

1 ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
& DOWD LLP
2 CHRISTOPHER P. SEEFER (201197)
DANIEL J. PFEFFERBAUM (248631)
3 Post Montgomery Center
One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800
4 San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: 415/288-4545
5 415/288-4534 (fax)
chriss@rgrdlaw.com
6 dpfefferbaum@rgrdlaw.com

7 LABATON SUCHAROW LLP
JONATHAN GARDNER
8 MICHAEL P. CANTY
ROGER W. YAMADA
9 140 Broadway
New York, New York 10005
10 Telephone: 212/907-0700
212/818-0477 (fax)
11 jgardner@labaton.com
mcanty@labaton.com
12 ryamada@labaton.com

13 *Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs*

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16 In re UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC.) Master File No. 12-cv-04677-YGR
SECURITIES LITIGATION)
17) CLASS ACTION
18)
This Document Relates To:) [~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER APPROVING PLAN
19) OF ALLOCATION
ALL ACTIONS.)
20) Re: Dkt. No. 125

21
22 THIS MATTER having come before the Court on December 19, 2017, on the motion of
23 Inter-Local Pension Fund GCC/IBT (“Inter-Local”) and Bristol County Retirement System
24 (“Bristol County” and, together with Inter-Local, “Lead Plaintiffs”), on behalf of themselves and
25 the Settlement Class, for final approval of the proposed class action Settlement and approval of
26 the Plan of Allocation for the proceeds of the Settlement; the Court having considered all papers
27
28

1 filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed;

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

3 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and
4 Agreement of Settlement, dated as of August 4, 2017 (the “Stipulation”), attached as Exhibit A
5 to the Court’s Final Order and Judgment, and all capitalized terms used, but not defined herein,
6 shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.
7

8 2. Pursuant to and in compliance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil
9 Procedure, this Court hereby finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was directed to
10 Persons who are Settlement Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort,
11 advising them of the Plan of Allocation and of their right to object thereto, and a full and fair
12 opportunity was accorded to Persons who are Settlement Class Members to be heard with respect
13 to the Plan of Allocation.
14


15 3. The Court has reviewed and considered the objection to the Plan of Allocation
16 submitted by Joseph C. Keane, and it is overruled. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 77k(e).

17 4. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation for the
18 calculation of the claims of Authorized Claimants that is set forth in the Notice of Pendency of
19 Class Action, Proposed Settlement, and Motion for Attorneys’ Fees and Expenses (the “Notice”)
20 disseminated to Settlement Class Members, attached as Exhibit B to the Court’s Final Order and
21 Judgment, provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the Net Settlement Fund
22 among eligible Settlement Class Members.
23

24 \\
25 \\
26 \\
27

1 5. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation, as set forth in
2 the Notice, is, in all respects, fair and reasonable, and the Court hereby approves the Plan of
3 Allocation.
4

5
6 Dated: December 20, 2017


Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE