

MACCON

Parameter studies for the optimization of e-mobility traction motors with the help of FluxMotor, FLUX and HyperStudy

Dipl.-Ing. Christoph Stuckmann



MACCON: The company



- founded in 1982, based in Munich •
- 35+ employees, mainly engineers and technicians •
- specialised in high-end motion control solutions •
 - development and manufacture of synchronous-_ (BLDC ,SR etc.) and asynchronous motors (AC)!
 - sales of electric motors, controls and drive accessories _
 - in power range 100W up to >250kW!
- active in Germany, EU and Asia •







Drive Electronics to match!



Motioneering Turn-key Systems



Embedded Motion Control



MIL & Space



Extreme Environments



MACCON: Markets







Motors for electric vehicles







Automotive

Single wheel- axle- drive- unit

 Includes two mechanically independent permanent magnet synchronous motors

FSM- Traction motor **FSM-** Fraunhofer

- peak power of more than 80 kW for each motor Motors
- two mechanically independent spur gears with a fixed reduction of 7:1 adapt the torque and speed level of the electric machines to the wheel-requirement peak torque of 2.000 Nm per wheel

MACCON

- Motor design
- Complete motor manufacturing

Automotive

MOTION UNDER CONTROL

MACON



IISB





Coorparation project FraunhoferIISB / MACCON



MOTION UNDER CONTROL





Coorparation project FraunhoferIISB / MACCON



MOTION UNDER CONTROL

MACCON GmbH

Motors made to measure! 7



Coorparation project FraunhoferIISB / MACCON





Pictures from the motor manufacturing

Optimization goals considering a special Torque- Speed characteristic of IPM- motors MACON



T_mag=m*p*(Ψ d*lq- Ψ q*ld) = m*p*[Ψ 1Md*lq+ld*lq*(Ld-Lq)] \rightarrow inductances itself are depending on the respective current : Ld,Lq = f(Id,Iq, Ψ 1Md)



Main goal: maximization of the ratio for the rated to the maximum operating speed and therefore achieving a certain level of power density and efficiency \rightarrow A certain operating voltage of the battery and a certain controller current limit is specified which have not to be exceeded

Two different approaches will be compared

- Option 1) FluxMotor and HyperStudy
- Option 2) Flux and HyperStudy



Input data for the geometry and further magnetic data needed for the FluxMotor design data table (Option 1)



Input data for the geometry and further magnetic data MACON needed for the FluxMotor design data table (Option 1)

MOTION UNDER CONTROL



Input data for the geometry and further magnetic data needed for the FluxMotor design data table (Option 1)

MOTION UNDER CONTROL

PERFORMANCE MAPPI	NG - SIN	IE WAVE - MOTOR - EFFI	CIENCY M	IAP		HYPERSTUDY		
Overview Parame	ters					1. TEST SELECTION		
Remanent induction	0	Intrinsic coercive fiel	-	Relative permeabilit	î	2. TEST CONFIGURATION		
Remanent induction		Intrinsic coercive fiel		Relative permeabilit				
Remanent induction at T	mag (T)						Ö,	
Machine performan	nce - Bas	e speed point				Thermal Electronics	Mechanics	
General data						INPUTS		1
Operating mode -					- 1	Current definition mode	Current	1
Mechanical torqu	✓	Speed (rpm)	✓	Electrical frequency		Max. line current, rms (A)	140.0	
Mechanical power (W)		Machine electrical p		Machine total losses		Max. current dens., rms (A/	-	1
Machine efficien	~	Apparent power (VA)		Reactive power (VAr)		Max. Line-Line voltage, rms (V	$280.0 \rightarrow 4$	10
Control angle (deg)	✓	Power factor		Phase angle (deg)		Command mode	MTPV	ľ
Line current, rms		Phase current, rms (A)	✓		- 1	Maximum speed (rpm)	10 000.0	1
Line-Line voltage,	✓	Phase voltage rms (V)				Additional losses (%)	0.0	1
Power balance						User working point(s) analysis	None	1
Machine total losses		Joule losses (W)		Mechanichal losses (Mechanical torque (N.m)	-	1
Iron losses (W)		Additional losses (W)			- 1	Speed (rpm)	-	1
						Duty cycle description	-	1

3. PARAMETERS FOR HYPERSTUDY

SELECTED PARAMETERS -	Ē
Magnet::C1 (deg)	î.
Magnet::C2 (deg)	- H.
Magnet::T2 (mm)	
Magnet::W2 (mm)	
Magnet::VM (deg)	
Base speed::Mechanical torque (N.m)	
Base speed::Speed (rpm)	- 11
Base speed::Machine efficiency (%)	- 11
Base speed::Control angle (deg)	- 11
Base speed::Phase current, rms (A)	÷.

