A Delphi shareholders; and *In re TD Banknorth S'holders Litig.*, where Reich played a significant role in raising the inadequacy of the \$3 million initial settlement, which the court rejected as wholly inadequate, and later resulted in a vastly increased \$50 million recovery.

Reich has also played a central role in other shareholder related litigation. His cases include *In re Gen. Elec. Co. ERISA Litig.*, resulting in structural changes to company's 401(k) plan valued at over \$100 million, benefiting current and future plan participants, and *In re Doral Fin. Corp. Sec. Litig.*, obtaining a \$129 million recovery for shareholders in a securities fraud litigation.

### Education

B.A., Queens College, 1997; J.D., Brooklyn Law School, 2000

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, 2013-2017; Member, *The Journal of Law and Policy*, Brooklyn Law School; Member, Moot Court Honor Society, Brooklyn Law School

## Jack Reise | Partner

Jack Reise is a partner in the Firm's Boca Raton office. Devoted to protecting the rights of those who have been harmed by corporate misconduct, his practice focuses on class action litigation (including securities fraud, shareholder derivative actions, consumer protection, antitrust, and unfair and deceptive insurance practices). Reise also dedicates a substantial portion of his practice to representing shareholders in actions brought under the federal securities laws. He is currently serving as lead counsel in more than a dozen cases nationwide. As lead counsel, Reise represented investors in a series of cases involving mutual funds charged with improperly valuating their net assets, which settled for a total of more than \$50 million. Other notable actions include: *In re NewPower Holdings Sec. Litig.* (\$41 million settlement); *In re Red Hat Sec. Litig.* (\$20 million settlement); and *In re AFC Enters., Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$17.2 million settlement). Prior to joining the Firm, Reise represented individuals suffering the debilitating effects of asbestos exposure back in the 1950s and 1960s.

### Education

B.A., Binghamton University, 1992; J.D., University of Miami School of Law, 1995

### Honors / Awards

American Jurisprudence Book Award in Contracts; J.D., *Cum Laude*, University of Miami School of Law, 1995; *University of Miami Inter-American Law Review*, University of Miami School of Law

## Darren J. Robbins | Partner

Darren Robbins is a founding partner of Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP. Over the last two decades, he has served as lead counsel in more than 100 securities class actions and has recovered billions of dollars for injured shareholders. Robbins has obtained significant recoveries in a number of actions arising out of wrongdoing related to the issuance of residential mortgage-backed securities, including the case against Goldman Sachs (\$272 million recovery). Robbins also served as co-lead counsel in connection with a \$627 million recovery for investors in *In re Wachovia Preferred Securities & Bond/Notes Litig.*, one of the largest credit-crisis settlements involving Securities Act claims. Robbins also recently served as lead counsel in *Schuh v. HCA Holdings, Inc.*, which resulted in a \$215 million recovery for shareholders.

One of the hallmarks of Robbins' practice has been his focus on corporate governance reform. In *UnitedHealth*, a securities fraud class action arising out of an options backdating scandal, Robbins represented lead plaintiff CalPERS and was able to obtain the cancellation of more than 3.6 million stock options held by the company's former CEO and secure a record \$925 million cash recovery for shareholders. Robbins also negotiated sweeping corporate governance reforms, including the election of a shareholder-nominated director to the company's board of directors, a mandatory holding period for shares acquired via option exercise, and compensation reforms that tied executive pay to performance. Recently, Robbins led a shareholder derivative action brought by several pension funds on behalf of Community Health Systems, Inc. The case yielded a \$60 million payment to Community Health (the largest recovery ever in a shareholder derivative action in Tennessee and the Sixth Circuit), as well as groundbreaking corporate governance reforms that included two shareholder-nominated directors, the creation and appointment of a Healthcare Law Compliance Coordinator, the implementation of a compensation clawback in the event of a restatement, the establishment of an insider trading controls committee, and the adoption of a political expenditure disclosure policy.

### Education

B.S., University of Southern California, 1990; M.A., University of Southern California, 1990; J.D., Vanderbilt Law School, 1993

### Honors / Awards

Best Lawyer in America, *Best Lawyers®*, 2010-2018; Lawyer of the Year, *Best Lawyers®*, 2017; Litigator of the Year, *Our City San Diego*, 2017; Leading Lawyer, *Chambers USA*, 2014-2017; Local Litigation Star, *Benchmark Litigation*, 2013-2017; Recommended Lawyer, *The Legal 500*, 2011, 2017; Leading Lawyer in America, *Lawdragon*, 2006-2007, 2009-2017; Super Lawyer, 2013-2017; Top 50 Lawyers in San Diego, *Super Lawyers Magazine*, 2015; One of the Top 100 Lawyers Shaping the Future, *Daily Journal*; One of the "Young Litigators 45 and Under," *The American Lawyer*; Attorney of the Year, *California Lawyer*; Managing Editor, *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law*, Vanderbilt Law School



## Robert J. Robbins | Partner

Robert Robbins is a partner in the Firm's Boca Raton office. He focuses his practice on investigating securities fraud, initiating securities class actions, and helping institutional and individual shareholders litigate their claims to recover investment losses caused by fraud. Representing shareholders in all aspects of class actions brought pursuant to the federal securities laws, Robbins provides counsel in numerous securities fraud class actions across the country, helping secure significant recoveries for investors. He has been a member of litigation teams responsible for the successful prosecution of many securities class actions, including: *Hospira* (\$60 million recovery); *CVS Caremark* (\$48 million recovery); *R.H. Donnelley* (\$25 million recovery); *Spiegel* (\$17.5 million recovery); *TECO Energy, Inc.* (\$17.35 million recovery); *AFC Enterprises* (\$17.2 million recovery); *Mannatech, Inc.* (\$11.5 million recovery); *Newpark Resources, Inc.* (\$9.24 million recovery); *Cryo Cell Int'l, Inc.* (\$7 million recovery); *Gainsco* (\$4 million recovery); and *Body Central* (\$3.425 million recovery).

### Education

B.S., University of Florida, 1999; J.D., University of Florida College of Law, 2002

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2015-2017; J.D., High Honors, University of Florida College of Law, 2002; Member, *Journal of Law and Public Policy*, University of Florida College of Law; Member, *Phi Delta Phi*, University of Florida College of Law; *Pro bono* certificate, Circuit Court of the Eighth Judicial Circuit of Florida; Order of the Coif

## Henry Rosen | Partner

Henry Rosen is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office, where he is a member of the Hiring Committee and Technology Committee, the latter of which focuses on applications to digitally manage documents produced during litigation and internally generate research files. He has significant experience prosecuting every aspect of securities fraud class actions and has obtained more than \$1 billion on behalf of defrauded investors. Prominent cases include *In re Cardinal Health, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, in which Rosen recovered \$600 million for defrauded shareholders. This \$600 million settlement is the largest recovery ever in a securities fraud class action in the Sixth Circuit, and remains one of the largest settlements in the history of securities fraud litigation. Additional recoveries include: *Jones v. Pfizer Inc.* (\$400 million); *In re First Energy* (\$89.5 million); *In re CIT Grp. Inc. Sec. Litig* (\$75 million); *Stanley v. Safeskin Corp.* (\$55 million); *In re Storage Tech. Corp. Sec. Litig.* (\$55 million); and *Rasner v. Sturm* (FirstWorld Communications ) (\$25.9 million).

### Education

B.A., University of California, San Diego, 1984; J.D., University of Denver, 1988

### Honors / Awards

Editor-in-Chief, *University of Denver Law Review*, University of Denver



## David A. Rosenfeld | Partner

David Rosenfeld is a partner in the Firm's Melville office. He has focused his practice of law for more than 15 years in the areas of securities litigation and corporate takeover litigation. He has been appointed as lead counsel in dozens of securities fraud lawsuits and has successfully recovered hundreds of millions of dollars for defrauded shareholders. Rosenfeld works on all stages of litigation, including drafting pleadings, arguing motions and negotiating settlements. Most recently, he led the Robbins Geller team in recovering in excess of \$34 million for investors in Overseas Shipholding Group. Rosenfeld also led the effort that resulted in the recovery of nearly 90% of losses for investors in Austin Capital, a sub-feeder fund of Bernard Madoff. Rosenfeld has also achieved remarkable recoveries against companies in the financial industry. In addition to recovering \$70 million for investors in Credit Suisse Group, and a \$74.25 million recovery for First BanCorp shareholders, he recently settled claims against Barclays for \$14 million, or 20% of investors' damages, for statements made about its LIBOR practices.

### Education

B.S., Yeshiva University, 1996; J.D., Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law, 1999

### Honors / Awards

Advisory Board Member of *Stafford's Securities Class Action Reporter*; Future Star, *Benchmark Litigation*, 2016-2017; Super Lawyer, 2014-2017; Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2011-2013

## Robert M. Rothman | Partner

Robert Rothman is a partner in the Firm's Melville office. Rothman has extensive experience litigating cases involving investment fraud, consumer fraud and antitrust violations. He also lectures to institutional investors throughout the world. Rothman has served as lead counsel in numerous class actions alleging violations of securities laws, including cases against First Bancorp (\$74.25 million recovery), CVS (\$48 million recovery), Popular, Inc. (\$37.5 million recovery), and iStar Financial, Inc. (\$29 million recovery). He actively represents shareholders in connection with going-private transactions and tender offers. For example, in connection with a tender offer made by Citigroup, Rothman secured an increase of more than \$38 million over what was originally offered to shareholders.

### Education

B.A., State University of New York at Binghamton, 1990; J.D., Hofstra University School of Law, 1993

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, 2011, 2013-2017; Dean's Academic Scholarship Award, Hofstra University School of Law; J.D., with Distinction, Hofstra University School of Law, 1993; Member, *Hofstra Law Review*, Hofstra University School of Law

## Samuel H. Rudman | Partner

Sam Rudman is a founding member of the Firm, a member of the Firm's Executive and Management Committees, and manages the Firm's New York offices. His 22-year securities practice focuses on recognizing and investigating securities fraud, and initiating securities and shareholder class actions to vindicate shareholder rights and recover shareholder losses. A former attorney with the SEC, Rudman has recovered hundreds of millions of dollars for shareholders, including a \$200 million recovery in *Motorola*, a \$129 million recovery in *Doral Financial*, an \$85 million recovery in *Blackstone*, a \$74 million recovery in *First BanCorp*, a \$65 million recovery in *Forest Labs*, a \$50 million recovery in *TD Banknorth*, and a \$48 million recovery in *CVS Caremark*.

### Education

B.A., Binghamton University, 1989; J.D., Brooklyn Law School, 1992

### Honors / Awards

Leading Lawyer, *Chambers USA*, 2014-2017; Local Litigation Star, *Benchmark Litigation*, 2013-2017; Litigation Star, *Benchmark Litigation*, 2013, 2017; Leading Lawyer in America, *Lawdragon*, 2016-2017; Super Lawyer, 2007-2017; Dean's Merit Scholar, Brooklyn Law School; Moot Court Honor Society, Brooklyn Law School; Member, *Brooklyn Journal of International Law*, Brooklyn Law School

## Joseph Russello | Partner

Joseph Russello is a partner in the Firm's Melville office, where he concentrates his practice on prosecuting shareholder class action and breach of fiduciary duty claims, as well as complex commercial litigation and consumer class actions. Russello joined the *Law360* Securities Editorial Advisory Board in 2017.

Russello has played a vital role in recovering millions of dollars for aggrieved investors, including those of Blackstone (\$85 million); NBTY, Inc. (\$16 million); LaBranche & Co., Inc. (\$13 million); The Children's Place Retail Stores, Inc. (\$12 million); Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc. (\$11 million); and Jarden Corporation (\$8 million). He also has significant experience in corporate takeover and breach of fiduciary duty litigation. In expedited litigation in the Delaware Court of Chancery involving Mat Five LLC, for example, his efforts paved the way for an "opt-out" settlement that offered investors more than \$38 million in increased cash benefits. In addition, he played an integral role in convincing the Delaware Court of Chancery to enjoin Oracle Corporation's \$1 billion acquisition of Art Technology Group, Inc. pending the disclosure of material information. He also has experience in litigating consumer class actions.

Prior to joining the Firm, Russello practiced in the professional liability group at Rivkin Radler LLP, where he defended attorneys, accountants and other professionals in state and federal litigation and assisted in evaluating and resolving complex insurance coverage matters.

### Education

B.A., Gettysburg College, 1998; J.D., Hofstra University School of Law, 2001

### Honors / Awards

*Law360* Securities Editorial Advisory Board, 2017; Super Lawyer, 2014-2017

## Scott H. Saham | Partner

Scott Saham is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office, where his practice focuses on complex securities litigation. He is licensed to practice law in both California and Michigan. Most recently, Saham was part of the litigation team in *Schuh v. HCA Holdings, Inc.*, which resulted in a \$215 million recovery for shareholders, the largest securities class action recovery ever in Tennessee. He also served as lead counsel prosecuting the Pharmacia securities litigation in the District of New Jersey, which resulted in a \$164 million recovery. Additionally, Saham was lead counsel in the *In re Coca-Cola Sec. Litig.* in the Northern District of Georgia, which resulted in a \$137.5 million recovery after nearly eight years of litigation. He also obtained reversal from the California Court of Appeal of the trial court's initial dismissal of the landmark Countrywide mortgage-backed securities action. This decision is reported as *Luther v. Countrywide Fin. Corp.*, 195 Cal. App. 4th 789 (2011), and following this ruling that revived the action the case settled for \$500 million.

### Education

B.A., University of Michigan, 1992; J.D., University of Michigan Law School, 1995

## Stephanie Schroder | Partner

Stephanie Schroder is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. Schroder has significant experience prosecuting securities fraud class actions and shareholder derivative actions. Her practice also focuses on advising institutional investors, including multi-employer and public pension funds, on issues related to corporate fraud in the United States securities markets. Currently, she is representing clients that have suffered losses from the Madoff fraud in the *Austin Capital* and *Meridian Capital* litigations.

Schroder has obtained millions of dollars on behalf of defrauded investors. Prominent cases include *AT&T* (\$100 million recovery at trial); *FirstEnergy* (\$89.5 million recovery); *FirstWorld Commc'ns* (\$25.9 million recovery). Major clients include the Pension Trust Fund for Operating Engineers, the Kentucky State District Council of Carpenters Pension Trust Fund, the Laborers Pension Trust Fund for Northern California, the Construction Laborers Pension Trust for Southern California, and the Iron Workers Mid-South Pension Fund.

### Education

B.A., University of Kentucky, 1997; J.D., University of Kentucky College of Law, 2000

## Jessica T. Shinnelfield | Partner

Jessica Shinnelfield is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office and currently focuses on initiating, investigating and prosecuting new securities fraud class actions. Shinnelfield was a member of the litigation teams that obtained significant recoveries for investors in cases such as *AOL Time Warner*, *Cisco Systems*, *Aon* and *Petco*. Shinnelfield was also a member of the litigation team prosecuting actions against investment banks and leading national credit rating agencies for their roles in structuring and rating structured investment vehicles backed by toxic assets. These cases are among the first to successfully allege fraud against the rating agencies, whose ratings have traditionally been protected by the First Amendment. She is currently litigating several securities actions, including an action against Omnicare, in which she helped obtain a favorable ruling from the U.S. Supreme Court.

### Education

B.A., University of California at Santa Barbara, 2001; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law, 2004

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2015-2016; B.A., *Phi Beta Kappa*, University of California at Santa Barbara, 2001

## Elizabeth A. Shonson | Partner

Elizabeth Shonson is a partner in the Firm's Boca Raton office. She concentrates her practice on representing investors in class actions brought pursuant to the federal securities laws. Shonson has litigated numerous securities fraud class actions nationwide, helping achieve significant recoveries for aggrieved investors. She was a member of the litigation teams responsible for recouping millions of dollars for defrauded investors, including: *In re Massey Energy Co. Sec. Litig.* (S.D. W.Va.) (\$265 million); *Nieman v. Duke Energy Corp.* (W.D.N.C.) (\$146.25 million recovery); *Eshe Fund v. Fifth Third Bancorp* (S.D. Ohio) (\$16 million); *City of St. Clair Shores Gen. Emps. Ret. Sys. v. Lender Processing Servs., Inc.* (M.D. Fla.) (\$14 million); and *In re Synovus Fin. Corp.* (N.D. Ga.) (\$11.75 million).

### Education

B.A., Syracuse University, 2001; J.D., University of Florida Levin College of Law, 2005

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2016-2017; J.D., *Cum Laude*, University of Florida Levin College of Law, 2005; Editor-in-Chief, *Journal of Technology Law & Policy*; Phi Delta Phi; B.A., with Honors, *Summa Cum Laude*, Syracuse University, 2001; Phi Beta Kappa

## Trig Smith | Partner

Trig Smith is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. Smith focuses on complex securities class actions in which he has helped obtain significant recoveries for investors in cases such as *Cardinal Health* (\$600 million); *Qwest* (\$445 million); *Forest Labs.* (\$65 million); *Accredo* (\$33 million); and *Exide* (\$13.7 million).

### Education

B.S., University of Colorado, Denver, 1995; M.S., University of Colorado, Denver, 1997; J.D., Brooklyn Law School, 2000

### Honors / Awards

Member, *Brooklyn Journal of International Law*, Brooklyn Law School; CALI Excellence Award in Legal Writing, Brooklyn Law School

## Mark Solomon | Partner

Mark Solomon is a founding partner in the Firm's San Diego office and leads its international litigation practice. Over the last 23 years, he has regularly represented United States- and United Kingdom-based pension funds, and asset managers in class and non-class securities litigation in federal and state courts throughout the United States. He has been admitted to the Bars of England and Wales (Barrister), Ohio and California, but now practices exclusively in California, as well as in various United States federal district and appellate courts.

Solomon has spearheaded the prosecution of many significant securities fraud cases. He has obtained multi-hundred million dollar recoveries for plaintiffs in pre-trial settlements and significant corporate governance reforms designed to limit recidivism and promote appropriate standards. He litigated, through the rare event of trial, the securities class action against Helionetics Inc. and its executives, where he won a \$15.4 million federal jury verdict. Prior to the most recent financial crisis, he was instrumental in obtaining some of the first mega-recoveries in the field in California and Texas, serving as co-lead counsel in *In re Informix Corp. Sec. Litig.* (N.D. Cal.) and recovering \$131 million for Informix investors; and serving as co-lead counsel in *Schwartz v. TXU Corp.* (N.D. Tex.), where he helped obtain a recovery of over \$149 million for a class of purchasers of TXU securities. Solomon is currently counsel to a number of pension funds serving as lead plaintiffs in cases throughout the United States.

### Education

B.A., Trinity College, Cambridge University, England, 1985; L.L.M., Harvard Law School, 1986; Inns of Court School of Law, Degree of Utter Barrister, England, 1987

### Honors / Awards

Recommended Lawyer, *The Legal 500*, 2016-2017; Super Lawyer, 2017; Lizette Bentwich Law Prize, Trinity College, 1983 and 1984; Hollond Travelling Studentship, 1985; Harvard Law School Fellowship, 1985-1986; Member and Hardwicke Scholar of the Honourable Society of Lincoln's Inn

## Susan G. Taylor | Partner

Susan Goss Taylor is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. Her practice focuses on securities fraud and antitrust litigation. Taylor served as a Special Assistant United States Attorney for the Southern District of California, where she obtained considerable trial experience prosecuting drug smuggling and alien smuggling cases. As a partner with Robbins Geller, Taylor has been responsible for prosecuting securities fraud class actions and has obtained substantial recoveries for investors in litigation involving WorldCom, Qwest, AOL Time Warner and Motorola.

Taylor also served as counsel on the Microsoft, DRAM and Private Equity antitrust litigation teams, as well as on a number of consumer actions alleging false and misleading advertising and unfair business practices against major corporations such as General Motors, Saturn, Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC, BMG Direct Marketing, Inc. and Ameriquest Mortgage Company.

### Education

B.A., Pennsylvania State University, 1994; J.D., The Catholic University of America, Columbus School of Law, 1997

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, 2015-2016; Member, Moot Court Team, The Catholic University of America, Columbus School of Law

## David C. Walton | Partner

David Walton is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office and a member of the Firm's Executive and Management Committees. He specializes in pursuing financial fraud claims, using his background as a Certified Public Accountant and Certified Fraud Examiner to prosecute securities law violations on behalf of investors. For over 20 years, he has prosecuted class actions and private actions on behalf of defrauded investors, particularly in the area of accounting fraud. He has investigated and participated in the litigation of highly complex accounting scandals within some of America's largest corporations, including Enron (\$7.2 billion), HealthSouth (\$671 million), WorldCom (\$657 million), AOL Time Warner (\$629 million), Countrywide (\$500 million), and Dynegy (\$474 million), as well as numerous companies implicated in stock option backdating. In 2003-2004, he served as a member of the California Board of Accountancy, which is responsible for regulating the accounting profession in California.

### Education

B.A., University of Utah, 1988; J.D., University of Southern California Law Center, 1993

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, 2015-2016; California Board of Accountancy, Member, 2003-2004; *Southern California Law Review*, Member, University of Southern California Law Center; Hale Moot Court Honors Program, University of Southern California Law Center

## Douglas Wilens | Partner

Douglas Wilens is a partner in the Firm's Boca Raton office. Wilens is a member of the Firm's appellate practice group, participating in numerous appeals in federal and state courts across the country. Most notably, Wilens handled successful appeals in the First Circuit Court of Appeals in *Mass. Ret. Sys. v. CVS Caremark Corp.*, 716 F.3d 229 (1st Cir. 2013) (reversal of order granting motion to dismiss), and in the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals in *Lormand v. US Unwired, Inc.*, 565 F.3d 228 (5th Cir. 2009) (reversal of order granting motion to dismiss). Wilens is also involved in the Firm's lead plaintiff practice group, handling lead plaintiff issues arising under the PSLRA.

Prior to joining the Firm, Wilens was an associate at a nationally recognized firm, where he litigated complex actions on behalf of numerous professional sports leagues, including the National Basketball Association, the National Hockey League and Major League Soccer. He has also served as an adjunct professor at Florida Atlantic University and Nova Southeastern University, where he taught undergraduate and graduate-level business law classes.

### Education

B.S., University of Florida, 1992; J.D., University of Florida College of Law, 1995

### Honors / Awards

Book Award for Legal Drafting, University of Florida College of Law; J.D., with Honors, University of Florida College of Law, 1995

## Shawn A. Williams | Partner

Shawn Williams is a partner in the Firm's San Francisco office and a member of the Firm's Management Committee. His practice focuses on securities class actions. Williams was among the lead class counsel for the Firm recovering investor losses in notable cases, including: *In re Krispy Kreme Doughnuts, Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$75 million); *In re Veritas Software Corp. Sec. Litig.* (\$35 million); and *In re Cadence Design Sys. Sec. Litig.* (\$38 million). Williams is also among the Firm's lead attorneys prosecuting shareholder derivative actions, securing tens of millions of dollars in cash recoveries and negotiating the implementation of comprehensive corporate governance enhancements, such as *In re McAfee, Inc. Derivative Litig.*; *In re Marvell Tech. Grp. Ltd. Derivative Litig.*; *In re KLA Tencor S'holder Derivative Litig.*; and *The Home Depot, Inc. Derivative Litig.* Prior to joining the Firm in 2000, Williams served for 5 years as an Assistant District Attorney in the Manhattan District Attorney's Office, where he tried over 20 cases to New York City juries and led white-collar fraud grand jury investigations.

### Education

B.A., The State of University of New York at Albany, 1991; J.D., University of Illinois, 1995

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, 2014-2017; Board Member, California Bar Foundation, 2012-2014



## David T. Wissbroecker | Partner

David Wissbroecker is a partner in the Firm's San Diego and Chicago offices and focuses his practice on securities class action litigation in the context of mergers and acquisitions, representing both individual shareholders and institutional investors. Wissbroecker has litigated numerous high profile cases in Delaware and other jurisdictions, including shareholder class actions challenging the acquisitions of Kinder Morgan, Del Monte Foods, Affiliated Computer Services and Rural Metro. As part of the deal litigation team at Robbins Geller, Wissbroecker has helped secure monetary recoveries for shareholders that collectively exceed \$600 million. Prior to joining the Firm, Wissbroecker served as a staff attorney for the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, and then as a law clerk for the Honorable John L. Coffey, Circuit Judge for the Seventh Circuit.

### Education

B.A., Arizona State University, 1998; J.D., University of Illinois College of Law, 2003

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2015; J.D., *Magna Cum Laude*, University of Illinois College of Law, 2003; B.A., *Cum Laude*, Arizona State University, 1998

## Christopher M. Wood | Partner

Christopher Wood is a partner in the Firm's Nashville office, where his practice focuses on complex securities litigation. He has been a member of litigation teams responsible for recovering hundreds of millions of dollars for investors, including: *In re Massey Energy Co. Sec. Litig.* (\$265 million recovery); *In re VeriFone Holdings, Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$95 million recovery); *Garden City Emps.' Ret. Sys. v. Psychiatric Sols., Inc.* (\$65 million recovery); *In re Micron Tech., Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$42 million recovery); and *Winslow v. BancorpSouth, Inc.* (\$29.5 million recovery).

Wood has provided *pro bono* legal services through the San Francisco Bar Association's Volunteer Legal Services Program, the Ninth Circuit's Pro Bono Program, Volunteer Lawyers & Professionals for the Arts, and Tennessee Justice for Our Neighbors.

### Education

B.A., Vanderbilt University, 2003; J.D., University of San Francisco School of Law, 2006

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2011-2013, 2015-2016

## Debra J. Wyman | Partner

Debra Wyman is a partner in the Firm's San Diego office. She specializes in securities litigation and has litigated numerous cases against public companies in state and federal courts that have resulted in over \$1 billion in securities fraud recoveries. Wyman was a member of the trial team in *Schuh v. HCA Holdings, Inc.*, which resulted in a \$215 million recovery for shareholders, the largest securities class action recovery ever in Tennessee. The recovery represents between 34% and 70% of the aggregate damages, far exceeding the typical recovery in a securities class action. Wyman prosecuted the complex securities and accounting fraud case *In re HealthSouth Corp. Sec. Litig.*, one of the largest and longest-running corporate frauds in history, in which \$671 million was recovered for defrauded HealthSouth investors. She was also part of the trial team that litigated *In re AT&T Corp. Sec. Litig.*, which was tried in the United States District Court, District of New Jersey, and settled after only two weeks of trial for \$100 million. Most recently, Wyman was part of the litigation team that secured a \$64 million recovery for Dana Corp. shareholders in *Plumbers & Pipefitters National Pension Fund v. Burns*, in which the Firm's Appellate Practice Group successfully appealed to the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals twice, reversing the district court's dismissal of the action.

### Education

B.A., University of California Irvine, 1990; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law, 1997

### Honors / Awards

Top Women Lawyer, *Daily Journal*, 2017; Litigator of the Year, *Our City San Diego*, 2017; Super Lawyer, 2016-2017

## Laura M. Andracchio | Of Counsel

Laura Andracchio is Of Counsel in the Firm's San Diego office. Having first joined the Firm in 1997, she was a Robbins Geller partner for ten years prior to her role as Of Counsel. As a partner with the Firm, Andracchio led countless securities fraud cases against public companies throughout the country, recovering hundreds of millions of dollars for injured investors. Her current focus remains securities fraud litigation under the federal securities laws.

Andracchio was a lead member of the trial team in *In re AT&T Corp. Sec. Litig.*, recovering \$100 million for the class after two weeks of trial in district court in New Jersey. Prior to trial, she managed and litigated the case, which was pending for four years. She also led the trial team in *Brody v. Hellman*, a case against Qwest and former directors of U.S. West seeking an unpaid dividend, recovering \$50 million for the class, which was largely comprised of U.S. West retirees. Other cases Andracchio has litigated include *City of Hialeah Emps.' Ret. Sys. v. Toll Bros., Inc.*, *Ross v. Abercrombie & Fitch Co.*, *In re GMH Cmtys. Tr. Sec. Litig.*, *In re Vicuron Pharm., Inc. Sec. Litig.* and *In re Navarre Corp. Sec. Litig.* Most recently, her focus is residential mortgage-backed securities litigation on behalf of investors against Wall Street financial institutions.

### Education

B.A., Bucknell University, 1986; J.D., Duquesne University School of Law, 1989

### Honors / Awards

Order of the Barristers, J.D., with honors, Duquesne University School of Law, 1989

## Randi D. Bandman | Of Counsel

Randi Bandman is Of Counsel in the Firm's Boca Raton office. She is involved in the coordination of the Firm's Institutional Outreach Department and overseeing the quarterly litigation updates of the status of hundreds of cases prosecuted by the Firm on behalf of hundreds of clients. She lectures and advises public and multi-employer pension funds, fund managers, banks, hedge funds and insurance companies, both domestically and internationally, on their options for seeking redress for losses due to fraud sustained in their portfolios. Bandman has represented hundreds of institutional investors, including domestic and non-U.S. investors in some of the largest and most successful shareholder actions ever prosecuted, resulting in billions of dollars of recoveries, both as private opt-out and class actions. Notable cases include: *In re Enron Corp. Sec. Litig.* (\$7.2 billion), *In re WorldCom Sec. Litig.* (\$657 million), *AOL Time Warner, Inc. Opt-Out Litigations* (\$629 million) and *Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners, LLC* (\$590.5 million). Bandman also helped litigate *In re BP plc Derivative Litig.* (which addressed the utter failure of BP to ensure the safety of its operations in the United States, resulting in the Deepwater Horizon oil spill, the worst environmental disaster in history) and was instrumental in the landmark 1998 state settlement with the tobacco companies for \$12.5 billion.

### Education

B.A., University of California, Los Angeles; J.D., University of Southern California

## Lea Malani Bays | Of Counsel

Lea Malani Bays is Of Counsel in the Firm's San Diego office. She focuses on e-discovery issues, from preservation through production, and provides counsel to the Firm's multi-disciplinary, e-discovery team consisting of attorneys, forensic analysts and database professionals. Through her role as counsel to the e-discovery team, Bays is very familiar with the various stages of e-discovery, including identification of relevant electronically stored information, data culling, predictive coding protocols, privilege and responsiveness reviews, as well as having experience in post-production discovery through trial preparation. Through speaking at various events, she is also a leader in shaping the broader dialogue on e-discovery issues.

Bays was recently part of the litigation team that earned the approval of a \$131 million settlement in favor of plaintiffs in *Bennett v. Sprint Nextel Corp.* The settlement, which resolved claims arising from Sprint Corporation's ill-fated merger with Nextel Communications in 2005, represents a significant recovery for the plaintiff class, achieved after five years of tireless effort by the Firm. Prior to joining Robbins Geller, Bays was a Litigation Associate at Kaye Scholer LLP's New York office. She has experience in a wide range of litigation, including complex securities litigation, commercial contract disputes, business torts, antitrust, civil fraud, and trust and estate litigation.

### Education

B.A., University of California, Santa Cruz, 1997; J.D., New York Law School, 2007

### Honors / Awards

J.D., *Magna Cum Laude*, New York Law School, 2007; Executive Editor, *New York Law School Law Review*; Legal Aid Society's Pro Bono Publico Award; NYSBA Empire State Counsel; Professor Stephen J. Ellmann Clinical Legal Education Prize; John Marshall Harlan Scholars Program, Justice Action Center

## Mary K. Blasy | Of Counsel

Mary Blasy is Of Counsel to the Firm's and is based in the Firm's Melville and Washington, D.C. offices. Her practice focuses on the investigation, commencement, and prosecution of securities fraud class actions and shareholder derivative suits. Blasy has recovered hundreds of millions of dollars for investors in securities fraud class actions against Reliance Acceptance Corp. (\$66 million); Sprint Corp. (\$50 million); Titan Corporation (\$15+ million); Martha Stewart Omni-Media, Inc. (\$30 million); and Coca-Cola Co. (\$137.5 million). Blasy has also been responsible for prosecuting numerous complex shareholder derivative actions against corporate malefactors to address violations of the nation's securities, environmental and labor laws, obtaining corporate governance enhancements valued by the market in the billions of dollars.

In 2014, the Presiding Justice of the Appellate Division of the Second Department of the Supreme Court of the State of New York appointed Blasy to serve as a member of the Independent Judicial Election Qualification Commission, which reviews the qualifications of candidates seeking public election to New York State Supreme Courts in the 10th Judicial District. She also served on the *Law360* Securities Editorial Advisory Board from 2015 to 2016.

### Education

B.A., California State University, Sacramento, 1996; J.D., UCLA School of Law, 2000

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, 2016-2017; *Law360* Securities Editorial Advisory Board, 2015-2016; Member, Independent Judicial Election Qualification Commission, 2014-present

## Bruce Boyens | Of Counsel

Bruce Boyens is Of Counsel to the Firm. A private practitioner in Denver, Colorado since 1990, he specializes in consulting with labor unions on issues relating to labor and environmental law, labor organizing, labor education, union elections, internal union governance and alternative dispute resolutions. Boyens was a Regional Director for the International Brotherhood of Teamsters elections in 1991 and 1995. He developed and taught collective bargaining and labor law courses for the George Meany Center, the United Mine Workers of America, Transportation Workers Local 260, the Kentucky Nurses Association, among others.

In addition, Boyens served as the Western Regional Director and Counsel for the United Mine Workers from 1983-1990, where he was the chief negotiator in over 30 major agreements, and represented the United Mine Workers in all legal matters. From 1973-1977, he served as General Counsel to District 17 of the United Mine Workers Association, and also worked as an underground coal miner during that time.

### Education

J.D., University of Kentucky College of Law, 1973; Harvard University, Certificate in Environmental Policy and Management

## William K. Cavanagh, Jr. | Of Counsel

Bill Cavanagh is Of Counsel in the Firm's Washington, D.C. office. Cavanagh concentrates his practice in employee benefits law and works with the Firm's Institutional Outreach Team. Prior to joining Robbins Geller, Cavanagh was employed by Ullico for the past nine years, most recently as President of Ullico Casualty Group. The Ullico Casualty Group is the leading provider of fiduciary liability insurance for trustees in both the private as well as the public sector. Prior to that he was President of the of Ullico Investment Company.

Preceding Cavanagh's time at Ullico, he was a partner at the labor and employee benefits firm Cavanagh and O'Hara in Springfield, Illinois for 28 years. In that capacity, Cavanagh represented public pension funds, jointly trustee Taft-Hartley, health, welfare, pension and joint apprenticeship funds advising on fiduciary and compliance issues both at the Board level as well as in administrative hearings, federal district courts and the United States Courts of Appeals. During the course of his practice, Cavanagh had extensive trial experience in state and the relevant federal district courts. Additionally, Cavanagh served as co-counsel on a number of cases representing trustees seeking to recover plan assets lost as a result of fraud in the marketplace.

### Education

B.A., Georgetown University, 1974; J.D., John Marshall Law School, 1978

## Christopher Collins | Of Counsel

Christopher Collins is Of Counsel in the Firm's San Diego office and his practice focuses on antitrust and consumer protection. Collins served as co-lead counsel in *Wholesale Elec. Antitrust Cases I & II*, charging an antitrust conspiracy by wholesale electricity suppliers and traders of electricity in California's newly deregulated wholesale electricity market wherein plaintiffs secured a global settlement for California consumers, businesses and local governments valued at more than \$1.1 billion. He was also involved in California's tobacco litigation, which resulted in the \$25.5 billion recovery for California and its local entities. Collins is currently counsel on the California Energy Manipulation antitrust litigation, the Memberworks upsell litigation, as well as a number of consumer actions alleging false and misleading advertising and unfair business practices against major corporations. He formerly served as a Deputy District Attorney for Imperial County where he was in charge of the Domestic Violence Unit.

### Education

B.A., Sonoma State University, 1988; J.D., Thomas Jefferson School of Law, 1995

## Patrick J. Coughlin | Of Counsel

Patrick Coughlin is Of Counsel to the Firm and has served as lead counsel in several major securities matters, including one of the earliest and largest class action securities cases to go to trial, *In re Apple Comput. Sec. Litig.* Coughlin was recently one of the lead attorneys who secured a historic recovery on behalf of Trump University students in two class actions against President Donald J. Trump. The settlement provides \$25 million to approximately 7,000 consumers. This result means individual class members will be eligible for upwards of \$35,000 in restitution. He represented the class on a *pro bono* basis. Additional prominent securities class actions prosecuted by Coughlin include the *Enron* litigation (\$7.2 billion recovery); the *Qwest* litigation (\$445 million recovery); and the *HealthSouth* litigation (\$671 million recovery). In addition to the numerous securities cases, Coughlin has handled a number of large antitrust cases including the Visa/Master Card *Interchange Fee* case, the *Currency Conversion* cases in which \$360 million was recovered for consumers and the Private Equity litigation (*Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners, LLC*) in which \$590.5 million was recovered for investors. Coughlin was formerly an Assistant United States Attorney in the District of Columbia and the Southern District of California, handling complex white-collar fraud matters.

### Education

B.S., Santa Clara University, 1977; J.D., Golden Gate University, 1983

### Honors / Awards

Best Lawyer in America, *Best Lawyers®*, 2006-2018; Senior Statesman, *Chambers USA*, 2014-2017; Top Lawyer in San Diego, *San Diego Magazine*, 2013-2017; Super Lawyer, 2004-2017; Antitrust Trailblazer, *The National Law Journal*, 2015; Top 100 Lawyers, *Daily Journal*, 2008; Leading Lawyers in America, *Lawdragon*, 2006, 2008-2009



## Michael J. Dowd | Of Counsel

Mike Dowd was a founding partner of the Firm. He has practiced in the area of securities litigation for 20 years, prosecuting dozens of complex securities cases and obtaining significant recoveries for investors in cases such as *UnitedHealth* (\$925 million), *WorldCom* (\$657 million), *AOL Time Warner* (\$629 million), *Qwest* (\$445 million) and *Pfizer* (\$400 million). Dowd served as lead trial counsel in *Jaffe v. Household Int'l, Inc.* in the Northern District of Illinois, a securities class action that obtained a record-breaking \$1.575 billion settlement after 14 years of litigation, including a six-week jury trial in 2009 that resulted in a verdict for plaintiffs. Dowd also served as the lead trial lawyer in *In re AT&T Corp. Sec. Litig.*, which was tried in the District of New Jersey and settled after only two weeks of trial for \$100 million.

Dowd served as an Assistant United States Attorney in the Southern District of California from 1987-1991, and again from 1994-1998.

### Education

B.A., Fordham University, 1981; J.D., University of Michigan School of Law, 1984

### Honors / Awards

Best Lawyer in America, *Best Lawyers®*, 2015-2018; Litigator of the Year, *Our City San Diego*, 2017; Recommended Lawyer, *The Legal 500*, 2016-2017; Top Lawyer in San Diego, *San Diego Magazine*, 2013-2017; Super Lawyer, 2010-2017; Leading Lawyer in America, *Lawdragon*, 2014-2016; Litigator of the Week, *The American Lawyer*, 2015; Litigation Star, *Benchmark Litigation* 2013; Directorship 100, NACD Directorship, 2012; Attorney of the Year, *California Lawyer*, 2010; Top 100 Lawyers, *Daily Journal*, 2009; Director's Award for Superior Performance, United States Attorney's Office; B.A., *Magna Cum Laude*, Fordham University, 1981

## Alan I. Ellman | Of Counsel

Alan Ellman is Of Counsel in the Firm's Melville office, where he concentrates his practice on prosecuting complex securities fraud cases on behalf of institutional investors. Most recently, he was on the team of attorneys who recovered in excess of \$34 million for investors in *In re OSG Sec. Litig.*, which represented an outsized recovery of 93% of bond purchasers' damages and 28% of stock purchasers' damages. The creatively structured settlement included more than \$15 million paid by a bankrupt entity. In 2006, Ellman received a Volunteer and Leadership Award from Housing Conservation Coordinators (HCC) for his *pro bono* service defending a client in Housing Court against a non-payment action, arguing an appeal before the Appellate Term, and staffing HCC's legal clinic. He also successfully appealed a *pro bono* client's criminal sentence before the Appellate Division.

### Education

B.S., B.A., State University of New York at Binghamton, 1999; J.D., Georgetown University Law Center, 2003

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, 2017; Super Lawyer "Rising Star," 2014-2015; B.S., B.A., *Cum Laude*, State University of New York at Binghamton, 1999

## L. Thomas Galloway | Of Counsel

Thomas Galloway is Of Counsel in the Firm's Washington D.C. office. He is the founding partner of Galloway & Associates, a law firm that concentrates in the representation of institutional investors – namely, public and multi-employer pension funds.

Galloway has authored several books and articles, including: *The American Response to Revolutionary Change: A Study of Diplomatic Recognition* (AEI Institute 1978); *American's Energy: Reports from the Nation* (Pantheon 1980); Contributor, *Coal Treastise* (Matthew Bender 1981); Contributor, *Mining in Germany, Great Britian, Australia, and the United States* 4 Harv. Envtl. L. Rev. 261 (Spring 1980); *A Miner's Bill of Rights*, 80 W. Va. L. Rev. 397 (1978); and Contributor, *Golden Dreams, Poisoned Streams* (Mineral Policy Center Washington D.C. 1997).

Galloway represents and/or provides consulting services for the following: National Wildlife Federation, Sierra Club, Friends of the Earth, United Mine Workers of America, Trout Unlimited, National Audubon Society, Natural Resources Defense Council, German Marshal Fund, Northern Cheyenne Indian Tribe, and Council of Energy Resource Tribes.

### Education

B.A., Florida State University, 1967; J.D., University of Virginia School of Law, 1972

### Honors / Awards

Articles Editor, *University of Virginia Law Review*, University of Virginia School of Law; *Phi Beta Kappa*, University of Virginia School of Law; Trial Lawyer of the Year in the United States, 2003

## John K. Grant | Of Counsel

John Grant is Of Counsel in the Firm's San Francisco office where he devotes his practice to representing investors in securities fraud class actions. Grant has been lead or co-lead counsel in numerous securities actions and recovered tens of millions of dollars for shareholders. His cases include: *In re Micron Tech, Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$42 million recovery); *Perera v. Chiron Corp.* (\$40 million recovery); *King v. CBT Grp., PLC* (\$32 million recovery); and *In re Exodus Commc'ns, Inc. Sec. Litig.* (\$5 million recovery).

### Education

B.A., Brigham Young University, 1988; J.D., University of Texas at Austin, 1990

## Mitchell D. Gravo | Of Counsel

Mitchell Gravo is Of Counsel to the Firm and concentrates his practice on government relations. He represents clients before the Alaska Congressional delegation, the Alaska Legislature, the Alaska State Government and the Municipality of Anchorage.

Gravo's clients include Anchorage Economic Development Corporation, Anchorage Convention and Visitors Bureau, UST Public Affairs, Inc., International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Alaska Seafood International, Distilled Spirits Council of America, RIM Architects, Anchorage Police Department Employees Association, Fred Meyer, and the Automobile Manufacturer's Association. Prior to joining the Firm, he served as an intern with the Municipality of Anchorage, and then served as a law clerk to Superior Court Judge J. Justin Ripley.

### Education

B.A., Ohio State University; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law

## Helen J. Hodges | Of Counsel

Helen Hodges is Of Counsel in the Firm's San Diego office. She specializes in securities fraud litigation. Hodges has been involved in numerous securities class actions, including: *Dynegy*, which settled for \$474 million; *Thurber v. Mattel*, which was settled for \$122 million; *Nat'l Health Labs*, which was settled for \$64 million; and *Knapp v. Gomez*, Civ. No. 87-0067-H(M) (S.D. Cal.), in which a plaintiffs' verdict was returned in a Rule 10b-5 class action. Additionally, beginning in 2001, Hodges focused on the prosecution of *Enron*, where a record \$7.2 billion recovery was obtained for investors.

### Education

B.S., Oklahoma State University, 1979; J.D., University of Oklahoma, 1983

### Honors / Awards

Rated AV by Martindale-Hubbell; Top Lawyer in San Diego, *San Diego Magazine*, 2013-2017; Super Lawyer, 2007; Oklahoma State University Foundation Board of Trustees, 2013

## David J. Hoffa | Of Counsel

David Hoffa is Of Counsel in the Firm's Washington D.C. office. He has served as a liaison to over 110 institutional investors in portfolio monitoring, securities litigation and claims filing matters. His practice focuses on providing a variety of legal and consulting services to U.S. state and municipal employee retirement systems and single and multi-employer U.S. Taft-Hartley benefit funds. In addition to serving as a leader on the Firm's Israel Institutional Investor Outreach Team, Hoffa also serves as a member of the Firm's lead plaintiff advisory team, and advises public and multi-employer pension funds around the country on issues related to fiduciary responsibility, legislative and regulatory updates, and "best practices" in the corporate governance of publicly traded companies.

Early in his legal career, Hoffa worked for a law firm based in Birmingham, Michigan, where he appeared regularly in Michigan state court in litigation pertaining to business, construction and employment related matters. Hoffa has also appeared before the Michigan Court of Appeals on several occasions.

### Education

B.A., Michigan State University, 1993; J.D., Michigan State University College of Law, 2000

## Andrew W. Hutton | Of Counsel

Drew Hutton is Of Counsel in the Firm's San Diego and New York offices, responsible for simplifying cases of complex financial fraud. Hutton has prosecuted a variety of securities actions, achieving high-profile recoveries and results. Representative cases against corporations and their auditors include *In re AOL Time Warner Sec. Litig.* (\$2.5 billion) and *In re Williams Cos. Sec. Litig.* (\$311 million). Representative cases against corporations and their executives include *In re Broadcom Sec. Litig.* (\$150 million) and *In re Clarent Corp. Sec. Litig.* (class plaintiff's 10b-5 jury verdict against former CEO). Hutton is also active in shareholder derivative litigation, achieving monetary recoveries and governance changes, including *In re Affiliated Computer Servs. Derivative Litig.* (\$30 million), *In re KB Home S'holder Derivative Litig.* (\$30 million) and *In re KeyCorp Derivative Litig.* (modified CEO stock options and governance). Hutton has also litigated securities cases in bankruptcy court (*In re WorldCom, Inc.* – \$15 million for individual claimant) and a complex options case before FINRA (eight-figure settlement for individual investor). Hutton is also experienced in complex, multi-district consumer litigation. Representative nationwide insurance cases include *In re Prudential Sales Practices Litig.* (\$4 billion), *In re Metro. Life Ins. Co. Sales Practices Litig.* (\$2 billion) and *In re Conseco Life Ins. Co. Cost of Ins. Litig.* (\$200 million). Representative nationwide consumer lending cases include a \$30 million class settlement of Truth-in-Lending claims against American Express and a \$24 million class settlement of RICO and RESPA claims against Community Bank of Northern Virginia (now PNC Bank).

Hutton is the founder of Hutton Law Group, a plaintiffs' litigation practice currently representing retirees, individual investors and businesses, and is also the founder of Hutton Investigative Accounting, a financial forensics and investigation firm. Prior founding Hutton Law and joining Robbins Geller, Hutton was a public company accountant, Certified Public Accountant, and broker of stocks, options and insurance products. Hutton has also served as an expert litigation consultant in both financial and corporate governance capacities. Hutton is often responsible for working with experts retained by the Firm in litigation and has conducted dozens of depositions of financial professionals, including audit partners, CFOs, directors, bankers, actuaries and opposing experts.

### Education

B.A., University of California, Santa Barbara, 1983; J.D., Loyola Law School, 1994

## Frank J. Janecek, Jr. | Of Counsel

Frank Janecek is Of Counsel in the Firm's San Diego office and practices in the areas of consumer/antitrust, Proposition 65, taxpayer and tobacco litigation. He served as co-lead counsel, as well as court appointed liaison counsel, in *Wholesale Elec. Antitrust Cases I & II*, charging an antitrust conspiracy by wholesale electricity suppliers and traders of electricity in California's newly deregulated wholesale electricity market. In conjunction with the Governor of the State of California, the California State Attorney General, the California Public Utilities Commission, the California Electricity Oversight Board, a number of other state and local governmental entities and agencies, and California's large, investor-owned electric utilities, plaintiffs secured a global settlement for California consumers, businesses and local governments valued at more than \$1.1 billion. Janecek also chaired several of the litigation committees in California's tobacco litigation, which resulted in the \$25.5 billion recovery for California and its local entities, and also handled a constitutional challenge to the State of California's Smog Impact Fee in *Ramos v. Dep't of Motor Vehicles*, which resulted in more than a million California residents receiving full refunds and interest, totaling \$665 million.

### Education

B.S., University of California, Davis, 1987; J.D., Loyola Law School, 1991

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, 2013-2017

## Nancy M. Juda | Of Counsel

Nancy Juda is Of Counsel to the Firm and is based in the Firm's Washington, D.C. office. She concentrates her practice on employee benefits law and works in the Firm's Institutional Outreach Department. Using her extensive experience representing union pension funds, Juda advises Taft-Hartley fund trustees regarding their options for seeking redress for losses due to securities fraud. She also represents workers in ERISA class actions involving breach of fiduciary duty claims against corporate plan sponsors and fiduciaries.

Prior to joining the Firm, Juda was employed by the United Mine Workers of America Health & Retirement Funds, where she practiced in the area of employee benefits law. Juda was also associated with union-side labor law firms in Washington, D.C., where she represented the trustees of Taft-Hartley pension and welfare funds on qualification, compliance, fiduciary, and transactional issues under ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code.

### Education

B.A., St. Lawrence University, 1988; J.D., American University, 1992

## Francis P. Karam | Of Counsel

Frank Karam is Of Counsel to the Firm and is based in the Firm's Melville office. Karam is a trial lawyer with 30 years of experience. His practice focuses on complex class action litigation involving shareholders' rights and securities fraud. He also represents a number of landowners and royalty owners in litigation against large energy companies. He has tried complex cases involving investment fraud and commercial fraud, both on the plaintiff and defense side, and has argued numerous appeals in state and federal courts. Throughout his career, Karam has tried more than 100 cases to verdict.

Karam has served as a partner at several prominent plaintiffs' securities firms. From 1984 to 1990, Karam was an Assistant District Attorney in the Bronx, New York, where he served as a senior Trial Attorney in the Homicide Bureau. He entered private practice in 1990, concentrating on trial and appellate work in state and federal courts.

### Education

A.B., College of the Holy Cross; J.D., Tulane University School of Law

### Honors / Awards

"Who's Who" for Securities Lawyers, *Corporate Governance Magazine*, 2015

## Ashley M. Kelly | Of Counsel

Ashley Kelly is Of Counsel in the San Diego office, where she represents large institutional and individual investors as a member of the Firm's antitrust and securities fraud practices. Her work is primarily federal and state class actions involving the federal antitrust and securities laws, common law fraud, breach of contract and accounting violations. Kelly's case work has been in the financial services, oil & gas, e-commerce and technology industries. In addition to being an attorney, she is a Certified Public Accountant. Kelly was an important member of the litigation team that obtained a \$500 million settlement on behalf of investors in *Luther v. Countrywide Fin. Corp.*, which was the largest residential mortgage-backed securities purchaser class action recovery in history.

### Education

B.S., Pennsylvania State University, 2005; J.D., Rutgers University-Camden, 2011

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, "Rising Star," 2016



## Jerry E. Martin | Of Counsel

Jerry Martin is Of Counsel in the Firm's Nashville office. He specializes in representing individuals who wish to blow the whistle to expose fraud and abuse committed by federal contractors, health care providers, tax cheats or those who violate the securities laws. Martin was a member of the litigation team that obtained a \$65 million recovery in *Garden City Emps.' Ret. Sys. v. Psychiatric Solutions, Inc.*, the third largest securities recovery ever in the Middle District of Tennessee and the largest in more than a decade.

Prior to joining the Firm, Martin served as the presidentially appointed United States Attorney for the Middle District of Tennessee from May 2010 to April 2013. As U.S. Attorney, he made prosecuting financial, tax and health care fraud a top priority. During his tenure, Martin co-chaired the Attorney General's Advisory Committee's Health Care Fraud Working Group. Martin has been recognized as a national leader in combatting fraud and has addressed numerous groups and associations, such as Taxpayers Against Fraud and the National Association of Attorney Generals, and was a keynote speaker at the American Bar Association's Annual Health Care Fraud Conference.

### Education

B.A., Dartmouth College, 1996; J.D., Stanford University, 1999

### Honors / Awards

Super Lawyer, 2016

## Ruby Menon | Of Counsel

Ruby Menon is Of Counsel to the Firm and serves as a member of the Firm's legal, advisory and business development group. She also serves as the liaison to the Firm's many institutional investor clients in the United States and abroad. For over 12 years, Menon served as Chief Legal Counsel to two large multi-employer retirement plans, developing her expertise in many areas of employee benefits and pension administration, including legislative initiatives and regulatory affairs, investments, tax, fiduciary compliance and plan administration.

### Education

B.A., Indiana University, 1985; J.D., Indiana University School of Law, 1988

## Eugene Mikolajczyk | Of Counsel

Eugene Mikolajczyk is Of Counsel to the Firm and is based in the Firm's San Diego Office. Mikolajczyk has over 30 years' experience prosecuting shareholder and securities litigation cases as both individual and class actions. Among the cases are *Heckmann v. Ahmanson*, in which the court granted a preliminary injunction to prevent a corporate raider from exacting greenmail from a large domestic media/entertainment company.

Mikolajczyk was a primary litigation counsel in an international coalition of attorneys and human rights groups that won a historic settlement with major U.S. clothing retailers and manufacturers on behalf of a class of over 50,000 predominantly female Chinese garment workers, in an action seeking to hold the Saipan garment industry responsible for creating a system of indentured servitude and forced labor. The coalition obtained an unprecedented agreement for supervision of working conditions in the Saipan factories by an independent NGO, as well as a substantial multi-million dollar compensation award for the workers.

### Education

B.S., Elizabethtown College, 1974; J.D., Dickinson School of Law, Penn State University, 1978

## Roxana Pierce | Of Counsel

Roxana Pierce is Of Counsel to the Firm and focuses her practice on securities litigation, arbitration, negotiations, contracts, international trade, real estate transactions and project development. She has represented clients in over 75 countries, with extensive experience in the Middle East, Asia, Russia, the former Soviet Union, Germany, Belgium, the Caribbean and India. Pierce counsels institutional investors on recourse available to them when the investors have been victims of fraud or other schemes. Pierce's client base includes large institutional investors, international banks, asset managers, foreign governments, multi-national corporations, sovereign wealth funds and high net worth individuals.

Pierce has counseled international clients since 1994. She has spearheaded the contract negotiations for hundreds of projects, including several valued at over \$1 billion, and typically conducts her negotiations with the leadership of foreign governments and the leadership of Fortune 500 corporations, foreign and domestic. Pierce presently represents several European legacy banks in litigation concerning the 2008 financial crisis.

### Education

B.A., Pepperdine University, 1988; J.D., Thomas Jefferson School of Law, 1994

### Honors / Awards

Certificate of Accomplishment, Export-Import Bank of the United States

## **Svenna Prado | Of Counsel**

Svenna Prado is Of Counsel in the Firm's San Diego office, where she focuses on various aspects of international securities and consumer litigation. She was part of the litigation teams that secured settlements against German defendant IKB, as well as Deutsche Bank and Deutsche Bank/West LB for their role in structuring residential mortgage-backed securities and their subsequent collapse. Prior to joining the Firm, Prado was Head of the Legal Department for a leading international staffing agency in Germany where she focused on all aspects of employment litigation and corporate governance. After she moved to the United States, Prado worked with an internationally oriented German law firm as Counsel to corporate clients establishing subsidiaries in the United States and Germany. As a law student, Prado worked directly for several years for one of the appointed Trustees winding up Eastern German operations under receivership in the aftermath of the German reunification. Utilizing her experience in this area of law, Prado later helped many clients secure successful outcomes in U.S. Bankruptcy Court.

### **Education**

J.D., University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany, 1996; Qualification for Judicial Office, Upper Regional Court Nuremberg, Germany, 1998; New York University, "U.S. Law and Methodologies," 2001

## **Christopher P. Seefer | Of Counsel**

Christopher Seefer is Of Counsel in the Firm's San Francisco office. Seefer concentrates his practice in securities class action litigation. One recent notable recovery was a \$30 million settlement with UTStarcom in 2010, a recovery that dwarfed a \$150,000 penalty obtained by the SEC. Prior to joining the Firm, he was a Fraud Investigator with the Office of Thrift Supervision, Department of the Treasury (1990-1999), and a field examiner with the Office of Thrift Supervision (1986-1990).

### **Education**

B.A., University of California Berkeley, 1984; M.B.A., University of California, Berkeley, 1990; J.D., Golden Gate University School of Law, 1998

## Arthur L. Shingler III | Of Counsel

Arthur Shingler is Of Counsel to the Firm and is based in the Firm's San Diego office. Shingler has successfully represented both public and private sector clients in hundreds of complex, multi-party actions with billions of dollars in dispute. Throughout his career, he has obtained outstanding results for those he has represented in cases generally encompassing shareholder derivative and securities litigation, unfair business practices litigation, publicity rights and advertising litigation, ERISA litigation, and other insurance, health care, employment and commercial disputes.

Representative matters in which Shingler served as lead litigation or settlement counsel include, among others: *In re Royal Dutch/Shell ERISA Litig.* (\$90 million settlement); *In re Priceline.com Sec. Litig.* (\$80 million settlement); *In re General Motors ERISA Litig.* (\$37.5 million settlement, in addition to significant revision of retirement plan administration); *Wood v. Ionatron, Inc.* (\$6.5 million settlement); *In re Lattice Semiconductor Corp. Derivative Litig.* (corporate governance settlement, including substantial revision of board policies and executive management); *In re 360networks Class Action Sec. Litig.* (\$7 million settlement); and *Rothschild v. Tyco Int'l (US), Inc.*, 83 Cal. App. 4th 488 (2000) (shaped scope of California's Unfair Practices Act as related to limits of State's False Claims Act).

### Education

B.A., Point Loma Nazarene College, 1989; J.D., Boston University School of Law, 1995

### Honors / Awards

B.A., *Cum Laude*, Point Loma Nazarene College, 1989

## Leonard B. Simon | Of Counsel

Leonard Simon is Of Counsel in the Firm's San Diego office. His practice has been devoted to litigation in the federal courts, including both the prosecution and the defense of major class actions and other complex litigation in the securities and antitrust fields. Simon has also handled a substantial number of complex appellate matters, arguing cases in the United States Supreme Court, several federal Courts of Appeals, and several California appellate courts. He has also represented large, publicly traded corporations. Simon served as plaintiffs' co-lead counsel in *In re Am. Cont'l Corp./Lincoln Sav. & Loan Sec. Litig.*, MDL No. 834 (D. Ariz.) (settled for \$240 million) and *In re NASDAQ Market-Makers Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1023 (S.D.N.Y.) (settled for more than \$1 billion). He was also in a leadership role in several of the state court antitrust cases against Microsoft, and the state court antitrust cases challenging electric prices in California. He was centrally involved in the prosecution of *In re Washington Pub. Power Supply Sys. Sec. Litig.*, MDL No. 551 (D. Ariz.), the largest securities class action ever litigated.

Simon is an Adjunct Professor of Law at Duke University, the University of San Diego, and the University of Southern California Law Schools. He has lectured extensively on securities, antitrust, and complex litigation in programs sponsored by the American Bar Association Section of Litigation, the Practising Law Institute, and ALI-ABA, and at the UCLA Law School, the University of San Diego Law School, and the Stanford Business School. He is an Editor of *California Federal Court Practice* and has authored a law review article on the PSLRA.

### Education

B.A., Union College, 1970; J.D., Duke University School of Law, 1973

### Honors / Awards

Top Lawyer in San Diego, *San Diego Magazine*, 2016-2017; Super Lawyer, 2008-2016; J.D., Order of the Coif and with Distinction, Duke University School of Law, 1973

## Laura S. Stein | Of Counsel

Laura Stein is Of Counsel in the Firm's Philadelphia office. She focuses her practice in the areas of securities class action litigation, complex litigation and legislative law. In a unique partnership with her mother, attorney Sandra Stein, also Of Counsel to the Firm, the Steins focus on minimizing losses suffered by shareholders due to corporate fraud and breaches of fiduciary duty. The Steins also seek to deter future violations of federal and state securities laws by reinforcing the standards of good corporate governance. They work with over 500 institutional investors across the nation and abroad, and their clients have served as lead plaintiff in successful cases where billions of dollars were recovered for defrauded investors against such companies as AOL Time Warner, Tyco, Cardinal Health, AT&T, Hanover Compressor, First Bancorp, Enron, Dynegy, Honeywell International and Bridgestone.

Stein is Special Counsel to the Institute for Law and Economic Policy (ILEP), a think tank that develops policy positions on selected issues involving the administration of justice within the American legal system. She has also served as Counsel to the Annenberg Institute of Public Service at the University of Pennsylvania.

### Education

B.A., University of Pennsylvania, 1992; J.D., University of Pennsylvania Law School, 1995

## Sandra Stein | Of Counsel

Sandra Stein is Of Counsel to the Firm and concentrates her practice in securities class action litigation, legislative law and antitrust litigation. In a unique partnership with her daughter, Laura Stein, also Of Counsel to the Firm, the Steins focus on minimizing losses suffered by shareholders due to corporate fraud and breaches of fiduciary duty.

Previously, Stein served as Counsel to United States Senator Arlen Specter of Pennsylvania. During her service in the United States Senate, Stein was a member of Senator Specter's legal staff and a member of the United States Senate Judiciary Committee staff. She is also the Founder of the Institute for Law and Economic Policy (ILEP), a think tank that develops policy positions on selected issues involving the administration of justice within the American legal system. Stein has also produced numerous public service documentaries for which she was nominated for an Emmy and received an ACE award, cable television's highest award for excellence in programming.

### Education

B.S., University of Pennsylvania, 1961; J.D., Temple University School of Law, 1966

### Honors / Awards

Nominated for an Emmy and received an ACE award for public service documentaries

## John J. Stoia, Jr. | Of Counsel

John Stoia is Of Counsel to the Firm and is based in the Firm's San Diego office. He is one of the founding partners and former managing partner of the Firm. He focuses his practice on insurance fraud, consumer fraud and securities fraud class actions. Stoia has been responsible for over \$10 billion in recoveries on behalf of victims of insurance fraud due to deceptive sales practices such as "vanishing premiums" and "churning." He has worked on dozens of nationwide complex securities class actions, including *In re Am. Cont'l Corp./Lincoln Sav. & Loan Sec. Litig.*, which arose out of the collapse of Lincoln Savings & Loan and Charles Keating's empire. Stoia was a member of the plaintiffs' trial team that obtained verdicts against Keating and his co-defendants in excess of \$3 billion and settlements of over \$240 million.

He also represented numerous large institutional investors who suffered hundreds of millions of dollars in losses as a result of major financial scandals, including AOL Time Warner and WorldCom. Currently, Stoia is lead counsel in numerous cases against online discount voucher companies for violations of both federal and state laws including violation of state gift card statutes.

### Education

B.S., University of Tulsa, 1983; J.D., University of Tulsa, 1986; LL.M., Georgetown University Law Center, 1987

### Honors / Awards

Rated AV Preeminent by Martindale-Hubbell; Top Lawyer in San Diego, *San Diego Magazine*, 2013-2017; Super Lawyer, 2007-2017; Litigator of the Month, *The National Law Journal*, July 2000; LL.M. Top of Class, Georgetown University Law Center

## Bruce Gamble | Special Counsel

Bruce Gamble is Special Counsel to the Firm in the Firm's Washington D.C. office and is a member of the Firm's institutional investor client services group. He serves as liaison with the Firm's institutional investor clients in the United States and abroad, advising them on securities litigation matters. Gamble formerly served as Of Counsel to the Firm, providing a broad array of highly specialized legal and consulting services to public retirement plans. Prior to working with Robbins Geller, Gamble was General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer for the District of Columbia Retirement Board, where he served as chief legal advisor to the Board of Trustees and staff. Gamble's experience also includes serving as Chief Executive Officer of two national trade associations and several senior level staff positions on Capitol Hill.

### Education

B.S., University of Louisville, 1979; J.D., Georgetown University Law Center, 1989

### Honors / Awards

Executive Board Member, National Association of Public Pension Attorneys, 2000-2006; American Banker selection as one of the most promising U.S. bank executives under 40 years of age, 1992

## Carlton R. Jones | Special Counsel

Carlton Jones is Special Counsel to the Firm and is a member of the Intellectual Property group in the Atlanta office. Although Jones primarily focuses on patent litigation, he has experience handling a variety of legal matters of a technical nature, including performing invention patentability analysis and licensing work for the Centers for Disease Control as well as litigation involving internet streaming-audio licensing disputes and medical technologies. He is a registered Patent Attorney with the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

### Education

B.S., Georgia Institute of Technology, 2006; J.D., Georgia State University College of Law, 2009

## Tricia L. McCormick | Special Counsel

Tricia McCormick is Special Counsel to the Firm and focuses primarily on the prosecution of securities class actions. McCormick has litigated numerous cases against public companies in state and federal courts that resulted in hundreds of millions of dollars in recoveries for investors. She is also a member of a team that is in constant contact with clients who wish to become actively involved in the litigation of securities fraud. In addition, McCormick is active in all phases of the Firm's lead plaintiff motion practice.

### Education

B.A., University of Michigan, 1995; J.D., University of San Diego School of Law, 1998

### Honors / Awards

J.D., *Cum Laude*, University of San Diego School of Law, 1998

## R. Steven Aronica | Forensic Accountant

Steven Aronica is a Certified Public Accountant licensed in the States of New York and Georgia and is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, the Institute of Internal Auditors and the Association of Certified Fraud Examiners. Aronica has been instrumental in the prosecution of numerous financial and accounting fraud civil litigation claims against companies that include Lucent Technologies, Tyco, Oxford Health Plans, Computer Associates, Aetna, WorldCom, Vivendi, AOL Time Warner, Ikon, Doral Financial, First BanCorp, Acclaim Entertainment, Pall Corporation, iStar Financial, Hibernia Foods, NBTY, Tommy Hilfiger, Lockheed Martin, the Blackstone Group and Motorola. In addition, he assisted in the prosecution of numerous civil claims against the major United States public accounting firms.

Aronica has been employed in the practice of financial accounting for more than 30 years, including public accounting, where he was responsible for providing clients with a wide range of accounting and auditing services; the investment bank Drexel Burnham Lambert, Inc., where he held positions with accounting and financial reporting responsibilities; and at the SEC, where he held various positions in the divisions of Corporation Finance and Enforcement and participated in the prosecution of both criminal and civil fraud claims.

### Education

B.B.A., University of Georgia, 1979

## Andrew J. Rudolph | Forensic Accountant

Andrew Rudolph is the Director of the Firm's Forensic Accounting Department, which provides in-house forensic accounting expertise in connection with securities fraud litigation against national and foreign companies. He has directed hundreds of financial statement fraud investigations, which were instrumental in recovering billions of dollars for defrauded investors. Prominent cases include *Qwest*, *HealthSouth*, *WorldCom*, *Boeing*, *Honeywell*, *Vivendi*, *Aurora Foods*, *Informix*, *Platinum Software*, *AOL Time Warner*, and *UnitedHealth*.

Rudolph is a Certified Fraud Examiner and a Certified Public Accountant licensed to practice in California. He is an active member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, California's Society of Certified Public Accountants, and the Association of Certified Fraud Examiners. His 20 years of public accounting, consulting and forensic accounting experience includes financial fraud investigation, auditor malpractice, auditing of public and private companies, business litigation consulting, due diligence investigations and taxation.

### Education

B.A., Central Connecticut State University, 1985



## Christopher Yurcek | Forensic Accountant

Christopher Yurcek is the Assistant Director of the Firm's Forensic Accounting Department, which provides in-house forensic accounting and litigation expertise in connection with major securities fraud litigation. He has directed the Firm's forensic accounting efforts on numerous high-profile cases, including *In re Enron Corp. Sec. Litig.* and *Jaffe v. Household Int'l, Inc.*, which obtained a record-breaking \$1.575 billion settlement after 14 years of litigation, including a six-week jury trial in 2009 that resulted in a verdict for plaintiffs. Other prominent cases include *HealthSouth*, *UnitedHealth*, *Vesta*, *Informix*, *Mattel*, *Coca-Cola* and *Media Vision*.

Yurcek has over 20 years of accounting, auditing, and consulting experience in areas including financial statement audit, forensic accounting and fraud investigation, auditor malpractice, turn-around consulting, business litigation and business valuation. He is a Certified Public Accountant licensed in California, holds a Certified in Financial Forensics (CFF) Credential from the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and is a member of the California Society of CPAs and the Association of Certified Fraud Examiners.

### Education

B.A., University of California, Santa Barbara, 1985

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	X	
In re GENWORTH FINANCIAL, INC.	:	Master File No. 1:14-cv-02392-AKH
SECURITIES LITIGATION	:	
	:	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>
	:	
This Document Relates To:	:	DECLARATION OF JONATHAN
	:	GARDNER FILED ON BEHALF OF
ALL ACTIONS.	:	LABATON SUCHAROW LLP IN SUPPORT
	:	OF APPLICATION FOR AWARD OF
	:	ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES
	X	

I, Jonathan Gardner, declare as follows:

1. I am a member of the law firm of Labaton Sucharow LLP. I am submitting this declaration in support of my firm's application for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses/charges ("expenses") in connection with services rendered in the above-entitled action.

2. This firm is Co-Lead Counsel and counsel of record for Class Representatives New Bedford Contributory Retirement System and the City of Hialeah Employees' Retirement System.

3. The information in this declaration regarding the firm's time and expenses is taken from time and expense reports and supporting documentation prepared and/or maintained by the firm in the ordinary course of business. These reports (and backup documentation where necessary) were reviewed in connection with the preparation of this declaration. The purpose of this review was to confirm both the accuracy of the entries in the reports as well as the necessity for, and reasonableness of, the time and expenses committed to the litigation. As a result of this review, reductions were made to both time and expenses in the exercise of billing judgment. As a result of this review and the adjustments made, I believe that the time reflected in the firm's lodestar calculation and the expenses for which payment is sought as set forth in this declaration are reasonable in amount and were necessary for the effective and efficient prosecution and resolution of the litigation. In addition, I believe that the expenses are all of a type that would normally be charged to a fee-paying client in the private legal marketplace.

4. After the reductions referred to above, the number of hours spent on this litigation by my firm is 11,357.60. A breakdown of the lodestar is provided in Exhibit A. The lodestar amount for attorney/professional staff time based on the firm's current rates is \$5,494,813.00. The hourly rates shown in Exhibit A are the usual and customary rates set by the firm for each individual.

5. My firm seeks an award of \$240,420.24 in expenses and charges in connection with the prosecution of the litigation. These expenses and charges are summarized by category in Exhibit B. Additional expenses in certain of these categories were incurred by the joint litigation expense fund maintained by Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP and are reported in their declaration concerning fees and expenses.

6. The following is additional information regarding certain of these expenses:

(a) Filing, Witness and Other Fees: \$1,198.15. These expenses have been paid to an attorney service firm that served subpoenas. The vendor that was paid for these services is set forth in Exhibit C.

(b) Work-Related Transportation, Hotels & Meals: \$37,150.25. In connection with the prosecution of this case, the firm has paid for work-related transportation expenses, meals, and travel expenses related to, among other things, traveling to take or defend depositions. (Any first-class airfare has been reduced to be comparable to economy rates.) The date, destination and purpose of each out-of-town trip is set forth in Exhibit D.

(c) Court Hearing and Deposition Reporting: \$34,720.32. The vendors that were paid for hearing and deposition transcripts and videos are listed in Exhibit E.

(d) Experts/Consultants/Investigators: \$3,900.00.

(i) Financial Markets Analysis LLC – conducted initial damages evaluation in connection with early evaluation of case.

(ii) Outten & Golden LLP – representation of confidential witnesses.

(e) Duplicating: \$53,909.00. In connection with this case, the firm made 192,129 in-house black and white copies, charging \$0.20 per page for a total of \$38,425.80. In addition, the firm made 38,708 in-house color copies, charging \$0.40 per page for a total of \$15,483.20. Each time an in-house copy machine or printer is used, our billing system requires that a case billing code

be entered and that is how the 230,837 copies were identified as related to this case. These charges are summarized in Exhibit F.

(f) Online Legal and Financial Research: \$12,220.16. These included vendors such as PACER, Westlaw, LexisNexis Risk Solutions and LexisNexis. These databases were used to obtain access to financial data, factual information, and legal research. This category represents the expense incurred by Labaton Sucharow for use of these services in connection with this litigation. The charges for these vendors vary depending upon the type of services requested.

7. The expenses pertaining to this case are reflected in the books and records of this firm. These books and records are prepared from receipts, expense vouchers, check records and other documents and are an accurate record of the expenses.

8. The identification and background of my firm and its partners and of counsel are attached hereto as Exhibit G.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 6th day of October, 2017, at New York, NY.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JONATHAN GARDNER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Douglas R. Britton, hereby certify that on October 11, 2017, I authorized a true and correct copy of the DECLARATION ON JONATHAN GARDNER FILED ON BEHALF OF LABATON SUCHAROW LLP IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES, to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such public filing to all counsel registered to receive such notice.

s/ Douglas R. Britton  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DOUGLAS R. BRITTON

## **Exhibit A**

**EXHIBIT A***In re Genworth Financial, Inc. Securities Litigation***LABATON SUCHAROW LLP  
Inception through September 15, 2017**

<i>NAME</i>		<i>HOURS</i>	<i>RATE</i>	<i>LODESTAR</i>
Gardner, J.	P	608.50	\$950	\$578,075.00
Zeiss, N.	P	97.40	\$875	\$85,225.00
Hallowell, S.	P	28.10	\$825	\$23,182.50
Nguyen, A.	OC	892.30	\$775	\$691,532.50
Scarlato, P.	OC	110.40	\$775	\$85,560.00
Fox, C.	OC	86.90	\$775	\$67,347.50
Cividini, D.	A	104.50	\$585	\$61,132.50
Buell, G.	A	1,153.60	\$575	\$663,320.00
Jessee, S.	A	66.00	\$575	\$37,950.00
Alper, D.	SA	1,401.70	\$425	\$595,722.50
Hamed, I.	SA	1,145.50	\$425	\$486,837.50
Sczesnik, G.	SA	863.30	\$410	\$353,953.00
Barrett, T.	SA	1,977.60	\$360	\$711,936.00
Stinaroff, D.	SA	774.00	\$360	\$278,640.00
Moldovan, M.	SA	452.30	\$360	\$162,828.00
Markham, C.	SA	453.00	\$335	\$151,755.00
Greenbaum, A.	I	266.00	\$455	\$121,030.00
Polk, T.	I	203.50	\$430	\$87,505.00
Wroblewski, R.	I	103.20	\$425	\$43,860.00
Clark, J.	I	264.30	\$400	\$105,720.00
Malonzo, F.	PL	160.90	\$340	\$54,706.00
Carpio, A.	PL	117.30	\$325	\$38,122.50
Mehringer, L.	PL	27.30	\$325	\$8,872.50
<b><i>TOTAL</i></b>		<b>11,357.60</b>		<b>\$5,494,813.00</b>

Partner (P)  
 Of Counsel (OC)  
 Associate (A)  
 Staff Attorney (SA)  
 Investigator (I)  
 Paralegal (PL)



## **Exhibit B**

**EXHIBIT B*****In re Genworth Financial, Inc. Securities Litigation*****LABATON SUCHAROW LLP  
Inception through September 15, 2017**

<b><i>CATEGORY</i></b>		<b><i>TOTAL</i></b>
Filing, Witness and Other Fees		\$ 1,198.15
Work-Related Transportation, Hotels & Meals		\$ 37,150.25
Long-Distance Telephone, Facsimile, Conference Calling		\$ 1,121.97
Postage		\$ 40.40
Overnight Delivery		\$ 3,349.30
Court Hearing and Deposition Reporting*		\$ 34,720.32
Experts/Consultants/Investigators*		\$ 3,900.00
(Financial Markets Analysis LLC)	\$3,000.00	
(Outten & Golden LLP)	\$ 900.00	
Duplicating		\$ 53,909.00
In-House Black and White: (192,129 pages at \$0.20 per page)	\$38,425.80	
In-House Color: (38,708 pages at \$0.40 per page)	\$15,483.20	
Online Legal and Financial Research		\$ 12,220.16
Litigation Fund Contribution		\$ 86,401.82
Litigation Support		\$ 6,259.08
Research Materials		\$ 149.79
<b><i>TOTAL</i></b>		<b><i>\$240,420.24</i></b>

\* Additional expenses in these categories were incurred by the joint litigation expense fund maintained by Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP.

## **Exhibit C**

**EXHIBIT C**

***In re Genworth Financial, Inc. Securities Litigation***

**LABATON SUCHAROW LLP  
Inception through September 15, 2017**

Filing, Witness and Other Fees: \$1,198.15

<b><i>DATE</i></b>	<b><i>VENDOR</i></b>	<b><i>PURPOSE</i></b>
10/07/15	Metro Attorney Service Inc.	Process Service to UBS, KPMG, Commonwealth Bank of Australia, Goldman Sachs, MacQuarie Group
10/14/15	Metro Attorney Service Inc.	Process Service to MacQuarie Capital

## **Exhibit D**

**EXHIBIT D*****In re Genworth Financial, Inc. Securities Litigation*****LABATON SUCHAROW LLP  
Inception through September 15, 2017**

Work-Related Transportation, Hotels and Meals: \$37,150.25

- Out-of-Town Transportation, Hotels & Meals: \$34,020.74 (trips detailed below)
- Local Work-Related Transportation & Meals: \$3,129.51

<b><i>NAME</i></b>	<b><i>DATE</i></b>	<b><i>DESTINATION</i></b>	<b><i>PURPOSE</i></b>
Jonathan Gardner	12/03/15 - 12/04/15	Boston, MA	Deposition
Guillaume Buell	12/03/15 - 12/04/15	Boston, MA	Deposition
Jonathan Gardner	12/09/15 – 12/11/15	Boston, MA	Deposition
Guillaume Buell	12/09/15 – 12/11/15	Boston, MA	Deposition
Guillaume Buell	12/14/15 – 12/15/15	Boston, MA	Deposition
Guillaume Buell	12/20/15 – 12/22/15	Houston, TX	Deposition
Jonathan Gardner	11/12/16 – 11/18/16	Sydney, AU	Deposition
Guillaume Buell	11/09/16 – 11/18/16	Sydney, AU	Deposition
Gerard Arnaudet	03/21/17	New York, NY	Settlement Meeting

## **Exhibit E**

**EXHIBIT E*****In re Genworth Financial, Inc. Securities Litigation*****LABATON SUCHAROW LLP  
Inception through September 15, 2017**

Court Hearing and Deposition Reporting: \$ 34,720.32

<b><i>DATE</i></b>	<b><i>VENDOR</i></b>	<b><i>PURPOSE</i></b>
09/30/15	Southern District Reporters PC	Transcript of Hearing
01/25/16	U.S. Legal Support, Inc.	Deposition - Robert Mullins
02/03/16	Southern District Reporters PC	Transcript for Case 05CV06279
02/04/16	Southern District Reporters PC	Transcript for Case 06CV04053
09/21/16	U.S. Legal Support, Inc.	Deposition - Michael D. Fraizer
11/10-11/16	U.S. Legal Support, Inc.	Deposition - Anne O'Driscoll
11/16-17/16	U.S. Legal Support, Inc.	Deposition - Ellen Comerford
07/28/17	Southern District Reporters PC	Transcript of Hearing



## **Exhibit F**

**EXHIBIT F**

***In re Genworth Financial, Inc. Securities Litigation***

**LABATON SUCHAROW LLP  
Inception through September 15, 2017**

Duplicating: \$53,909.00

In-House (Black and White): \$38,425.80 (192,129 pages @ \$0.20 per page)

In-House (Color): \$15,483.20 (38,708 pages @ \$0.40 per page)

## **Exhibit G**

**EXHIBIT G**

*In re Genworth Financial, Inc. Securities Litigation*

**LABATON SUCHAROW LLP**  
**Inception through September 15, 2017**

**FIRM RESUME**



---

# **Firm Resume**

## **Securities Class Action Litigation**

---

New York, NY | Wilmington, DE | Washington, D.C. | Chicago, IL

[www.labaton.com](http://www.labaton.com)



# Table of Contents

---

About the Firm ..... 1

    Notable Successes ..... 2

    Lead Counsel Appointments in Ongoing Litigation ..... 6

    Innovative Legal Strategy ..... 7

    Appellate Advocacy and Trial Experience ..... 8

Our Clients ..... 9

Awards and Accolades ..... 10

Community Involvement ..... 11

    Firm Commitments ..... 11

    Individual Attorney Commitments ..... 12

Commitment to Diversity ..... 13

Securities Litigation Attorneys ..... 14

## About the Firm

---

Founded in 1963, Labaton Sucharow LLP has earned a reputation as one of the leading plaintiffs firms in the United States. We have recovered more than \$12 billion and secured corporate governance reforms on behalf of the nation's largest institutional investors, including public pension and Taft-Hartley funds, hedge funds, investment banks, and other financial institutions. These recoveries include more than \$1 billion in *In re American International Group, Inc. Securities Litigation*, \$671 million in *In re HealthSouth Securities Litigation*, \$624 million in *In re Countrywide Financial Corporation Securities Litigation*, and \$473 million in *In re Schering-Plough/ENHANCE Securities Litigation*.

As a leader in the field of complex litigation, the Firm has successfully conducted class, mass, and derivative actions in the following areas: securities; antitrust; financial products and services; corporate governance and shareholder rights; mergers and acquisitions; derivative; REITs and limited partnerships; consumer protection; and whistleblower representation.

Along with securing newsworthy recoveries, the Firm has a track record for successfully prosecuting complex cases from discovery to trial to verdict. In court, as *Law360* has noted, our attorneys are known for "fighting defendants tooth and nail." Our appellate experience includes winning appeals that increased settlement value for clients, and securing a landmark 2013 U.S. Supreme Court victory benefitting all investors by reducing barriers to the certification of securities class action cases.

Our Firm is equipped to deliver results with a robust infrastructure of more than 60 full-time attorneys, a dynamic professional staff, and innovative technological resources. Labaton Sucharow attorneys are skilled in every stage of business litigation and have challenged corporations from every sector of the financial markets. Our professional staff includes paralegals, financial analysts, e-discovery specialists, a certified public accountant, a certified fraud examiner, and a forensic accountant. With seven investigators, including former members of federal and state law enforcement, we have one of the largest in-house investigative teams in the securities bar. Managed by a law enforcement veteran who spent 12 years with the FBI, our internal investigative group provides us with information that is often key to the success of our cases.

Outside of the courtroom, the Firm is known for its leadership and participation in investor protection organizations, such as the Council for Institutional Investors, World Federation of Investors, National Association of Shareholder and Consumer Attorneys, as well as serving as a patron of the John L. Weinberg Center for Corporate Governance of the University of Delaware. The Firm shares these groups' commitment to a market that operates with greater transparency, fairness, and accountability.

Labaton Sucharow has been consistently ranked as a top-tier firm in leading industry publications such as *Chambers & Partners USA*, *The Legal 500*, and *Benchmark Litigation*. For the past decade, the Firm was listed on *The National Law Journal's* Plaintiffs' Hot List and was inducted to the Hall of Fame for successive honors. The Firm has also been featured as one of *Law360's* Most Feared Plaintiffs Firms and Class Action Practice Groups of the Year.

Visit [www.labaton.com](http://www.labaton.com) for more information about our Firm.

## Securities Class Action Litigation

---

Labaton Sucharow is a leader in securities litigation and a trusted advisor to more than 200 institutional investors. Since the passage of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (PSLRA), the Firm has recovered more than \$9 billion in the aggregate for injured investors through securities class actions prosecuted throughout the United States and against numerous public corporations and other corporate wrongdoers.

These notable recoveries would not be possible without our exhaustive case evaluation process. The Firm has developed a proprietary system for portfolio monitoring and reporting on domestic and international securities litigation, and currently provides these services to more than 160 institutional investors, which manage collective assets of more than \$2 trillion. The Firm's in-house licensed investigators also gather crucial details to support our cases, whereas other firms rely on outside vendors, or conduct no confidential investigation at all.

As a result of our thorough case evaluation process, our securities litigators can focus solely on cases with strong merits. The benefits of our selective approach are reflected in the low dismissal rate of the securities cases we pursue, which is well below the industry average. Over the past decade, we have successfully prosecuted headline-making class actions against AIG, Countrywide, Fannie Mae, and Bear Stearns, among others.

### Notable Successes

Labaton Sucharow has achieved notable successes in financial and securities class actions on behalf of investors, including the following:

- ***In re American International Group, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 04-cv-8141, (S.D.N.Y.)***

In one of the most complex and challenging securities cases in history, Labaton Sucharow secured more than \$1 billion in recoveries on behalf of lead plaintiff Ohio Public Employees' Retirement System in a case arising from allegations of bid rigging and accounting fraud. To achieve this remarkable recovery, the Firm took over 100 depositions and briefed 22 motions to dismiss. The settlement entailed a \$725 million settlement with American International Group (AIG), \$97.5 million settlement with AIG's auditors, \$115 million settlement with former AIG officers and related defendants, and an additional \$72 million settlement with General Reinsurance Corporation, which was approved by the Second Circuit on September 11, 2013.

- ***In re Countrywide Financial Corp. Securities Litigation, No. 07-cv-05295 (C.D. Cal.)***

Labaton Sucharow, as lead counsel for the New York State Common Retirement Fund and the five New York City public pension funds, sued one of the nation's largest issuers of mortgage loans for credit risk misrepresentations. The Firm's focused investigation and discovery efforts uncovered incriminating evidence that led to a \$624 million settlement for investors. On February 25, 2011, the court granted final approval to the settlement, which is one of the top 20 securities class action settlements in the history of the PSLRA.

- ***In re HealthSouth Corp. Securities Litigation, No. 03-cv-01500 (N.D. Ala.)***

Labaton Sucharow served as co-lead counsel to New Mexico State Investment Council in a case stemming from one of the largest frauds ever perpetrated in the healthcare industry. Recovering \$671 million for the class, the settlement is one of the top 15 securities class action settlements of all time. In



early 2006, lead plaintiffs negotiated a settlement of \$445 million with defendant HealthSouth. On June 12, 2009, the court also granted final approval to a \$109 million settlement with defendant Ernst & Young LLP. In addition, on July 26, 2010, the court granted final approval to a \$117 million partial settlement with the remaining principal defendants in the case, UBS AG, UBS Warburg LLC, Howard Capek, Benjamin Lorello, and William McGahan.

- ***In re Schering-Plough/ENHANCE Securities Litigation, No. 08-cv-00397 (D. N.J.)***

As co-lead counsel, Labaton Sucharow obtained a \$473 million settlement on behalf of co-lead plaintiff Massachusetts Pension Reserves Investment Management Board. After five years of litigation, and three weeks before trial, the settlement was approved on October 1, 2013. This recovery is one of the largest securities fraud class action settlements against a pharmaceutical company. The Special Masters' Report noted, "**the outstanding result achieved for the class is the direct product of outstanding skill and perseverance by Co-Lead Counsel...no one else...could have produced the result here—no government agency or corporate litigant to lead the charge and the Settlement Fund is the product solely of the efforts of Plaintiffs' Counsel.**"

- ***In re Waste Management, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. H-99-2183 (S.D. Tex.)***

In 2002, the court approved an extraordinary settlement that provided for recovery of \$457 million in cash, plus an array of far-reaching corporate governance measures. Labaton Sucharow represented lead plaintiff Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds. At that time, this settlement was the largest common fund settlement of a securities action achieved in any court within the Fifth Circuit and the third largest achieved in any federal court in the nation. Judge Harmon noted, among other things, that Labaton Sucharow "**obtained an outstanding result by virtue of the quality of the work and vigorous representation of the class.**"

- ***In re General Motors Corp. Securities Litigation, No. 06-cv-1749, (E.D. Mich.)***

As co-lead counsel in a case against automotive giant, General Motors (GM), and Deloitte & Touche LLP (Deloitte), its auditor, Labaton Sucharow obtained a settlement of \$303 million—one of the largest settlements ever secured in the early stages of a securities fraud case. Lead plaintiff Deka Investment GmbH alleged that GM, its officers, and its outside auditor overstated GM's income by billions of dollars, and GM's operating cash flows by tens of billions of dollars, through a series of accounting manipulations. The final settlement, approved on July 21, 2008, consisted of a cash payment of \$277 million by GM and \$26 million in cash from Deloitte.

- ***Arkansas Teacher Retirement System v. State Street Corp., No. 11-cv-10230 (D. Mass)***

Labaton Sucharow served as lead counsel for the plaintiff Arkansas Teacher Retirement System (ATRS) in this securities class action against Boston-based financial services company, State Street Corporation (State Street). On November 2, 2016, the court granted final approval of the \$300 million settlement with State Street. The plaintiffs claimed that State Street, as custodian bank to a number of public pension funds, including ATRS, was responsible for foreign exchange (FX) trading in connection with its clients global trading. Over a period of many years, State Street systematically overcharged those pension fund clients, including Arkansas, for those FX trades.

- ***Wyatt v. El Paso Corp., No. H-02-2717 (S.D. Tex.)***

Labaton Sucharow secured a \$285 million class action settlement against the El Paso Corporation on behalf of co-lead plaintiff, an individual. The case involved a securities fraud stemming from the company's inflated earnings statements, which cost shareholders hundreds of millions of dollars during a four-year span. On March 6, 2007, the court approved the settlement and also commended the

efficiency with which the case had been prosecuted, particularly in light of the complexity of the allegations and the legal issues.

- ***In re Bear Stearns Cos., Inc. Securities, Derivative & ERISA Litigation, No. 08-cv-2793 (S.D.N.Y.)***

Labaton Sucharow served as co-lead counsel, representing lead plaintiff, the State of Michigan Retirement Systems, and the class. The action alleged that Bear Stearns and certain officers and directors made misstatements and omissions in connection with Bear Stearns' financial condition, including losses in the value of its mortgage-backed assets and Bear Stearns' risk profile and liquidity. The action further claimed that Bear Stearns' outside auditor, Deloitte & Touche LLP, made misstatements and omissions in connection with its audits of Bear Stearns' financial statements for fiscal years 2006 and 2007. Our prosecution of this action required us to develop a detailed understanding of the arcane world of packaging and selling subprime mortgages. Our complaint has been called a "tutorial" for plaintiffs and defendants alike in this fast-evolving area. After surviving motions to dismiss, on November 9, 2012, the court granted final approval to settlements with the Bear Stearns defendants for \$275 million and with Deloitte for \$19.9 million.

- ***In re Massey Energy Co. Securities Litigation, No. 10-CV-00689 (S.D. W.Va.)***

As co-lead counsel representing the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Pension Reserves Investment Trust, Labaton Sucharow achieved a \$265 million all-cash settlement in a case arising from one of the most notorious mining disasters in U.S. history. On June 4, 2014, the settlement was reached with Alpha Natural Resources, Massey's parent company. Investors alleged that Massey falsely told investors it had embarked on safety improvement initiatives and presented a new corporate image following a deadly fire at one of its coal mines in 2006. After another devastating explosion which killed 29 miners in 2010, Massey's market capitalization dropped by more than \$3 billion. Judge Irene C. Berger noted that **"Class counsel has done an expert job of representing all of the class members to reach an excellent resolution and maximize recovery for the class."**

- ***Eastwood Enterprises, LLC v. Farha (WellCare Securities Litigation), No. 07-cv-1940 (M.D. Fla.)***

On behalf of The New Mexico State Investment Council and the Public Employees Retirement Association of New Mexico, Labaton Sucharow served as co-lead counsel and negotiated a \$200 million settlement over allegations that WellCare Health Plans, Inc., a Florida-based managed healthcare service provider, disguised its profitability by overcharging state Medicaid programs. Under the terms of the settlement approved by the court on May 4, 2011, WellCare agreed to pay an additional \$25 million in cash if, at any time in the next three years, WellCare was acquired or otherwise experienced a change in control at a share price of \$30 or more after adjustments for dilution or stock splits.

- ***In re Bristol-Myers Squibb Securities Litigation, No. 00-cv-1990 (D.N.J.)***

Labaton Sucharow served as lead counsel representing the lead plaintiff, union-owned LongView Collective Investment Fund of the Amalgamated Bank, against drug company Bristol-Myers Squibb (BMS). Lead plaintiff claimed that the company's press release touting its new blood pressure medication, Vanlev, left out critical information, other results from the clinical trials indicated that Vanlev appeared to have life-threatening side effects. The FDA expressed serious concerns about these side effects, and BMS released a statement that it was withdrawing the drug's FDA application, resulting in the company's stock price falling and losing nearly 30 percent of its value in a single day. After a five year battle, we won relief on two critical fronts. First, we secured a \$185 million recovery for shareholders, and second, we negotiated major reforms to the company's drug development

process that will have a significant impact on consumers and medical professionals across the globe. Due to our advocacy, BMS must now disclose the results of clinical studies on all of its drugs marketed in any country.

- ***In re Fannie Mae 2008 Securities Litigation, No. 08-cv-7831 (S.D.N.Y.)***

As co-lead counsel representing co-lead plaintiff Boston Retirement System, Labaton Sucharow secured a \$170 million settlement on March 3, 2015 with Fannie Mae. Lead plaintiffs alleged that Fannie Mae and certain of its current and former senior officers violated federal securities laws, by making false and misleading statements concerning the company's internal controls and risk management with respect to Alt-A and subprime mortgages. Lead plaintiffs also alleged that defendants made misstatements with respect to Fannie Mae's core capital, deferred tax assets, other-than-temporary losses, and loss reserves. This settlement is a significant feat, particularly following the unfavorable result in a similar case for investors of Fannie Mae's sibling company, Freddie Mac. Labaton Sucharow successfully argued that investors' losses were caused by Fannie Mae's misrepresentations and poor risk management, rather than by the financial crisis.

- ***In re Broadcom Corp. Class Action Litigation, No. 06-cv-05036 (C.D. Cal.)***

Labaton Sucharow served as lead counsel on behalf of lead plaintiff New Mexico State Investment Council in a case stemming from Broadcom Corp.'s \$2.2 billion restatement of its historic financial statements for 1998 - 2005. In August 2010, the court granted final approval of a \$160.5 million settlement with Broadcom and two individual defendants to resolve this matter, the second largest up-front cash settlement ever recovered from a company accused of options backdating. Following a Ninth Circuit ruling confirming that outside auditors are subject to the same pleading standards as all other defendants, the district court denied Broadcom's auditor Ernst & Young's motion to dismiss on the ground of loss causation. This ruling is a major victory for the class and a landmark decision by the court—the first of its kind in a case arising from stock-options backdating. In October 2012, the court approved a \$13 million settlement with Ernst & Young.

- ***In re Satyam Computer Services Ltd. Securities Litigation, No. 09-md-2027 (S.D.N.Y.)***

Satyam, referred to as "India's Enron," engaged in one of the most egregious frauds on record. In a case that rivals the Enron and Bernie Madoff scandals, the Firm represented lead plaintiff UK-based Mineworkers' Pension Scheme, which alleged that Satyam Computer Services Ltd., related entities, its auditors, and certain directors and officers made materially false and misleading statements to the investing public about the company's earnings and assets, artificially inflating the price of Satyam securities. On September 13, 2011, the court granted final approval to a settlement with Satyam of \$125 million and a settlement with the company's auditor, PricewaterhouseCoopers, in the amount of \$25.5 million. Judge Barbara S. Jones commended lead counsel during the final approval hearing noting that the "...quality of representation which I found to be very high..."

- ***In re Mercury Interactive Corp. Securities Litigation, No. 05-cv-3395 (N.D. Cal.)***

Labaton Sucharow served as co-lead counsel on behalf of co-lead plaintiff Steamship Trade Association/International Longshoremen's Association Pension Fund, which alleged Mercury backdated option grants used to compensate employees and officers of the company. Mercury's former CEO, CFO, and General Counsel actively participated in and benefited from the options backdating scheme, which came at the expense of the company's shareholders and the investing public. On September 25, 2008, the court granted final approval of the \$117.5 million settlement.

- ***In re Oppenheimer Champion Fund Securities Fraud Class Actions, No. 09-cv-525 (D. Colo.) and In re Core Bond Fund, No. 09-cv-1186 (D. Colo.)***

Labaton Sucharow served as lead counsel and represented individuals and the proposed class in two related securities class actions brought against OppenheimerFunds, Inc., among others, and certain officers and trustees of two funds—Oppenheimer Core Bond Fund and Oppenheimer Champion Income Fund. The lawsuits alleged that the investment policies followed by the funds resulted in investor losses when the funds suffered drops in net asset value although the funds were presented as safe and conservative investments to consumers. In May 2011, the Firm achieved settlements amounting to \$100 million; \$52.5 million in *In re Oppenheimer Champion Fund Securities Fraud Class Actions*, and a \$47.5 million settlement in *In re Core Bond Fund*.

- ***In re Computer Sciences Corporation Securities Litigation, No. 11-cv-610 (E.D. Va.)***

As lead counsel representing Ontario Teachers' Pension Plan Board, Labaton Sucharow secured a \$97.5 million settlement in this "rocket docket" case involving accounting fraud. The settlement was the third largest all cash recovery in a securities class action in the Fourth Circuit and the second largest all cash recovery in such a case in the Eastern District of Virginia. The plaintiffs alleged that IT consulting and outsourcing company Computer Sciences Corporation (CSC) fraudulently inflated its stock price by misrepresenting and omitting the truth about the state of its most visible contract and the state of its internal controls. In particular, the plaintiffs alleged that CSC assured the market that it was performing on a \$5.4 billion contract with the UK National Health Services when CSC internally knew that it could not deliver on the contract, departed from the terms of the contract, and as a result, was not properly accounting for the contract. Judge T.S. Ellis, III stated, "**I have no doubt—that the work product I saw was always of the highest quality for both sides.**"

## Lead Counsel Appointments in Ongoing Litigation

Labaton Sucharow's institutional investor clients are regularly chosen by federal judges to serve as lead plaintiffs in prominent securities litigations brought under the PSLRA. Dozens of public pension funds and union funds have selected Labaton Sucharow to represent them in federal securities class actions and advise them as securities litigation/investigation counsel. Our recent notable lead and co-lead counsel appointments include the following:

- ***In re Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 10-cv-03461 (S.D.N.Y.)***

Labaton Sucharow represents Arkansas Teacher Retirement System in this high-profile litigation based on the scandals involving Goldman Sachs' sales of the Abacus CDO.

- ***In re Facebook, Inc., IPO Securities and Derivative Litigation, No. 12-md-02389 (S.D.N.Y.)***

Labaton Sucharow represents North Carolina Department of State Treasurer and Arkansas Teacher Retirement System in this securities class action that involves one of the largest initial public offerings for a technology company.

- ***In re Tempur Sealy International, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 17-cv-2169 (S.D.N.Y.)***

Labaton Sucharow represents Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System in this securities class action against Tempur Sealy, a mattress and bedding-products company.

- ***Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 137 Pension Fund v. American Express Co., No. 15-cv-05999 (S.D.N.Y.)***

Labaton Sucharow represents Pipefitters Union Local 537 Pension Fund in this class action against one of the country's largest credit card lenders to reveal the company's hidden cost of losing its Costco partnership.

- ***In re The Hain Celestial Group Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 16-cv-04581 (E.D.N.Y.)***

Labaton Sucharow represents Rosewood Funeral Home in the securities class action against Hain Celestial Group, Inc., alleging violation of generally accepted accounting practices.

- ***In re Intuitive Surgical Securities Litigation, No. 13-cv-01920 (N.D. Cal.)***

Labaton Sucharow represents the Employees' Retirement System of the State of Hawaii in this securities class action alleging violations of securities fraud laws by concealing FDA regulations violations and a dangerous defect in the company's primary product, the da Vinci Surgical System.

## Innovative Legal Strategy

Bringing successful litigation against corporate behemoths during a time of financial turmoil presents many challenges, but Labaton Sucharow has kept pace with the evolving financial markets and with corporate wrongdoer's novel approaches to committing fraud.

Our Firm's innovative litigation strategies on behalf of clients include the following:

- ***Mortgage-Related Litigation***

In *In re Countrywide Financial Corporation Securities Litigation*, No. 07-cv-5295 (C.D. Cal.), our client's claims involved complex and data-intensive arguments relating to the mortgage securitization process and the market for residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) in the United States. To prove that defendants made false and misleading statements concerning Countrywide's business as an issuer of residential mortgages, Labaton Sucharow utilized both in-house and external expert analysis. This included state-of-the-art statistical analysis of loan level data associated with the creditworthiness of individual mortgage loans. The Firm recovered \$624 million on behalf of investors.

Building on its experience in this area, the Firm has pursued claims on behalf of individual purchasers of RMBS against a variety of investment banks for misrepresentations in the offering documents associated with individual RMBS deals.

- ***Options Backdating***

In 2005, Labaton Sucharow took a pioneering role in identifying options-backdating practices as both damaging to investors and susceptible to securities fraud claims, bringing a case, *In re Mercury Interactive Securities Litigation*, No. 05-cv-3395 (N.D. Cal.), that spawned many other plaintiff recoveries.

Leveraging its experience, the Firm went on to secure other significant options backdating settlements, in, for example, *In re Broadcom Corp. Class Action Litigation*, No. 06-cv-5036 (C.D. Cal.), and in *In re Take-Two Interactive Securities Litigation*, No. 06-cv-0803 (S.D.N.Y.). Moreover, in *Take-Two*, Labaton Sucharow was able to prompt the SEC to reverse its initial position and agree to distribute a disgorgement fund to investors, including class members. The SEC had originally planned

for the fund to be distributed to the U.S. Treasury. As a result, investors received a very significant percentage of their recoverable damages.

- **Foreign Exchange Transactions Litigation**

The Firm has pursued or is pursuing claims for state pension funds against BNY Mellon and State Street Bank, the two largest custodian banks in the world. For more than a decade, these banks failed to disclose that they were overcharging their custodial clients for foreign exchange transactions. Given the number of individual transactions this practice affected, the damages caused to our clients and the class were significant. Our claims, involving complex statistical analysis, as well as *qui tam* jurisprudence, were filed ahead of major actions by federal and state authorities related to similar allegations commenced in 2011. Our team favorably resolved the BNY Mellon matter in 2012. The case against State Street Bank resulted in a \$300 million recovery.

## Appellate Advocacy and Trial Experience

When it is in the best interest of our clients, Labaton Sucharow repeatedly has demonstrated our willingness and ability to litigate these complex cases all the way to trial, a skill unmatched by many firms in the plaintiffs bar.

Labaton Sucharow is one of the few firms in the plaintiffs securities bar to have prevailed in a case before the U.S. Supreme Court. In *Amgen v. Connecticut Retirement Plans & Trust Funds*, 133 S. Ct. 1184 (Feb. 27, 2013), the Firm persuaded the court to reject efforts to thwart the certification of a class of investors seeking monetary damages in a securities class action. This represents a significant victory for all plaintiffs in securities class actions.

In *In re Real Estate Associates Limited Partnership Litigation*, Labaton Sucharow's advocacy significantly increased the settlement value for shareholders. The defendants were unwilling to settle for an amount the Firm and its clients viewed as fair, which led to a six-week trial. The Firm and co-counsel ultimately obtained a landmark \$184 million jury verdict. The jury supported the plaintiffs' position that the defendants knowingly violated the federal securities laws, and that the general partner had breached his fiduciary duties to shareholders. The \$184 million award was one of the largest jury verdicts returned in any PSLRA action and one in which the class, consisting of 18,000 investors, recovered 100 percent of their damages.

## Our Clients

---

Labaton Sucharow represents and advises the following institutional investor clients, among others:

- Arkansas Teacher Retirement System
- Baltimore County Retirement System
- Boston Retirement System
- California Public Employees' Retirement System
- California State Teachers' Retirement System
- City of New Orleans Employees' Retirement System
- Connecticut Retirement Plans & Trust Funds
- Division of Investment of the New Jersey Department of the Treasury
- Genesee County Employees' Retirement System
- Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund
- Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana
- Macomb County Employees Retirement System
- Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority
- Michigan Retirement Systems
- Mississippi Public Employees' Retirement System
- New York City Pension Funds
- New York State Common Retirement Fund
- Norfolk County Retirement System
- Office of the Ohio Attorney General and several of its Retirement Systems
- Oklahoma Firefighters Pension and Retirement System
- Plymouth County Retirement System
- Office of the New Mexico Attorney General and several of its Retirement Systems
- Public Employee Retirement System of Idaho
- Rhode Island State Investment Commission
- San Francisco Employees' Retirement System
- Santa Barbara County Employees' Retirement System
- State of Oregon Public Employees' Retirement System
- State of Wisconsin Investment Board
- Virginia Retirement System



## Awards and Accolades

---

Industry publications and peer rankings consistently recognize the Firm as a respected leader in securities litigation.

---

### Chambers & Partners USA

Leading Plaintiffs Securities Litigation Firm (2009-2017)

“effective and greatly respected...a bench of partners who are highly esteemed by competitors and adversaries alike”

---

### The Legal 500

Leading Plaintiffs Securities Litigation Firm and also recognized in Antitrust (2010-2017) and M&A Litigation (2013, 2015-2017)

“'Superb' and 'at the top of its game.' The Firm's team of 'hard-working lawyers, who push themselves to thoroughly investigate the facts' and conduct 'very diligent research.'”

---

### Benchmark Litigation

Top 10 Plaintiffs Firm in the United States (2017), Recommended in Securities Litigation Nationwide and in New York State (2012-2017); and Noted for Corporate Governance and Shareholder Rights Litigation in the Delaware Court of Chancery (2016-2017)

“clearly living up to its stated mission 'reputation matters'...consistently earning mention as a respected litigation-focused firm fighting for the rights of institutional investors”

---

### Law360

Most Feared Plaintiffs Firm (2013-2015) and Class Action Practice Group of the Year (2012 and 2014-2016)

“known for thoroughly investigating claims and conducting due diligence before filing suit, and for fighting defendants tooth and nail in court”

---

### The National Law Journal

Winner of the Elite Trial Lawyers Award in Securities Law (2015), Hall of Fame Honoree, and Top Plaintiffs' Firm on the annual Hot List (2006-2016)

“definitely at the top of their field on the plaintiffs' side”



## Community Involvement

---

To demonstrate our deep commitment to the community, Labaton Sucharow devotes significant resources to pro bono legal work and public and community service.

### Firm Commitments

#### **Brooklyn Law School Securities Arbitration Clinic**

**Mark S. Arisohn, Adjunct Professor and Joel H. Bernstein, Adjunct Professor**

Labaton Sucharow has partnered with Brooklyn Law School to establish a securities arbitration clinic. The program serves a dual purpose: to assist defrauded individual investors who cannot otherwise afford to pay for legal counsel; and to provide students with real-world experience in securities arbitration and litigation. Partners Mark S. Arisohn and Joel H. Bernstein lead the program as adjunct professors.

#### **Change for Kids**

Labaton Sucharow supports Change for Kids (CFK) as a Strategic Partner of P.S. 182 in East Harlem. One school at a time, CFK rallies communities to provide a broad range of essential educational opportunities at under-resourced public elementary schools. By creating inspiring learning environments at our partner schools, CFK enables students to discover their unique strengths and develop the confidence to achieve.

#### **The Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights Under Law**

**Edward Labaton, Member, Board of Directors**

The Firm is a long-time supporter of The Lawyers' Committee for Civil rights Under Law, a nonpartisan, nonprofit organization formed in 1963 at the request of President John F. Kennedy. The Lawyers' Committee involves the private bar in providing legal services to address racial discrimination.

Labaton Sucharow attorneys have contributed on the federal level to U.S. Supreme Court nominee analyses (analyzing nominees for their views on such topics as ethnic equality, corporate diversity, and gender discrimination) and national voters' rights initiatives.

#### **Sidney Hillman Foundation**

Labaton Sucharow supports the Sidney Hillman Foundation. Created in honor of the first president of the Amalgamated Clothing Workers of America, Sidney Hillman, the foundation supports investigative and progressive journalism by awarding monthly and yearly prizes. Partner Thomas A. Dubbs is frequently invited to present these awards.

## Individual Attorney Commitments

Labaton Sucharow attorneys give of themselves in many ways, both by volunteering and in leadership positions in charitable organizations. A few of the awards our attorneys have received or organizations they are involved in are:

- Awarded “Champion of Justice” by the Alliance for Justice, a national nonprofit association of over 100 organizations which represent a broad array of groups “committed to progressive values and the creation of an equitable, just, and free society.”
- Pro bono representation of mentally ill tenants facing eviction, appointed as guardian ad litem in several housing court actions.
- Recipient of a Volunteer and Leadership Award from a tenants' advocacy organization for work defending the rights of city residents and preserving their fundamental sense of public safety and home.
- Board Member of the Ovarian Cancer Research Fund—the largest private funding agency of its kind supporting research into a method of early detection and, ultimately, a cure for ovarian cancer.

Our attorneys have also contributed to or continue to volunteer with the following charitable organizations, among others:

- |   |                                    |
|---|------------------------------------|
| ▪ American Heart Association                | ▪ Legal Aid Society                |
| ▪ Big Brothers/Big Sisters of New York City | ▪ Mentoring USA                    |
| ▪ Boys and Girls Club of America            | ▪ National Lung Cancer Partnership |
| ▪ Carter Burden Center for the Aging        | ▪ National MS Society              |
| ▪ City Harvest                              | ▪ National Parkinson Foundation    |
| ▪ City Meals-on-Wheels                      | ▪ New York Cares                   |
| ▪ Coalition for the Homeless                | ▪ New York Common Pantry           |
| ▪ Cycle for Survival                        | ▪ Peggy Browning Fund              |
| ▪ Cystic Fibrosis Foundation                | ▪ Sanctuary for Families           |
| ▪ Dana Farber Cancer Institute              | ▪ Sandy Hook School Support Fund   |
| ▪ Food Bank for New York City               | ▪ Save the Children                |
| ▪ Fresh Air Fund                            | ▪ Special Olympics                 |
| ▪ Habitat for Humanity                      | ▪ Toys for Tots                    |
| ▪ Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights        | ▪ Williams Syndrome Association    |

## Commitment to Diversity

---

Recognizing that business does not always offer equal opportunities for advancement and collaboration to women, Labaton Sucharow launched its Women's Networking and Mentoring Initiative in 2007.

Led by Firm partners and co-chairs Serena P. Hallowell and Carol C. Villages, the Women's Initiative reflects our commitment to the advancement of women professionals. The goal of the Initiative is to bring professional women together to collectively advance women's influence in business. Each event showcases a successful woman role model as a guest speaker. We actively discuss our respective business initiatives and hear the guest speaker's strategies for success. Labaton Sucharow mentors young women inside and outside of the firm and promotes their professional achievements. The Firm also is a member of the National Association of Women Lawyers (NAWL). For more information regarding Labaton Sucharow's Women's Initiative, please visit [www.labaton.com/en/about/women/Womens-Initiative.cfm](http://www.labaton.com/en/about/women/Womens-Initiative.cfm).

Further demonstrating our commitment to diversity in the legal profession and within our Firm, in 2006, we established the Labaton Sucharow Minority Scholarship and Internship. The annual award—a grant and a summer associate position—is presented to a first-year minority student who is enrolled at a metropolitan New York law school and who has demonstrated academic excellence, community commitment, and personal integrity.

Labaton Sucharow has also instituted a diversity internship which brings two Hunter College students to work at the Firm each summer. These interns rotate through various departments, shadowing Firm partners and getting a feel for the inner workings of the Firm.

## Securities Litigation Attorneys

---

Our team of securities class action litigators includes:

### Partners

Lawrence A. Sucharow (Chairman)

Mark S. Arisohn

Eric J. Belfi

Joel H. Bernstein

Michael P. Canty

Thomas A. Dubbs

Jonathan Gardner

David J. Goldsmith

Louis Gottlieb

Serena P. Hollowell

Thomas G. Hoffman, Jr.

James W. Johnson

Christopher J. Keller

Edward Labaton

Christopher J. McDonald

Michael H. Rogers

Ira A. Schochet

Michael W. Stocker

Carol C. Villegas

Irina Vasilchenko

Ned Weinberger

Mark S. Willis

Nicole M. Zeiss

### Of Counsel

Rachel A. Avan

Mark Bogen

Marisa N. DeMato

Joseph H. Einstein

Christine M. Fox

Mark GoldmanLara Goldstone

James McGovern

Domenico Minerva

Corban S. Rhodes

David J. Schwartz

Detailed biographies of the team's qualifications and accomplishments follow.

---

#### **Lawrence A. Sucharow, Chairman** **[lsucharow@labaton.com](mailto:lsucharow@labaton.com)**

With more than four decades of experience, the Firm's Chairman, Lawrence A. Sucharow is an internationally recognized trial lawyer and a leader of the class action bar. Under his guidance, the Firm has grown into and earned its position as one of the top plaintiffs securities and antitrust class action firms in the world. As Chairman, Larry focuses on counseling the Firm's large institutional clients, developing creative and compelling strategies to advance and protect clients' interests, and the prosecution and resolution of many of the Firm's leading cases.

Over the course of his career, Larry has prosecuted hundreds of cases and the Firm has recovered billions in groundbreaking securities, antitrust, business transaction, product liability, and other class actions. In fact, a landmark case tried in 2002—*In re Real Estate Associates Limited Partnership Litigation*—was the very first securities action successfully tried to a jury verdict following the enactment of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act (PSLRA). Experience such as this has made Larry uniquely qualified to evaluate and successfully prosecute class actions.

Other representative matters include: *In re CNL Resorts, Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$225 million settlement); *In re Paine Webber Incorporated Limited Partnerships Litigation* (\$200 million settlement); *In re Prudential*

*Securities Incorporated Limited Partnerships Litigation* (\$110 million partial settlement); *In re Prudential Bache Energy Income Partnerships Securities Litigation* (\$91 million settlement) and *Shea v. New York Life Insurance Company* (over \$92 million settlement).

Larry's consumer protection experience includes leading the national litigation against the tobacco companies in *Castano v. American Tobacco Co.*, as well as litigating *In re Imprelis Herbicide Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation*. Currently, he plays a key role in *In re Takata Airbag Products Liability Litigation* and a nationwide consumer class action against Volkswagen Group of America, Inc., arising out of the wide-scale fraud concerning Volkswagen's "Clean Diesel" vehicles. Larry further conceptualized the establishment of two Dutch foundations, or "Stichtingen" to pursue settlement of claims against Volkswagen on behalf of injured car owners and investors in Europe.

In recognition of his career accomplishments and standing in the securities bar at the Bar, Larry was selected by *Law360* as one the 10 Most Admired Securities Attorneys in the United States and as a Titan of the Plaintiffs Bar. Further, he is one of a small handful of plaintiffs' securities lawyers in the United States recognized by *Chambers & Partners USA*, *The Legal 500*, *Benchmark Litigation*, and *Lawdragon 500* for his successes in securities litigation. Referred to as a "legend" by his peers in *Benchmark Litigation*, *Chambers* describes him as an "an immensely respected plaintiff advocate" and a "renowned figure in the securities plaintiff world...[that] has handled some of the most high-profile litigation in this field." According to *The Legal 500*, clients characterize Larry as a "a strong and passionate advocate with a desire to win." In addition, Brooklyn Law School honored Larry with the 2012 Alumni of the Year Award for his notable achievements in the field.

Larry has served a two-year term as President of the National Association of Shareholder and Consumer Attorneys, a membership organization of approximately 100 law firms that practice complex civil litigation including class actions. A longtime supporter of the Federal Bar Council, Larry serves as a trustee of the Federal Bar Council Foundation. He is a member of the Federal Bar Council's Committee on Second Circuit Courts, and the Federal Courts Committee of the New York County Lawyers' Association. He is also a member of the Securities Law Committee of the New Jersey State Bar Association and was the Founding Chairman of the Class Action Committee of the Commercial and Federal Litigation Section of the New York State Bar Association, a position he held from 1988-1994. In addition, Larry serves on the Advocacy Committee of the World Federation of Investors Corporation, a worldwide umbrella organization of national shareholder associations. In May 2013, Larry was elected Vice Chair of the International Financial Litigation Network, a network of law firms from 15 countries seeking international solutions to cross-border financial problems.

Larry is admitted to practice in the States of New York, New Jersey, and Arizona as well as before the Supreme Court of the United States, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the District of New Jersey.

---

**Mark S. Arisohn, Partner**  
[marisohn@labaton.com](mailto:marisohn@labaton.com)

Mark S. Arisohn focuses on prosecuting complex securities fraud cases on behalf of institutional investors. Mark is an accomplished litigator, with nearly 40 years of extensive trial experience in jury and non-jury matters in the state and federal courts nationwide. He has also argued in the New York Court of Appeals, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit and appeared before the United States Supreme Court in the landmark insider trading case of *Chiarella v. United States*.

Mark's wide-ranging practice has included prosecuting and defending individuals and corporations in cases involving securities fraud, mail and wire fraud, bank fraud, and RICO violations. He has represented public officials, individuals, and companies in the construction and securities industries as well as professionals accused of regulatory offenses and professional misconduct. He also has appeared as trial counsel for both plaintiffs and defendants in civil fraud matters and corporate and commercial matters, including shareholder litigation, business torts, unfair competition, and misappropriation of trade secrets.

Mark is one of the few litigators in the plaintiffs' bar to have tried two securities fraud class action cases to a jury verdict.

Mark is an active member of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York and has served on its Judiciary Committee, the Committee on Criminal Courts, Law and Procedure, the Committee on Superior Courts, and the Committee on Professional Discipline. He serves as a mediator for the Complaint Mediation Panel of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York where he mediates attorney client disputes and as a hearing officer for the New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct where he presides over misconduct cases brought against judges.

Mark also co-leads Labaton Sucharow's Securities Arbitration pro bono project in conjunction with Brooklyn Law School where he serves as an adjunct professor. Mark, together with Labaton Sucharow associates and Brooklyn Law School students, represents aggrieved and defrauded individual investors who cannot otherwise afford to pay for legal counsel in financial industry arbitration matters against investment advisors and stockbrokers.

Mark was named to the recommended list in the field of Securities Litigation by *The Legal 500* and recognized by Benchmark Litigation as a Securities Litigation Star. He has also received a rating of AV Preeminent from publishers of the Martindale-Hubbell directory.

Mark is admitted to practice in the State of New York and the District of Columbia as well as before the Supreme Court of the United States, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, and the United States District Courts for the Southern, Eastern, and Northern Districts of New York, the Northern District of Texas, and the Northern District of California.

---

**Eric J. Belfi, Partner**  
**[ebelfi@labaton.com](mailto:ebelfi@labaton.com)**

Representing many of the world's leading pension funds and other institutional investors, Eric J. Belfi is an accomplished litigator with experience in a broad range of commercial matters. Eric focuses on domestic and international securities and shareholder litigation, as well as direct actions on behalf of governmental entities. He serves as a member of the Firm's Executive Committee.

As an integral member of the Firm's Case Development Group, Eric has brought numerous high-profile domestic securities cases that resulted from the credit crisis, including the prosecution against Goldman Sachs. In *In re Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. Securities Litigation*, he played a significant role in the investigation and drafting of the operative complaint. Eric was also actively involved in securing a combined settlement of \$18.4 million in *In re Colonial BancGroup, Inc. Securities Litigation*, regarding material misstatements and omissions in SEC filings by Colonial BancGroup and certain underwriters.

Along with his domestic securities litigation practice, Eric leads the Firm's Non-U.S. Securities Litigation Practice, which is dedicated exclusively to analyzing potential claims in non-U.S. jurisdictions and advising on the risk and benefits of litigation in those forums. The practice, one of the first of its kind, also serves as liaison counsel to institutional investors in such cases, where appropriate. Currently, Eric represents nearly 30 institutional investors in over a dozen non-U.S. cases against companies including SNC-Lavalin Group Inc. in Canada, Vivendi Universal, S.A. in France, OZ Minerals Ltd. in Australia, Lloyds Banking Group in the UK, and Olympus Corporation in Japan.

Eric's international experience also includes securing settlements on behalf of non-U.S. clients including the UK-based Mineworkers' Pension Scheme in *In re Satyam Computer Securities Services Ltd. Securities Litigation*, an action related to one of the largest securities fraud in India which resulted in \$150.5 million in collective settlements. Representing two of Europe's leading pension funds, Deka Investment GmbH and Deka International S.A., Luxembourg, in *In re General Motors Corp. Securities Litigation*, Eric was integral in securing

a \$303 million settlement in a case regarding multiple accounting manipulations and overstatements by General Motors.

Additionally, Eric oversees the Financial Products and Services Litigation Practice, focusing on individual actions against malfeasant investment bankers, including cases against custodial banks that allegedly committed deceptive practices relating to certain foreign currency transactions. Most recently, he served as lead counsel to Arkansas Teacher Retirement System in a class action against State Street Corporation and certain affiliated entities alleging misleading actions in connection with foreign currency exchange trades, which resulted in a \$300 million recovery. He has also represented the Commonwealth of Virginia in its False Claims Act case against Bank of New York Mellon, Inc.

Eric's M&A and derivative experience includes noteworthy cases such as *In re Medco Health Solutions Inc. Shareholders Litigation*, in which he was integrally involved in the negotiation of the settlement that included a significant reduction in the termination fee.

Eric's prior experience included serving as an Assistant Attorney General for the State of New York and as an Assistant District Attorney for the County of Westchester. As a prosecutor, Eric investigated and prosecuted white-collar criminal cases, including many securities law violations. He presented hundreds of cases to the grand jury and obtained numerous felony convictions after jury trials.

Eric is a member of the National Association of Public Pension Attorneys (NAPPA) Securities Litigation Working Group. He has spoken on the topics of shareholder litigation and U.S.-style class actions in European countries and has discussed socially responsible investments for public pension funds.

Eric is admitted to practice in the State of New York, as well as before the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the Eastern District of Michigan, the District of Colorado, the District of Nebraska, and the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

---

**Joel H. Bernstein, Partner**  
[jbernstein@labaton.com](mailto:jbernstein@labaton.com)

With nearly four decades of experience in complex litigation, Joel H. Bernstein's practice focuses on the protection of victimized individuals. Joel advises large public and labor pension funds, banks, mutual funds, insurance companies, hedge funds, and other institutional and individual investors with respect to securities-related litigation in the federal and state courts, as well as in arbitration proceedings before the NYSE, FINRA, and other self-regulatory organizations. His experience in the area of representing plaintiffs in complex litigation has resulted in the recovery of more than a billion dollars in damages to wronged class members.

For several years Joel led the Firm's Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities team, a group of more than 20 legal professionals representing large domestic and foreign institutional investors in 75 individual litigations involving billions of dollars lost in fraudulently marketed investments at the center of the subprime crisis and has successfully recovered hundreds of millions of dollars on their behalf thus far. He also currently serves as lead counsel in class actions, including *Norfolk County Retirement System v. Solazyme, Inc.* and *In re Facebook Biometric Information Privacy Litigation*.

Joel recently led the team that secured a \$265 million all-cash settlement for a class of investors in *In re Massey Energy Co. Securities Litigation*, a matter that stemmed from the 2010 mining disaster at the company's Upper Big Branch coal mine. Joel also led the team that achieved a \$120 million recovery with one of the largest global providers of products and services for the oil and gas industry, Weatherford International in 2015. As lead counsel for one of the most prototypical cases arising from the financial crisis, *In re Countrywide Corporation Securities Litigation*, he obtained a settlement of \$624 million for co-lead plaintiffs, New York State Common Retirement Fund and the New York City Pension Funds.



In the past, Joel has played a central role in numerous high profile cases, including *In re Paine Webber Incorporated Limited Partnerships Litigation* (\$200 million settlement); *In re Prudential Securities Incorporated Limited Partnerships Litigation* (\$130 million settlement); *In re Prudential Bache Energy Income Partnerships Securities Litigation* (\$91 million settlement); *Shea v. New York Life Insurance Company* (\$92 million settlement); and *Saunders et al. v. Gardner* (\$10 million—the largest punitive damage award in the history of NASD Arbitration at that time). In addition, Joel was instrumental in securing a \$117.5 million settlement in *In re Mercury Interactive Securities Litigation*, the largest settlement at the time in a securities fraud litigation based upon options backdating. He also has litigated cases which arose out of deceptive practices by custodial banks relating to certain foreign currency transactions.

Joel has been recommended by *The Legal 500* in the field of Securities Litigation, where he was described by sources as a “formidable adversary,” and by *Benchmark Litigation* as a Securities Litigation Star. He was also featured in *The AmLaw Litigation Daily* as Litigator of the Week for his work on *In re Countrywide Financial Corporation Securities Litigation*. Joel has received a rating of AV Preeminent from the publishers of the Martindale-Hubbell directory.

In addition to his active legal practice, Joel co-leads Labaton Sucharow’s Securities Arbitration pro bono project in collaboration with Brooklyn Law School where he serves as an adjunct professor. Together with Labaton Sucharow partner Mark Arisohn, firm associates, and Brooklyn Law School students, he represents aggrieved and defrauded individual investors who cannot otherwise afford to pay for legal counsel in financial industry arbitration matters against investment advisors and stockbrokers.

As a recognized leader in his field, Joel is frequently sought out by the press to comment on legal matters and has also authored numerous articles and lectured on related issues. He is a member of the American Bar Association, the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, the New York County Lawyers’ Association, and the Public Investors Arbitration Bar Association (PIABA).

He is admitted to practice in the State of New York as well as before the United States Courts of Appeals for the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Ninth, and Tenth Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

---

**Michael P. Canty, Partner**  
[mcanty@labaton.com](mailto:mcanty@labaton.com)

Michael P. Canty prosecutes complex fraud cases on behalf of institutional investors and consumers. Currently, Michael is investigating potential claims brought by state and local governments against large companies in the widespread opioid epidemic. Recommended by *The Legal 500* in the field of securities litigation, Michael is also an accomplished litigator with more than a decade of trial experience in matters relating to national security, white collar crime, and cybercrime.

Prior to joining Labaton Sucharow, Michael was a federal prosecutor in the United States Attorney’s Office for the Eastern District of New York, where he served as the Deputy Chief of the Office’s General Crimes Section. Michael also served in the Office’s National Security and Cybercrimes Section. During his time as lead prosecutor, Michael investigated complex and high-profile white collar, national security, and cybercrime offenses. He also served as an Assistant District Attorney for the Nassau County District Attorney’s Office, where he handled complex state criminal offenses.

Michael has extensive trial experience both from his days as a prosecutor in New York City for the United States Department of Justice and during his six years as an Assistant District Attorney. He served as trial counsel in more than 35 matters, many of which related to violent crime, white collar and terrorism related offenses. He played a pivotal role in *United States v. Abid Naseer*, where he prosecuted and convicted an al-Qaeda operative who conspired to carry out attacks in the United States and Europe. Michael also led the investigation in *United States v. Marcos Alonso Zea*, a case in which he successfully prosecuted a citizen for



attempting to join a terrorist organization in the Arabian Peninsula and for providing material support intended for planned attacks.

Michael also has a depth of experience investigating and prosecuting cases involving the distribution of prescription opioids. In January 2012, Michael was assigned to the U.S. Attorney's Office Prescription Drug Initiative to mount a comprehensive response to what the United States Department of Health and Human Services' Center for Disease Control and Prevention has called an epidemic increase in the abuse of so-called opioid analgesics. As a member of the initiative, in *United States v. Conway* and *United States v. Deslouches* Michael successfully prosecuted medical professionals who were illegally prescribing opioids. In *United States v. Moss et al.* he was responsible for dismantling one of the largest oxycodone rings operating in the New York metropolitan area at the time. In addition to prosecuting these cases, Michael spoke regularly to the community on the dangers of opioid abuse as part of the Office's community outreach.

Before becoming a prosecutor, Michael worked as a Congressional Staff Member for the United States House of Representatives. He primarily served as a liaison between the Majority Leader's Office and the Government Reform and Oversight Committee. During his time with the House of Representatives, Michael managed congressional oversight of the United States Postal Service and reviewed and analyzed counter-narcotics legislation as it related to national security matters.

Michael is admitted to practice in the State of New York as well as before the United States Courts of Appeals for the Second Circuit, and the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York.

---

**Thomas A. Dubbs, Partner**  
[tdubbs@labaton.com](mailto:tdubbs@labaton.com)

Thomas A. Dubbs focuses on the representation of institutional investors in domestic and multinational securities cases. Recognized as a leading securities class action attorney, Tom has been named as a top litigator by *Chambers & Partners* for nine consecutive years.

Tom has served or is currently serving as lead or co-lead counsel in some of the most important federal securities class actions in recent years, including those against American International Group, Goldman Sachs, the Bear Stearns Companies, Facebook, Fannie Mae, Broadcom, and WellCare. Tom has also played an integral role in securing significant settlements in several high-profile cases including: *In re American International Group, Inc. Securities Litigation* (settlements totaling more than \$1 billion); *In re Bear Stearns Companies, Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$275 million settlement with Bear Stearns Companies, plus a \$19.9 million settlement with Deloitte & Touche LLP, Bear Stearns' outside auditor); *In re HealthSouth Securities Litigation* (\$671 million settlement); *Eastwood Enterprises LLC v. Farha et al. (WellCare Securities Litigation)* (over \$200 million settlement); *In re Fannie Mae 2008 Securities Litigation* (\$170 million settlement); *In re Broadcom Corp. Securities Litigation* (\$160.5 million settlement with Broadcom, plus \$13 million settlement with Ernst & Young LLP, Broadcom's outside auditor); *In re St. Paul Travelers Securities Litigation* (\$144.5 million settlement); *In re Amgen Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$95 million settlement); and *In re Vesta Insurance Group, Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$79 million settlement).

Representing an affiliate of the Amalgamated Bank, the largest labor-owned bank in the United States, a team led by Tom successfully litigated a class action against Bristol-Myers Squibb, which resulted in a settlement of \$185 million as well as major corporate governance reforms. He has argued before the United States Supreme Court and has argued 10 appeals dealing with securities or commodities issues before the United States Courts of Appeals.

Due to his reputation in securities law, Tom frequently lectures to institutional investors and other groups such as the Government Finance Officers Association, the National Conference on Public Employee Retirement Systems, and the Council of Institutional Investors. He is a prolific author of articles related to his field, and he recently penned "Textualism and Transnational Securities Law: A Reappraisal of Justice Scalia's Analysis in

*Morrison v. National Australia Bank*," *Southwestern Journal of International Law* (2014). He has also written several columns in UK-wide publications regarding securities class action and corporate governance.

Prior to joining Labaton Sucharow, Tom was Senior Vice President & Senior Litigation Counsel for Kidder, Peabody & Co. Incorporated, where he represented the company in many class actions, including the First Executive and Orange County litigation and was first chair in many securities trials. Before joining Kidder, Tom was head of the litigation department at Hall, McNicol, Hamilton & Clark, where he was the principal partner representing Thomson McKinnon Securities Inc. in many matters, including the Petro Lewis and Baldwin-United class actions.

In addition to his *Chambers & Partners* recognition, Tom was named a Leading Lawyer by *The Legal 500*, and inducted into its Hall of Fame, an honor presented to only three other plaintiffs securities litigation lawyers "who have received constant praise by their clients for continued excellence." *Law360* also named him an "MVP of the Year" for distinction in class action litigation in 2012 and 2015, and he has been recognized by *The National Law Journal*, *Lawdragon 500*, and *Benchmark Litigation* as a Securities Litigation Star. Tom has received a rating of AV Preeminent from the publishers of the Martindale-Hubbell directory.

Tom serves as a FINRA Arbitrator and is an Advisory Board Member for the Institute for Transnational Arbitration. He is a member of the New York State Bar Association, the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, the American Law Institute, and he is a Patron of the American Society of International Law. He was previously a member of the Members Consultative Group for the Principles of the Law of Aggregate Litigation and the Department of State Advisory Committee on Private International Law. Tom also serves on the Board of Directors for The Sidney Hillman Foundation.

Tom is admitted to practice in the State of New York as well as before the Supreme Court of the United States, the United States Courts of Appeals for the Second, Third, Fourth, Ninth, and Eleventh Circuits, and the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

---

**Jonathan Gardner, Partner**  
[jgardner@labaton.com](mailto:jgardner@labaton.com)

With more than 25 years of experience, Jonathan Gardner focuses on prosecuting complex securities fraud cases on behalf of institutional investors and has played an integral role in securing some of the largest class action recoveries against corporate offenders since the onset of the global financial crisis.

Jonathan was named an MVP by *Law360* for securing hard-earned successes in high-stakes litigation and complex global matters. Recently, he led the Firm's team in the investigation and prosecution of *In re Barrick Gold Securities Litigation*, which resulted in a \$140 million recovery. Jonathan has also served as the lead attorney in several cases resulting in significant recoveries for injured class members, including: *In re Hewlett-Packard Company Securities Litigation*, resulting in a \$57 million recovery; *Medoff v. CVS Caremark Corporation*, resulting in a \$48 million recovery; *In re Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc., Securities Litigation*, resulting in a \$47 million recovery; *In re Carter's Inc. Securities Litigation*, resulting in a \$23.3 million recovery against Carter's and certain of its officers as well as PricewaterhouseCoopers, its auditing firm; *In re Aeropostale Inc. Securities Litigation*, resulting in a \$15 million recovery; *In re Lender Processing Services Inc.*, involving claims of fraudulent mortgage processing which resulted in a \$13.1 million recovery; and *In re K-12, Inc. Securities Litigation*, resulting in a \$6.75 million recovery.

Recommended and described by *The Legal 500* as having the "ability to master the nuances of securities class actions," Jonathan has led the Firm's representation of investors in many recent high-profile cases including *Rubin v. MF Global Ltd.*, which involved allegations of material misstatements and omissions in a Registration Statement and Prospectus issued in connection with MF Global's IPO in 2007. In November 2011, the case resulted in a recovery of \$90 million for investors. Jonathan also represented lead plaintiff City of Edinburgh Council as Administering Authority of the Lothian Pension Fund in *In re Lehman Brothers Equity/Debt Securities Litigation*, which resulted in settlements totaling exceeding \$600 million against Lehman Brothers'

former officers and directors, Lehman's former public accounting firm as well as the banks that underwrote Lehman Brothers' offerings. In representing lead plaintiff Massachusetts Bricklayers and Masons Trust Funds in an action against Deutsche Bank, Jonathan secured a \$32.5 million dollar recovery for a class of investors injured by the Bank's conduct in connection with certain residential mortgage-backed securities.

Jonathan has also been responsible for prosecuting several of the Firm's options backdating cases, including *In re Monster Worldwide, Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$47.5 million settlement); *In re SafeNet, Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$25 million settlement); *In re Semtech Securities Litigation* (\$20 million settlement); and *In re MRV Communications, Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$10 million settlement). He also was instrumental in *In re Mercury Interactive Corp. Securities Litigation*, which settled for \$117.5 million, one of the largest settlements or judgments in a securities fraud litigation based upon options backdating.

Jonathan also represented the Successor Liquidating Trustee of Lipper Convertibles, a convertible bond hedge fund, in actions against the fund's former independent auditor and a member of the fund's general partner as well as numerous former limited partners who received excess distributions. He successfully recovered over \$5.2 million for the Successor Liquidating Trustee from the limited partners and \$29.9 million from the former auditor.

He is a member of the Federal Bar Council, New York State Bar Association, and the Association of the Bar of the City of New York.

Jonathan is admitted to practice in the State of New York as well as before the United States Court of Appeals for the First, Sixth, Ninth, and Eleventh Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

---

**David J. Goldsmith, Partner**  
[dgoldsmith@labaton.com](mailto:dgoldsmith@labaton.com)

David J. Goldsmith has nearly 20 years of experience representing public and private institutional investors in a variety of securities and class action litigations. A principal litigator at the Firm, David has twice been recommended by *The Legal 500* as part of the Firm's recognition as a top-tier plaintiffs firm in securities class action litigation.

David was an integral member of the team representing the Arkansas Teacher Retirement System in a significant action alleging unfair and deceptive practices by State Street Bank in connection with foreign currency exchange trades executed for its custodial clients. The resulting \$300 million settlement is the largest class action settlement ever reached under the Massachusetts consumer protection statute, and one of the largest class action settlements reached in the First Circuit. David also represented the New York State Common Retirement Fund and New York City pension funds as lead plaintiffs in the landmark *In re Countrywide Financial Corp. Securities Litigation*, which settled for \$624 million. He has successfully represented state and county pension funds in class actions in California state court arising from the IPOs of technology companies, and recovered tens of millions of dollars for a large German bank and a major Irish special-purpose vehicle in individual actions alleging fraud in connection with the sale of residential mortgage-backed securities. David's representation of a hedge fund and individual investors as lead plaintiffs in an action concerning the well-publicized collapse of four Regions Morgan Keegan mutual funds led to a \$62 million settlement.

David regularly advises the Genesee County (Michigan) Employees' Retirement Commission with respect to potential securities, shareholder, and antitrust claims, and represents the System in a major action charging a conspiracy by some of the world's largest banks to manipulate the U.S. Dollar ISDAfix benchmark interest rate. He is also currently prosecuting several securities class actions, including *In re Eros International Securities Litigation*, a case where the Firm exposed fraud and nepotism involving a Bollywood film production company, *Tadros v. Celladon Corp.*, a case against a failed biotech company, and *Shoemaker v. Cardiovascular Systems*,

*Inc.*, a case against a medical device manufacturer that recently settled a whistleblower action arising from the same alleged conduct.

In 2016, David participated in a panel moderated by Prof. Arthur Miller at the 22nd Annual Symposium of the Institute for Law and Economic Policy, discussing changes in Rule 23 since the 1966 Amendments. David is an active member of several professional organizations, including The National Association of Shareholder & Consumer Attorneys (NASCAT), a membership organization of approximately 100 law firms that practice complex civil litigation including class actions, the American Association for Justice, New York State Bar Association, and the Association of the Bar of the City of New York.

During law school, David was Managing Editor of the *Cardozo Arts & Entertainment Law Journal* and served as a judicial intern to the Honorable Michael B. Mukasey, then a United States District Judge for the Southern District of New York.

For many years, David has been a member of AmorArtis, a renowned choral organization with a diverse repertoire.

He is admitted to practice in the States of New York and New Jersey as well as before the United States Courts of Appeals for the First, Second, Fourth, Fifth, Eighth, and Ninth Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the District of New Jersey, the District of Colorado, and the Western District of Michigan.

---

**Louis Gottlieb, Partner**  
**[lgottlieb@labaton.com](mailto:lgottlieb@labaton.com)**

Louis Gottlieb focuses on representing institutional and individual investors in complex securities and consumer class action cases. He has played a key role in some of the most high-profile securities class actions in recent history, securing significant recoveries for plaintiffs and ensuring essential corporate governance reforms to protect future investors, consumers, and the general public.

Lou was integral in prosecuting *In re American International Group, Inc. Securities Litigation* (settlements totaling more than \$1 billion) and *In re 2008 Fannie Mae Securities Litigation* (\$170 million settlement pending final approval). He also helped lead major class action cases against the company and related defendants in *In re Satyam Computer Services, Ltd. Securities Litigation* (\$150.5 million settlement). He has led successful litigation teams in securities fraud class action litigations against Metromedia Fiber Networks and Pricemart, as well as consumer class actions against various life insurance companies.

In the Firm's representation of the Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds in *In re Waste Management, Inc. Securities Litigation*, Lou's efforts were essential in securing a \$457 million settlement. The settlement also included important corporate governance enhancements, including an agreement by management to support a campaign to obtain shareholder approval of a resolution to declassify its board of directors, and a resolution to encourage and safeguard whistleblowers among the company's employees. Acting on behalf of New York City pension funds in *In re Orbital Sciences Corporation Securities Litigation*, Lou helped negotiate the implementation of measures concerning the review of financial results, the composition, role and responsibilities of the Company's Audit and Finance committee, and the adoption of a Board resolution providing guidelines regarding senior executives' exercise and sale of vested stock options.

Lou was a leading member of the team in the *Napp Technologies Litigation* that won substantial recoveries for families and firefighters injured in a chemical plant explosion. Lou has had a major role in national product liability actions against the manufacturers of orthopedic bone screws and atrial pacemakers, and in consumer fraud actions in the national litigation against tobacco companies.

A well-respected litigator, Lou has made presentations on punitive damages at Federal Bar Association meetings and has spoken on securities class actions for institutional investors.

Lou brings a depth of experience to his practice from both within and outside of the legal sphere. He graduated first in his class from St. John's School of Law. Prior to joining Labaton Sucharow, he clerked for the Honorable Leonard B. Wexler of the Eastern District of New York, and he worked as an associate at Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom LLP.

Lou is admitted to practice in the States of New York and Connecticut as well as before the United States Courts of Appeals for the Fifth and Seventh Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

---

**Serena P. Hallowell, Partner**  
[shallowell@labaton.com](mailto:shallowell@labaton.com)

Serena P. Hallowell leads the Direct Action Litigation Practice and focuses on complex litigation, prosecuting securities fraud cases on behalf of some of the world's largest institutional investors as well as investigations and litigation on behalf of governmental entities aimed at achieving significant financial recoveries and injunctive relief that remedies and deters fraudulent, illegal, or improper conduct. She is prosecuting *In re Intuitive Surgical Securities Litigation*, *Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi v. Endo International plc*, and *Schaffer v. Horizon Pharma PLC*. She is also currently advising a number of institutional investors in connection with pursuing potential direct actions against a large pharmaceutical manufacturer. In addition to her litigation responsibilities, Serena serves as Co-Chair of the Firm's Women's Networking and Mentoring Initiative.

For the last two years Serena has been recommended by *The Legal 500* in securities litigation. In 2016, she was named a *Benchmark Litigation* Rising Star and a Rising Star by *Law360*.

Serena was part of a highly skilled team that reached a \$140 million settlement against one of the world's largest gold mining companies in *In re Barrick Gold Securities Litigation*. Playing a principal role in prosecuting *In re Computer Sciences Corporation Securities Litigation* in a "rocket docket" jurisdiction, she helped secure a settlement of \$97.5 million on behalf of lead plaintiff Ontario Teachers' Pension Plan Board, the third largest all cash settlement in the Fourth Circuit at the time. She was also instrumental in securing a \$48 million recovery in *Medoff v. CVS Caremark Corporation*, as well as a \$41.5 million settlement in *In re NII Holdings, Inc. Securities Litigation*. Serena also has broad appellate and trial experience.

Prior to joining Labaton Sucharow, Serena was an attorney at Ohrenstein & Brown LLP, where she participated in various federal and state commercial litigation matters. During her time there, she also defended financial companies in regulatory proceedings and assisted in high-profile litigation matters in connection with mutual funds trading investigations.

Serena received a J.D. from Boston University School of Law, where she served as the Note Editor for the Journal of Science & Technology Law. She earned a B.A. in Political Science from Occidental College.

Serena is a member of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, the Federal Bar Council, and the National Association of Women Lawyers (NAWL). She has also devoted time to pro bono work with the Securities Arbitration Clinic at Brooklyn Law School.

She is conversational in Urdu/Hindi.

---

**Thomas G. Hoffman, Jr., Partner**  
[thoffman@labaton.com](mailto:thoffman@labaton.com)

Thomas G. Hoffman, Jr. focuses on representing institutional investors in complex securities actions.

Thomas was instrumental in securing a \$1 billion recovery in the eight-year litigation against AIG and related defendants. He also was a key member of the Labaton Sucharow team that recovered \$170 million for



investors in *In re 2008 Fannie Mae Securities Litigation*. Currently, Thomas is prosecuting cases against BP, Facebook, and American Express.

Thomas received a J.D. from UCLA School of Law, where he was Editor-in-Chief of the *UCLA Entertainment Law Review*, and he served as a Moot Court Executive Board Member. In addition, he was a judicial extern to the Honorable William J. Rea, United States District Court for the Central District of California. Thomas earned a B.F.A., with honors, from New York University.

Thomas is admitted to practice in the State of New York as well as before the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

---

**James W. Johnson, Partner**  
[jjohnson@labaton.com](mailto:jjohnson@labaton.com)

James W. Johnson focuses on complex securities fraud cases. In representing investors who have been victimized by securities fraud and breaches of fiduciary responsibility, Jim's advocacy has resulted in record recoveries for wronged investors. Currently, he is prosecuting high-profile cases against financial industry leader Goldman Sachs in *In re Goldman Sachs Group, Inc., Securities Litigation*, and the world's most popular social network, in *In re Facebook, Inc., IPO Securities and Derivative Litigation*. In addition to his active caseload, Jim holds a variety of leadership positions within the Firm, including serving on the Firm's Executive Committee and acting as the Firm's Hiring Partner. He also serves as the Firm's Executive Partner overseeing firmwide issues.

A recognized leader in his field, Jim has successfully litigated a number of complex securities and RICO class actions including: *In re Bear Stearns Companies, Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$275 million settlement with Bear Stearns Companies, plus a \$19.9 million settlement with Deloitte & Touche LLP, Bear Stearns' outside auditor); *In re HealthSouth Corp. Securities Litigation* (\$671 million settlement); *Eastwood Enterprises LLC v. Farha et al. (WellCare Securities Litigation)* (\$200 million settlement); *In re Bristol Myers Squibb Co. Securities Litigation* (\$185 million settlement), in which the court also approved significant corporate governance reforms and recognized plaintiff's counsel as "extremely skilled and efficient"; *In re Amgen Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$95 million settlement); *In re National Health Laboratories, Inc. Securities Litigation*, which resulted in a recovery of \$80 million in the federal action and a related state court derivative action; and *In re Vesta Insurance Group, Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$79 million settlement).

In *County of Suffolk v. Long Island Lighting Co.*, Jim represented the plaintiff in a RICO class action, securing a jury verdict after a two-month trial that resulted in a \$400 million settlement. The Second Circuit quoted the trial judge, Honorable Jack B. Weinstein, as stating "counsel [has] done a superb job [and] tried this case as well as I have ever seen any case tried." On behalf of the Chugach Native Americans, he also assisted in prosecuting environmental damage claims resulting from the Exxon Valdez oil spill.

Jim is a member of the American Bar Association and the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, where he served on the Federal Courts Committee, and he is a Fellow in the Litigation Council of America.

Jim has received a rating of AV Preeminent from the publishers of the Martindale-Hubbell directory.

He is admitted to practice in the States of New York and Illinois as well as before the Supreme Court of the United States, the United States Courts of Appeals for the Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Seventh, and Eleventh Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Southern, Eastern, and Northern Districts of New York, and the Northern District of Illinois.

---

**Christopher J. Keller, Partner**  
[ckeller@labaton.com](mailto:ckeller@labaton.com)

Christopher J. Keller focuses on complex securities litigation. His clients are institutional investors, including some of the world's largest public and private pension funds with tens of billions of dollars under management.

Described by *The Legal 500* as a "sharp and tenacious advocate" who "has his pulse on the trends," Chris has been instrumental in the Firm's appointments as lead counsel in some of the largest securities matters arising out of the financial crisis, such as actions against Countrywide (\$624 million settlement), Bear Stearns (\$275 million settlement with Bear Stearns Companies, plus a \$19.9 million settlement with Deloitte & Touche LLP, Bear Stearns' outside auditor), Fannie Mae (\$170 million settlement), and Goldman Sachs.

Chris has also been integral in the prosecution of traditional fraud cases such as *In re Schering-Plough Corporation / ENHANCE Securities Litigation*; *In re Massey Energy Co. Securities Litigation*, where the Firm obtained a \$265 million all-cash settlement with Alpha Natural Resources, Massey's parent company; as well as *In re Satyam Computer Services, Ltd. Securities Litigation*, where the Firm obtained a settlement of more than \$150 million. Chris was also a principal litigator on the trial team of *In re Real Estate Associates Limited Partnership Litigation*. The six-week jury trial resulted in a \$184 million plaintiffs' verdict, one of the largest jury verdicts since the passage of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act.

In addition to his active caseload, Chris holds a variety of leadership positions within the Firm, including serving on the Firm's Executive Committee. In response to the evolving needs of clients, Chris also established, and currently leads, the Case Development Group, which is composed of attorneys, in-house investigators, financial analysts, and forensic accountants. The group is responsible for evaluating clients' financial losses and analyzing their potential legal claims both in and outside of the U.S. and tracking trends that are of potential concern to investors.

Educating institutional investors is a significant element of Chris' advocacy efforts for shareholder rights. He is regularly called upon for presentations on developing trends in the law and new case theories at annual meetings and seminars for institutional investors.

He is a member of several professional groups, including the New York State Bar Association and the New York County Lawyers' Association. In 2017, he was elected to the New York City Bar Fund Board of Directors. The City Bar Fund is the nonprofit 501(c)(3) arm of the New York City Bar Association aimed at engaging and supporting the legal profession in advancing social justice."

He is admitted to practice in the States of New York and Ohio, as well as before the Supreme Court of the United States, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the Eastern District of Wisconsin, and the District of Colorado.

---

**Edward Labaton, Partner**  
[elabaton@labaton.com](mailto:elabaton@labaton.com)

An accomplished trial lawyer and partner with the Firm, Edward Labaton has devoted 50 years of practice to representing a full range of clients in class action and complex litigation matters in state and federal court. He is the recipient of the Alliance for Justice's 2015 Champion of Justice Award, given to outstanding individuals whose life and work exemplifies the principle of equal justice.

Ed has played a leading role as plaintiffs' class counsel in a number of successfully prosecuted, high-profile cases, involving companies such as PepsiCo, Dun & Bradstreet, Financial Corporation of America, ZZZZ Best, Revlon, GAF Co., American Brands, Petro Lewis and Jim Walter, as well as several Big Eight (now Four) accounting firms. He has also argued appeals in state and federal courts, achieving results with important precedential value.

Ed has been President of the Institute for Law and Economic Policy (ILEP) since its founding in 1996. Each year, ILEP co-sponsors at least one symposium with a major law school dealing with issues relating to the civil justice system. In 2010, he was appointed to the newly formed Advisory Board of George Washington University's Center for Law, Economics, & Finance (C-LEAF), a think tank within the Law School, for the study and debate of major issues in economic and financial law confronting the United States and the globe. Ed is an Honorary Lifetime Member of the Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights under Law, a member of the American Law Institute, and a life member of the ABA Foundation. In addition, he has served on the Executive Committee and has been an officer of the Ovarian Cancer Research Fund since its inception in 1996.

Ed is the past Chairman of the Federal Courts Committee of the New York County Lawyers Association, and was a member of the Board of Directors of that organization. He is an active member of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, where he was Chair of the Senior Lawyers' Committee and served on its Task Force on the Role of Lawyers in Corporate Governance. He has also served on its Federal Courts, Federal Legislation, Securities Regulation, International Human Rights, and Corporation Law Committees. He also served as Chair of the Legal Referral Service Committee, a joint committee of the New York County Lawyers' Association and the Association of the Bar of the City of New York. He has been an active member of the American Bar Association, the Federal Bar Council, and the New York State Bar Association, where he has served as a member of the House of Delegates.

For more than 30 years, he has lectured on many topics including federal civil litigation, securities litigation, and corporate governance.

He is admitted to practice in the State of New York as well as before the Supreme Court of the United States, the United States Courts of Appeals for the Second, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Ninth, Tenth, and Eleventh Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the Central District of Illinois.

---

**Christopher J. McDonald, Partner**  
[cmcdonald@labaton.com](mailto:cmcdonald@labaton.com)

Christopher J. McDonald focuses on prosecuting complex securities fraud cases. Chris also works with the Firm's Antitrust & Competition Litigation Practice, representing businesses, associations, and individuals injured by anticompetitive activities and unfair business practices.

Most recently, he served as lead counsel in *In re Amgen Inc. Securities Litigation*, a case against global biotechnology company Amgen and certain of its former executives, resulting in a \$95 million settlement. He served as co-lead counsel in *In re Schering-Plough Corporation / ENHANCE Securities Litigation*, which resulted in a \$473 million settlement, one of the largest securities class action settlement ever against a pharmaceutical company and among the ten largest recoveries ever in a securities class action that did not involve a financial reinstatement. He was also an integral part of the team that successfully litigated *In re Bristol-Myers Squibb Securities Litigation*, where Labaton Sucharow secured a \$185 million settlement, as well as significant corporate governance reforms, on behalf of Bristol-Myers shareholders.

In the antitrust field, Chris was most recently co-lead counsel in *In re TriCor Indirect Purchaser Antitrust Litigation*, obtaining a \$65.7 million settlement on behalf of the class.

Chris began his legal career at Patterson, Belknap, Webb & Tyler LLP, where he gained extensive trial experience in areas ranging from employment contract disputes to false advertising claims. Later, as a senior attorney with a telecommunications company, Chris advocated before government regulatory agencies on a variety of complex legal, economic, and public policy issues. Since joining Labaton Sucharow, Chris' practice has developed a focus on life sciences industries; his cases often involve pharmaceutical, biotechnology, or medical device companies accused of wrongdoing.



During his time at Fordham University School of Law, Chris was a member of the *Law Review*. He is currently a member of the New York State Bar Association and the Association of the Bar of the City of New York.

Chris is admitted to practice in the State of New York and the United States Supreme Court. He is also admitted before the United States Courts of Appeals for the Second, Fourth, Third, Ninth, and Federal Circuit, as well as the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the Western District of Michigan.

---

**Michael H. Rogers, Partner**  
[mrogers@labaton.com](mailto:mrogers@labaton.com)

Michael H. Rogers focuses on prosecuting complex securities fraud cases on behalf of institutional investors. Currently, Mike is actively involved in prosecuting *In re Goldman Sachs, Inc. Securities Litigation*; *3226701 Canada, Inc. v. Qualcomm, Inc.*; *Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi v. Sprouts Farmers Markets, Inc.*; *Vancouver Asset Alumni Holdings, Inc. v. Daimler AG*; *Jyotindra Patel v. Cigna Corp.*; and *In re Virtus Investment Partners, Inc. Securities Litigation*.

Since joining Labaton Sucharow, Mike has been a member of the lead counsel teams in federal class actions against Countrywide Financial Corp. (\$624 million settlement), HealthSouth Corp. (\$671 million settlement), State Street (\$300 million settlement), Mercury Interactive Corp. (\$117.5 million settlement), and Computer Sciences Corp. (\$97.5 million settlement).

Prior to joining Labaton Sucharow, Mike was an attorney at Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP, where he practiced securities and antitrust litigation, representing international banking institutions bringing federal securities and other claims against major banks, auditing firms, ratings agencies and individuals in complex multidistrict litigation. He also represented an international chemical shipping firm in arbitration of antitrust and other claims against conspirator ship owners.

Mike began his career as an attorney at Sullivan & Cromwell, where he was part of Microsoft's defense team in the remedies phase of the Department of Justice antitrust action against the company.

Mike received a J.D., *magna cum laude*, from the Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law, Yeshiva University, where he was a member of the *Cardozo Law Review*. He earned a B.A., *magna cum laude*, in Literature-Writing from Columbia University.

Mike is proficient in Spanish.

He is admitted to practice in the State of New York as well as before the United States Court of Appeals for the Second and Ninth Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

---

**Ira A. Schochet, Partner**  
[ischochet@labaton.com](mailto:ischochet@labaton.com)

A seasoned litigator with three decades of experience, Ira A. Schochet focuses on class actions involving securities fraud. Ira has played a lead role in securing multimillion dollar recoveries and major corporate governance reforms in high-profile cases such as those against Countrywide Financial, Boeing, Massey Energy, Caterpillar, Spectrum Information Technologies, InterMune, and Amkor Technology.

A longtime leader in the securities class action bar, Ira represented one of the first institutional investors acting as a lead plaintiff in a post-Private Securities Litigation Reform Act case and ultimately obtained one of the first rulings interpreting the statute's intent provision in a manner favorable to investors. His efforts are regularly recognized by the courts, including in *Kamarasy v. Coopers & Lybrand*, where the court remarked on "the superior quality of the representation provided to the class." Further, in approving the settlement he achieved

in the *InterMune* litigation, the court complimented Ira's ability to secure a significant recovery for the class in a very efficient manner, shielding the class from prolonged litigation and substantial risk.

Ira has also played a key role in groundbreaking cases in the field of merger and derivative litigation. In *In re Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc. Derivative Litigation*, he achieved the second largest derivative settlement in the Delaware Court of Chancery history, a \$153.75 million settlement with an unprecedented provision of direct payments to stockholders by means of a special dividend. In another first-of-its-kind case, Ira was featured in *The AmLaw Litigation Daily* as Litigator of the Week for his work in *In re El Paso Corporation Shareholder Litigation*. The action alleged breach of fiduciary duties in connection with a merger transaction, including specific reference to wrongdoing by a conflicted financial advisory consultant, and resulted in a \$110 million recovery for a class of shareholders and a waiver by the consultant of its fee.

From 2009-2011, Ira served as President of the National Association of Shareholder and Consumer Attorneys (NASCAT), a membership organization of approximately 100 law firms that practice class action and complex civil litigation. During this time, he represented the plaintiffs' securities bar in meetings with members of Congress, the Administration, and the SEC.

From 1996 through 2012, Ira served as Chairman of the Class Action Committee of the Commercial and Federal Litigation Section of the New York State Bar Association. During his tenure, he has served on the Executive Committee of the Section and authored important papers on issues relating to class action procedure including revisions proposed by both houses of Congress and the Advisory Committee on Civil Procedure of the United States Judicial Conference. Examples include: "Proposed Changes in Federal Class Action Procedure," "Opting Out On Opting In," and "The Interstate Class Action Jurisdiction Act of 1999."

He also has lectured extensively on securities litigation at continuing legal education seminars. He has also been awarded an AV Preeminent rating, the highest distinction, from the publishers of the Martindale-Hubbell directory.

He is admitted to practice in the State of New York as well as before the United States Court of Appeals for the Second, Fifth, Ninth, and Tenth Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the Central District of Illinois, the Northern District of Texas, and the Western District of Michigan.

---

**Michael W. Stocker, Partner**  
[mstocker@labaton.com](mailto:mstocker@labaton.com)

Representing institutional investors and consumers as co-chair of one of the Firm's litigation teams, Michael W. Stocker prosecutes securities, data privacy, antitrust, and consumer class actions. He also serves as General Counsel to the Firm and provides strategic direction to the Case Development Team. Recognized by *The Legal 500* in the fields of securities, M&A, and antitrust litigation, Mike was also named a Securities Litigation Star by *Benchmark Litigation*.

Mike played an instrumental part of the team that took on American International Group, Inc. and 21 other defendants. The Firm negotiated a recovery of more than \$1 billion. He was also key in litigating *In re Bear Stearns Companies, Inc. Securities Litigation*, where the Firm secured a \$275 million settlement with Bear Stearns, plus a \$19.9 million settlement with the company's outside auditor, Deloitte & Touche LLP. In a case against one of the world's largest pharmaceutical companies, *In re Abbott Laboratories Norvir Antitrust Litigation*, Mike played a leadership role in litigating a landmark action arising at the intersection of antitrust and intellectual property law.

He currently spearheads several securities class actions, including *In re Eros International Securities Litigation*, a case where we exposed a drama of fraud and nepotism involving a leading Bollywood film production/distribution company; *Murphy v. Precision Castparts Corp.*, a sprawling class action against a major industrial goods company in the aerospace and defense industry; *Shoemaker v. Cardiovascular Systems, Inc.*, a

case against a manufacturer of medical devices that recently settled a significant qui tam action arising from the same conduct; and *In re CPI Card Group Inc. Securities Litigation*, a class action against a maker of chip-enabled financial cards that allegedly misled investors by overselling its product prior to a \$172.5 million IPO.

With the rise of cybersecurity risks in corporate America, Mike has leveraged his experience to advise boards and investors on the possible implications of data breaches for corporate fiduciaries. Most recently, Mike chaired a Practising Law Institute panel advising regulators and corporate counsel regarding widespread data breaches and the potential exposure of management. He has been selected to serve as one of three panelists for Skytop Strategies' Cyber Risk Governance Conference panel to discuss issues related to cybersecurity and securities litigation, and will serve as panelist in a teleconference that will address confronting the challenge of cybersecurity from an investor's perspective, hosted by the Council of Institutional Investors. Mike also recently co-authored "Cyber Threats and Securities Litigation: The Emerging Landscape" in *Thomson Reuters Westlaw Journal Securities Litigation & Regulation*.

Earlier in his career, Mike served as a senior staff attorney with the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit and completed a legal externship with federal Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton, currently sitting in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. He earned a B.A. from the University of California, Berkeley, a Master of Criminology from the University of Sydney, and a J.D. from University of California's Hastings College of the Law.

He is an active member of the National Association of Public Pension Plan Attorneys (NAPPA), the New York State Bar Association, and the Association of the Bar of the City of New York. Since 2013, Mike has served on Law360's Securities Editorial Advisory Board, advising on timely and interesting topics warranting media coverage. For three consecutive years (2015-2017), the Council of Institutional Investors has appointed Mike to the Markets Advisory Council, which provides input on legal, financial reporting, and investment market trends. In 2016, he was elected as a member of The American Law Institute, the leading independent organization in the United States producing scholarly work to clarify, modernize, and otherwise improve the law. Mike also serves on the Advisory Committee for the John L. Weinberg Center for Corporate Governance of the University of Delaware, one of the longest-standing corporate governance centers in academia.

He is admitted to practice in the States of California and New York as well as before the United States Courts of Appeals for the Second, Eighth, Ninth, and Tenth Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Northern and Central Districts of California, and the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

---

**Carol C. Villegas, Partner**  
[cvillegas@labaton.com](mailto:cvillegas@labaton.com)

Carol C. Villegas focuses on prosecuting complex securities fraud cases on behalf of institutional investors. Currently, she is litigating cases against Nimble Storage, Liquidity Services, Inc., and Advanced Micro Devices, where she is the lead discovery attorney. In addition to her litigation responsibilities, Carol also serves as Co-Chair of the Firm's Women's Networking and Mentoring Initiative.

Carol's skillful handling of discovery work, her development of innovative case theories in complex cases, and her adept ability during oral argument earned her recent accolades from the *New York Law Journal* as a Top Woman in Law as well as a Rising Star by *Benchmark Litigation*.

Carol played a pivotal role in securing favorable settlements for investors from Aeropostale, a leader in the international retail apparel industry, ViroPharma Inc., a biopharmaceutical company, and Vocera, a healthcare communications provider. A true advocate for her clients, Carol's argument in the case against Vocera resulted in a ruling from the bench, denying defendants motion to dismiss in that case.

Prior to joining Labaton Sucharow, Carol served as the Assistant District Attorney in the Supreme Court Bureau for the Richmond County District Attorney's office, where she took several cases to trial. She began her career

as an associate at King & Spalding LLP where she worked as a federal litigator in the Intellectual Property practice group.

Carol received a J.D. from New York University School of Law, and she was the recipient of The Irving H. Jurow Achievement Award for the Study of Law and selected to receive the Association of the Bar of the City of New York Minority Fellowship. Carol served as the Staff Editor, and later the Notes Editor, of the *Environmental Law Journal*. She earned a B.A., with honors, in English and Politics from New York University.

Carol is a member of National Association of Public Pension Attorneys (NAPPA), the Association of the Bar of the City of New York and a member of the Executive Council for the New York State Bar Association's Committee on Women in the Law. She also devotes time to pro bono work with the Securities Arbitration Clinic at Brooklyn Law School.

Carol is admitted to practice in the States of New York and New Jersey as well as before the United States Courts of Appeals for the First, Second, Ninth, Tenth, and Eleventh Circuits and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the District of New Jersey, the District of Colorado, and the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

She is fluent in Spanish.

---

**Irina Vasilchenko, Partner**  
[ivasilchenko@labaton.com](mailto:ivasilchenko@labaton.com)

Irina Vasilchenko focuses on prosecuting complex securities fraud cases on behalf of institutional investors.

Currently, Irina is actively involved in prosecuting *In re Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. Securities Litigation*, *In re Extreme Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation*, and *In re Eaton Corporation Securities Litigation*. Since joining Labaton Sucharow, she has been part of the Firm's teams in *In re Massey Energy Co. Securities Litigation*, where the Firm obtained a \$265 million all-cash settlement with Alpha Natural Resources, Massey's parent company; *In re Fannie Mae 2008 Securities Litigation* (\$170 million settlement); *In re Amgen Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$95 million settlement); and *In re Hewlett-Packard Company Securities Litigation* (\$57 million settlement).

Prior to joining Labaton Sucharow, Irina was an associate in the general litigation practice group at Ropes & Gray LLP, where she focused on securities litigation.

Irina maintains a commitment to pro bono legal service including, most recently, representing an indigent defendant in a criminal appeal case before the New York First Appellate Division, in association with the Office of the Appellate Defender. As part of this representation, she argued the appeal before the First Department panel.

Irina received a J.D., *magna cum laude*, from Boston University School of Law, where she was an editor of the *Boston University Law Review* and was the G. Joseph Tauro Distinguished Scholar (2005), the Paul L. Liacos Distinguished Scholar (2006), and the Edward F. Hennessey Scholar (2007). Irina earned a B.A. in Comparative Literature with Distinction, *summa cum laude* and Phi Beta Kappa, from Yale University.

She is fluent in Russian and proficient in Spanish.

Irina is admitted to practice in the State of New York and the State of Massachusetts as well as before the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

---

**Ned Weinberger, Partner**  
**nweinberger@labaton.com**

Ned Weinberger is Chair of the Firm's Corporate Governance and Shareholder Rights Litigation Practice. An experienced advocate of shareholder rights, Ned focuses on representing investors in corporate governance and transactional matters, including class action and derivative litigation. Ned was recognized by *Chambers & Partners USA* in the Delaware Court of Chancery and was named "Up and Coming," noting his impressive range of practice areas. He was also recently named a "Leading Lawyer" by *The Legal 500* and a Rising Star by *Benchmark Litigation*.

Ned is currently prosecuting, among other matters, *In re Straight Path Communications Inc. Consolidated Stockholder Litigation*, which alleges breaches of fiduciary duty by the controlling stockholder of Straight Path Communications, Howard Jonas, in connection with the company's proposed sale to Verizon Communications Inc. He also leads a class and derivative action on behalf of stockholders of Providence Service Corporation—*Haverhill Retirement System v. Kerley*—that challenges an acquisition financing arrangement involving Providence's board chairman and his hedge fund. The case recently settled for \$10 million, and is currently pending court approval.

Ned was part of a team that achieved a \$12 million recovery on behalf of stockholders of ArthroCare Corporation in a case alleging breaches of fiduciary duty by the ArthroCare board of directors and other defendants in connection with Smith & Nephew, Inc.'s acquisition of ArthroCare. Other recent successes on behalf of stockholders include *In re Vaalco Energy Inc. Consolidated Stockholder Litigation*, which resulted in the invalidation of charter and bylaw provisions that interfered with stockholders' fundamental right to remove directors without cause.

Prior to joining Labaton Sucharow, Ned was a litigation associate at Grant & Eisenhofer P.A. where he gained substantial experience in all aspects of investor protection, including representing shareholders in matters relating to securities fraud, mergers and acquisitions, and alternative entities. Representative of Ned's experience in the Delaware Court of Chancery is *In re Barnes & Noble Stockholders Derivative Litigation*, in which Ned assisted in obtaining approximately \$29 million in settlements on behalf of Barnes & Noble investors. Ned was also part of the litigation team in *In re Clear Channel Outdoor Holdings, Inc. Shareholder Litigation*, the settlement of which provided numerous benefits for Clear Channel Outdoor Holdings and its shareholders, including, among other things, a \$200 million cash dividend to the company's shareholders.

Ned received his J.D. from the Louis D. Brandeis School of Law at the University of Louisville where he served on the *Journal of Law and Education*. He earned his B.A. in English Literature, *cum laude*, at Miami University.

Ned is admitted to practice in the States of Delaware, Pennsylvania, and New York as well as before the United States District Court for the District of Delaware.

---

**Mark S. Willis, Partner**  
**mwillis@labaton.com**

With nearly three decades of experience, Mark S. Willis' practice focuses on domestic and international securities litigation. Mark advises leading pension funds, investment managers, and other institutional investors from around the world on their legal remedies when impacted by securities fraud and corporate governance breaches. Mark represents clients in U.S. litigation and maintains a significant practice advising clients of their legal rights abroad to pursue securities-related claims.

Mark represents institutions from the United Kingdom, Spain, the Netherlands, Denmark, Germany, Belgium, Canada, Japan, and the United States in a novel lawsuit in Texas against BP plc to salvage claims that were dismissed from the U.S. class action because the claimants' BP shares were purchased abroad (thus running afoul of the Supreme Court's *Morrison* rule that precludes a U.S. legal remedy for such shares). These

previously dismissed claims have now been sustained and are being pursued under English law in a Texas federal court.

Mark also represents Caisse de dépôt et placement du Québec, one of Canada's largest institutional investors, in an ongoing U.S. shareholder class action against Liquidity Services, the Utah Retirement Systems in a shareholder action against the DeVry Education Group, and he represented the Arkansas Public Employees Retirement System in a shareholder action against The Bancorp (which settled for \$17.5 million).

In the *Converium* class action, Mark represented a Greek institution in a nearly four-year battle that eventually became the first U.S. class action settled on two continents. This trans-Atlantic result saw part of the \$145 million recovery approved by a federal court in New York, and the rest by the Amsterdam Court of Appeal. The Dutch portion was resolved using the Netherlands then newly enacted Act on Collective Settlement of Mass Claims. In doing so, the Dutch Court issued a landmark decision that substantially broadened its jurisdictional reach, extending jurisdiction for the first time to a scenario in which the claims were not brought under Dutch law, the alleged wrongdoing took place outside the Netherlands, and none of the potentially liable parties were domiciled in the Netherlands.

In the corporate governance arena, Mark has represented both U.S. and overseas investors. In a shareholder derivative action against Abbott Laboratories' directors, he charged the defendants with mismanagement and fiduciary breaches for causing or allowing the company to engage in a 10-year off-label marketing scheme, which had resulted in a \$1.6 billion payment pursuant to a Justice Department investigation—at the time the second largest in history for a pharmaceutical company. In the derivative action, the company agreed to implement sweeping corporate governance reforms, including an extensive compensation clawback provision going beyond the requirements under the Dodd-Frank Act, as well as the restructuring of a board committee and enhancing the role of the Lead Director. In the *Parmalat* case, known as the "Enron of Europe" due to the size and scope of the fraud, Mark represented a group of European institutions and eventually recovered nearly \$100 million and negotiated governance reforms with two large European banks who, as part of the settlement, agreed to endorse their future adherence to key corporate governance principles designed to advance investor protection and to minimize the likelihood of future deceptive transactions. Securing governance reforms from a defendant that was not an issuer was a first at that time in a shareholder fraud class action.

Mark has also represented clients in opt-out actions. In one, brought on behalf of the Utah Retirement Systems, Mark negotiated a settlement that was nearly four times more than what its client would have received had it participated in the class action.

On non-U.S. actions Mark has advised clients, and represented their interests as liaison counsel, in more than 30 cases against companies such as Volkswagen, Olympus, the Royal Bank of Scotland, the Lloyds Banking Group, and Petrobras, and in jurisdictions ranging from the UK to Japan to Australia to Brazil to Germany.

Mark has written on corporate, securities, and investor protection issues—often with an international focus—in industry publications such as *International Law News*, *Professional Investor*, *European Lawyer*, and *Investment & Pensions Europe*. He has also authored several chapters in international law treatises on European corporate law and on the listing and subsequent disclosure obligations for issuers listing on European stock exchanges. He also speaks at conferences and at client forums on investor protection through the U.S. federal securities laws, corporate governance measures, and the impact on shareholders of non-U.S. investor remedies.

He is admitted to practice in the State of Massachusetts and the District of Columbia, as well as the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia.



---

**Nicole M. Zeiss, Partner**  
 nzeiss@labaton.com

A litigator with nearly two decades of experience, Nicole M. Zeiss leads the Settlement Group at Labaton Sucharow, analyzing the fairness and adequacy of the procedures used in class action settlements. Her practice includes negotiating and documenting complex class action settlements and obtaining the required court approval of the settlements, notice procedures, and payments of attorneys' fees.

Over the past year, Nicole was actively involved in finalizing settlements with Massey Energy Company (\$265 million), Fannie Mae (\$170 million), and Hewlett-Packard Company (\$57 million), among others.

Nicole was part of the Labaton Sucharow team that successfully litigated the \$185 million settlement in *In re Bristol-Myers Squibb Securities Litigation*, and she played a significant role in *In re Monster Worldwide, Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$47.5 million settlement). Nicole also litigated on behalf of investors who have been damaged by fraud in the telecommunications, hedge fund, and banking industries.

Prior to joining Labaton Sucharow, Nicole practiced in the area of poverty law at MFY Legal Services. She also worked at Gaynor & Bass practicing general complex civil litigation, particularly representing the rights of freelance writers seeking copyright enforcement.

Nicole maintains a commitment to pro bono legal services by continuing to assist mentally ill clients in a variety of matters—from eviction proceedings to trust administration.

She received a J.D. from the Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law, Yeshiva University, and earned a B.A. in Philosophy from Barnard College.

Nicole is a member of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York.

She is admitted to practice in the State of New York as well as before the United States Court of Appeals for the Second and Ninth Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the District of Colorado.

---

**Rachel A. Avan, Of Counsel**  
 ravan@labaton.com

Rachel A. Avan prosecutes complex securities fraud cases on behalf of institutional investors. She focuses on advising institutional investor clients regarding fraud-related losses on securities, and on the investigation and development of U.S. and non-U.S. securities fraud class, group, and individual actions. Rachel manages the Firm's Non-U.S. Securities Litigation Practice, which is dedicated to analyzing the merits, risks, and benefits of potential claims outside the United States. She has played a key role in ensuring that the Firm's clients receive substantial recoveries through non-U.S. securities litigation.

In evaluating new and potential matters, Rachel draws on her extensive experience as a securities litigator. She was an active member of the team prosecuting the securities fraud class action against Satyam Computer Services, Inc., in *In re Satyam Computer Services Ltd. Securities Litigation*, dubbed "India's Enron." That case achieved a \$150.5 million settlement for investors from the company and its auditors. She also had an instrumental part in the pleadings in a number of class actions including, *In re Barrick Gold Securities Litigation* (\$140 million settlement); *Freedman v. Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc.* (\$47 million recovery); and *Iron Workers District Council of New England Pension Fund v. NII Holdings, Inc.* (\$41.5 million recovery).

Rachel has spearheaded the filing of more than 75 motions for lead plaintiff appointment in U.S. securities class actions including, *In re Facebook, Inc. IPO Securities & Derivative Litigation*; *In re Computer Sciences Corporation Securities Litigation*; *In re Petrobras Securities Litigation*; *In re Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Securities Litigation*; *Weston v. RCS Capital Corporation*; and *Cummins v. Virtus Investment Partners Inc.*

In addition to her securities class action litigation experience, Rachel also played a role in prosecuting several of the Firm's derivative matters, including *In re Barnes & Noble Stockholder Derivative Litigation*; *In re Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc. Shareholders Litigation*; and *In re The Student Loan Corporation Litigation*.

Rachel brings to the Firm valuable insight into corporate matters, having served as an associate at Lippes Mathias Wexler Friedman LLP, where she counseled domestic and international public companies regarding compliance with federal and state securities laws. Her analysis of corporate securities filings is also informed by her previous work assisting with the preparation of responses to inquiries by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority.

Rachel earned her B.A., *cum laude*, in Philosophy and English and American Literature from Brandeis University in 2000, and her M.A. in English and American Literature from Boston University in 2002. She received her J.D. from Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law in 2006.

Before entering law school, Rachel enjoyed a career in editing for a Boston-based publishing company.

Rachel is proficient in Hebrew. Rachel is admitted to practice in the States of New York and Connecticut as well as before the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

---

**Mark Bogen, Of Counsel**  
mbogen@labaton.com

Mark Bogen advises leading pension funds and other institutional investors on issues related to corporate fraud in domestic and international securities markets. His work focuses on securities, antitrust, and consumer class action litigation, representing Taft-Hartley and public pension funds across the country.

Among his many efforts to protect his clients' interests and maximize shareholder value, Mark recently helped bring claims against and secure a settlement with Abbott Laboratories' directors, whereby the company agreed to implement sweeping corporate governance reforms, including an extensive compensation clawback provision going beyond the requirements under the Dodd-Frank Act.

Mark has written weekly legal columns for the *Sun-Sentinel*, one of the largest daily newspapers circulated in Florida. He has been legal counsel to the American Association of Professional Athletes, an association of over 4,000 retired professional athletes. He has also served as an Assistant State Attorney and as a Special Assistant to the State Attorney's Office in the State of Florida.

Mark obtained his J.D. from Loyola University School of Law. He received his B.A. in Political Science from the University of Illinois.

He is admitted to practice in the States of Illinois and Florida.

---

**Marisa N. DeMato, Of Counsel**  
mdemato@labaton.com

With more than 12 years of securities litigation experience, Marisa N. DeMato advises leading pension funds and other institutional investors in the United States and Canada on issues related to corporate fraud in the U.S. securities markets. Her work focuses on complex securities class actions, counseling clients on best practices in the corporate governance of publicly traded companies, and advising foundations and endowment funds on monitoring the well-being of their investments. Marisa also advises municipalities and health plans on issues related to U.S. antitrust law and potential violations.

Marisa recently represented the Oklahoma Firefighters Pension and Retirement System in securing a \$9.5 million settlement with Castlight Health, Inc. for securities violations in connection with the company's initial public offering. She also served as legal adviser to the West Palm Beach Police Pension Fund in *In re Walgreen*



Co. *Derivative Litigation*, which secured significant corporate governance reforms and required Walgreens to extend its Drug Enforcement Agency commitments as part of the settlement related to the company's violation of the U.S. Controlled Substances Act.

Prior to joining Labaton Sucharow, Marisa worked for a nationally recognized securities litigation firm and devoted a substantial portion of her time to litigating securities fraud, derivative, mergers and acquisitions, consumer fraud, and *qui tam* actions. Over the course of those eight years she represented numerous pension funds, municipalities, and individual investors throughout the United States and she was an integral member of the legal teams that helped secure multimillion dollar settlements, including *In re Managed Care Litigation* (\$135 million recovery); *Cornwell v. Credit Suisse Group* (\$70 million recovery); *Michael v. SFBC International, Inc.* (\$28.5 million recovery); *Ross v. Career Education Corporation* (\$27.5 million recovery); and *Village of Dolton v. Taser International Inc.* (\$20 million recovery).

Marisa has been invited to speak on shareholder litigation-related matters, frequently lecturing on topics pertaining to securities fraud litigation, fiduciary responsibility, and corporate governance issues. Most recently, she testified before the Texas House of Representatives Pensions Committee to address the changing legal landscape public pensions have faced since the Supreme Court's *Morrison* decision and highlighted the best practices for non-U.S. investment recovery. During the 2008 financial crisis, Marisa spoke widely on the subprime mortgage crisis and its disastrous effect on the pension fund community at regional and national conferences, and addressed the crisis' global implications and related fraud to institutional investors internationally in Italy, France, and the United Kingdom. Marisa has also presented on issues pertaining to the federal regulatory response to the 2008 crisis, including implications of the Dodd-Frank legislation and the national debate on executive compensation and proxy access for shareholders. Marisa is an active member of the National Association of Public Pension Attorneys (NAPPA) and also a member of the Federal Bar Council, an organization of lawyers dedicated to promoting excellence in federal practice and fellowship among federal practitioners.

In the spring of 2006, Marisa was selected over 250,000 applicants to appear on the sixth season of *The Apprentice*, which aired on January 7, 2007, on NBC. As a result of her role on *The Apprentice*, Marisa has appeared in numerous news media outlets, such as *The Wall Street Journal*, *People* magazine, and various national legal journals.

Marisa is admitted to practice in the State of Florida and the District of Columbia as well as before the United States District Courts for the Northern, Middle, and Southern Districts of Florida.

---

**Joseph H. Einstein, Of Counsel**  
[jeinstein@labaton.com](mailto:jeinstein@labaton.com)

A seasoned litigator, Joseph H. Einstein represents clients in complex corporate disputes, employment matters, and general commercial litigation. He has litigated major cases in the state and federal courts and has argued many appeals, including appearing before the United States Supreme Court.

His experience encompasses extensive work in the computer software field including licensing and consulting agreements. Joe also counsels and advises business entities in a broad variety of transactions.

Joe serves as an official mediator for the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. He is an arbitrator for the American Arbitration Association and FINRA. Joe is a former member of the New York State Bar Association Committee on Civil Practice Law and Rules and the Council on Judicial Administration of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York. He currently is a member of the Arbitration Committee of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York.

During Joe's time at New York University School of Law, he was a Pomeroy and Hirschman Foundation Scholar, and served as an Associate Editor of the *Law Review*.

Joe has been awarded an AV Preeminent rating, the highest distinction, from the publishers of the Martindale-Hubbell directory.

He is admitted to practice in the State of New York as well as before the Supreme Court of the United States, the United States Courts of Appeals for the First and Second Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

---

**Christine M. Fox, Of Counsel**  
**cfox@labaton.com**

With more than a decade of securities litigation experience, Christine M. Fox prosecutes complex securities fraud cases on behalf of institutional investors. Christine is actively involved in litigating matters against CommVault Systems, Intuitive Surgical, and Horizon Pharma, PLC.

Christine has played a pivotal role in securing favorable settle for investors in class actions against Barrick Gold Corporation, one of the largest gold mining companies in the world (\$140 million recovery); CVS Caremark, the nation's largest pharmacy retail chain (\$48 million recovery); Nu Skin Enterprises, a multilevel marketing company (\$47 million recovery); and Genworth Financial, Inc. (\$20 million recovery).

Prior to joining the Firm, Christine worked at a national litigation firm focusing on securities, antitrust, and consumer litigation in state and federal courts. She played a significant role in securing class action recoveries in a number of high-profile securities cases, including *In re Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. Research Reports Securities Litigation* (\$475 million recovery); *In re Informix Corp. Securities Litigation* (\$136.5 million recovery); *In re Alcatel Alsthom Securities Litigation* (\$75 million recovery); and *In re Ambac Financial Group, Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$33 million recovery).

Christine received her J.D. from the University of Michigan Law School and her B.A. from Cornell University. She is a member of the American Bar Association, the New York State Bar Association, and the Puerto Rican Bar Association.

Christine is conversant in Spanish.

Christine is admitted to the practice in the State of New York as well as before the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

---

**Mark Goldman, Of Counsel**  
**mgoldman@labaton.com**

Mark S. Goldman has 30 years of experience in commercial litigation, primarily litigating class actions involving securities fraud, consumer fraud, and violations of federal and state antitrust laws.

Mark is currently prosecuting securities fraud claims on behalf of institutional and individual investors against the manufacturer of communications systems used by hospitals that allegedly misrepresented the impact of the ACA and budget sequestration of the company's sales, and a multi-layer marketing company that allegedly misled investors about its business structure in China. Mark is also participating in litigation brought against international air cargo carriers charged with conspiring to fix fuel and security surcharges, and domestic manufacturers of various auto parts charged with price-fixing.

Mark successfully litigated a number of consumer fraud cases brought against insurance companies challenging the manner in which they calculated life insurance premiums. He also prosecuted a number of insider trading cases brought against company insiders who, in violation of Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act, engaged in short swing trading. In addition, Mark participated in the prosecution of *In re AOL Time Warner Securities Litigation*, a massive securities fraud case that settled for \$2.5 billion.

He is admitted to practice in the State of Pennsylvania, the Third, Ninth, and Eleventh Circuits of the U.S. Court of Appeals, the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, the District of Colorado, and the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

---

**Lara Goldstone, Of Counsel**  
**lgoldstone@labaton.com**

Lara Goldstone advises pension funds and other institutional investors on issues related to corporate fraud in the U.S. securities markets. Before joining Labaton Sucharow, Lara worked as a legal intern in the Larimer County District Attorney's Office and the Jefferson County District Attorney's Office.

Prior to her legal career, Lara worked at Industrial Labs where she worked closely with Federal Drug Administration standards and regulations. In addition, she was a teacher in Irvine, California.

Lara received a J.D. from University of Denver Sturm College of Law, where she was a judge of The Providence Foundation of Law & Leadership Mock Trial and a competitor of the Daniel S. Hoffman Trial Advocacy Competition. She earned a B.A. from The George Washington University where she was a recipient of a Presidential Scholarship for academic excellence. She earned a B.A. from The George Washington University where she was a recipient of a Presidential Scholarship for academic excellence.

Lara is admitted to practice in the State of Colorado.

---

**James McGovern, Of Counsel**  
**jmcgovern@labaton.com**

James McGovern advises leading pension funds and other institutional investors on issues related to corporate fraud in domestic and international securities markets. His work focuses primarily on securities litigation and corporate governance, representing Taft-Hartley, public pension funds, and other institutional investors across the country in domestic securities actions. He also advises clients as to their potential claims tied to securities-related actions in foreign jurisdictions.

James has worked on a number of large securities class action matters, including *In re Worldcom, Inc. Securities Litigation*, the second-largest securities class action settlement since the passage of the PSLRA (\$6.1 billion recovery); *In re Parmalat Securities Litigation* (\$90 million recovery); *In re American Home Mortgage Securities Litigation* (amount of the opt-out client's recovery is confidential); *In re The Bancorp Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$17.5 million recovery); *In re Pozen Securities Litigation* (\$11.2 million recovery); *In re Cabletron Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$10.5 million settlement); and *In re UICI Securities Litigation* (\$6.5 million recovery).

In the corporate governance arena, James helped bring claims against Abbott Laboratories' directors, on account of their mismanagement and breach of fiduciary duties for allowing the company to engage in a 10-year off-label marketing scheme. Upon settlement of this action, the company agreed to implement sweeping corporate governance reforms, including an extensive compensation clawback provision going beyond the requirements under the Dodd-Frank Act.

Following the unprecedented takeover of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac by the federal government in 2008, James was retained by a group of individual and institutional investors to seek recovery of the massive losses they had incurred when the value of their shares in these companies was essentially destroyed. He brought and continues to litigate a complex takings class action against the federal government for depriving Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac shareholders of their property interests in violation of the Fifth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, and causing damages in the tens of billions of dollars.

James also has addressed members of several public pension associations, including the Texas Association of Public Employee Retirement Systems and the Michigan Association of Public Employee Retirement Systems,

where he discussed how institutional investors could guard their assets against the risks of corporate fraud and poor corporate governance.

Prior to focusing his practice on plaintiffs' securities litigation, James was an attorney at Latham & Watkins where he worked on complex litigation and FIFRA arbitrations, as well as matters relating to corporate bankruptcy and project finance. At that time, he co-authored two articles on issues related to bankruptcy filings: *Special Issues In Partnership and Limited Liability Company Bankruptcies* and *When Things Go Bad: The Ramifications of a Bankruptcy Filing*.

James earned his J.D., *magna cum laude*, from Georgetown University Law Center. He received his B.A. and M.B.A. from American University, where he was awarded a Presidential Scholarship and graduated with high honors.

He is admitted to practice in the State of Vermont and the District of Columbia.

---

**Domenico Minerva, Of Counsel**  
**dminerva@labaton.com**

Domenico "Nico" Minerva advises leading pension funds and other institutional investors on issues related to corporate fraud in the U.S. securities markets. A former financial advisor, his work focuses on securities, antitrust, and consumer class action litigation and shareholder derivative litigation, representing Taft-Hartley and public pension funds across the country.

Nico's extensive experience litigating securities cases includes those against global securities systems company Tyco and co-defendant PricewaterhouseCoopers (*In re Tyco International Ltd., Securities Litigation*), which resulted in a \$3.2 billion settlement, achieving the largest single defendant settlement in post-PSLRA history. He also has counseled companies and institutional investors on corporate governance reform.

Nico has also done substantial work in antitrust class actions in pay-for-delay or "product hopping" cases in which pharmaceutical companies allegedly obstructed generic competitors in order to preserve monopoly profits on patented drugs, including *Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Warner Chilcott Public Limited Co.*, *In re Lidoderm Antitrust Litigation*, *In re Solodyn (MinocyclineHydrochloride) Antitrust Litigation*, *In re Niaspan Antitrust Litigation*, *In re Aggrenox Antitrust Litigation*, and *Sergeants Benevolent Association Health & Welfare Fund et al. v. Actavis PLC et al.* In an anticompetitive antitrust matter, *The Infirmary LLC vs. National Football League Inc et al.*, Nico played a part in challenging an exclusivity agreement between the NFL and DirectTV over the service's "NFL Sunday Ticket" package, and he litigated on behalf of indirect purchasers of potatoes in a case alleging that growers conspired to control and suppress the nation's potato supply *In re Fresh and Process Potatoes Antitrust Litigation*.

On behalf of consumers, Nico represented a plaintiff in *In Re ConAgra Foods Inc.* over its claims that Wesson-brand vegetable oils are 100 percent natural.

An accomplished speaker, Nico has given numerous presentations to investors on a variety of topics of interest regarding corporate fraud, wrongdoing, and waste. He is also an active member of the National Association of Public Pension Plan Attorneys (NAPPA).

Nico obtained his J.D. from Tulane University Law School, where he also completed a two-year externship with the Honorable Kurt D. Engelhardt of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana. He earned his B.S. in Business Administration from the University of Florida.

Nico is admitted to practice in the state courts of New York and Delaware, as well as the United States District Courts for the Eastern and Southern Districts of New York.

---

**Corban S. Rhodes, Of Counsel**  
[crhodes@labaton.com](mailto:crhodes@labaton.com)

Corban S. Rhodes focuses on prosecuting complex securities fraud cases on behalf of institutional investors, as well as consumer data privacy litigation.

Currently, Corban represents shareholders litigating fraud-based claims against TerraVia (formerly Solazyme) and Alexion Pharmaceuticals. He has successfully litigated dozens of cases against most of the largest Wall Street banks in connection with their underwriting and securitization of mortgage-backed securities leading up to the financial crisis.

Corban is also pursuing a number of matters involving consumer data privacy, including cases of intentional misuse or misappropriation of consumer data, and cases of negligence or other malfeasance leading to data breaches, including *In re Facebook Biometric Information Privacy Litigation* and *Schwartz v. Yahoo Inc.*

Before joining Labaton Sucharow, Corban was an associate at Sidley Austin LLP where he practiced complex commercial litigation and securities regulation. He has served as the lead associate on behalf of large financial institutions in several investigations by regulatory and enforcement agencies related to the recent financial crisis. He also received a Thurgood Marshall Award in 2008 for his pro bono representation on a habeas petition of a capital punishment sentence.

Corban co-authored "Parmalat Judge: Fraud by Former Executives of Bankrupt Company Bars Trustee's Claims Against Auditors," published by the American Bar Association.

Corban received a J.D., *cum laude*, from Fordham University School of Law, where he received the 2007 Lawrence J. McKay Advocacy Award for excellence in oral advocacy and was a board member of the Fordham Moot Court team. He earned his B.A., *magna cum laude*, in History from Boston College.

He is admitted to practice in the State of New York as well as before the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

---

**David J. Schwartz, Of Counsel**  
[dschwartz@labaton.com](mailto:dschwartz@labaton.com)

David J. Schwartz's practice focuses on event driven, special situation, and illiquid asset litigation, using legal strategies to enhance clients' investment return.

His extensive experience includes prosecuting as well as defending against securities and corporate governance actions for an array of institutional clients including pension funds, hedge funds, mutual funds, and asset management companies. He played a pivotal role against real estate service provider Altisource Portfolio Solutions, where he helped achieve a \$32 million cash settlement.

David has done substantial work in mergers and acquisitions appraisal litigation, representing institutional clients in connection with the \$8.9 billion merger of Towers Watson & Co. with Willis Group Holdings plc.; the \$15 billion acquisition of Jarden Corporation by Newell Rubbermaid Inc.; the \$13 billion acquisition of Columbia Pipeline Group, Inc. by TransCanada Corporation; and the \$2.2 billion acquisition of Diamond Resorts by Apollo Global.

David obtained his J.D. from Fordham University School of Law, where he served as an editor of the *Urban Law Journal*. He received his B.A. in economics from the University of Chicago.

He is admitted to practice in the State of New York and the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	X	
In re GENWORTH FINANCIAL, INC.	:	Master File No. 1:14-cv-02392-AKH
SECURITIES LITIGATION	:	
	:	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>
	:	
This Document Relates To:	:	DECLARATION OF JAMES M. HUGHES
	:	FILED ON BEHALF OF MOTLEY
ALL ACTIONS.	:	RICE LLC IN SUPPORT OF CLASS
	:	COUNSEL'S APPLICATION FOR AWARD
	:	OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES
	X	



I, JAMES M. HUGHES, declare as follows:

1. I am a member of the firm of Motley Rice LLC ("Motley Rice"). I am submitting this declaration in support of Class Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses/charges in connection with services rendered in the above-entitled action.

2. This firm is counsel of record for plaintiff KBC Asset Management NV and we performed work at the direction of Class Counsel.

3. The information in this declaration regarding the firm's time and expenses is taken from time and expense printouts and supporting documentation prepared and/or maintained by the firm in the ordinary course of business. I am the member who oversaw and/or conducted the day-to-day activities in the litigation and I reviewed these printouts (and backup documentation where necessary or appropriate) in connection with the preparation of this declaration. The purpose of this review was to confirm both the accuracy of the entries on the printouts as well as the necessity for, and reasonableness of, the time and expenses committed to the litigation. As a result of this review, reductions were made to both time and expenses in the exercise of billing judgment. As a result of this review and the adjustments made, I believe that the time reflected in the firm's lodestar calculation and the expenses for which payment is sought as set forth in this declaration are reasonable in amount and were necessary for the effective and efficient prosecution and resolution of the litigation. In addition, I believe that the expenses are all of a type that would normally be charged to a fee-paying client in the private legal marketplace.

4. After the reductions referred to above, the number of hours spent on this litigation by my firm is 119.50. A breakdown of the lodestar is provided in Exhibit A. The lodestar

amount for attorney/paralegal time based on the firm's current rates is \$56,868.75. The hourly rates shown in Exhibit A are the usual and customary rates set by the firm for each individual.

5. My firm seeks an award of \$395.29 in expenses and charges in connection with the prosecution of the litigation. Those expenses and charges are summarized by category in Exhibit B.

6. The following is additional information regarding certain of these expenses:

(a) Online Legal and Financial Research: \$395.29. These included vendors such as PACER, Thomson Reuters-West, and LexisNexis. These services were used to obtain access to factual databases and legal research. This expense represents the expense incurred by Motley Rice for use of these services in connection with this litigation. The charges for these vendors vary depending upon the type of services requested.

7. The expenses pertaining to this case are reflected in the books and records of this firm. These books and records are prepared from receipts, expense vouchers, check records and other documents and are an accurate record of the expenses.

8. The identification and background of my firm and its partners is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 4th day of October 2017, at Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina.



---

JAMES M. HUGHES



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Douglas R. Britton, hereby certify that on October 11, 2017, I authorized a true and correct copy of the DECLARATION OF JAMES M. HUGHES FILED ON BEHALF OF MOTLEY RICE LLC IN SUPPORT OF CLASS COUNSEL'S APPLICATION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES, to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such public filing to all counsel registered to receive such notice.

s/ Douglas R. Britton  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DOUGLAS R. BRITTON

## **Exhibit A**

**EXHIBIT A**

***In re Genworth Financial, Inc. Securities Litigation***  
**Motley Rice LLC**  
**Inception through September 15, 2017**

<b><i>NAME</i></b>		<b><i>HOURS</i></b>	<b><i>RATE</i></b>	<b><i>LODESTAR</i></b>
Hughes, James M.	(M)	14.50	\$900.00	\$13,050.00
Abel, David	(A)	2.75	\$525.00	\$1,443.75
Kouba, Annie	(A)	11.75	375.00	\$4,406.25
Miller, Meredith	(A)	20.75	\$525.00	\$10,893.75
Moriarty, Christopher	(A)	19.25	\$550.00	\$10,587.50
McLaughlin, Lora	(PL)	7.00	\$350.00	\$2,450.00
Weil, Katherine	(PL)	41.50	\$325.00	\$13,487.50
Wilson, Arden	(PL)	2.00	\$275.00	\$550.00
<b><i>TOTAL</i></b>		<b><i>119.50</i></b>		<b><i>\$56,868.75</i></b>

(M) Member

(A) Associate

(PL) Paralegal

## **Exhibit B**

**EXHIBIT B**

***In re Genworth Financial, Inc. Securities Litigation***  
**Motley Rice LLC**  
**Inception through September 15, 2017**

<b><i>CATEGORY</i></b>	<b><i>TOTAL</i></b>
Online Legal and Financial Research	\$395.29
<b><i>TOTAL</i></b>	<b><i>\$395.29</i></b>

## **Exhibit C**

**EXHIBIT C**

***In re Genworth Financial, Inc. Securities Litigation***  
**Motley Rice LLC**

FIRM RESUME

## SHAREHOLDER AND SECURITIES FRAUD RESUME





## INTRODUCTION

---

Founded as a trial lawyers' firm with a complex litigation focus by Ron Motley, Joe Rice and nearly 50 other lawyers, Motley Rice LLC has become one of the nation's largest plaintiffs' law firms.

Motley Rice LLC ("Motley Rice") is led by lawyers who received their training and trial experience in complex litigation involving in-depth investigations, discovery battles and multi-week trials.

From asbestos and tobacco to counter-terrorism and human rights cases, Motley Rice attorneys have shaped developments in U.S. jurisprudence over several decades. Shareholder litigation has earned an increasing portion of our firm's focus in recent years as threats to global retirement security have increased. Motley Rice seeks to create a better, more secure future for pensioners, unions, government entities and institutional investors through improved corporate governance and accountability.

### APPROACH TO SECURITIES LITIGATION

As concerns about our global financial system have intensified, so has our focus on securities litigation as a practice area. As one presenter at the 2009 International Foundation of Employee Benefit Plans annual conference noted, "2008 likely will go down in history as one of the worst years for retirement security in the United States."

Our securities litigation philosophy is straightforward – obtain the best possible results for our clients and any class of investors we represent. Unlike some other firms, we are extremely selective about the cases that we recommend our clients pursue, recognizing that many securities fraud class action cases filed each year are unworthy of an institutional investor's involvement for a variety of reasons.

Our attorneys have substantial experience analyzing securities cases and advising institutional investor clients, whether to seek lead-plaintiff appointment (alone or with a similarly-minded group), remain an absent class member, or consider an opt-out case based on the particular factual and legal circumstances of the case.

When analyzing new filings, our attorneys draw upon their securities, business, and litigation experience, which is supplemented by our in-house team of paralegals and business analysts. In addition, the firm has developed close working relationships with widely-respected forensic accountants and expert witnesses, whose involvement at the earliest stages of complex cases can be critical to determining the best course of action. If Motley Rice believes that a case deserves an institutional investor's involvement, we provide our clients with a detailed written analysis of potential claims and loss-recoupment strategies.

Motley Rice attorneys have secured important corporate governance reforms and returned money to shareholders in shareholder derivative cases, served as lead or co-lead counsel in several significant, multi-million dollar securities fraud class actions, and taken leadership roles in cases involving fiduciaries who failed to maximize shareholder value and fulfill disclosure obligations in a variety of merger and acquisition cases.



## OUR BACKGROUND IN COMPLEX LITIGATION

---

Motley Rice attorneys have been at the forefront of some of the most significant and monumental civil actions over the last 30 years. Our experience in complex trial litigation includes class actions and individual cases involving securities and consumer fraud, occupational disease and toxic tort, medical drugs and devices, environmental damage, terrorist attacks and human rights abuses.

### ***Tobacco Master Settlement Agreement***

In the 1990s, Motley Rice attorneys and more than half of the states' attorneys general took on the tobacco industry. Armed with evidence acquired from whistleblowers, individual smokers' cases and tobacco liability class actions, the attorneys led the campaign in the courtroom and at the negotiation table to recoup state healthcare funds and exact marketing restrictions from cigarette manufacturers. The effort resulted in significant restrictions on cigarette marketing to children and culminated in the \$246 billion Master Settlement Agreement, the largest civil settlement in U.S. history.

### ***Asbestos Litigation***

From the beginning, our lawyers were integral to the story of how "a few trial lawyers and their asbestos-afflicted clients came out . . . to challenge giant asbestos corporations and uncover the greatest and longest business cover-up of an epidemic disease, caused by a product, in American history."<sup>1</sup> In addition to representing thousands of workers and family members impacted by asbestos, Motley Rice has represented numerous public entities, and litigated claims alleging various insurers of asbestos defendants engaged in unfair settlement practices in connection with the resolution of underlying asbestos personal injury claims. This litigation resulted in, among other things, an eleven-state settlement with Travelers Insurance Company.

### ***Anti-Terrorism and Human Rights***

In *In re Terrorist Attacks on September 11, 2001*, Motley Rice attorneys brought a landmark lawsuit against the alleged private and state sponsors of al Qaeda and Osama bin Laden in an action filed on behalf of more than 6,500 family members, survivors, and those killed on 9/11—including the representation of more than 900 firefighters and their families. In prosecuting this action, Motley Rice has undertaken a global investigation into terrorism financing.

Our attorneys also initiated the *In re September 11 Litigation* and negotiated settlements for 56 families that opted out of the Victim Compensation Fund that far exceeded existing precedents at the time for wrongful death cases against the airline industry.

### ***BP PLC Oil Spill Litigation***

In April 2010, the Deepwater Horizon disaster spilled approximately 4.9 million gallons of oil into the water, killed 11 oil rig workers, devastated the Gulf's natural resources and profoundly harmed the economic and emotional well-being of hundreds of thousands of people. The Deepwater Horizon Economic and Property Damages Settlement is the largest civil class action settlement in U.S. history. Motley Rice co-founder Joseph Rice is a Plaintiffs' Steering Committee member and served as one of the primary negotiators of that Settlement and the Medical Benefits Settlement. In addition, Rice led negotiations in the \$1.028 billion settlement between the PSC and Halliburton Energy Services for its alleged role in the oil spill. Motley Rice attorneys continue to hold leadership roles in the litigation and are currently working to ensure that all qualifying oil spill victims are fairly compensated.

### ***Volkswagen 'Clean Diesel' Litigation***

In 2015, Volkswagen Group's admission that it had programmed more than 11 million vehicles to cheat emissions tests and bypass standards sparked worldwide outrage. Motley Rice co-founder Joe Rice served as one of the lead negotiators in the nearly \$15 billion settlement deal reached in 2016 for U.S. owners and lessees of 2.0-liter TDI vehicles, the largest auto-related consumer class action settlement in U.S. history. Rice and other Motley Rice attorneys also helped recover up to \$4.4 billion with regards to affected 3.0-liter vehicles.

### ***Transvaginal Mesh Litigation***

Motley Rice attorneys represent thousands of women and have played a leading role in litigation alleging debilitating and life-altering complications caused by defective transvaginal mesh devices. In 2014, Joe Rice, with co-counsel, negotiated the original settlement deal reached in *In re American Medical Systems, Inc., Pelvic Repair Systems Products Liability Litigation* that numerous subsequent settlements with the manufacturer were modeled after.

### ***Opioid Litigation***

At the forefront of litigation targeting the alleged overprescribing and deceptive marketing of addictive opioid painkillers, Motley Rice, led by attorney Linda Singer, the former Attorney General for the District of Columbia, serves as lead counsel for the first jurisdictions to file complaints against pharmaceutical companies, the City of Chicago and Santa Clara County. Motley Rice has since filed cases for several states, cities, and counties aimed at combatting the deadly opioid crisis.

## Securities Fraud Class Actions

***In re Barrick Gold Securities Litigation*, No. 1:13-cv-03851-RMB (S.D.N.Y.).** As sole lead counsel, Motley Rice represented Co-Lead Plaintiffs Union Asset Management Holding AG and LRI Invest S.A. in a class action on behalf of investors who purchased shares of Barrick Gold Corporation, the world's largest gold mining company. The suit alleged that Barrick Gold had fraudulently underreported the cost and the time to develop its Pascua-Lama gold mine on the border between Argentina and Chile, and misrepresented its compliance with applicable environmental regulations and the sufficiency of its internal controls. Barrick Gold eventually abandoned its development of the Pascua-Lama mine after an injunction was issued by a Chilean court following the company's failure to comply with environmental regulations, and causing Barrick Gold to take an impairment charge of over \$5 billion. A \$140 million settlement was reached, and received final approval in December 2016.

***Bennett v. Sprint Nextel Corporation*, No. 2:09-cv-02122-EFM-KMH (D. Kan.).** As co-lead counsel, Motley Rice represented the PACE Industry Union-Management Pension Fund (PIUMPF) and two other institutional investors who purchased Sprint Nextel common stock between October 26, 2006 and February 27, 2008. The class action complaint alleged that the defendants made materially false and misleading statements regarding Sprint's business and financial results. As a result, the complaint alleged that Sprint stock traded at artificially inflated prices during the class period and that, when the market learned the truth, the value of Sprint's shares plummeted. In August 2015, the court granted final approval to a \$131 million settlement.

***Alaska Electrical Pension Fund v. Pharmacia Corp.*, No. 03-1519 (D.N.J.).** Motley Rice served as co-class counsel in federal securities fraud litigation alleging that the defendants misrepresented clinical trial results of Celebrex® to make its safety profile appear better than rival drugs. In January 2013, the lawsuit settled in mediation for \$164 million.

***Minneapolis Firefighters' Relief Association v. Medtronic, Inc.*, No. 08-6324 (PAM/AJB) (D. Minn.).** Motley Rice is co-lead counsel for a class of investors who purchased Medtronic common stock in this case that survived the defendants' motion to dismiss. The suit alleges that Medtronic engaged in a pervasive campaign of illegal off-label marketing in which the company advised doctors to use Medtronic's Infuse Bone Graft in ways not FDA-approved, leading to severe complications in patients. Medtronic's stock price dropped significantly after investors learned that the FDA and Department of Justice were investigating Medtronic's off-label marketing. The \$85 million settlement was approved on Nov. 8, 2012.

***City of Sterling Heights General Employees' Retirement System v. Hospira, Inc.*, No. 11 C 8332 (N.D. Ill.).** Motley Rice serves as co-lead counsel representing investors in this lawsuit against Hospira, the world's largest manufacturer of generic injectable pharmaceuticals, including generic acute-care and oncology

injectables and integrated infusion therapy and medication management systems. The lawsuit alleges that Hospira and certain executive officers engaged in a fraudulent scheme to artificially inflate the company's stock price by concealing significant deteriorating conditions, manufacturing and quality control deficiencies at its largest manufacturing facility located in Rocky Mount, N.C., and the costly effects of these deficiencies on production capacity. These deteriorating conditions culminated in a series of regulatory actions by the FDA which the defendants allegedly misrepresented to their investors. The case settled for \$60 million in 2014.

***In re Hewlett-Packard Co. Securities Litigation*, No. SACV 11-1404 AG (RNBx) (C.D. Cal.).** Motley Rice served as co-lead counsel representing investors who purchased Hewlett-Packard common stock between November 22, 2010 and August 18, 2011. The lawsuit alleged that Hewlett-Packard misled investors about its ability to release over a hundred million webOS-enabled devices by the end of 2011. After Hewlett-Packard abandoned webOS development in August 2011, the company's stock price declined significantly. The court granted final approval to a \$57 million settlement on September 15, 2014.

***In re Dell, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. A-06-CA-726-SS (W.D. Tex.).** Motley Rice was appointed lead counsel for the lead plaintiff, Union Asset Management Holding AG, which sued on behalf of a class of purchasers of Dell common stock. The suit alleged that Dell and certain senior executives lied to investors and manipulated financial announcements to meet performance objectives that were tied to executive compensation. The defendants' alleged fraud ultimately caused the price of Dell's stock to decline by over 40 percent. After the case was dismissed by the district court, Motley Rice attorneys launched an appeal to the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals. After fully briefing the case and oral arguments, the parties settled the case for \$40 million.

***City of Brockton Retirement System v. Avon Products, Inc.*, No. 11 Civ. 4665 (PGG) (S.D.N.Y.).** Motley Rice serves as sole lead counsel representing lead plaintiffs in a class action on behalf of all persons who acquired Avon common stock between July 31, 2006 and Oct. 26, 2011. The action alleges that the defendants falsely assured investors they had effective internal controls and accounting systems, as required under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA). In October 2008, Avon disclosed that it had begun an investigation into possible FCPA violations in China in June 2008. The action alleges that, unbeknownst to investors, Avon had an illegal practice of paying bribes in violation of the FCPA extending as far back as 2004 and which continued even after its October 2008 disclosure. Despite its certifications of the effectiveness of its internal controls, Avon's internal controls were allegedly severely deficient, allowing the company to engage in millions of dollars of improper payments in more than a dozen countries. On August 24, 2016, the court approved a final settlement of \$62 million.

## CASES

***South Ferry LP #2 v. Killinger***, No. C04-1599C-(W.D. Wash.) (regarding Washington Mutual). Motley Rice served as co-lead counsel on behalf of a class of investors who purchased WaMu common stock between April 15, 2003, and June 28, 2004. The suit alleged that WaMu misrepresented its ability to hedge risk and withstand changes in interest rates, as well as its integration of differing technologies resulting from various acquisitions. The Court granted class certification in January 2011 and approved the \$41.5 million settlement on June 5, 2012.

***In re MBNA Corporation Securities Litigation***, No. 05-CV-00272-GMS (D. Del.). Motley Rice served as co-lead counsel on behalf of investors who purchased MBNA common stock. The suit alleged that MBNA manipulated its financial statements in violation of GAAP, and MBNA executives sold over one million shares of stock based on inside information for net proceeds of more than \$50 million, knowing these shares would drop in value once MBNA's true condition was revealed to the market. The case was settled with many motions pending. The \$25 million settlement was approved on October 6, 2009.

***In re NPS Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Securities Litigation***, No. 2:06-cv-00570-PGC-PMW (D. Utah). Motley Rice represented the lead plaintiff as sole lead counsel in a class action brought on behalf of stockholders of NPS Pharmaceuticals, Inc., concerning the drug PREOS. NPS claimed that PREOS would be a "billion dollar drug" that could effectively treat "millions of women around the world who have osteoporosis." The complaint alleged fraudulent misrepresentations regarding PREOS's efficacy, market potential, prospects for FDA approval and dangers of hypercalcemic toxicity. The case settled after the lead plaintiff moved for class certification and the parties engaged in document production and protracted settlement negotiations. The \$15 million settlement was approved on June 18, 2009.

***In re Citigroup Inc. Securities Litigation***, No. 07 Civ. 9901 (SHS) (DCF) (S.D.N.Y.). Motley Rice served as co-counsel in this securities fraud action alleging that Citigroup responded to the widely-known financial crisis by concealing both the extent of its ownership of toxic assets—most prominently, collateralized debt obligations (CDO) backed by nonprime mortgages—and the risks associated with them. By alleged misrepresentations and omissions of what amounted to more than two years of income and an entire significant line of business, Citigroup allegedly artificially manipulated and inflated its stock prices throughout the class period. Citigroup's alleged actions caused its stock price to trade in a range of \$42.56 to \$56.41 per share for most of the class period. These disclosures helped place Citigroup in serious danger of insolvency, a danger that was averted only through a \$300 billion dollar emergency government bailout. On August 1, 2013, the Court approved the settlement resolving all claims in the Citigroup action in exchange for payment of \$590 million for the benefit of the class.

***Cornwell v. Credit Suisse Group***, No. 08 Civ. 3758 (VM) (S.D.N.Y.). Motley Rice served as co-counsel in an action against Credit Suisse Group alleging the defendants issued materially false and misleading statements regarding the company's business and financial results and failed to write down impaired securities containing mortgage-related debt. Subsequently, Credit Suisse's stock price relative to other market events declined 2.83 percent when impaired securities came to light. A \$70 million settlement was approved in July 2011.

***In re Forest Laboratories, Inc. Securities Litigation***, No. 05 Civ. 2827 (RMB) (S.D.N.Y.). Motley Rice represented PIUMPF in a securities fraud class action alleging that the company and its officers misrepresented the safety, efficacy, and side effects of several drugs. Motley Rice, in cooperation with other class counsel, helped the parties reach a \$65 million settlement that was approved on May 15, 2009.

***Hill v. State Street Corporation***, No. 09-cv-12146-NG (D. Mass.). Motley Rice represents institutional investors as co-lead counsel against State Street. The action alleges that State Street defrauded institutional investors – including the state of California's two largest pension funds, California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) and California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS) — by misrepresenting its exposure to toxic assets and overcharging them for foreign exchange trades. A \$60 million settlement was approved January 8, 2015.

***In re Synovus Financial Corp.***, No. 1:09-cv-01811 (N.D. Ga.). Motley Rice and our client, Sheet Metal Workers' National Pension Fund, serve as court-appointed co-lead counsel and co-lead plaintiff for investors in Synovus Financial Corp. The lawsuit alleges that the bank artificially inflated its stock price by concealing its troubled lending relationship with the Sea Island Company, a resort real estate and hospitality company to whom Synovus allegedly made hundreds of millions of dollars of "insider loans" with "little more than a handshake" facilitated by personal relationships among certain senior executives and board members. In 2014, the court approved a final settlement of \$11.75 million.

***In re Molson Coors Brewing Co. Securities Litigation***, No. 1:05-cv-00294 (D. Del.). Motley Rice served as co-lead counsel for co-lead plaintiffs Drywall Acoustic Lathing and Insulation Local 675 Pension Fund and Metzler Investment GmbH in litigation against Molson Coors Brewing Co. and several of its officers and directors. The lawsuit alleged that, following the February 9, 2005, merger of Molson, Inc. and the Adolph Coors Company, the defendants fraudulently misrepresented the financial and operational performance of the combined company prior to reporting a net loss for the first quarter of 2005. Following protracted negotiations, the parties reached a \$6 million settlement in May 2009.



**Marsden v. Select Medical Corporation**, No. 04-cv-4020 (E.D. Pa.). Motley Rice served as co-lead counsel on behalf of stockholders of Select Medical, a healthcare provider specializing in long-term care hospital facilities. The suit alleged that Select Medical exploited its business structure to improperly maximize Medicare reimbursements, misled investors and that the company's executives engaged in massive insider trading for proceeds of over \$100 million. A \$5 million settlement was reached and approved on April 15, 2009.

**Welton v. Chicago Bridge & Iron Co., N.V.**, No. 06-CV-01283 (JES) (S.D.N.Y.). Motley Rice represented the co-lead plaintiff in this case that alleged that the defendants issued numerous materially false and misleading statements which caused CB&I's securities to trade at artificially inflated prices. The litigation resulted in a \$10.5 million settlement that was approved on June 3, 2008.

**Ross v. Career Education Corp.** No. 1:12-cv-00276 (N.D. Ill.). On April 16, 2014, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois issued an order granting final judgment and dismissing with prejudice *Ross v. Career Education Corp.* Motley Rice served as co-lead counsel in the lawsuit, which alleged that Career Education and certain of its executive officers violated the federal securities laws by misleading the company's investors about its placement practices and reporting. The court approved a final settlement of \$27.5 million.

**Freedman v. St. Jude Medical, Inc.**, No. 12-3070 (RHK/JJG) (D. Minn.). Motley Rice served as co-lead counsel representing co-lead plaintiff Första AP-fonden, a Swedish pension fund, in this securities fraud class action against St. Jude Medical, Inc., a manufacturer of medical devices for cardiac rhythm management and the treatment of atrial fibrillation. This action alleged that defendants made false and misleading statements and concealed material information relating to the safety, durability, and manufacturing processes of the company's new generation of cardiac rhythm management devices marketed under the name "Durata." A \$39.5 million settlement was approved in November 2016.

### Shareholder Derivative Litigation

**Walgreens / Controlled Substances Violations: In re Walgreen Co. Derivative Litigation.** On October 4, 2013, Motley Rice filed a consolidated complaint for a group of institutional investors against the board of directors of Walgreen Co. The complaint alleges that Walgreen's board engaged in a scheme to maximize revenues by encouraging the company's pharmacists to fill improper or suspicious prescriptions for Schedule-II drugs, particularly oxycodone, in Florida. The complaint followed the June 2013 announcement of an \$80 million settlement between Walgreens and the Drug Enforcement Administration relating to the misconduct. A settlement was approved in December 2014, in which Walgreens agreed to, among other things, extended compliance-related commitments, including maintaining a Department of Pharmaceutical Integrity.

**Manville Personal Injury Settlement Trust v. Gemunder**, No. 10-CI-01212 (Ky. Cir. Ct.) (regarding Omnicare, Inc.). On April 14, 2010, Motley Rice, sole lead counsel in this action, filed a shareholder derivative complaint on behalf of plaintiff Manville Personal Injury Settlement Trust. Plaintiff's claims stem from a November 3, 2009, announcement by the U.S. Department of Justice that Omnicare, Inc. had agreed to pay \$98 million to settle state and federal investigations into three kickback schemes through which the company paid or solicited payments in violation of state and federal anti-kickback laws. The court denied the defendants' motions to dismiss in their entirety on April 27, 2011. The defendants sought an interlocutory appeal, which was denied on October 6, 2011. Following significant discovery, which included plaintiff's counsel's review and analysis of approximately 1.4 million pages of documents, the parties reached agreement on a settlement, which received final approval from the court on October 28, 2013. Under the settlement, a \$16.7 million fund (less court awarded fees and costs) will be created to be used over a four year period by Omnicare to fund certain corporate governance measures and provide funding for the company's compliance committee in connection with the performance of its duties. Additionally, the settlement calls for Omnicare to adopt and/or maintain corporate governance measures relating to, among other things, employee training and ensuring the appropriate flow of information to the compliance committee.

**Service Employees International Union v. Hills**, No. A0711383 (Ohio Ct. Com. Pl.) (regarding Chiquita Brands International, Inc.). In this shareholder derivative litigation, SEIU retained Motley Rice to bring an action on behalf of Chiquita Brands International. The plaintiff alleged that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties by paying bribes to terrorist organizations in violation of U.S. and Columbian law. In October 2010, the plaintiffs resolved their state court action as part of a separate federal derivative claim.

**Mercier v. Whittle**, No. 2008-CP-23-8395 (S.C. Ct. Com. Pl.) (regarding the South Financial Group). This shareholder derivative action was brought on behalf of South Financial Group, Inc., following the company's decision to apply for federal bailout money from the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) while allegedly accelerating the retirement of its former chairman and CEO to protect his multi-million dollar golden parachute, which would be prohibited under TARP. The litigation was settled prior to trial and achieved, among other benefits, payment back to the company from chairman Whittle, increased board independence and enhanced shareholder rights.

**Manville Personal Injury Settlement Trust v. Farmer**, No. A 0806822 (Ohio Ct. Com. Pl.) (regarding Cintas Corporation). In this shareholder derivative action brought on behalf of Cintas Corporation, the plaintiff alleged that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties by, among other things, failing to cause the company to comply with applicable worker safety

## CASES

laws and regulations. In November 2009, the court approved a settlement agreement that provided for the implementation of corporate governance measures designed to increase the flow of employee safety information to the company's board; ensure the company's compliance with a prior agreement between itself and OSHA relating to workplace safety violations; and secure the attendance of the company's chief health and safety officer at shareholder meetings.

### **Corporate Takeover Litigation**

*In re The Shaw Group, Inc., Shareholders Litigation*, No. 614399 (19th Jud. Dist. La.). Motley Rice attorneys served as co-lead counsel in the class action brought by our client, a European asset management company, on behalf of the public shareholders of The Shaw Group, Inc. The lawsuit challenged Shaw's proposed sale to Chicago Bridge & Iron Company N.V. in a transaction valued at approximately \$3.04 billion. The plaintiffs alleged that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties to Shaw's shareholders by agreeing to a transaction that was financially unfair and the result of an improper sales process, which the defendants pursued at a time when Shaw's stock was poised for significant growth. The plaintiffs also alleged that the transaction offered substantial benefits to Shaw insiders not shared with the company's public shareholders. In December 2012, the parties reached a settlement with two components. Shaw agreed to make certain additional disclosures to shareholders of financial analyses indicating a potential share price impact of certain alternative transactions of as much as \$19.00 per share versus the status quo. To provide a remedy for Shaw shareholders who believed the company was worth more than CB&I was paying for it, the settlement contained a second component – universal appraisal rights for all Shaw shareholders who properly dissented from the proposed merger, and the opportunity for Shaw dissenters to pursue that remedy on a class-wide basis. The court granted final approval of the settlement on June 28, 2013.

*In re Coventry Health Care, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 7905-CS (Del. Ch.). Motley Rice represented three public pension funds as court-appointed sole lead counsel in a shareholder class action challenging the \$7.2 billion acquisition of Coventry Health Care, Inc., by Aetna, Inc. The plaintiffs alleged that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties to Coventry's shareholders through a flawed sales process involving a severely conflicted financial advisor and at a time when the company was poised for remarkable growth as a result of recent government healthcare reforms. The case settled for improvements to the deal's terms and enhanced disclosures.

*In re Allion Healthcare, Inc. Shareholders Litigation*, No. 5022-cc (Del. Ch.). Motley Rice attorneys served as co-lead counsel representing a group of institutional shareholders in their challenge to the going-private buy-out of Allion Healthcare, Inc., by private equity firm H.I.G. Capital, LLC, and a group of insider

stockholders led by the company's CEO, who controlled about 41 percent the company's shares. The shareholders alleged that the CEO used his stock holdings and influence over board members to accomplish the buyout at the expense of Allion's public shareholders. After a lengthy mediation, the shareholders succeeded in negotiating a settlement resulting in a \$4 million increase in the merger consideration available to shareholders. In January 2011, the Delaware Court of Chancery approved the settlement.

*In re RehabCare Group, Inc. Shareholders Litigation*, No. 6197-VCL (Del. Ch.). Motley Rice represented institutional shareholders in their challenge to the acquisition of healthcare provider RehabCare Group, Inc., by Kindred Healthcare, Inc. As co-lead counsel, Motley Rice uncovered important additional facts about the relationship between RehabCare, Kindred, and the exclusive financial advisor for the transaction, as well as how those relationships affected the process RehabCare's board of directors undertook to sell the company. After extensive discovery, the parties reached a settlement in which RehabCare agreed to make a \$2.5 million payment for the benefit of RehabCare shareholders. In addition, RehabCare and Kindred agreed to waive certain standstill agreements with potential higher bidders for the company; lower the merger agreement's termination fee from \$26 million to \$13 million to encourage any potential higher bidders; eliminate the requirement that Kindred have a three-business day period during which it has the right to match any superior proposal; and make certain additional public disclosures about the proposed merger. The Delaware Court of Chancery granted final approval of the settlement on Sept. 8, 2011.

*In re Atheros Communications Inc. Shareholder Litigation*, No. 6124-VCN (Del. Ch.). In this action involving Qualcomm Incorporated's proposed acquisition of Atheros Communications, Inc., for approximately \$3.1 billion, Motley Rice served as co-lead counsel representing investors alleging that, among other things, Atheros' preliminary proxy statement was materially misleading to the company's shareholders, who were responsible for voting on the proposed acquisition. In March 2011, the Court issued a preliminary injunction delaying the shareholder vote, ruling that Atheros' proxy statement was materially misleading because, even though the proxy stated that the company's CEO "had not had any discussions with Qualcomm regarding the terms of his potential employment," it failed to disclose that he in fact "had overwhelming reason to believe he would be employed by Qualcomm after the transaction closed." The proxy also failed to inform shareholders of an almost entirely contingent \$24 million fee to the company's financial adviser, Qatalyst Partners, LLP.

*In re Winn-Dixie Stores, Inc. Shareholder Litigation*, No. 16-2011-CA-010616 (Fla. 4th Cir. Ct.). Motley Rice served as co-lead counsel in litigation challenging the \$560 million buyout of Winn-Dixie Stores, Inc. by BI-LO, LLC, achieving a settlement

that allows for shareholders to participate in a \$9 million common fund or \$2.5 million opt-in appraisal proceeding.

***Maric Capital Master Fund, Ltd. v. PLATO Learning, Inc.***, No. 5402-VCS (Del. Ch.). The firm's institutional investor client won a partial preliminary injunction against the proposed acquisition of PLATO Learning, Inc., by a private equity company. In its ruling, the Delaware Court of Chancery found that the target company's proxy statement was misleading to its shareholders and omitted material information. The court's opinion has since been published and has been cited by courts and the legal media.

***In re Lear Corporation Shareholder Litigation***, No. 2728-N (Del. Ch.). In this deal case, Motley Rice helped thwart a merger out of line with shareholder interests. Motley Rice represented an institutional investor in this case and, along with Delaware co-counsel, was appointed co-chair of the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee. Motley Rice and its co-counsel conducted expedited discovery and the briefing. The court ultimately granted in part and denied in part the plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction. In granting the injunction, the court found a reasonable probability of success in the plaintiffs' disclosure claim concerning the Lear CEO's conflict of interest in securing his retirement through the proposed takeover. Lear shareholders overwhelmingly rejected the merger.

***Helaba Invest Kapitalanlagegesellschaft mbH v. Fialkow***, No. 2683-VCL (Del. Ch.) (regarding National Home Health Care Corp.). This action was brought on behalf of the shareholders of National Home Health Care Corporation in response to the company's November 2006 announcement that it had entered into a merger agreement with affiliates of Angelo Gordon. The matter settled prior to trial and was approved on April 18, 2008. The defendants agreed to additional consideration and proxy disclosures for the class.

***Schultze Asset Management, LLC v. Washington Group International, Inc.***, No. 3261-VCN (Del. Ch.). This action followed Washington Group's announcement that it had agreed to be acquired by URS Corporation. The action alleged that Washington Group and its board of directors breached their fiduciary duties by failing to maximize shareholder value, choosing financial projections that unfairly undervalued the company and pursuing a flawed decision-making process. Motley Rice represented the parties, which ultimately settled the lawsuit with Washington Group. Washington Group agreed to make further disclosures to its shareholders regarding the proposed alternative transactions it had rejected prior to its accepting URS's proposal and agreed to make disclosures regarding how the company was valued in the proposed transaction with URS. These additional disclosures prompted shareholders to further question the fairness of the

URS proposal. Ultimately, URS increased its offer for Washington Group to the benefit of minority stockholders.

***In re The DirecTV Group, Inc. Shareholder Litigation***, No. 4581-VCP (Del. Ch.). As court-appointed co-lead counsel, Motley Rice attorneys represented a group of institutional investors on behalf of the minority shareholders of DirecTV Group. A settlement was reached and approved by the court on Nov. 30, 2009. It provided for material changes to the merger agreement and the governing documents of the post-merger DirecTV.

### State Law Securities Cases

***In re Tremont Group Holdings, Inc. Securities Litigation***, No. 09 Civ. 03137 (S.D.N.Y.). Motley Rice represents an individual investor in consolidated litigation regarding investments made in Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities, LLC, through a variable universal life insurance policy.

***Brown v. Charles Schwab & Co.***, No. 2:07-cv-03852-DCN (D.S.C.). Motley Rice attorneys served as class counsel in this case, one of the first to interpret the civil liabilities provision of the Uniform Securities Act of 2002. The U.S. District Court for the District of South Carolina certified a class of investors with claims against broker-dealer Charles Schwab & Co., Inc., for its role in allegedly aiding the illegal sale of securities as part of a \$66 million Ponzi scheme. A subclass of 38 plaintiffs in this case reached a settlement agreement with Schwab under which they receive approximately \$5.7 million, an amount representing their total unrecovered investment losses plus attorneys' fees.

### Opt-Out/Individual Actions

***In re Vivendi Universal, S.A. Securities Litigation***, No. 02 Civ. 5571 (S.D.N.Y.). In this action, Motley Rice represents more than 20 foreign institutional investors who were excluded from the class. The firm's clients include the Swedish public pension fund Första AP-fonden (AP1), one of five buffer funds in the Swedish pay-as-you-go pension system. In light of a recent Supreme Court ruling preventing foreign clients from gaining relief, Motley Rice has worked with institutional investor plaintiffs to file suit in France. The French action is pending.

## ACCOLADES FOR THE FIRM

---

### **Securities Class Action Services Top 50**

*International Securities Services*

2009 • 2010 • 2011 • 2014 • 2015

### **The Plaintiffs' Hot List**

*The National Law Journal*

2006 • 2012 • 2013 • 2014 • 2015 • 2016

### **"Best Law Firm"**

*U.S. News – Best Lawyers®*

*mass tort litigation/class actions-plaintiffs*

2010 • 2011 • 2012 • 2013 • 2014 • 2015 • 2016 • 2017

### **The Legal 500 United States** *Litigation editions*

*mass tort and class action: plaintiff representation-toxic tort*

2007 • 2009 • 2011 • 2012 • 2013 • 2014 • 2015 • 2016

### **"Elite Trial Lawyers"**

*The National Law Journal*

2014 • 2015

### **"Most Feared Plaintiffs Firm"**

*Law360*

2013 • 2015

*For full methodologies and selection criteria, visit [www.motleyrice.com/award-methodology](http://www.motleyrice.com/award-methodology)*

Please remember that every case is different. Although they endorse certain lawyers, *The Legal 500 United States* and *Chambers USA* and other similar organizations listed above are not Motley Rice clients. Any result we achieve for one client in one matter does not necessarily indicate similar results can be obtained for other clients.



**Ronald L. Motley (1944–2013)****EDUCATION:**

J.D., University of South Carolina School of Law, 1971

B.A., University of South Carolina, 1966

Ron Motley fought for greater justice, accountability and recourse, and has been widely recognized as one of the most accomplished and skilled trial lawyers in the U.S. During a career that spanned more than four decades, his persuasiveness before a jury and ability to break new legal and evidentiary ground brought to justice two once-invincible giant industries whose malfeasance took the lives of millions of Americans— asbestos and tobacco. Armed with a combination of legal and trial skills, personal charisma, nose-to-the-grindstone hard work and record of success, Ron built Motley Rice into one of the nation's largest plaintiffs' law firms.

Noted for his role in spearheading the historic litigation against the tobacco industry, Ron served as lead trial counsel for 26 State Attorneys General in the lawsuits. His efforts to uncover corporate and scientific wrongdoing resulted in the Master Settlement Agreement, the largest civil settlement in U.S. history and in which the tobacco industry agreed to reimburse states for smoking-related health care costs.

Through his pioneering discovery and collaboration, Ron revealed asbestos manufacturers and the harmful and disabling effects of occupational, environmental and household asbestos exposure. He represented thousands of asbestos victims and achieved numerous trial breakthroughs, including the class actions and mass consolidations of *Cimino, et al. v. Raymark, et al.* (U.S.D.C. TX); *Abate, et al. v. ACandS, et al.* (Baltimore); and *In re Asbestos Personal Injury Cases* (Mississippi).

In 2002, Ron once again advanced cutting-edge litigation as lead counsel for the 9/11 Families United to Bankrupt Terrorism with a lawsuit filed by more than 6,500 family members, survivors and those who lost their lives in the Sept. 11, 2001, terrorist attacks. The suit seeks justice and ultimately bankruptcy for al Qaeda's financiers, including many individuals, banks, corporations and charities that provided resources and monetary aid. He also served as lead counsel in numerous individual aviation security liability and damages cases under the *In re September 11 Litigation* filed against the aviation and aviation security industries by victims' families devastated by the security failures of 9/11.

Ron brought the landmark case of *Oran Almog v. Arab Bank* against the alleged financial sponsors of Hamas and other terrorist organizations in Israel and was a firm leader in the BP Deepwater Horizon litigation and claims efforts involving people and businesses in Gulf Coast communities suffering as a result of the oil spill. Two settlements were reached with BP, one of which is the largest civil class action settlement in U.S. history.

Recognized as an AV®-rated attorney by Martindale-Hubbell®, Ron served on the AAJ Board of Governors from 1977 to 2012 and was chair of its Asbestos Litigation Group from 1978 to 2012. In 2002, Ron founded the Mark Elliott Motley Foundation, Inc., in loving memory of his son to help meet the health, education and welfare needs of children and young adults in the Charleston, S.C. community.

**PUBLICATIONS:**

- Ron authored or co-authored more than two dozen publications, including:
- "Decades of Deception: Secrets of Lead, Asbestos and Tobacco" (*Trial Magazine*, October 1999)
- "Asbestos Disease Among Railroad Workers: 'Legacy of the Laggin' Wagon'" (*Trial Magazine*, December 1981)
- "Asbestos and Lung Cancer" (*New York State Journal of Medicine*, June 1980; Volume 80: No.7, New York State Medical Association, New York)
- "Occupational Disease and Products Liability Claims" (*South Carolina Trial Lawyers Bulletin*, September and October 1976)

**FEATURED IN:**

- Shackelford, Susan. "Major Leaguer" (*South Carolina Super Lawyers*, April 2008)
- Senior, Jennifer. "A Nation Unto Himself" (*The New York Times*, March 2004)
- Freedman, Michael. "Turning Lead into Gold," (*Forbes*, May 2001)
- Zegart, Dan. *Civil Warriors: The Legal Siege on the Tobacco Industry* (Delacorte Press, 2000)
- Ansen, David. "Smoke Gets in Your Eyes" (*Newsweek*, 1999)
- Mann, Michael & Roth, Eric. "The Insider" (*Blue Lion Entertainment*, November 5, 1999)
- Brenner, Marie. "The Man Who Knew Too Much" (*Vanity Fair*, May 1996)
- Reisig, Robin. "The Man Who Took on Manville" (*The American Lawyer*, January 1983)

**AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:**

Ron won widespread honors for his ability to win justice for his clients and for his seminal impact on the course of civil litigation. For his trial achievements, *BusinessWeek* characterized Ron's courtroom skills as "dazzling" and *The National Law Journal* ranked him, "One of the most influential lawyers in America."

**South Carolina Association for Justice****2013** Founders' Award**American Association for Justice****2010** Lifetime Achievement Award**2007** David S. Shrager President's Award**1998** Harry M. Philo Trial Lawyer of the Year**The Trial Lawyer Magazine****2012** inducted into Trial Lawyer Hall of Fame**2011** *The Roundtable: America's 100 Most Influential Trial Lawyers***The Best Lawyers in America®**

**1993–2013** mass tort litigation/class actions – plaintiffs,  
personal injury litigation – plaintiffs product liability litigation  
– plaintiffs

**Best Lawyers®**

**2012** Charleston, SC "Lawyer of the Year" mass tort litigation/  
class actions – plaintiffs

**2010** Charleston, SC "Lawyer of the Year" personal injury

## TEAM BIOS:

### **Benchmark Plaintiff**

**2012–2013** National “Litigation Star”: civil rights/human rights, mass tort/product liability, securities

**2012–2013** South Carolina “Litigation Star”: human rights, product liability, securities, toxic tort

### **SC Lawyers Weekly**

**2011** Leadership in Law Award

### **The Legal 500 United States**

**2011–2013** Mass tort and class action: plaintiff representation – toxic tort

### **Chambers USA**

**2007, 2010–2012** Product liability and mass torts: plaintiffs.

“...An accomplished trial lawyer and a formidable opponent.”

**2008–2013** *South Carolina Super Lawyers*® list

**2008** *Top 10 South Carolina Super Lawyers* list

**2008, 2009, 2011, 2012** *Top 25 South Carolina Super Lawyers* list

### **The Lawdragon™ 500**

**2005–2012** *Leading Lawyers in America* list – plaintiffs’

### **National Association of Attorneys General**

**1998** President’s Award—for his “courage, legal skills and dedication to our children and the public health of our nation.”

### **The Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids**

**1999** Youth Advocates of the Year Award

### **ASSOCIATIONS:**

**American Association for Justice**

**South Carolina Association for Justice**

**American Bar Association**

**South Carolina Bar Association**

**Civil Justice Foundation**

**Inner Circle of Advocates**

**International Academy of Trial Lawyers**

\*Although it endorses this lawyer, The Legal 500 United States is not a Motley Rice client.

## THE FIRM’S MEMBERS

### **Joseph F. Rice**

LICENSED IN: DC, SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Supreme Court

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second, Third, Fourth and Fifth Circuits

U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska and the District of South Carolina

EDUCATION:

J.D., University of South Carolina School of Law, 1979

B.S., University of South Carolina, 1976

Motley Rice co-founder Joe Rice is recognized as a skillful and innovative negotiator of complex litigation settlements, having served as the lead negotiator in some of the largest civil actions our courts have seen in the last 20 years. *Corporate Legal Times* reported that national defense counsel and legal scholars described Joe as one of the nation’s “five most feared and respected plaintiffs’ lawyers in corporate America.” As the article notes, “For all his talents as a shrewd negotiator ... Rice has earned most of his respect from playing fair and remaining humble.”

Joe was recognized by some of the nation’s best-regarded defense lawyers as being “the smartest dealmaker they ever sat across the table from,” *Thomson Reuters* has reported. Professor Samuel Issacharoff of the New York University School of Law, a well-known professor and expert in class actions and complex litigation, has commented that he is “the best strategic thinker on the end stages of litigation that I’ve ever seen.”

Since beginning to practice law in 1979, Joe has continued to reinforce his reputation as a skillful negotiator, including through his involvement structuring some of the most significant resolutions of asbestos liabilities on behalf of those injured by asbestos-related products. He negotiates for the firm’s clients at all levels, including securities and consumer fraud, anti-terrorism, human rights, environmental, medical drugs and devices, as well as catastrophic injury and wrongful death cases.

Most recently, Joe has been involved in litigation filed by multiple states, cities and counties alleging deceptive marketing of highly addictive opioid prescription painkillers. In addition, Joe was appointed to the Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee for *In re Chrysler-Dodge-Jeep Ecodiesel Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation*. Previously, Joe served as one of the lead negotiators in the \$15 billion Volkswagen Diesel Emissions Fraud class action settlement for 2.0-liter vehicles, the largest auto-related consumer class action settlement in U.S. history, as well as the 3.0-liter settlement. He also has led negotiations on behalf of thousands of women in the transvaginal mesh litigation that has five MDLs pending in the state of West Virginia. Joe is a member of the Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee for the Lipitor® multidistrict litigation and the Plaintiffs’ Executive Committee for *In re General Motors LLC Ignition Switch Litigation*.

### **BP Oil Spill:**

Joe served as a co-lead negotiator for the Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee in reaching the two settlements with BP, one of which is the largest civil class action settlement in U.S. history.

The Economic and Property Damages Rule 23 Class Action Settlement is estimated to make payments totaling between \$7.8 billion and \$18 billion to class members. Joe was also one of the lead negotiators of the \$1.028 billion settlement reached between the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee and Halliburton Energy Services, Inc., for Halliburton's role in the disaster.

#### 9/11:

Joe held a crucial role in executing strategic mediations and/or resolutions on behalf of 56 families of 9/11 victims who opted out of the government-created September 11 Victim Compensation Fund. In addition to providing answers, accountability and recourse to victims' families, the resulting settlements with multiple defendants shattered a settlement matrix developed and utilized for decades. The litigation also helped provide public access to evidence uncovered for the trial.

#### Tobacco:

As lead private counsel for 26 jurisdictions, including numerous State Attorneys General, Joe was integral to the crafting and negotiating of the landmark Master Settlement Agreement, in which the tobacco industry agreed to reimburse states for smoking-related health costs. This remains the largest civil settlement in U.S. history.

#### Asbestos:

Joe held leadership and negotiating roles involving the bankruptcies of several large organizations, including AWI, Federal Mogul, Johns Manville, Celotex, Garlock, W.R. Grace, Babcock & Wilcox, U.S. Gypsum, Owens Corning and Pittsburgh Corning. He has also worked on numerous Trust Advisory Committees. Today, he maintains a critical role in settlements involving asbestos manufacturers emerging from bankruptcy and has been recognized for his work in structuring significant resolutions in complex personal injury litigation for asbestos liabilities on behalf of victims injured by asbestos-related products. Joe has served as co-chair of Perrin Conferences' Asbestos Litigation Conference, the largest national asbestos-focused conference.

Joe is often sought by investment funds for guidance on litigation strategies to increase shareholder value, enhance corporate governance reforms and recover assets. He was an integral part of the shareholder derivative action against Omnicare, Inc., *Manville Personal Injury Settlement Trust v. Gemunder*, which resulted in a significant settlement for shareholders as well as new corporate governance policies for the corporation.

Joe serves on the Board of Advisors for Emory University's Institute for Complex Litigation and Mass Claims, which facilitates bipartisan discussion of ways to improve the civil justice system through the hosting of judicial seminars, bar conferences, academic programs, and research. In 1999 and 2000, he served on the faculty at Duke University School of Law as a Senior Lecturing Fellow, and taught classes on the art of negotiating at the University of South Carolina School of Law, Duke University School of Law and Charleston School of Law.

In 2013, he and the firm created the Ronald L. Motley Scholarship Fund at The University of South Carolina School of Law in memory and honor of co-founding member and friend, Ron Motley.

#### AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:

##### *The Lawdragon*™

2016 *500 Leading Lawyers in America*: Plaintiffs' litigation

##### *Chambers USA*

2016 Product Liability: Plaintiffs –Nationwide, Band 2

##### *Law360*

2015 "Product Liability MVP"

##### *The Best Lawyers in America*®

2013 "Lawyer of the Year" Charleston, SC: mass tort litigation/class actions – plaintiffs

2007–2018 Mass tort litigation/class actions plaintiffs

##### *Benchmark Litigation*

2012–2013 National "Litigation Star": mass tort/product liability

2012–2016 South Carolina "Litigation Star": environmental, mass tort/product liability

##### *South Carolina Super Lawyers*® list

2008–2017 Class action/mass torts; Securities litigation; General litigation

##### *SC Lawyers Weekly*

2012 Leadership in Law Award

##### *University of South Carolina School of Law Alumni Association*

2011 Platinum Compleat Lawyer Award

##### *The Legal 500 United States*, Litigation edition

2011–2012, 2014–2017 Mass tort and class action: plaintiff representation – toxic tort

##### *The National Trial Lawyers*

2010 Top 100 Trial Lawyers™ – South Carolina

##### *National Association of Attorneys General*

1998 President's Award

##### *MUSC Children's Hospital*

2010 Johnnie Dodds Award: in honor of his longtime support of the annual Bulls Bay Golf Challenge Fundraiser and continued work on behalf of our community's children

##### *University of South Carolina*

2011 Garnet Award: in recognition of Joe and his family for their passion for and devotion to Gamecock athletics

##### *SC Junior Golf Association Programs*

2011 Tom Fazio Service to Golf Award: in recognition of promotional efforts

#### COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT:

**Dee Norton Lowcountry Children's Center**, Co-chair for inaugural Campaign for the Next Child

**First Tee of Greater Charleston**, Board of Advisors

#### ASSOCIATIONS:

**American Association for Justice**

**American Bar Association**

**American Inns of Court**

**American Constitution Society for Law and Policy**

**South Carolina Association for Justice**

\* Although they endorse this lawyer, neither *The Legal 500 United States* nor Professor Samuel Issacharoff are Motley Rice clients.

## TEAM BIOS:

### John A. Baden IV

LICENSED IN: SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second and Fifth Circuits, U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York and Western District of North Carolina

EDUCATION:

J.D., University of South Carolina School of Law, 2002

B.A., College of Charleston, 1996

John Baden represents clients harmed by asbestos exposure in individual and mass tort forums, as well as in complex asbestos bankruptcies, handling complete case management and settlement negotiations for individuals and families suffering from mesothelioma and other asbestos-related diseases.

Working closely with Joe Rice, John also handles the negotiation and complex case resolution of asbestos bankruptcies, including development of structured settlements with viable asbestos manufacturers and those emerging from bankruptcy. His work with the bankruptcy courts and settlement trusts aims to hold asbestos companies accountable and provide due compensation to asbestos victims. John has lectured on asbestos bankruptcy issues at a number of legal seminars.

John is involved in the settlement negotiations of medical drug and device MDLs, including the transvaginal mesh litigation *In re American Medical Systems, Inc., Pelvic Repair Systems Products Liability Litigation*, MDL 2325. He continues to be involved in negotiations related to additional TVM manufacturers. John also played a role in settlement negotiations for *In re Avandia Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation*, MDL 1871.

John has additionally been actively involved with the firm's representation of people and businesses in Gulf Coast communities suffering as a result of the BP Deepwater Horizon oil spill. He held a central role in the negotiation process involving the two settlements reached with BP, one of which is the largest civil class action settlement in U.S. history.

John began his legal career as a litigation trial paralegal for Ron Motley in 1997, working with the State Attorneys General on the landmark tobacco litigation primarily in Florida, Mississippi and Texas. He also supported occupational litigation in several states, including the exigent trial dockets of Georgia and West Virginia. John served as a judicial intern for Judge Sol Blatt, Jr., of the U.S. District Court of South Carolina and Judge Jasper M. Cureton of the South Carolina Court of Appeals.

#### ASSOCIATIONS:

American Association for Justice

South Carolina Association for Justice

### Kimberly Barone Baden

LICENSED IN: CA, SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit  
U.S. District Court for the Central, Northern and Southern Districts of California and District of South Carolina

EDUCATION:

J.D., California Western School of Law, 1999

B.A. *cum laude*, Clemson University, 1996

As a strong advocate for the most defenseless members of society, Kimberly Barone Baden seeks accountability and compensation for victims of corporate misconduct, medical negligence and harmful medical drugs. She manages mass tort pharmaceutical litigation through complex personal injury and economic damages cases.

Kimberly represents children with birth defects allegedly caused by antidepressants, including Zoloft®, Effexor® and Wellbutrin®; as well as Zofran® which is used to prevent pregnancy-related nausea and vomiting. She previously litigated against GlaxoSmithKline in the Paxil® birth defect litigation. She serves as co-lead counsel for *In re Zofran (Ondansetron) Products Liability Litigation* MDL 2657 and is on the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee for *In re Viagra (Sildenafil Citrate) Products Liability Litigation* MDL 2691 and on the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee *In re Zoloft (sertraline hydrochloride) Products Liability Litigation* MDL 2342. She also manages the firm's pharmaceutical litigation regarding Crestor®, Lipitor®, Actos®, Risperdal®, incretin mimetics, and dialysis products GranuFlo® Powder and NaturaLyte® Liquid acid concentrates.

Kimberly also represents elderly victims of abuse and neglect, litigating cases for nursing home and assisted living facility residents.

Kimberly has spoken at numerous seminars, legal gatherings, CLEs and conferences across the U.S., including the American Association for Justice, Mass Torts Made Perfect and the National Business Institute. She has addressed a broad range of topics related to pharmaceutical drugs and elder law litigation, focusing on MDL procedures, birth defects, nursing home litigation, discovery, trial strategy and mediation. Kimberly is currently the Treasurer of the American Association for Justice's Section on Toxic, Environmental and Pharmaceutical Torts.

Prior to joining Motley Rice, Kimberly worked on the Fen-Phen diet drug litigation and served as an attorney with the California District Attorney's Office in San Diego. Kimberly is recognized as an AV® rated attorney by Martindale-Hubbell®.

#### AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:

*South Carolina Super Lawyers® Rising Stars* list

2013-2014 Personal injury plaintiff: products; elder law

#### ASSOCIATIONS:

American Association for Justice, Treasurer – Section on Toxic, Environmental and Pharmaceutical torts

American Bar Association

South Carolina Association for Justice



**Frederick C. Baker**

LICENSED IN: NY, SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Court of Appeals for the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Tenth and Eleventh Circuits

U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York and the District of South Carolina

EDUCATION:

J.D. / LL.M., Duke University School of Law, 1993

B.A., University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 1985

A veteran litigator with strong roots in complex litigation, Fred Baker has worked on a broad range of environmental, medical costs recovery, consumer and products liability cases and holds numerous leadership roles within the firm. He represents individuals, institutional investors, and governmental entities in a wide variety of cases.

After representing a state government in a case against poultry integrators alleging that poultry waste polluted natural resources, Fred was involved with the firm's representation of people and businesses in Gulf Coast communities suffering as a result of the BP Deepwater Horizon oil spill. He held a central role in the negotiation process involving the two settlements reached with BP, one of which is the largest civil class action settlement in U.S. history.

A member of the legal team that litigated the groundbreaking tobacco litigation on behalf of several State Attorneys General, Fred has also participated in the litigation of individual tobacco cases, entity tobacco cases and a tobacco class action. Fred currently heads the firm's tobacco litigation team.

Fred has served as counsel in a number of class actions, including the two class action settlements arising out of the 2005 Graniteville train derailment chlorine spill. He has also been closely involved in the on-going litigation surrounding the statutory direct action settlement reached in the Manville bankruptcy court and a related West Virginia unfair trade practices insurance class action.

Fred began practicing with Motley Rice attorneys in 1994 and chairs the firm's attorney hiring committee.

**AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:****South Carolina Lawyers Weekly****2016** Leadership in Law Award**Michael M. Buchman**

LICENSED IN: CT, NY

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Supreme Court

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit

U.S. District Court for the Districts of Connecticut and Southern and Eastern Districts of New York

U.S. Court of International Trade

EDUCATION:

LL.M., International Antitrust and Trade Law, Fordham University School of Law, 1993

J.D., The John Marshall Law School, 1992

B.A. *cum laude*, Alfred University, 1988

Michael Buchman has more than 20 years of experience, primarily litigating antitrust, consumer protection and privacy class actions in trial and appellate courts. Michael has a diverse antitrust background, having represented as lead or co-lead counsel a variety of plaintiff clients, from Fortune 500 companies to individual consumers, in complex cases covering matters such as restraint of trade, price-fixing, generic drug antitrust issues and anticompetitive "reverse payment" agreements between brand name pharmaceutical companies and generic companies. Michael leads Motley Rice's antitrust team.

Michael served as an Assistant Attorney General in the New York State Attorney General's Office, Antitrust Bureau, after receiving his LL.M. degree in International Antitrust and Trade Law. Also prior to joining Motley Rice, he was a managing partner of the antitrust department at a New York-based class action law firm. He played an active role in resolving two of the largest U.S. multi-billion dollar antitrust settlements since the Sherman Act was enacted, *In re NASDAQ Market-Makers Antitrust Litigation* and *In re Visa Check/Mastermoney Antitrust Litigation*, as well as litigated numerous multi-million dollar antitrust cases. Today, he represents the largest retailer class representative in the \$7.2 billion case *In re Payment Card Interchange Fee and Merchant Discount Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1720.\*

Michael has more than thirteen years of experience representing consumers, union health and welfare plans, and health insurers in "generic drug" litigation such as *In re Augmentin Antitrust Litigation*, *In re Buspirone Antitrust Litigation*, *In re Ciprofloxacin Antitrust Litigation*, *In re Flonase Antitrust Litigation*, *In re K-Dur Antitrust Litigation*, *In re Relafen Antitrust Litigation*, *In re Tamoxifen Antitrust Litigation*, *In re Toprol XL Antitrust Litigation* and *In re Wellbutrin SR Antitrust Litigation*. He also has experience litigating a large aviation antitrust matter, as well as aviation crash, emergency evacuation and other aviation cases in federal and state court.

Michael completed the intensive two-week National Institute for Trial Advocacy National Trial Training program in Boulder, Colo., in 2002. An avid writer, he has authored and co-authored articles on procedure and competition law, including a *Task Force on Dealer Terminations* for The Association of the Bar of the City of New York, Committee on Antitrust and Trade Regulation, entitled *Dealer Termination in New York dated June 1, 1998* and *What's in a Name - the Diversity Death-Knell for Underwriters of Lloyd's of London and their Names; Humm v. Lombard World Trade, Inc.*, Vol. 4, Issue 10 *International Insurance Law Review* 314 (1996).

## TEAM BIOS:

Michael is active in his community, serving as a member of the Flood and Erosion Committee for the Town of Westport, Ct., and as *pro bono* counsel in actions involving the misappropriation of perpetual care monies. He has also coached youth ice hockey teams at Chelsea Piers in New York City.

### AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:

**New York Metro Super Lawyers®** list

**2014–2017** Antitrust litigation

**The Best Lawyers in America®**

**2017–2018** Mass tort litigation/class actions – plaintiffs

### Samuel B. Cothran Jr. General Counsel

LICENSED IN: NC, SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. District Court for the Western District of North Carolina  
and District of South Carolina

EDUCATION:

J.D., *cum laude*, University of South Carolina School of Law,  
1998

M.B.A., Duke University, 1994

B.S., *summa cum laude*, University of South Carolina, 1981

Sam Cothran creatively addresses the many challenges and opportunities inherent in the cutting-edge practice of a dynamic, multi-jurisdictional law firm. As leader of Motley Rice's legal department, Sam directs and advises the firm's management on diverse in-house legal matters regarding governmental compliance, contracts and legal defense, as well as labor and employment, marketing, financial and operational issues.

After working for an international accounting firm as a certified public accountant and for several Fortune 1,000 companies as a financial manager, Sam attended law school to complement his background in business management and finance and joined Motley Rice attorneys shortly after graduation.

Recognized as a BV® rated attorney by Martindale-Hubbell®, Sam is the author of *Dischargeability of Consumer Credit Card Debt in Bankruptcy After Anastas v. American Savings Bank*, 48 S.C.L. Rev. 915 (1997). As a law student, Sam served as Managing Editor of the *South Carolina Law Review*. He was named a Carolina Legal Scholar and awarded both the Order of the Coif and Order of the Wig and Robe.

Sam is active in his community, serving on the board of Directors for the Dee Norton Lowcountry Children's Center.

### ASSOCIATIONS:

**American Bar Association**

**Association of Professional Responsibility Lawyers**

**American Institute of Certified Public Accountants**

**South Carolina Association of Certified Public Accountants**

### Kevin R. Dean

LICENSED IN: GA, MS, SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third, Fourth, Fifth and Eleventh Circuits, U.S. District Court for the Middle, Northern and Southern Districts of Georgia, Central District of Illinois, Northern and Southern Districts of Mississippi and District of South Carolina

EDUCATION:

J.D., Cumberland School of Law, 1991

B.A., Valdosta State University, 1989

Focusing his litigation efforts on catastrophic injury, products liability, and wrongful death cases, Kevin Dean represents victims and families affected by hazardous consumer products, occupational and industrial accidents, fires, premise injuries and other incidents of negligence.

Kevin currently represents people allegedly harmed by defective Takata airbags, Volkswagen's diesel emissions fraud, and GM's misconduct regarding its defective vehicles in *In re General Motors LLC Ignition Switch Litigation*. He has litigated numerous vehicle defect cases, including against "the Big Three" automotive manufacturers in cases involving defective brakes, door locks, door latches, seat belts and roll overs. He served as trial co-counsel in *Guzman v. Ford* (2001), the first case brought to trial regarding a defective outside door latch handle, as well as in the vehicle rollover case *Hayward v. Ford* (2005). He was also a member of the plaintiffs' litigation team in the defective seat belt case, *Malone v. General Motors Corporation* (1998) prior to joining Motley Rice.

He served as lead plaintiffs' counsel in *In re Charleston Firefighter Litigation*, a wrongful death and negligence case against Sofa Super Store, contractors and multiple furniture manufacturers on behalf of the families of the nine firefighters lost in the June 2007 warehouse fire in Charleston, S.C.

Since the 2010 explosion of the Deepwater Horizon, Kevin has been helping people and businesses pursuing litigation, as well as those needing help filing and negotiating their claims. He served as a member of the oil spill MDL's GCCF Jurisdiction & Court Oversight Workgroup and works with victims on claims through the programs established by the two settlements reached with BP.

Kevin's experience also includes the health insurance fraud and post-claims underwriting case *Clark v. Security Life Insurance Company*, the largest civil RICO case in Georgia history, and *Wiggins v. Parsons Nursery*, one of the largest environmental and health contamination cases in South Carolina. Kevin also served as a County Commissioner on the Early County Georgia Board of Commissioners and still holds the honor of having been the youngest elected commissioner in county history.

Kevin frequently appears in local and national broadcast and print media discussing legal matters of workplace safety, fire prevention and other products liability, as well as specific casework and efforts for changes and improvements in various industries. Recognized as an AV® rated attorney Martindale-Hubbell®, Kevin co-authored "Dangerous Doors and Loose Latches," published in *Trial Magazine* (2004) for the American

Association for Justice, and authored "The Right to Jury Trial in ERISA Civil Enforcement Actions" published in *The American Journal of Trial Advocacy* (1989).

#### **AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:**

##### ***The Best Lawyers in America*®**

**2017–2018** Charleston, S.C. Personal injury litigation – plaintiffs

##### ***South Carolina Super Lawyers*® list**

**2015–2017** Personal injury–general: plaintiff; Personal injury–products: plaintiff; Personal injury–medical malpractice: plaintiff

##### ***Benchmark Plaintiff***

**2012–2013** National "Litigation Star": mass torts/product liability

**2012–2013** South Carolina "Litigation Star": product liability

#### **ASSOCIATIONS:**

**American Association for Justice**

**Georgia Trial Lawyers Association**

**South Carolina Association for Justice**, Board of Governors–Circuit 9; Tort & Negligence Chair

**Southern Trial Lawyers Association**

**Attorneys Information Exchange Group**, Board of Directors

#### **Michael E. Elsner**

LICENSED IN: NY, SC, VA

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S District Court for the Eastern and Southern Districts of New York

EDUCATION:

J.D., University of Memphis Cecil C. Humphreys School of Law, 1997

B.A., John Carroll University, 1993

Michael Elsner uses the U.S. civil justice system to seek social change and improved protection of Americans at home and abroad. He litigates complex civil matters on behalf of people and businesses victimized by commercial malfeasance, violations of human rights, inadequate security measures and state-sponsored terrorism, managing cross-border litigation and intricate investigations of infringement and abuse of human rights, multi-layered financial transactions and due diligence.

Michael's understanding of the complex legal challenges of international matters is critical to litigating cases involving human rights and financial dealings. He uses legal mechanisms to track illicit finances, and his investigations through the maze of international banking and financial regulations continue to uncover violations that have allowed money laundering and terrorist financing. Michael is building upon legal theories and case precedents to represent plaintiffs harmed by financial crimes and actions and hold the global institutions and organizations accountable.

Michael was a lead plaintiffs' counsel in *Linde et al. v. Arab Bank*, a suit brought on behalf of victims of terrorist attacks in Israel. In September 2014, a jury found Jordan-based Arab Bank plc liable for financing terrorist activity, including funneling financial support to top Hamas leaders and to the families of suicide bombers. Michael also leads the worldwide

investigation for liability evidence in the 9/11 Families United to Bankrupt Terrorism civil action against al Qaeda's alleged financiers and supporters. In this capacity, Michael meets with U.S. and foreign intelligence officers, witnesses, and informants, who have already helped him gather more than two million pages of documents in numerous languages identifying the activities of al Qaeda and its financiers. He is a member of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee for this multidistrict litigation filed on behalf of more than 6,500 families and survivors of the 9/11 attacks. He also served as a member of the Plaintiffs' Committee in *In re September 11th Litigation*, a suit brought against the airline industry alleging that it failed to detect and prevent the attacks.

Michael's work with financial transaction litigation includes commercial, securities fraud and shareholder derivative cases such as his extensive work on behalf of domestic and foreign investors in *In re Vivendi Universal, S.A. Securities Litigation*.

Michael is also leading the firm in its role as consultants to South African human rights lawyer Richard Spoor in his effort to take on leading global gold producers and seek justice for tens of thousands of exploited gold mine workers who are suffering from silicosis. Few class actions have been brought in South Africa, and none have been filed for sick workers. If approved as a class, the suit would generate an unprecedented means of recovery for the country and ensure meaningful access to justice for the indigent and rural workers who are dying from this entirely preventable yet incurable disease.

Michael began his career with the Manville Personal Injury Trust and then practiced complex civil litigation in New York in the areas of toxic torts, security, personal injury, bankruptcy, and whistleblower protections prior to joining Motley Rice attorneys in 2002.

Sharing his experience and insight as a lecturer and consultant, Michael has discussed anti-terrorism and human rights litigation on several national and international news outlets, including CNN, MSNBC, NPR and the BBC, as well as international anti-money laundering and anti-terrorism industry conferences.

#### **AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:**

##### **Public Justice Foundation**

**2016** Trial Lawyers of the Year

##### **Benchmark Litigation**

**2016–2017** South Carolina "Litigation Star": personal Injury, product Liability, general commercial, professional liability

##### ***South Carolina Lawyers Weekly***

**2014** Leadership in Law Award

##### ***The Lawdragon***

**2014–2015** Lawdragon 500 Leading Lawyers in America

**2010** Lawdragon™ 3,000

#### **ASSOCIATIONS:**

**American Association for Justice**

**American Bar Association**

**New York Bar Association**

**South Carolina Bar Association**, International Law Committee

**Virginia Bar Association**

**National Crime Victims Bar Association**

**Public Justice Foundation**

## TEAM BIOS:

**Nathan D. Finch**

LICENSED IN: DC, VA

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Tenth and Eleventh Circuits, U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, the Eastern District of Virginia, and the Western District of Wisconsin

EDUCATION:

J.D., University of Virginia School of Law, 1992

B.A., University of Virginia, 1989

With a diverse background in complex civil litigation, Nate Finch brings almost twenty years of trial experience and strong negotiation skills to Motley Rice. He represents clients in various asbestos, toxic tort, commercial, securities fraud and other complex cases.

Nate has served as the lead trial attorney for his clients in many federal and state courts and is sought after by co-counsel for advice on challenging cases and complex legal matters. His thorough knowledge of asbestos and medical issues is an asset to the firm's occupational disease and toxic tort clients. He has obtained plaintiffs' verdicts in cases against asbestos product manufacturer defendants and cigarette makers. He has extensive experience trying cases involving a wide variety of asbestos-containing products, including gaskets, automotive brakes, floor tiles, joint compounds, and various forms of insulation. He also has years of experience representing individuals, companies and creditors' committees in personal injury litigation, mass torts products liability litigation, securities and financial fraud litigation and an array of other complex litigation cases ranging from single plaintiffs' products liability cases to high-stakes business disputes.

Prior to joining Motley Rice, Nate was a partner for more than ten years in a Washington, D.C.-based law firm and frequently collaborated with Motley Rice attorneys in trials and negotiations to resolve large asbestos product manufacturers' bankruptcies. He tried numerous cases in federal district courts focusing on the medical and scientific factors associated with asbestos-related diseases and asbestos exposure. During this time, he also tried and helped to resolve in favor of his clients five asbestos bankruptcy cases, each having more than \$1 billion at stake. In addition, Nate worked closely with Motley Rice attorneys on behalf of investors in *In re MBNA Securities Litigation* and *In re Vivendi Universal, S.A. Securities Litigation*.

Nate's understanding of the factual and legal challenges inherent in complex cases, combined with his trial experience, has positioned him as a considerable resource within many practice areas. A frequently invited speaker regarding a variety of legal matters, he has spoken at many asbestos litigation and bankruptcy conferences and has been a guest lecturer at the Georgetown University, George Washington University, George Mason University and the University of Baltimore law schools on topics relating to civil procedure, mass tort litigation and the differences between litigating in Article III and Article I courts. He has been an invited speaker at several judicial conferences on the topic of asbestos litigation.

Recognized as a Martindale Hubbell® AV® rated attorney, Nate has served his community for many years through volunteer

activities coordinated by Greater D.C. Cares, an organization committed to connecting volunteers with community service groups. Nate was a member of the *Virginia Law Review* and the Order of the Coif, and is a former scholarship track and cross country athlete at UVA.

**AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:****American Association for Justice****2013** Wiedemann & Wysocki Award**Benchmark Litigation**

**2013–2017** Washington, D.C. "Litigation Star": bankruptcy, general commercial, product liability, securities, white collar crime

**Washington, D.C., Super Lawyers®** list

**2012–2015** Personal injury – products: plaintiff; Personal injury – general: plaintiff; Securities litigation

**Chambers USA****2009–2010** "Top Lawyer": bankruptcy and restructuring**ASSOCIATIONS:****American Association for Justice****The Barristers****Fidelma L. Fitzpatrick**

LICENSED IN: DC, MA, NY, RI

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Supreme Court; U.S. Court of Appeals for the First, Seventh and Eleventh Circuits; U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, District of Massachusetts, District of Rhode Island and Eastern District of Wisconsin

EDUCATION:

J.D., *cum laude*, American University, 1994

B.A., Canisius College, 1991

Fidelma Fitzpatrick represents people and communities in toxic tort and environmental matters, including property damage and personal injury claims. Her experience with complex civil litigation has led her to represent other victims of corporate malfeasance, including hundreds of women allegedly injured by medical devices such as Essure® and pelvic mesh/sling products.

In 2017, Fidelma was appointed Lead Counsel of the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee for the coordinated Essure® litigation in California against Bayer Corp. She also represents hundreds of women allegedly harmed by pelvic mesh/sling products in filed cases against defendants that include American Medical Systems, Boston Scientific, C.R. Bard, Inc., and Ethicon. In 2012, Fidelma was appointed co-lead counsel of the pelvic mesh MDL *In re American Medical Systems, Inc., Pelvic Repair Systems Products Liability Litigation* pending in the Southern District of West Virginia. She also holds leadership roles in pelvic mesh state court litigations, including serving as liaison counsel in the American Medical Systems cases consolidated in Delaware and the Boston Scientific cases consolidated in Massachusetts.

Fidelma was co-lead trial counsel in the billion dollar lead paint pigment case, *The People of California v. Atlantic Richfield Company et al.*, in which Motley Rice represented cities and counties, including San Francisco, Santa Clara, Los Angeles and San Diego, in litigation against national lead paint pigment



manufacturers. In January 2014, the court ruled that three lead paint pigment companies had created a public nuisance by concealing the dangers of lead when they campaigned against its regulation and actively promoted lead for use in homes despite knowing that it was highly toxic. The \$1.15 billion\* verdict will be paid to the state's abatement fund for the removal of lead paint pigment from homes throughout California, particularly those occupied by lower-income families in inner-city and community housing. This will help protect the health and safety of thousands of children.

Fidelma held a central role in the state of Rhode Island's trial against former corporate manufacturers of lead paint pigment. She continues to manage cases seeking to hold the lead paint pigment industry accountable for the childhood lead poisoning crisis and provide restitution and compensation to affected children and families. As a result of her work for lead poisoning victims, the Wisconsin State Supreme Court became the first to recognize the legal rights of poisoned children to sue lead paint pigment manufacturers.

She also played a lead role in representing the community of Tallevast, Florida, in a lawsuit against Lockheed Martin Corporation involving the pollution of the community's groundwater with PCE and TCE. Fidelma is litigating nuclear contamination cases on behalf of Pennsylvania residents who allege that local nuclear facilities exposed them to hazardous levels of toxic or radioactive material in the surrounding air, soil and water. Those cases, involving both personal injuries and property damage, are pending in federal court.

Fidelma began working with Motley Rice attorneys in 1997 on the Massachusetts, New York and Rhode Island lawsuits against the tobacco industry. She serves on the Board of Regents at Canisius College and frequently speaks on environmental and mass tort topics at conferences for federal and state court judges, attorneys, academic professionals and law students.

#### **PUBLISHED WORKS:**

"Painting Over Long-Standing Precedent: How the Rhode Island Supreme Court Misapplied Public Nuisance Law in *State v. Lead Industries Association*" *Roger Williams University Law Review* (Summer 2010)

"Access to Justice: The Use of Contingent Fee Arrangements by Public Officials to Vindicate Public Rights" *Cardozo J.L. & Gender* (Spring 2008)

"Negligence in the Paint: The Case for Applying the Risk Contribution Doctrine to Lead Litigation" in *Pace Environmental Law Review* (Fall 2008)

#### **AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:**

##### **National Law Journal**

**2015** Outstanding Women Lawyers

##### **The Lawdragon**

**2014–2017** Lawdragon 500 Leading Lawyers in America

##### **The Legal 500 United States**

**2013, 2014** Mass tort and class action: plaintiff representation – toxic tort

##### **The National Trial Lawyers**

**2010–2013** Top 100 Trial Lawyers™ – Rhode Island

##### **Rhode Island Super Lawyers® list**

**2008, 2010–2016** Environmental litigation; Personal injury – products: plaintiff; Class action/mass torts

##### **The Best Lawyers in America®**

**2008–2018** Mass tort litigation/class actions – plaintiffs

##### **Rhode Island Lawyers Weekly**

**2006** Rhode Island Lawyer of the Year

##### **Public Justice Foundation**

**2014** Trial Lawyers of the Year

**2006** Finalist: Trial Lawyers of the Year award

#### **ASSOCIATIONS:**

**American Association for Justice**

**American Bar Association**

**American Civil Liberties Union**, Volunteer attorney

**Public Justice Foundation**, Rhode Island State Coordinator

**Rhode Island Association for Justice**

**Rhode Island Women's Bar Association**

\* Please remember that every case is different. Although it endorses this lawyer, *The Legal 500 United States* is not a Motley Rice client.

#### **Jodi Westbrook Flowers**

**LICENSED IN:** SC

**ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:**

U.S. Supreme Court; U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second, Fourth, and District of Columbia Circuits; U.S. District Court for the District of South Carolina

**EDUCATION:**

J.D., University of South Carolina School of Law, Carolina Legal Scholar, 1993

B.A. *magna cum laude*, College of Charleston, 1989

A veteran of the courtroom, Jodi Westbrook Flowers seeks to protect the health, safety and rights of consumers, families, investors, workers, and victims of crime and terrorism. Jodi has litigated a wide range of cases involving tobacco, asbestos, lead pigment, aviation disasters and vehicle defects, as well as terrorist financing and human rights violations. In the vehicle defect multidistrict litigation, *In re General Motors LLC Ignition Switch Litigation*, Jodi works on cases related to economic loss due to faulty ignition switches installed in more than 14 million recalled GM vehicles. Previously, she worked to demonstrate the necessary minimum contacts within the U.S. for the exercise of personal jurisdiction over Bridgestone Corporation in the class action for damages allegedly caused by vehicle and tire defects, *In re Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., ATX, ATX II and Wilderness Tire Products Liability Litigation*, Case No. 00-MDL-1373-SEB (S.D.Ind.). She also led a team at Motley Rice in the Volkswagen Diesel Emissions Fraud class action litigation, working on behalf of defrauded consumers in the \$15 billion settlement deal for 2.0-liter vehicles. The settlement was the largest auto-related consumer class action in U.S. history, and among the fastest reached of its kind.

Jodi serves as co-liason counsel and represents victims in the 21st Century Oncology data breach multidistrict litigation.

## TEAM BIOS:

Jodi handles a variety of cases regarding the state-sponsorship of international terrorism, as well as human rights litigation involving violations of international law and human rights abuses. Jodi now leads the legal team founded by Ron Motley that brought the groundbreaking litigation against the financiers and material supporters of al Qaeda. Representing thousands of family members and survivors of Sept. 11, 2001, in a pioneering civil action to hold al Qaeda's sponsors accountable and cut off the terror support pipeline, she serves on the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee for the *In re Terrorist Attacks on September 11, 2001* litigation consolidated by the Multidistrict Litigation Panel. She aided 9/11 victims and families in their years-long push to pass the Justice Against Sponsors of Terrorism Act, which became law in 2016.

Jodi is currently involved in processing claims for the new Victims' Compensation Fund for first responders, area residents, and anyone whose health may have been affected by exposure to environmental toxins released in the terrorist attacks. She was also an integral member of the Motley Rice aviation security litigation team seeking accountability and change in aviation security following the 9/11 attacks.

Jodi also played a key role in *Linde et al. v. Arab Bank PLC*, in which a jury found Jordan-based Arab Bank liable for financing terrorist activity, including funneling financial support to top Hamas leaders and to the families of suicide bombers. This case marked the first time that a financial institution has been brought to trial under the Anti-Terrorism Act.

She served as the lead negotiator in the last hold-out of the individual cases against Libya for the Lockerbie bombing of Pan Am Flight 103, and continues to seek justice for victims of Libyan sponsored terrorism during Qadhafi's reign. Jodi also authored an *amicus* brief, supporting section 1502 of the Dodd-Frank Act, regarding the trade regulation of conflict minerals in the Democratic Republic of the Congo.

Jodi has worked on environmental contamination cases in the Virgin Islands involving leaking gas tanks, and she is currently representing clients in advancing their Deepwater Horizon oil spill claims through the programs established by the two settlements reached with BP. Jodi has served on numerous MDL Executive Committees and subcommittees, and holds several leadership positions within the firm.

Jodi began her career applying restitution and fraud theories to the litigation against the tobacco industry which resulted in the historic Master Settlement Agreement between the state attorneys general and the tobacco industry. She developed expert and whistleblower testimony and synthesized millions of pages of documents for trial. She prepared the false-marketing and child targeting case against the tobacco industry which resulted in restrictions on cartoon ads and the retirement of Joe Camel.

Jodi has been interviewed by various media outlets, including U.S. and foreign television, radio and print media. She provides pro bono work on a variety of global, national and community issues and helped establish the firm's Charitable Contributions Committee.

### PUBLISHED WORKS:

"Remarks on the GJIL Symposium on Corporate Responsibility and the Alien Tort Statute," *Georgetown Journal of International Law*, Volume 43–Issue 4, Summer 2012. (43 Geo. J. Int'l. L. 1601)

### AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:

#### Public Justice Foundation

2016 Trial Lawyers of the Year

**The Legal 500 United States**, Litigation edition

2016–2017 Mass tort and class action: plaintiff representation – toxic tort

#### The Best Lawyers in America®

2015–2018 Mass tort litigation/class actions – plaintiff

#### Benchmark Plaintiff

2014 Top 150 Plaintiff Women in Litigation: South Carolina

2012–2013 National "Litigation Star": civil rights/human rights and mass tort/product liability

2012–2014 South Carolina "Litigation Star": environmental, human rights, mass tort and securities

#### The Lawdragon™

2010–2017 500 Leading Lawyers in America: Plaintiffs' litigation

### ASSOCIATIONS:

American Association for Justice

South Carolina Association for Justice

American Bar Association, Center for Human Rights Advisory Council

South Carolina Bar Association, International Law Committee

Charleston Bar Association

Daughters of the American Revolution

The Fellows of the American Bar Foundation

\*Although it endorses this lawyer, *The Legal 500 United States* is not a Motley Rice client.

### Vincent L. Greene IV

LICENSED IN: RI

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. District Court for the District of Rhode Island

EDUCATION:

J.D., George Washington University, 1998

B.A., College of the Holy Cross, 1995

Vin Greene works on behalf of victims of lead poisoning and asbestos-related diseases. He represents children and families poisoned by exposure to lead paint and pigments in trials, negotiations and settlements. Vin's legal efforts led to his critical role in defeating tort reform legislation in Rhode Island, utilizing testimony, analysis and grassroots outreach to push passage of a bill that helped prevent childhood lead poisoning without infringing on victims' rights. For his numerous efforts and accomplishments, the Childhood Lead Action Project honored him with its Beyond the Call of Duty Award in 2001.

Currently, Vin represents workers and families suffering from mesothelioma and other asbestos-related diseases as a result of occupational, environmental or household exposure to asbestos. He has managed asbestos cases and negotiations on behalf of hundreds of individuals, including arguing before the Supreme Courts of Ohio and Rhode Island.

Vin began working with Motley Rice attorneys in 1997 on the landmark litigation against the tobacco industry and medical malpractice cases. Named a Motley Rice member in 2008, Vin is recognized as an AV® rated attorney by Martindale-Hubbell®.

#### AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:

##### **The Best Lawyers in America®**

**2017–2018** Product liability litigation – plaintiffs

##### **Rhode Island Super Lawyers® lists**

**2014–2016** Personal injury – products: plaintiff; Class action/mass torts; Environmental litigation

##### **Benchmark Plaintiff**

**2012–2014** Rhode Island “Litigation Star”: environmental, medical malpractice, toxic tort

##### **The Legal 500 United States, Litigation edition**

**2010** Mass tort and class action: plaintiff representation – toxic tort

#### ASSOCIATIONS:

**American Association for Justice**

**American Civil Liberties Union**

**Rhode Island Association for Justice**, Past President

\*Although it endorses this lawyer, *The Legal 500 United States* is not a Motley Rice client.

#### **John E. Herrick**

LICENSED IN: MD, SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. District Court for the Central District of Illinois, District of Maryland, District of South Carolina, Eastern and Western Districts of Wisconsin

EDUCATION:

J.D., University of South Carolina School of Law, 1988

B.A., University of South Carolina, 1983

John Herrick has spent more than 20 years representing victims of asbestos exposure suffering from mesothelioma and other asbestos-related diseases. As a leader of the firm’s occupational disease practice, John continues to fight for the rights of those harmed by asbestos and other occupational diseases and assists in managing the firm’s asbestos litigation teams. A senior trial lawyer with years of courtroom experience, John represents individuals and families against defendants which manufactured and sold defective and unreasonably dangerous asbestos-containing products and equipment, as well as premise owners and contractors who specified and installed those products.

John has litigated asbestos cases resulting from occupational, environmental and household exposure, receiving verdicts in hundreds of matters. Most recently, John was lead trial counsel in a welding fume verdict for the plaintiff on behalf of a welder who developed manganism from exposure to welding fumes. He won the first affirmed jury verdict in the United States for a domestic, asbestos-exposed mesothelioma victim in the Marie Granski case and achieved the first verdict in the United States against SCAPA US, the former manufacturer of asbestos-containing dryer felts. John also worked as lead trial counsel in the Harlow trial group, cited as a top 100 case of the year by *The National Law Journal*, and litigated a personal injury case

against a tobacco company for a plaintiff harmed by the use of asbestos in cigarette filters.

John is recognized as an AV® rated attorney by Martindale-Hubbell® and frequently serves as a guest speaker at asbestos litigation-related seminars.

#### AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:

##### **The Best Lawyers in America®**

**2018** “Lawyer of the Year” Charleston, SC: Product liability litigation – plaintiffs

**2015–2017** Product liability litigation – plaintiffs

##### **The Legal 500 United States**

**2007, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2015** Mass tort and class action: plaintiff representation – toxic tort

#### ASSOCIATIONS:

**American Association for Justice**

**American Bar Association**

**American Board of Trial Advocates**

**South Carolina Association for Justice**

\*Although it endorses this lawyer, *The Legal 500 United States* is not a Motley Rice client.

#### **James M. Hughes, Ph.D.**

LICENSED IN: SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Supreme Court, U.S. Court of Appeals for the First, Fourth, and Eighth Circuits, U.S. District Court for the District of South Carolina

EDUCATION:

J.D., University of South Carolina School of Law, 1993

Ph.D., University of Illinois, Chicago, 1983

M.A., University of Illinois, Chicago, 1976

B.A., University of Minnesota, 1975

Jim Hughes develops strategic legal arguments, drafts and argues motions, and litigates cases involving securities fraud.

Jim has also represented industrial workers exposed to silica and asbestos in the workplace, arguing before appellate courts in Illinois and Minnesota on behalf of occupational disease victims. He has shared his experience with silica litigation and product identification at several national conferences, addressing the plaintiff’s perspective and other pertinent issues.

A published author on several legal and academic themes, Jim’s law review article, “Informing South Carolina Capital Juries About Parole” (44 S.C. *Law Review* 383, 1993) was cited in 2000 by U.S. Supreme Court Justice John Paul Stevens in his dissenting opinion in *Ramdass v. Angelone*. His reported opinions include *Ison v. E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co.* (Del. 1999), *In re Minnesota Asbestos Litigation* (Minn., 1996), *W.R. Grace & Co. v. CSR Ltd.*, (Ill. App. Ct. 1996) and *In re Tutu Wells Contamination Litigation* (D.V.I. 1995).

A former professor of philosophy, Jim began his legal career with the plaintiffs’ bar after clerkships with the South Carolina Office of Appellate Defense and a business, employment and intellectual property defense firm. He is recognized as an AV® rated attorney by Martindale-Hubbell®.

## TEAM BIOS:

**ASSOCIATIONS:**

**American Association for Justice**  
**South Carolina Association for Justice**

**Mathew P. Jasinski**

LICENSED IN: CT, NY  
 ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Supreme Court, U.S. Court of Appeals for the First and Second Circuits, U.S. District Court for the District of Connecticut and Southern District of New York

**EDUCATION:**

J.D. *with high honors*, University of Connecticut School of Law, 2006

B.A. *summa cum laude*, University of Connecticut, 2003

Mathew Jasinski represents consumers, businesses, and governmental entities in class action and complex cases involving consumer protection, unfair trade practices, commercial, environmental and securities litigation.

Mathew currently represents the plaintiffs in several putative and certified class actions involving such claims as breach of contract and unfair trade practices. He has experience in complex commercial cases regarding claims of fraud and breach of fiduciary duty and has represented an institutional investor in its efforts to satisfy a judgment obtained against the operator of a Ponzi scheme. Mathew recently obtained a seven-figure arbitration award in a case involving secondary liability for an investment advisor's conduct under the Uniform Securities Act. *Please remember that every case is different. Any result we achieve for one client in one matter does not necessarily indicate similar results can be obtained for other clients.*

Mathew additionally serves the firm's appellate group. He has worked on numerous appeals before several state and federal appellate courts throughout the country.

Prior to joining Motley Rice in 2009, Mathew practiced complex commercial and business litigation at a large defense firm. He began his legal career as a law clerk for Justice David M. Borden (ret.) of the Connecticut Supreme Court. During law school, Mathew served as executive editor of the Connecticut Law Review and judging director of the Connecticut Moot Court Board. He placed first in various moot court and mock court competitions, including the Boston region mock trial competition of the American Association for Justice. As an undergraduate, Mathew served on the board of associate directors for the University of Connecticut's honors program and was recognized with the Donald L. McCullough Award for his student leadership.

Mathew continues to demonstrate civic leadership in the local Hartford community. He is a member of the board of directors for the Hartford Symphony Orchestra and is a commissioner of the Hartford Parking Authority. Previously, Mathew served on the city's Charter Revision Commission and its Young Professionals Task Force, an organization focused on engaging young professionals and positioning them for future business and community leadership.

**PUBLISHED WORKS:**

"On the Causes and Consequences of and Remedies for Interstate Malapportionment of the U.S. House of Representatives" (Jasinski and Ladewig, *Perspectives on Politics*, Vol. 6, Issue 1, March 2008)

"Hybrid Class Actions: Bridging the Gap Between the Process Due and the Process that Functions" (Jasinski and Narwold), *The Brief*, Fall 2009

**AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:**

**Connecticut Super Lawyers® Rising Stars** list

**2013-2016** Business litigation; Class action/mass torts; Appellate

**Hartford Business Journal**

**2009** "Forty Under 40"

**ASSOCIATIONS:**

**American Association for Justice**

**American Bar Association**

**Connecticut Bar Association**

**Oliver Ellsworth Inn of Court**

**Phi Beta Kappa**

\* For full Super Lawyers selection methodology visit: [www.superlawyers.com/about/selection\\_process.html](http://www.superlawyers.com/about/selection_process.html)  
 For current year CT data visit: [www.superlawyers.com/connecticut/selection\\_details.html](http://www.superlawyers.com/connecticut/selection_details.html)

**Anne McGinness Kears**

LICENSED IN: DC, SC, WV

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York, Eastern and Western Districts of Pennsylvania, District of South Carolina and the Southern District of West Virginia

**EDUCATION:**

J.D. *cum laude*, University of South Carolina School of Law, 1998

B.S., Syracuse University, 1983

With a passion for justice, Anne McGinness Kears seeks to hold accountable numerous corporations that put profits before safety. Through litigation, Anne pursues the implementation of better safety practices and corporate governance measures for those corporations, as well as just compensation for victims of toxic exposure, extreme and life-altering injuries, workplace injuries, severe burns, brain damage, loss of limb and paralysis, and wrongful death resulting from negligence and defective products.

Anne works closely with victims and their families, often meeting with them in their homes for consultations. She strives to provide each client with personalized attention and individual justice, whether the case is part of a class action or stands alone. Anne believes in building relationships with co-counsel and often collaborates with other attorneys, including estate and probate counsel, in order to approach each case from a team perspective.



Anne represents workers diagnosed with the devastating disease mesothelioma caused by asbestos exposure in the chemical, electric power generation, steel or construction industries. She also represents victims of household exposure—children and spouses who developed mesothelioma or other asbestos-related diseases after being exposed to asbestos fibers that a family member unwittingly brought home from work on clothes or belongings. Anne has tried several noteworthy asbestos cases, including *Cox vs. A&I Company*, West Virginia's first household asbestos exposure case, and the 2002 West Virginia Consolidated Asbestos Trial against Union Carbide in which unsafe working conditions were found at its plants throughout the state. In addition to maintaining an active trial schedule, Anne represents Canadian Workers' Compensation Boards in U.S. courts to recoup benefits they paid Canadian asbestos victims.

While in law school, Anne supported the team representing the State Attorneys General in the historic lawsuit against Big Tobacco, which resulted in the largest civil settlement in U.S. history. After graduation, she was a member of the trial team that litigated *Falise v. American Tobacco Company*.

Well-versed in navigating complex litigation, Anne holds several leadership positions within the firm, managing legal teams associated with occupational disease, toxic exposure and severe personal injury. Anne has written several articles of interest to the plaintiffs' bar and frequently speaks on asbestos litigation, general product liability, legal ethics and tort reform at seminars across the country. She has been published on major legal issues, including *forum non conveniens* and defective products abroad, corporate misconduct, medicolegal aspects of asbestos litigation and mass tort litigation. Anne co-authored the 12th chapter of the book, "Pathology of Asbestos-Associated Diseases" (*Medicolegal Aspects of Asbestos-Related Diseases: A Plaintiff's Attorney's Perspective*, 3rd ed., 2014). Edited by Victor L. Roggli, MD; Tim D. Oury, MD, PhD; and Thomas A. Sporn, MD, this publication is a comprehensive asbestos reference book used by both physicians and attorneys.

Anne served as the 2016-2017 President of the Public Justice Foundation, a charitable organization focused on protecting people and the environment and increasing access to justice. She is currently the Immediate Past President for Public Justice and has been on the Board of Directors since 2010. In 2011, Anne served on the Executive Board for a local chapter of Safe Kids USA, advocating for childhood injury prevention. Anne was a University of South Carolina School of Law bronze Compleat Award recipient in 1998 and is recognized as a BV® rated attorney by Martindale-Hubbell®.

## AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:

### Benchmark Plaintiff

**2013** National "Litigation Star": mass tort/product liability – plaintiffs

**2012–2014** South Carolina "Litigation Star": mass tort/product liability – plaintiffs

**2014** *Top 150 Women in Litigation* list: South Carolina: mass tort/product liability – plaintiffs

### The Best Lawyers in America®

**2016** Charleston, S.C. "Lawyer of the Year": Mass tort litigation/class actions – plaintiffs

**2011–2018** Mass tort litigation/class actions – plaintiffs

### The National Trial Lawyers

**2010** *Top 100 Trial Lawyers*™: South Carolina

### The Legal 500 United States

**2007, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2016** Mass tort and class action: plaintiff representation – toxic tort

### South Carolina Super Lawyers® list

**2013–2017** Class action/mass torts; Personal injury – products: plaintiff; Personal injury – general: plaintiff

## ASSOCIATIONS:

**Public Justice Foundation**, Immediate Past President – Board of Directors

**American Association for Justice**, Chair – Committee on Asbestos Education

**American Bar Association**

**South Carolina Association for Justice**, Board of Governors; Chair – Women's Caucus

**Litigation Counsel of America Trial Lawyer Honorary Society Order of the Coif**

**Order of the Wig and Robe**

**John Belton O'Neal Inn of Court**

**American Inns of Court, James L. Petigru Chapter**

## Marlon E. Kimpson

LICENSED IN: SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. District Court for the District of South Carolina, Eastern District of Michigan

EDUCATION:

J.D., University of South Carolina School of Law, 1999

B.A., Morehouse College, 1991

Marlon Kimpson represents victims of corporate malfeasance, from investors in securities fraud cases to people injured or killed in catastrophic incidents. Building upon the firm's relationships with unions and governmental entities, Marlon represents individuals, state and municipality pension funds, multi-employer plans, unions and other institutional investors in securities fraud class actions and mergers and acquisition cases to help recover assets and improve corporate governance.

Marlon has worked on shareholder derivative litigation and on mergers and acquisitions cases that include: *In re Atheros Communications, Inc., Shareholder Litigation*; *In re Celera Corporation Shareholder Litigation*; *In re RehabCare Group, Inc. Shareholders Litigation* and *In re Coventry Healthcare, Inc., Shareholder Litigation*.

In addition to securities fraud litigation, Marlon supports the State of South Carolina in litigation alleging deceptive marketing of highly addictive opioid prescription painkillers by Purdue Pharma Inc. He has also represented victims of catastrophic

## TEAM BIOS:

personal injury, asbestos exposure, and aviation disasters. He has litigated commercial and charter aviation cases with clients, defendants and accidents involving multiple countries. He has also represented people and businesses that need help filing their claims under the new claims programs established by the two Deepwater Horizon BP oil spill settlements.

Marlon currently serves as South Carolina State Senator of District 42, representing citizens of Charleston and Dorchester Counties. A frequent speaker, Marlon has presented at seminars and conferences across the country, including the Public Funds Summit, the National Association of State Treasurers, the South Carolina Black Lawyers' Association, the National Conference on Public Employee Retirement Systems (NCPERS) and the National Association of Securities Professionals (NASP).

After five years in commercial banking, Marlon entered the field of law and served as a law clerk to Judge Matthew J. Perry of the U.S. District Court of South Carolina. His legal work and volunteer service also earned him the University of South Carolina School of Law bronze Compleat Award. Martindale-Hubbell® recognizes Marlon as a BV® rated attorney.

Marlon is active in his community and formerly served on the Board of Directors for the Peggy Browning Fund. He has also held leadership roles with the University of South Carolina Board of Visitors, the Charleston Black Lawyers Association and the South Carolina Election Commission. He is a lifetime member of the NAACP and a member of Sigma Pi Phi Boulé and Omega Psi Phi fraternity.

### AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:

#### American Association of Justice

**2017** Johnnie L. Cochran, Jr. Soaring Eagle Award

#### The Best Lawyers in America®

**2015–2018** Mass tort litigation/class actions – plaintiffs

#### Benchmark Plaintiff

**2012** National "Litigation Star": mass tort/product liability

**2012–2014** South Carolina "Litigation Star": environmental, mass tort, securities

#### Coastal Conservation League

**2016** Coastal Stewardship Award

#### United Food and Commercial Workers

**2016** Legislative Activist of the Year

### ASSOCIATIONS:

#### American Association for Justice

#### South Carolina Association for Justice

#### National Association of Public Pension Attorneys

#### American Bar Association

#### National Bar Association

### Gregg S. Levin

LICENSED IN: DC, MA, SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Court of Appeals for the First, Second, Third, Fifth, Ninth and Eleventh Circuits

U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado

EDUCATION:

J.D., Vanderbilt University School of Law, 1987

B.A., University of Rochester, 1984

With more than two decades of legal experience, Gregg Levin represents domestic and foreign institutional investors and union pension funds in corporate governance, directorial misconduct and securities fraud matters. His investigative, research and writing skills have supported Motley Rice as lead or co-lead counsel in numerous securities and shareholder derivative cases against Dell, Inc., UBS AG and Cintas Corporation. Gregg manages complaint and brief writing for class action deal cases, shareholder derivative suits and securities fraud class actions.

Prior to joining Motley Rice, Gregg was an associate with Grant & Eisenhofer in Delaware, where he represented institutional investors in securities fraud actions and shareholder derivative actions in federal and state courts across the country, including the WorldCom, Telxon and Global Crossing cases. He also served as corporate counsel to a Delaware Valley-based retail corporation from 1996-2003, where he handled corporate compliance matters and internal investigations.

Appearing in the media to discuss a variety of securities matters, Gregg has also presented in educational forums, including at the Ethics and Transparency in Corporate America Webinar held by the National Association of State Treasurers.

### PUBLISHED WORKS:

Gregg is a published author on corporate governance and accountability issues, having written significant portions of the treatise *Shareholder Activism Handbook* (Aspen Publishers, November 2005), as well as several other articles of interest to institutional investors, including:

- "In re Cox Communications: A Suggested Step in the Wrong Direction" (*Bank and Corporate Governance Law Reporter*, September 2005)
- "Does Corporate Governance Matter to Investment Returns?" (*Corporate Accountability Report*, September 23, 2005)
- "In re Walt Disney Co. Deriv. Litig. and the Duty of Good Faith under Delaware Corporate Law" (*Bank and Corporate Governance Law Reporter*, September 2006)
- "Proxy Access Takes Center Stage: The Second Circuit's Decision in American Federation of State County and Municipal Employees, Employees Pension Plan v. American International Group, Inc." (*Bloomberg Law Reports*, February 5, 2007)
- "Investor Litigation in the U.S. -- The System is Working" (*Securities Reform Act Litigation Reporter*, February 2007)

**Joshua Littlejohn**

LICENSED IN: SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit; U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado, District of South Carolina

EDUCATION:

J.D., Charleston School of Law, 2007

B.A., University of North Carolina at Asheville, 1999

With a broad base of experience in complex litigation—including securities fraud, corporate governance, SEC whistleblower, medical malpractice, and catastrophic injury—Josh Littlejohn plays a key role on the Motley Rice securities litigation team, particularly cases involving healthcare.

Josh represents public pension funds, unions and institutional investors in both federal and state courts. He also represents people with catastrophic injuries, victims of medical malpractice and corporate whistleblowers. Josh works directly with clients and has been involved in all aspects of the litigation process, including case evaluation, fact and expert discovery, resolution and trial.

Among other complex securities matters, Josh has been involved in litigation against Wells Fargo, 3D Systems Corporation, St. Jude Medical; Pharmacia Corporation and NPS Pharmaceuticals. Josh has also been involved in the groundbreaking securities fraud litigation against NASDAQ and the New York Stock Exchange, among other defendants, related to high frequency trading or “HFT.”

Early in his career at Motley Rice, Josh worked on discovery in mass tort litigation involving Merck & Co., Inc.’s drug Vioxx.

**AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:***South Carolina Super Lawyers® Rising Stars* list

**2013–2017** Securities litigation; Class action/mass torts; General litigation

**ASSOCIATIONS:**

American Bar Association

South Carolina Association for Justice

**Robert J. McConnell**

LICENSED IN: MA, RI

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts, District of Rhode Island

EDUCATION:

J.D., Suffolk University School of Law, 1987

A.B., Brown University, 1979

Bob McConnell’s practice concentrates on lead pigment litigation, childhood lead poisoning cases, groundwater and soil contamination cases and other toxic environmental litigation. He represents victims seeking corporate accountability as a result of personal injury, property damage and economic loss as a result of negligent environmental practices.

Bob was a member of the trial team in the landmark trial on behalf of the state of Rhode Island against corporate defendants from the lead paint industry. He secured the largest lead paint poisoning settlement in Rhode Island on behalf of a child and continues to represent children injured by lead poisoning

against property owners, governmental agencies and lead pigment companies. He also played a leading role in a statewide lobbying effort to defeat legislation that would have denied lead-poisoned children and their families the right to seek justice. Through testimony, analysis and grassroots outreach, he helped the Rhode Island legislature pass a bill helping to prevent childhood lead poisoning without infringing on victims’ rights.

In 2005, he successfully argued the precedent-setting case *Thomas v. Mallett* 285 Wis 2d 236 as part of the Motley Rice trial team applying risk contribution theory to the lead paint industry before the Wisconsin Supreme Court. More recently, Bob represented more than 100 residents of Tiverton, R.I., in an environmental contamination lawsuit against a major New England utility company.

With more than two decades of experience in asbestos litigation, Bob also represents victims of asbestos exposure suffering from mesothelioma and other asbestos-related diseases. He has managed large consolidation trials in several states including Maryland, Mississippi and West Virginia.

After beginning his career as a teacher, Bob earned a law degree and clerked for the Honorable Donald F. Shea of the Rhode Island Supreme Court. He joined Motley Rice attorneys on the tobacco litigation team representing multiple state attorneys general, which resulted in the historic Master Settlement Agreement between the states and the tobacco industry.

Highly active in the Rhode Island community, Bob serves as board vice chairman of The Institute for the Study and Practice of Nonviolence, an organization that seeks to promote nonviolence among young people in Rhode Island’s inner cities. He is also a board member for the George Wiley Center, which advocates for the rights of low income Rhode Island citizens, and the Fund for Community Progress, an organization that supports 26 grassroots organizations working for long-term community change.

Bob frequently speaks about lead paint litigation to local and regional groups such as the Rhode Island Bar Association and the Northeast Conference of Attorneys General. He is recognized as an AV® rated attorney by Martindale-Hubbell®.

**AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:***The Best Lawyers in America®***2009–2018** Mass tort litigation/class actions – plaintiffs*Rhode Island Super Lawyers®* lists

**2008–2016** Plaintiff: Class action/mass torts; Environmental litigation; Personal injury: general

*Benchmark Plaintiff*

**2012–2014** Rhode Island “Litigation Star”: environmental and toxic tort

*The Legal 500 United States*

**2015** Mass tort and class action: plaintiff representation – toxic tort

**ASSOCIATIONS:**

American Association for Justice

American Bar Association

\*Although it endorses this lawyer, The Legal 500 United States is not a Motley Rice client.

## TEAM BIOS:

### Donald A. Migliori

LICENSED IN: MA, MN, NY, RI, SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Court of Appeals for the First and Fourth Circuits, U.S. District Court for the District of Rhode Island, District of Massachusetts and Northern, Southern and Eastern Districts of New York

EDUCATION:

M.A./J.D., Syracuse University, 1993

A.B., Brown University, 1988

Building upon his experience in complex asbestos cases, the historic tobacco lawsuits and 9/11 litigation, Don Migliori is a multifaceted litigator who can navigate both the courtroom and the negotiating table. He represents victims of defective medical devices and drugs, occupational diseases, terrorism, aviation disasters, antitrust, and securities and consumer fraud in mass torts and other cutting-edge litigation that spans the country.

Don serves in leadership roles for a number of multi-district litigations, including playing a key role in negotiations on behalf of tens of thousands of women allegedly harmed by pelvic mesh/sling products and serving as co-liaison counsel in the N.J. Bard pelvic mesh litigation in Atlantic County. Hundreds of cases have been filed in federal and states courts against multiple defendants.

He is also co-lead counsel for *In re Ethicon Physiomesher Flexible Composite Hernia Mesh Products Liability Litigation*, a member of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee for *In re Bard IVC Filters Products Liability Litigation*, as well as the Depuy® Orthopaedics, Inc. ASR™ and Pinnacle® Hip Implant MDLs. Don has litigated against both Ethicon, a Johnson & Johnson subsidiary, and C.R. Bard previously in pelvic mesh litigation and also against C.R. Bard in the Composix® Kugel® hernia mesh multidistrict litigation, *In re Kugel Mesh Hernia Patch Products Liability Litigation*, the first MDL before the federal court of Rhode Island. Don also serves as co-lead plaintiffs' counsel and liaison counsel in the federal MDL, and as liaison counsel for the Composix® Kugel® Mesh lawsuits consolidated in Rhode Island state court on behalf of thousands of individuals alleging injury by the hernia repair patch.

Don played a central role in the extensive discovery, mediations and settlements of more than 50 cases of 9/11 aviation liability and damages against numerous defendants. He represented families of the victims of the September 11, 2001, attacks who opted out of the Victim Compensation Fund to seek greater answers, accountability and recourse, and served as liaison counsel for all wrongful death and personal injury cases in the 9/11 aviation security litigation. Additionally, he manages anti-terrorism litigation associated with the 9/11 terrorist attacks as a lead attorney of the 9/11 Families United to Bankrupt Terrorism, a groundbreaking case designed to bankrupt the financiers of al Qaeda.

Don contributed his experience in connection with the commencement of and strategy for shareholder derivative litigation brought on behalf Chiquita Brands International,

Inc., alleging the defendants breached their fiduciary duties by paying bribes to terrorist organizations in violation of U.S. and Columbian law. He also served as trial counsel for PACE Industry Union-Management Pension Fund in a securities case against Forest Laboratories, Inc., and was involved in the initial liability discovery and trial strategy in an ongoing securities fraud class action involving Household International, Inc.

Don began working with Motley Rice attorneys in 1997 on behalf of the State Attorneys General in the historic lawsuit against Big Tobacco, resulting in the largest civil settlement in U.S. history. He tried several noteworthy asbestos cases on behalf of mesothelioma victims, including the state of Indiana's first contractor liability verdict and first premises liability verdict for wrongful exposure to asbestos. He continues to manage asbestos cases and actively litigates mesothelioma lawsuits and individual tobacco cases in the courtroom.

Don is a frequent speaker at legal seminars across the country and has appeared on numerous television and radio programs, as well as in print media to address legal issues related to terrorist financing, aviation security, class action litigation, premises liability and defective medical devices. A "Distinguished Practitioner in Residence" at Roger Williams University School of Law for the 2010-2011 academic year, Don taught mass torts as an adjunct professor for more than 10 years. Don is an AV® rated attorney by Martindale-Hubbell®.

### AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:

**The Best Lawyers in America®**

**2011-2018** Mass tort litigation/class actions – plaintiffs

**Rhode Island Super Lawyers®** lists

**2012-2013** Top 10 "Best of the Best"

**2009-2016** Class action/mass torts; Personal Injury – products: plaintiff; Aviation and aerospace

**The National Trial Lawyers**

**2010-present** Top 100 Trial Lawyers™: Rhode Island

**Rhode Island Lawyers Weekly**

**2011** Lawyers of the Year

**Massachusetts Lawyers Weekly**

**2011** Lawyers of the Year

**Benchmark Plaintiff**

**2012-2014** Rhode Island "Litigation Star": human rights and product liability

**2010 Lawdragon™ 3,000**

**Providence Business News**

**2005** Forty Under 40

### ASSOCIATIONS:

**American Association for Justice**, Board of Governors; former Executive Committee member

**American Bar Association**

**Rhode Island Association for Justice**, former President

**The Fellows of the American Bar Foundation**



**William H. Narwold**

LICENSED IN: CT, DC, NY, SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Supreme Court, U.S. Court of Appeals for the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth, Eleventh, D.C., and Federal Circuits, U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado, District of Connecticut, Eastern and Southern Districts of New York, District of South Carolina

EDUCATION:

J.D. cum laude, University of Connecticut School of Law, 1979  
B.A., Colby College, 1974

Bill Narwold has advocated for corporate accountability and fiduciary responsibility for nearly 40 years, representing consumers, governmental entities, unions and institutional investors. He litigates complex securities fraud, shareholder rights and consumer fraud lawsuits, as well as matters involving unfair trade practices, antitrust violations and whistleblower/qui tam claims.

Bill leads Motley Rice's securities and consumer fraud litigation teams and False Claim Act practice. He is also active in the firm's appellate practice. His experience includes being involved in more than 200 appeals before the U.S. Supreme Court, U.S. Courts of Appeal and multiple state courts.

Prior to joining Motley Rice in 2004, Bill directed corporate, securities, financial, and other complex litigation on behalf of private and commercial clients for 25 years at Cummings & Lockwood in Hartford, Connecticut, including 10 years as managing partner. Prior to his work in private practice, he served as a law clerk for the Honorable Warren W. Eginton of the U.S. District Court, District of Connecticut from 1979-1981.

Bill often acts as an arbitrator and mediator both privately and through the American Arbitration Association. He is a frequent speaker on legal matters, including class actions. Named one of 11 lawyers "who made a difference" by *The Connecticut Law Tribune*, Bill is recognized as an AV® rated attorney by Martindale-Hubbell®.

Bill has served the Hartford community with past involvements including the Greater Hartford Legal Assistance Foundation, Lawyers for Children America, and as President of the Connecticut Bar Foundation. For more than twenty years, Bill served as a Director and Chairman of Protein Sciences Corporation, a biopharmaceutical company in Meriden, Connecticut.

**AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:*****The Best Lawyers in America*®**

**2017** Hartford, Conn. "Lawyer of the Year": Litigation-Banking and Finance

**2013** Hartford, Conn. "Lawyer of the Year": Litigation-Banking and finance

**2005-2018** Litigation-Banking and finance, mergers and acquisitions, securities

**Connecticut Super Lawyers**® and **New England Super Lawyers**® lists

**2009-2016** Securities litigation; Class action/mass torts

**2008** *The Best of the U.S.* list

**Connecticut Bar Foundation**

**2008** Legal Services Leadership Award

**ASSOCIATIONS:**

**American Bar Association**

**Connecticut Bar Foundation**, Past President

**Taxpayers Against Fraud**

**University of Connecticut Law School Foundation**, past Board of Trustees member

**William S. Norton**

LICENSED IN: MA, NY, SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Supreme Court; U.S. Court of Appeals for the First, Second and Fourth Circuits; U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado, Northern District of Illinois, District of Massachusetts, Eastern and Southern Districts of New York, and District of South Carolina

EDUCATION:

J.D., Boston University School of Law, 2004

B.A./B.S. *magna cum laude*, University of South Carolina, 2001

Bill Norton litigates securities fraud, corporate governance, and other complex class-action and commercial litigation. Bill has represented public retirement systems, union pension funds, investment companies, banks, and other institutional and individual investors before federal, state, and appellate courts throughout the country. He also has experience representing whistleblowers who report violations of the law to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission under the Dodd-Frank Whistleblower Program.

**Federal Securities Fraud Litigation**

Bill is a member of the litigation teams representing institutional investors as lead counsel in litigation involving Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.; Investment Technology Group, Inc.; GNC Holdings, Inc.; and Medtronic, Inc. He also played a key role in the following cases:

- *Bennett v. Sprint Nextel Corp.* (\$131 million recovery\*)
- *City of Brockton Retirement System v. Avon Products, Inc.* (\$62 million recovery\*)
- *Hill v. State Street Corporation* (\$60 million recovery\*)
- *City of Sterling Heights General Employees' Retirement System v. Hospira, Inc.* (\$60 million recovery\*)
- *In re Hewlett-Packard Company Securities Litigation* (\$57 million recovery\*)
- *Ross v. Career Education Corporation* (\$27.5 million recovery\*)

**Shareholder Derivative Litigation**

Bill was a member of the teams that litigated the following cases:

- *Manville Personal Injury Settlement Trust v. Gemunder* (\$16.7 million payment to the company and significant corporate governance reforms\*)
- *In re Walgreen Co. Derivative Litigation* (corporate governance reforms ensuring compliance with Controlled Substances Act\*)

## TEAM BIOS:

### Merger and Acquisition Litigation

Bill has represented institutional shareholders in litigation concerning corporate mergers and acquisitions, including the following cases:

- *In re Allion Healthcare, Inc. Shareholders Litigation* (\$4 million payment to shareholders\*)
- *In re RehabCare Group, Inc., Shareholders Litigation* (\$2.5 million payment, modification of merger agreement, and additional disclosures to shareholders\*)
- *In re Atheros Communications Shareholder Litigation* (preliminary injunction delaying shareholder vote and requiring additional disclosures to shareholders in \$3.1 billion merger\*)
- *Maric Capital Master Fund, Ltd. v. PLATO Learning, Inc.* (preliminary injunction requiring additional disclosures to shareholders in \$143 million private-equity buyout\*)
- *In re The Shaw Group Shareholders Litigation* (class-wide, opt-in appraisal right and additional disclosures to shareholders in \$3 billion merger\*)

### Other Securities, Consumer Fraud, and Commercial Litigation

Bill has also represented clients in a wide variety of securities, consumer fraud, and commercial litigation, including the following cases:

- Class action on behalf of satellite retailers against EchoStar Corporation, resulting in settlement valued at approximately \$83 million\*
- Class action on behalf of bondholders concerning alleged Ponzi scheme, resulting in \$7.8 million recovery\*
- Class action against DirecTV regarding early cancellation fees
- Litigation on behalf of a German bank concerning investments in mortgage-backed collateralized debt obligations
- Federal and state lawsuits regarding variable life insurance investments funneled to the Madoff Ponzi scheme
- Litigation on behalf of real-estate investors regarding luxury real estate development

Prior to joining Motley Rice, Bill practiced securities and commercial litigation in the New York office of an international law firm. While attending law school, Bill served as an Editor of the *Boston University Law Review* and was a G. Joseph Tauro Distinguished Scholar. He served as a law clerk in the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Massachusetts, represented asylum seekers at Greater Boston Legal Services, and studied law at the University of Oxford. Prior to law school, Bill worked for the United States Attorney's Office for the District of South Carolina and with the Neighborhood Legal Assistance Program of Charleston through a grant program. Bill graduated Phi Beta Kappa from the University of South Carolina Honors College. Bill is recognized as an AV®-rated attorney by Martindale-Hubbell®.

### AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:

**South Carolina Super Lawyers® Rising Stars** list  
**2013–2017** Securities litigation; Class action/mass torts;  
 General litigation

### ASSOCIATIONS:

**Federal Bar Association**  
**American Bar Association**  
**American Association for Justice**  
**New York State Bar Association**  
**South Carolina Bar Association**  
**Charleston County Bar Association**

### Lance Oliver

LICENSED IN: AL, DC, FL, SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia, Second, Fifth and the Eleventh Circuits; U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, and the Middle and Southern Districts of Florida

EDUCATION:

J.D., Duke University School of Law, 2004

B.A., Samford University, 2001

Lance Oliver focuses his practice on class actions, mass torts and other complex litigation. He represents institutional investors in securities fraud class actions and merger and acquisition litigation, and has experience in trial and appellate courts, as well as arbitration and mediation. His recent experience includes:

- Serving as trial counsel representing individual smokers and families of deceased smokers against tobacco manufacturers in the Engle-progeny litigation pending in Florida
- Litigating and resolving shareholders' breach of fiduciary duty claims in *In re Coventry Health Care, Inc. Shareholder Litigation*
- Serving as co-class counsel in *Alaska Electrical Pension Fund, et al. v. Pharmacia Corp., et al.*, a securities fraud class action that settled for \$164 million dollars\*
- Litigating and resolving shareholders' breach of fiduciary duty claims in *In re Rehabcare Group, Inc. Shareholder Litigation*, which resulted in creating a \$2.5 million settlement fund for Rehabcare shareholders\*

Lance has devoted a substantial amount of time to litigating securities fraud class actions and played a key role in documenting and administering the following class action settlements: *In re Select Medical Corp. Sec. Litig.* (settled for \$5 million\*); *In re NPS Pharm., Inc. Sec. Litig.* (settled for \$15 million\*); *In re MBNA Sec. Litig.* (settled for \$25 million\*); *In re Dell Sec. Litig.* (settled for \$40 million\*).

Prior to joining Motley Rice in 2007, Lance served as an associate in the Washington, D.C., office of a national law firm, where he worked on complex products liability litigation at both the trial and appellate levels. Lance also has experience in SEC whistleblower actions.

Lance is an active member of the National Conference on Public Employee Retirement Systems (NCPERS) and the International Foundation of Employee Benefit Plans (IFEBCP). After graduating from Duke Law School, he served as a law clerk to the Honorable James Hughes Hancock of the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Alabama. He is recognized as an AV® rated attorney by Martindale-Hubbell®.

### AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:

**South Carolina Super Lawyers® Rising Stars** list  
**2013–2017** Securities litigation; Class action/mass torts

**The National Trial Lawyers**

**2016** Top 100 Trial Lawyers™ South Carolina

### ASSOCIATIONS:

**American Bar Association**

**Michael J. Pendell**

LICENSED IN: CT, NY

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. District Court for the District of Connecticut, Southern and Eastern Districts of New York

EDUCATION:

J.D., *summa cum laude*, Albany Law School, 2007B.A., *cum laude*, Emerson College, 2000

Michael Pendell focuses his practice on representing people affected by corporate wrongdoing, including whistleblowers, and people harmed by tobacco and dangerous pelvic mesh devices. He also represents pension fund trustees and other institutional investors in securities, consumer fraud, and other complex class actions.

Michael has been involved in the firm's representation of personal injury clients, including representing people allegedly harmed by tobacco products and thousands alleging harm by dangerous medical devices. He serves as trial counsel in the Engle-progeny litigation pending in Florida for smokers and families of deceased smokers against tobacco manufacturers. In transvaginal mesh litigation, he represents women implanted with Ethicon Gynecare Prolift transvaginal mesh devices and who claim serious injuries and complications from the devices.

Michael also has experience representing institutional and individual investors in claims involving common law fraud pursuant to state securities laws. He played a central role on the litigation team that obtained a seven-figure arbitration award in a case involving secondary liability for an investment advisor's conduct under the Uniform Securities Act. Michael also represents clients in complex commercial cases regarding claims of fraud, breach of contract, and tortious interference, as well as representing whistleblowers in multiple cases involving the False Claims Act, including litigation filed against Afognak Native Corp., alleging regulatory violations related to the Small Business Administration.

Michael, along with other Motley Rice attorneys, represented a union pension fund as co-lead counsel in a securities fraud class action to recoup losses against a telecom provider that allegedly provided false information regarding its financial results, causing artificially inflated stock prices that subsequently plummeted when the truth was made known. The settlement is pending court approval.

Prior to joining Motley Rice, Michael served as an associate with a Connecticut-based law firm, where he first gained experience in both federal and state courts in such areas as commercial and construction litigation, media and administrative law, personal injury defense and labor and employment matters. He previously taught business law to BA and MBA candidates as an adjunct professor at Albertus Magnus College.

Michael served as a legal intern for the Honorable Randolph F. Treece of the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of New York and as a law clerk for the Major Felony Unit of the Albany County District Attorney's Office. He served as the executive editor for the *New York State Bar Association Government Law & Policy Journal* and senior editor for the *Albany Law Review*, which published his 2008 article entitled, "How Far is Too Far?

The Spending Clause, the Tenth Amendment, and the Education State's Battle Against Unfunded Mandates."

**AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:****Connecticut Super Lawyers® Rising Stars list****2013–2016** Securities litigation; Business litigation; Personal injury – products: plaintiff**ASSOCIATIONS:****American Association for Justice****Connecticut Bar Association****New York State Bar Association**

\* Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. For full *Super Lawyers* selection methodology visit: [www.superlawyers.com/about/selection\\_process.html](http://www.superlawyers.com/about/selection_process.html)  
For CT-specific methodology visit: [www.superlawyers.com/connecticut/selection\\_details.html](http://www.superlawyers.com/connecticut/selection_details.html)

**Mary F. Schiavo**

LICENSED IN: DC, FL, MD, MO, SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Supreme Court

EDUCATION:

J.D., New York University School of Law, 1980 (Root-Tilden Scholar)

M.A., The Ohio State University, 1977 (University Fellow)

B.A. *cum laude*, Harvard University, 1976

A CNN Analyst and former U.S. Department of Transportation Inspector General, Mary Schiavo seeks accountability and industry change from corporations, institutions and the government so that they may meet their obligation to protect the safety and security of the traveling public. With years of experience in transportation litigation, Mary represents victims and their families suffering from negligence of airline, automotive, commercial trucking, motorcoach and rail companies.

A leader of the firm's aviation team, Mary has represented passengers and crew of most major U.S. air crashes, as well as pilots and passengers on private or charter planes. She represents passengers, pilots, flight attendants and select owners and operators. Her experience with major, complex aviation litigation includes more than 50 cases on behalf of the family members of the passengers and crew of all the planes hijacked on Sept. 11, 2001.

Mary has held numerous government appointments under three U.S. Presidents, including that of Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Transportation from 1990 to 1996. Under Mary's direction, the agency investigated air safety, crimes and disasters; secured more than 1,000 criminal convictions; and exposed billions of dollars of fraud, waste and abuse of taxpayer money. She testified before Congress multiple times on transportation safety, security, budgeting and infrastructure. In recognition of her work combating the use of bogus aircraft parts worldwide, Mary was honored by *Aviation Week* with its Aviation Laurel Award in 1992 and 1995 and was inducted into the Aviation Laurel Hall of Fame in 1997.

## TEAM BIOS:

As an Assistant U.S. Attorney early in her career, Mary litigated civil cases and prosecuted federal white-collar crimes, bank and securities fraud, mail and wire fraud, drug trafficking and counterfeiting. During her appointment, she also served on the U.S. Department of Justice's Organized Crime and Racketeering Strike Force, prosecuting high-profile criminal cases of bank and securities fraud and related mail and wire fraud, including a large investigation of a bank and securities fraud scheme that resulted in the federal takeover of banks, savings and loans throughout the Midwest.

In 1987, Mary was selected as a White House Fellow and assigned to the U.S. Attorney General, where she worked as the Special Assistant for Criminal Affairs. In this role, she reviewed high security prosecutions, prepared Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act Requests, attended foreign legal summits with the Attorney General and worked on international prisoner and evidence exchanges. During this time, she also taught trial technique at the U.S. Attorney General's Advocacy Institute and the Federal Bureau of Investigation Academy. Her work earned her an appointment as the Assistant U.S. Secretary of Labor in 1989, where she led the Office of Labor Management Standards, supervising union elections and investigations on election and financial irregularities.

A frequent on-air contributor or consultant for several networks, Mary has appeared on CNN, ABC, CBS, Fox News, NBC, BBC, the History Channel and Discovery Channel. Named by *Glamour* magazine as a 1997 Woman of the Year, 1987 Working Woman of the Year and a Top Ten College Student in 1975, she has spoken about aviation safety on *20/20*, *60 Minutes*, *Good Morning America*, *Larry King Live*, *Nancy Grace*, *Nightline*, *Oprah*, *The O'Reilly Factor*, *Today*, and *Your World with Neil Cavuto*, among others. Mary is the author of *Flying Blind*, *Flying Safe*, a *New York Times* bestseller, and was featured in *Time* magazine for exposing the poor safety and security practices of the airlines and the failures of the federal government to properly regulate the aviation industry. She contributed to *Aviation Security Management* (Volume One, 2008) and *Supply Chain Security* (Volumes One and Two, 2010).

Mary received her pilot's license soon after her driver's license, and later completed private and commercial flight training at The Ohio State University. She returned to The Ohio State University as the McConnell Aviation Chair and professor from 1998-2002 and as the Enarson Professor of Public Policy from 1997-1998. She has also served as a practitioner in residence at the New York University School of Law, and is currently a member of the Board of Directors for the Lowcountry SC chapter of the American Red Cross.

### AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:

#### **The Best Lawyers in America®**

**2017** Charleston, S.C. "Lawyer of the Year": Mass tort litigation/class actions – plaintiffs

**2010–2018** Mass tort litigation/class actions – plaintiffs

#### **National Law Journal**

**2015** Outstanding Women Lawyers

### **Aviation Week**

**1997** Inducted to the Aviation Laureates Hall of Fame

**1992, 1995** Aviation Laurel Award in recognition of her work combating the use of bogus aircraft parts

### **Benchmark Plaintiff**

**2014** *Top 150 Women in Litigation* list: South Carolina – mass tort, securities, aviation

**2012–2014** South Carolina "Litigation Star": mass tort, securities, aviation

**2012–2013** National "Litigation Star": mass tort/product liability

### ASSOCIATIONS:

#### **American Association for Justice**

**American Bar Association**, First Female Assembly Delegate, House of Delegates 1986–1989

**International Society of Air Safety Investigators**, affiliate member

#### **International Air and Transportation Safety Bar**

**Association of Plaintiff Interstate Trucking Lawyers of America**, Chair of Legislation

### **Carmen S. Scott**

LICENSED IN: SC

#### EDUCATION:

J.D., University of South Carolina School of Law, 1999

B.A., College of Charleston, 1996

With a focus on women's products, Carmen Scott represents victims of harmful medical products and devices, medical negligence, and corporate misconduct.

Carmen helps lead Motley Rice's mass tort pharmaceutical litigation by managing complex personal injury and economic recovery damages cases. She has been on the forefront of national contraceptive litigation involving products such as Xarelto® and Essure®, and previously litigated Nuvaring®, Yaz® and Yasmin®. She served on the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in *In re NuvaRing Products Liability Litigation*, serves as co-lead counsel in *In re Mirena Product Liability* state court consolidation in New Jersey, and is co-chair of the AAJ Mirena® IUD Litigation Group. She was also appointed to the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee for the multidistrict litigation *In re Power Morcellator Products Liability Litigation* and *In re Johnson & Johnson Talcum Powder Products Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation*. Carmen currently represents clients in a variety of drug product matters in state and federal courts, including talcum powder.

Prior to joining Motley Rice in 2005 and concentrating her efforts on the medical practice area, Carmen represented numerous clients in jury trials, working on products liability, personal injury and business cases for both plaintiffs and defendants.

Carmen is a frequent speaker on medical litigation and topics involving women's products, regularly lecturing at both legal seminars and public advocacy events on such issues as plaintiffs' rights in medical negligence and dangerous drug cases. She has been quoted in numerous national media outlets and publications, including The Associated Press, NBC News New York, *Marie Claire* and *MotherJones*.



A South Carolina native and active in the community, Carmen is currently a College of Charleston alumni board member. She also proudly served on the Board of the South Carolina chapter of Make-A-Wish for many years, fundraising and promoting the organization's mission, as well as serving as a "wish-granter" for selected families and has served as a board member for the nonprofit organization Charleston County Friends of the Library.

#### AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:

##### ***The Best Lawyers in America***<sup>®</sup>

**2018** Charleston, S.C. Personal injury litigation–plaintiffs; Product liability litigation–plaintiffs

##### ***South Carolina Super Lawyers***<sup>®</sup> list

**2015–2017** Personal injury plaintiff: products; Class action/mass torts

##### ***South Carolina Super Lawyers***<sup>®</sup> *Rising Stars* list

**2013–2014** Personal injury plaintiff: products; Class action/mass torts

##### ***Charleston Regional Business Journal***

**2013** Forty Under 40

#### ASSOCIATIONS:

**American Association for Justice**, Exchange Advisory Committee

**American Bar Association**

**South Carolina Association for Justice**

**South Carolina Women Lawyers Association**

#### ***Fred Thompson III***

LICENSED IN: SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Supreme Court, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, U.S. District Court for the District of South Carolina

EDUCATION:

J.D. *with distinction*, Duke University School of Law, 1979

B.A. *cum laude*, Yale University, 1973

With decades of diverse experience in personal injury, commercial and toxic tort law, Fred Thompson represents people harmed by negligence, product defects or misconduct. As a leader of the medical litigation team, Fred manages cases related to defective medical devices, harmful pharmaceutical drugs, medical malpractice, and nursing home abuse.

His work has led to his appointment to numerous leadership positions, including:

- Co-lead coordinating counsel for the pelvic mesh lawsuits consolidated in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia
- Plaintiffs' co-lead counsel for the Mirena<sup>®</sup> IUD multidistrict litigation in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York
- Plaintiffs' co-lead counsel for the federal Digitek<sup>®</sup> consolidation
- Plaintiffs' Steering Committee member for the Medtronic Sprint Fidelis<sup>®</sup> defibrillator lead
- Plaintiffs' Steering Committee member for the Avandia<sup>®</sup> federal multidistrict litigation

- Plaintiffs' Steering Committee member for the Trasylol<sup>®</sup> federal multidistrict litigation
- Chairman of the American Association for Justice's Digitek<sup>®</sup> Litigation Group
- Co-chairman of the AAJ Kugel<sup>®</sup> Mesh Litigation Group

Fred is also active with the firm's consumer fraud, commercial and economic damage litigation. He has represented clients in litigation involving bond issues and securities fraud in federal, state and bankruptcy forums as well as through alternative dispute resolution. Additionally, Fred has practiced commercial transaction work, including contracting, corporate, partnership and limited liability company formation, and capital acquisitions.

Recognized as an AV<sup>®</sup> rated attorney by Martindale-Hubbell<sup>®</sup>, Fred frequently speaks on medical litigation topics at legal seminars throughout the country. He co-authored "Composix<sup>®</sup> Kugel<sup>®</sup> Mesh: A Primer" for the Spring 2008 AAJ Section on Toxic, Environmental & Pharmaceutical Torts newsletter. Fred serves his local community as a Board Member for the East Cooper Community Outreach organization.

#### AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:

##### ***The Best Lawyers in America***<sup>®</sup>

**2018** Charleston, S.C. Mass tort litigation: class actions–plaintiffs

#### ASSOCIATIONS:

**American Association for Justice**

## ADDITIONAL SECURITIES LITIGATORS

#### ***Andrew P. Arnold***

LICENSED IN: NY, SC

EDUCATION:

J.D., with honors, University of North Carolina School of Law, 2013

B.A., with highest honors, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 2002

Andrew Arnold represents institutional investors and individuals in complex securities, corporate governance and shareholder litigation.

He concentrates his practice on investigating and developing securities fraud class actions, shareholder derivative lawsuits, merger and acquisition litigation, and consumer fraud. He joined Motley Rice co-founder Joe Rice in negotiations in the Volkswagen Diesel Emissions Fraud class action for consumers whose vehicles were allegedly designed to bypass regulations. The \$15 billion settlement for 2.0-liter vehicles is the largest consumer auto-related consumer class action in U.S. history, and among the fastest reached of its kind.

Prior to joining Motley Rice, Andrew practiced commercial litigation and investor-state dispute settlement in the Washington, D.C. office of a large international law firm. He was recognized on the 2014 Capital *Pro Bono* High Honor Roll for serving 100 *pro bono* hours in the D.C. area. While attending the University of North Carolina School of Law, Andrew was a member of the *North Carolina Law Review* and served as a judicial intern for the North Carolina Court of Appeals and

## TEAM BIOS:

as a research assistant for Professor Thomas Lee Hazen, a prominent securities regulation scholar.

Andrew also has an extensive background in software development, primarily in the healthcare industry, where he designed and developed software to ensure compliance with government regulations.

### **Elizabeth A. Camputaro**

LICENSED IN: SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal and Fourth Circuits; U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims; U.S. District Court for the District of South Carolina

EDUCATION:

J.D. *magna cum laude*, Charleston School of Law, 2008

B.A., Columbia College, 2004

Elizabeth Camputaro focuses her practice on securities fraud class actions and shareholder derivative suits. Elizabeth has been working with Motley Rice since 2013, overseeing teams that assist the firm's securities practice group through the discovery and trial phases of class action litigation. She is currently a member of the litigation teams representing institutional investors as lead counsel in securities fraud class actions filed against Medtronic, Inc., and Alexion Pharmaceuticals. Among other complex securities fraud and shareholder derivative matters, Elizabeth has been involved in litigation against State Street Corporation, Sprint Nextel Corporation and Advanced Micro Devices.

Prior to joining Motley Rice, Elizabeth served as a judicial law clerk for the Honorable Deadra L. Jefferson, Ninth Judicial Circuit. While in law school, Elizabeth was a member of the *Federal Courts Law Review*, contributed over 100 hours of pro bono service, and served as a judicial extern for the Honorable Thomas L. Hughston, Ninth Judicial Circuit.

Active in her community, Elizabeth has served as an Election Commissioner for Beaufort and Summerville municipalities, Beaufort County Council Library Board Trustee, and international missionary with Project Medishare and One World Health.

### **ASSOCIATIONS:**

**American Bar Association**

**South Carolina Bar Association**

**Charleston Bar Association**

### **Erin C. Casey**

LICENSED IN: SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. District Court for the District of South Carolina

EDUCATION:

J.D., University of Illinois College of Law, 2014

B.S. with honors, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2011

Erin Casey protects the interests of institutional investors and consumers through complex securities litigation. Erin is a member of Motley Rice's litigation teams representing investors in securities fraud class action cases. She supports

the firm's efforts in matters involving Qualcomm Incorporated and Investment Technology Group, Inc.

Erin assisted in the development of deposition strategies and completed discovery with the Motley Rice securities team before joining the firm in 2017. Her previous experience includes litigating claims involving medical malpractice, wrongful death, personal injury and complex family law matters at a Charleston, S.C., law firm. She also researched and drafted memoranda regarding construction defects, insurance defense, and tort liability for a national litigation support agency.

While pursuing her law degree, Erin interned for the Federal Defender Program in Chicago in addition to working as a judicial extern for the Honorable Michael T. Mason of the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. She served as an associate editor of the *University of Illinois Law Review* and the Community Service Chair of the Women's Law Society.

### **ASSOCIATIONS:**

**American Bar Association**

**South Carolina Bar Association**

**South Carolina Association for Justice**

**South Carolina Women Lawyers Association**

**Charleston County Bar Association**

### **Sara O. Couch**

LICENSED IN: FL, SC

EDUCATION:

J.D., University of North Carolina School of Law, 2013

A.B., Duke University, 2009

Sara Couch represents institutional investors, government entities and consumers in securities and consumer fraud litigation. Sara also assists in the litigation of individual tobacco cases.

Prior to joining Motley Rice, Sara served as a law clerk with the North Carolina Department of Justice, where she researched and drafted briefs and memoranda regarding the False Claims Act and Stark Law for the North Carolina Medicaid Civil Enforcement Division. She also investigated allegations of healthcare fraud and presented findings to the division.

During law school Sara was a certified student practitioner with the University of North Carolina Civil Litigation Clinic. As a student practitioner, Sara represented clients in administrative hearings, obtaining successful outcomes and needed relief. She also represented several inmates in an action against the North Carolina prison system, conducting depositions and assisting in obtaining a preliminary injunction against the prison.

While attending the University of North Carolina School of Law, Sara competed in the Kilpatrick Townsend 1L Mock Trial Competition and was awarded best oral advocate during the preliminary round. She was a staff member of the *First Amendment Law Review* and was a member of the Carolina Law Ambassadors.

Sara also volunteered with Legal Aid of North Carolina, assisting advocates for Children's Services with a school-to-prison pipeline project by researching education policy issues, North

Carolina case law and education data to be used in education litigation. Sara completed a total of 50 hours of pro bono service while a student at UNC School of Law.

An avid rower, Sara was a varsity member of the NCCA Division-I Duke University's rowing team and is a classically-trained pianist.

### **Max N. Gruetzmacher**

LICENSED IN: SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. District Court for the District of South Carolina

EDUCATION:

J.D., Marquette University Law School, 2008

B.A., University of Wisconsin-Madison, 2004

Max Gruetzmacher focuses his practice on securities and consumer fraud, representing large public pension funds, unions and other institutional investors in securities and consumer fraud class actions and shareholder derivative suits.

Max has represented numerous clients in a variety of complex civil litigation matters. He has substantial experience managing litigation discovery efforts and shaping e-discovery strategy, including drafting and negotiating sophisticated e-discovery protocols. Max is proficient in the use of predictive coding and other advanced analytic technologies and workflows.

Previously, he served as a legal intern during law school for the Wisconsin State Public Defender, Appellate Division, where he aided in appellate criminal defense and handled legal research and appellate brief writing projects.

### **ASSOCIATIONS:**

**South Carolina Bar Association**

**Charleston County Bar Association**

### **Annie E. Kouba**

LICENSED IN: SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. District Court for the District of South Carolina

EDUCATION:

J.D., University of North Carolina School of Law, 2016

M.S.W., University of North Carolina School of Social Work, 2016

B.A., *magna cum laude*, Lenoir-Rhyne University, 2012

Annie Kouba represents institutional investors in securities fraud and shareholder litigation as well as public clients and government entities.

Annie's work includes helping Biogen shareholders in their fight to recover losses associated with the pharmaceutical company's allegedly dangerous drug Tecfidera.

She also assists in litigation filed by the Cherokee Nation against the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and other federal agencies related to the False Claims Act. She has additional experience in *qui tam* whistleblower litigation.

Prior to joining Motley Rice, Annie interned with the North Carolina Department of Justice in the Health and Human Services Division where she drafted criminal briefs for the

N.C. Court of Appeals and N.C. Supreme Court, and assisted the president of the American Association of Public Welfare Attorneys. She also interned with the EMILY's List Political Opportunity Program and has worked as a *voir dire* consultant.

Annie concentrated in Community, Management, and Policy Practice at the University of North Carolina's School of Social Work Master's program where she specialized in the intersection of public policy and the law. Through a practicum with the program, Annie interned with the Compass Center for Women and Families in the Financial Literacy Education Program, where she served as a certified counselor with The Benefit Bank.

While pursuing her studies at the University of North Carolina School of Law, Annie served as a published staff member on the *First Amendment Law Review* and as vice president of the Carolina Public Interest Law Organization. She also participated in the Pro Bono Program there, through which she prepared tax returns for low-income citizens and researched and provided social work policy and legal perspective related to minors' rights after sexual assault for a guidebook from the NC Coalition Against Sexual Assault.

### **ASSOCIATIONS:**

**American Association for Justice**, Political Action Committee Task Force

**South Carolina Association for Justice**

### **Rebecca E. Jacobs**

LICENSED IN: SC

EDUCATION:

J.D. with honors, Charleston School of Law, 2014

B.A., Furman University, 2010

Rebecca Jacobs focuses her practice on securities and consumer fraud litigation. Rebecca has been working with Motley Rice since 2015, managing teams that help further complex securities litigation through discovery and research. Rebecca was a member of the team that represented institutional investors as lead counsel in *In re Barrick Gold Securities Litigation*, which reached a \$140 million settlement for shareholders\*. She has also contributed to discovery in securities fraud litigation against St. Jude Medical, Inc., and is currently a member of the team representing investors in *In re Conn's, Inc. Securities Litigation*.

Rebecca worked as a legal assistant and paralegal in Charleston while pursuing a law degree. She has also completed numerous *pro bono* hours with programs including Volunteer Income Tax Assistance as well as Adult Guardianship Assistance and Monitoring.

### **ASSOCIATIONS:**

**South Carolina Women Lawyers Association**

**South Carolina Bar Association**

**Charleston County Bar Association**



## TEAM BIOS:

**Meredith B. Miller**

LICENSED IN: SC, TX

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. District Court for the Northern, Southern, Eastern and Western Districts of Texas

EDUCATION:

J.D., University of Texas School of Law, 2011

B.A., *with distinction*, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, 2008

Meredith Miller represents public pension funds, unions and other institutional investors in both federal and state courts. She also represents victims of medical malpractice. Meredith works directly with clients and is typically involved in the initial case evaluation, discovery, and various motions.

Meredith is a member of the team representing investors in securities fraud class actions filed against Advanced Micro Devices, Barrick Gold and SAC Capital. She is also part of the team bringing claims for breach of fiduciary duty against current and former directors of Lululemon for failing to investigate potential insider trades allegedly made by the company's founder and former chairman.

Prior to joining Motley Rice, Meredith gained trial and settlement experience as an associate at a Dallas, Texas, law firm working in business and construction litigation. While attending the University of Texas School of Law, she clerked for an Austin firm, represented victims in court as a student attorney in the UT Law Domestic Violence Clinic and was a Staff Editor of the *Review of Litigation* journal. During her undergraduate and law school career, Meredith studied abroad in Paris, France, Geneva, Switzerland and Puebla, Mexico.

**ASSOCIATIONS:**

Charleston County Bar Association

**Christopher F. Moriarty**

LICENSED IN: SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado, the Northern District of Illinois and the District of South Carolina

EDUCATION:

J.D., Duke University School of Law, 2011

M.A., Trinity College, University of Cambridge, 2007

B.A., Trinity College, University of Cambridge, 2003

Christopher Moriarty litigates securities fraud, corporate governance, and other complex class action litigation in the U.S. and counsels institutional investors on opportunities to seek recovery in securities-related actions in both the U.S. and internationally.

Christopher was a member of the litigation teams representing investors as lead counsel in the following notable actions:

- *In re Barrick Gold Securities Litigation*, No. 13-cv-03851 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$140 million recovery\*) (sole lead counsel);
- *City of Brockton Retirement System v. Avon Products, Inc.*, 11 Civ. 4655 (PGG) (S.D.N.Y.) (\$62 million recovery\*) (sole lead counsel);
- *Hill v. State Street Corp.*, No. 09-cv-12136-GAO (D. Mass.) (\$60 million recovery\*) (co-lead counsel);

- *In re Hewlett-Packard Co. Securities Litigation*, No. 11-cv-1404 (RNBx) (C.D. Cal.) (\$57 million recovery\*) (co-lead counsel);
- *Första AP-Fonden and Danske Invest Management A/S v. St. Jude Medical, Inc.*, No. Civil No. 12-3070 (JNE/HB) (D. Minn.) (\$39.25 million recovery\*) (co-lead counsel);
- *Ross v. Career Education Corp.*, No. 12-cv-00276 (N.D. Ill.) (\$27.5 million recovery\*) (co-lead counsel).

Christopher currently represents investors in the following actions: *KBC Asset Management NV v. 3D Systems Corp.*, No. 15-cv-02393-MGL (D.S.C.); *KBC Asset Management NV v. Aegerion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*, 14-cv-10105-MLW (D. Mass.); *In re Conn's, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 14-cv-00548 (KPE) (S.D. Tex.); and *Martin v. GNC Holdings, Inc.*, No. 15-cv-01522-NBF (W.D. Pa.).

Christopher also represents investors in shareholder derivative litigation, including in *In re Walgreen Co. Derivative Litigation*, No. 13-cv-05471 (N.D. Ill.) (seeking corporate governance reforms to ensure compliance with the Controlled Substances Act\*), and whistleblowers in proceedings before the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

While in law school, Christopher was a member of the Moot Court Board, served as an Executive Editor of the *Duke Journal of Constitutional Law and Public Policy*, and taught a course on constitutional law to LL.M. students. Christopher has also drafted *amicus curiae* briefs in numerous constitutional law cases before the U.S. Supreme Court (which has cited his work) and the federal courts of appeal.

Christopher was called to the Bar in England and Wales by the Honourable Society of the Middle Temple.

**AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:**

South Carolina Super Lawyers® Rising Stars list

2016-2017 Securities litigation

**ASSOCIATIONS:**

South Carolina Association for Justice

American Bar Association

South Carolina Bar Association

Charleston County Bar Association

**Meghan S. B. Oliver**

LICENSED IN: DC, SC, VA

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. District Court for the District of South Carolina

EDUCATION:

J.D., University of Virginia School of Law, 2004

B.A. *with distinction*, University of Virginia, 2000

Meghan Oliver's practice includes work on securities fraud cases, antitrust litigation, general commercial litigation, and consumer fraud litigation.

She is actively involved in two class actions against the U.S. pending in federal district court in D.C., one alleging that the IRS charged unauthorized user fees for the issuance and renewal of preparer tax identification numbers (*Steele v. United States*, Case No. 1:14-cv-1523-RCL), and one alleging that the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts charges more for PACER services than is authorized by statute (*Nat'l Veterans Legal Services Program v. United States*, Case No. 16-745-ESH).

Meghan also spends her time on securities fraud class actions, including currently *In re Technology Group, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 15 Civ. 6369 (JFK), and in the past, cases involving Medtronic, Inc., Hospira, Inc. and several others.

She has also worked on several antitrust matters, including *In re North Sea Brent Crude Oil Futures Litigation*, *In re Libor-Based Financial Instruments Antitrust Litigation*, and generic drug cases involving “reverse payment” agreements.

Prior to joining Motley Rice, Meghan worked as a business litigation and antitrust associate in Washington, D.C. There, she assisted in the trial of a multidistrict litigation antitrust case and assisted in multiple corporate internal investigations. She is a member of Phi Beta Kappa.

#### ASSOCIATIONS:

**American Bar Association**

#### Laura W. Ray

LICENSED IN: CT

EDUCATION:

J.D. with High Honors, University of Connecticut School of Law, 1989

B.S.B.A. magna cum laude, Boston University, 1983

Laura Ray handles complex securities litigation for victims of corporate wrongdoing, including institutional investors and union pension funds.

Laura is a member of the team leading a proposed class action alleging that Investment Technology Group (ITG) defrauded shareholders by concealing the actions that led to a regulatory sanction fine levied against it by the SEC. The fine announcement, made in August 2015, allegedly resulted in stockholders suffering a loss of more than 23 percent in share value. The \$20.3 million sanction is considered the largest fine levied by the SEC against a private securities trading forum, otherwise known as a dark pool.

Prior to joining Motley Rice, Laura worked in commercial litigation, handling trial and appellate litigation, arbitration and mediation. Laura served as law clerk to Justice Robert J. Callahan of the Connecticut Supreme Court. Laura began her career as a certified public accountant.

#### Ann K. Ritter

#### Senior Counsel and Securities Case Coordination Manager

LICENSED IN: SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third and Eleventh Circuits

EDUCATION:

J.D., University of Tennessee, 1982

B.S., Florida State University, 1980

As Senior Counsel for Motley Rice, Ann Ritter plays a key role on Motley Rice’s securities team, which represents domestic and foreign institutional investors in complex cases involving shareholder rights, corporate governance, securities and consumer fraud. She possesses more than 25 years of

experience in complex litigation involving matters as varied as securities, products liability and consumer protection.

Ann serves as a frequent speaker on legal topics such as worker safety, shareholder rights and corporate governance. In 2007, she addressed leading German institutional investors as a keynote speaker on the impact of U.S. class actions at the Deutsche Schutzvereinigung für Wertpapierbesitz e.V. Practical Workshop for institutional investors in Frankfurt, Germany.

After earning a Bachelor of Science degree from Florida State University, Ann pursued a law degree from the University of Tennessee. She is the co-author of *Asbestos in Schools*, published by the National School Boards Association. Ann previously served on the Advisory Committee for the Tobacco Deposition and Trial Testimony Archives (DATTA) Project and currently serves on the Executive Committee of the Board of the South Carolina Special Olympics, the Advisory Board of the Medical University of South Carolina Hollings Cancer Center and the Advisory Board of The University of Mississippi School of Law. She is recognized as a BV® rated attorney by Martindale-Hubbell®.

#### ASSOCIATIONS:

**South Carolina Association for Justice**

#### Lisa M. Saltzburg

LICENSED IN: SC, CO

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth, Fifth and Eleventh Circuits

U.S. District Court for the District of South Carolina

EDUCATION:

J.D., Stanford Law School, 2006

B.A. with high distinction, University of California, Berkeley, 2003

Lisa Saltzburg represents individuals, government entities and institutional clients in complex securities and consumer fraud actions, public client litigation, and a variety of other consumer and commercial matters. Lisa represents the State of South Carolina in litigation targeting alleged deceptive marketing practices that contributed to the opioid crisis. She is part of the BP Oil Spill litigation team, and helped people and businesses in Gulf Coast communities file claims through the new claims programs established by the two settlements reached with BP. Lisa also serves on the trial team for the Florida *Engle* tobacco litigation.

Prior to joining Motley Rice, Lisa was an associate attorney for a nonprofit advocacy organization, where she worked through law and policy to protect the environmental interests of the Southeast. She drafted briefs and other filings in South Carolina’s federal and state courts and worked with administrative agencies to prepare for hearings and mediation sessions. Lisa also served for two years as a judicial clerk for the Honorable Karen J. Williams of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, where she developed valuable legal research and writing skills and gained experience involving a wide range of issues arising in civil and criminal cases.

## TEAM BIOS:

---

Lisa held multiple positions in environmental organizations during law school, handling a broad array of constitutional, jurisdictional and environmental issues. She also served as an editor of the *Stanford Law Review* and as an executive editor of the *Stanford Environmental Law Journal*. A member of numerous organizations and societies, including the Stanford Environmental Law Society, Lisa attended the National Institute for Trial Advocacy's week-long Trial Advocacy College at the University of Virginia.

### AWARDS AND ACCOLADES:

**South Carolina Super Lawyers® Rising Stars** list

**2016** Securities litigation, Class action/mass torts, Personal injury-products: plaintiff

### William P. Tinkler

LICENSED IN: SC

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE BEFORE:

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit; U.S. District Court for the District of South Carolina

EDUCATION:

J.D. *cum laude*, University of South Carolina School of Law, 2010

B.A., Emory University, 2005

William Tinkler works with public pension funds, unions and other institutional investors to help secure governance reforms and achieve recoveries through strategic and targeted litigation. He handles a wide range of complex cases, including securities and consumer fraud litigation and shareholder derivative suits.

Before joining Motley Rice, William clerked with the Honorable R. Bryan Harwell of the U.S. District Court for the District of South Carolina and served as a staff attorney for the South Carolina Court of Appeals. His work with trial and appellate judges on a diverse array of legal issues gave him valuable experience in numerous areas of the law, as well as in legal research and writing. Additionally, he worked with several South Carolina law firms and the Charleston County Public Defender's office before his admission to the Bar.

While in law school, William served as the Peer Review Editor for the *South Carolina Law Review*. During this time, he developed the Peer Reviewed Scholarship Marketplace, a consortium of legal journals committed to incorporating peer review in their article selection process. William was honored with the CALI award for Federal Practice. In 2010, he was selected as a "Next Generation Leader" by the American Constitution Society and served as President of his law school's chapter. He was also a member of the Order of the Wig and Robe.

Active in his community, William, an Eagle Scout, has served as a Unit Commissioner with the Boy Scouts of America and participated in the Big Brothers, Big Sisters mentoring program.

## SECURITIES LITIGATION PROFESSIONAL STAFF

### Ellie Kimmel

EDUCATION:

B.A., University of South Florida, 1993

Business Analyst Ellie Kimmel began working with Motley Rice attorneys in 2000. Prior to her work with the securities litigation team, she was a founding member of the firm's Central Research Unit and also supervised the firm's file management. She currently completes securities research and client portfolio analysis for the firm's securities cases.

Ellie has a diverse background that includes experience in education as well as the banking industry. She began her career in banking operations, where she served as an operations manager and business analyst in corporate banking support for 14 years. She then spent seven years teaching high school economics, Latin and history before joining Motley Rice.

### Evelyn Richards

EDUCATION:

A.S., Computer Technology, Trident Technical College, 1995

J.D., University of South Carolina School of Law, 1989

B.A., English Literature and Religion, University of Virginia, 1986

Evelyn Richards joined Motley Rice in 2007. As a law clerk for the Securities and Consumer Fraud practice group, she plays a key role in supporting the securities litigation team through editing, cite-checking and Shepardizing complaints, briefs, and other legal documents. She also trains support staff on how to use The Bluebook.

Evelyn has over fifteen years of experience in the legal field. As an Assistant Solicitor for the Ninth Circuit Solicitor's Office, she prosecuted child abuse and neglect and criminal cases. She also worked as a programmer/analyst for a few years. Prior to joining Motley Rice, Evelyn worked as an administrator for a large telecom, corporate and litigation firm, supervising all office operations, including human resources and accounting procedures. She also served as office manager for a small worker's compensation law office, where she managed trust and operating accounts and provided information technology support.

Evelyn's diverse background in information technology, management, programming and analysis adds great depth to the resources provided to Motley Rice clients.

## **Joshua Welch**

### EDUCATION:

M.B.A., The Citadel, 2017

B.S. with honors, The College of Charleston, 2015

As a Financial Analyst with the securities litigation team, Joshua Welch is responsible for monitoring client portfolios, analyzing investor losses, and conducting research on companies facing allegations of securities fraud. He also assists in submitting claims for securities class action settlements.

Joshua holds a Master of Business Administration degree from The Citadel, where he worked as a graduate assistant. As an undergraduate, he double-majored in Accounting and Business Administration.



[www.motleyrice.com](http://www.motleyrice.com)

1 800.768.4026

28 BRIDGESIDE BLVD.  
MT. PLEASANT, SC 29464

**SC | RI | CT | NY | WV | DC | LA | MO**

William H. Narwold (CT, DC, NY, SC) is the attorney responsible for this communication. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. PD: 10.02.2017



[www.motleyrice.com](http://www.motleyrice.com)  
1 800.768.4026