Input data / output coupling data for setup the optimization process

Output response data: Operating data for the rated and maximum operating speed for setup the HyperStudy- coupling file

> Remark according the computation of the maximum rms- line-line- voltage: As can be seen in the literature (e.g., DESIGN of BRUSHLESS PERMANENT MAGNET MACHINES): a factor for the degree of modulation of 0.7 for the lineline-rms- voltage should be assumed (sinetriangle modulation with 3rd harmonic)

Input data for the geometry and further magnetic data MACON needed for the FluxMotor design data table (Option 1)

MOT	ION	UNDER	CONTROL

	Active	Label	Varname
1	\checkmark	Magnet::TM (mm)	var_1
2	\checkmark	Magnet::WM (mm)	var_2
3	\checkmark	Magnet::C1 (deg)	var_3
4	\checkmark	Magnet::C2 (deg)	var_4
5	\checkmark	Magnet::T2 (mm)	var_5
6	\checkmark	Magnet::W2 (mm)	var_6
7	\checkmark	Magnet::VM (deg)	var_7

Input variable data for the HyperStudy optimization process

> Output response variable data for the HyperStudy optimization process

	Active	Label	Varname	Expression	Value	Goals	Evaluate From	Output Type
1	\checkmark	Base speed::Mechanical torque (N.m)	r_1	ds_1[0]	130.59782	>= 130.00000	f0 Expression	Real 🔻
2	\checkmark	Base speed::Speed (rpm)	r_2	ds_2[0]	4213.1952	>= 4250.0000	f0 Expression	Real 🔻
3	\checkmark	Base speed::Machine efficiency (%)	r_3	ds_3[0]	96.794317	>= 95.000000	f0 Expression	Real 🔻
4	\checkmark	Base speed::Control angle (deg)	r_4	ds_4[0]	28.744064	Minimize	f0 Expression	Real 🔻
5	\checkmark	Base speed::Phase current, rms (A)	r_5	ds_5[0]	140.00000	<= 140.00000	f0 Expression	Real 🔻
6	\checkmark	Base speed::Line-Line voltage, rms (V)	r_6	ds_6[0]	279.99986	<= 280.00000	f0 Expression	Real 🔻
7	\checkmark	Maximum speed::Mechanical torque (N.m)	r_7	ds_7[0]	56.161711	>= 55.000000	f0 Expression	Real 🔻
8	\checkmark	Maximum speed::Speed (rpm)	r_8	ds_8[0]	10000.000	>= 10000.000	f0 Expression	Real 🔻
9	\checkmark	Maximum speed::Machine efficiency (%)	r_9	ds_9[0]	96.185798	>= 55.000000	f0 Expression	Real 🔻
10	\checkmark	Maximum speed::Control angle (deg)	r_10	ds_10[0]	73.121551	Minimize	f0 Expression	Real 🔻
11	\checkmark	Maximum speed::Phase current, rms (A)	r_11	ds_11[0]	140.00000	<= 140.00000	f0 Expression	Real 🔻
12	\checkmark	Maximum speed::Line-Line voltage, rms (V)	r_12	ds_12[0]	280.00001	<= 280.00000	f0 Expression	Real 🔹

Input data for the geometry and further magnetic data needed for the FluxMotor design data table (Option 1) MOTION UNDER CONTROL



2 3 Dedicated Catalogue – stores all HyperStudy runs Co Int 9 Q C. ? CATALOC CATALOG Finally optimized EffMap- data Visualize all the runs of the 6 6 6 6 6 6 optimisation in Motor catalog PERFORMANCE MAPPING - SINE WAVE - MOTOR - EFFICIENCY MAP Overview urrent 120 8.900E-1 110 8.000E-1 100 7.100E-1 Mechanical torque (N.m) 6.200E-1 80 5.300E-1

60-

50-

20

4.400E-1

3.600E-1 2.700E-1 1.800E-1

9.000E-2

Computation of the Efficiency- Map data by using a more general approach → HyperStudy- Workflow / (Option 2)



Design & Optimization Process Workflow



Computation of the Efficiency- Map data by using a more general approach → FLUX- coupling for DOE / (Option 2) MOTION UNDER CONTROL



 \rightarrow Approach by using FLUX and HyperStudy

Workflow to get the coupling with HyperStudy and FLUX for the DOF



HyperStudy- Workflow→ DoE (Option 2)



MOTION UNDER CONTROL

Investigate relationships





Design of Experiments

$$u_{d} = R_{s} \cdot i_{d} + \frac{d\Psi_{d}}{dt} - \omega_{el} \cdot \Psi_{q} = R_{s} \cdot i_{d} + L_{d} \cdot \frac{d\iota_{d}}{dt} - \omega_{el} \cdot L_{q} \cdot i_{q}$$
$$u_{q} = R_{s} \cdot i_{q} + \frac{d\Psi_{q}}{dt} - \omega_{el} \cdot \Psi_{d} = R_{s} \cdot i_{q} + L_{q} \cdot \frac{di_{q}}{dt} - \omega_{el} \cdot L_{d} \cdot i_{d}$$

Equations for describing the motor behavior

лш

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{d} &= L_{d} \cdot i_{d} \\ \Psi_{q} &= L_{q} \cdot i_{q} \\ \Psi_{d} &= L_{d} \cdot i_{d} + M_{dq} \cdot i_{q} \\ \Psi_{q} &= L_{q} \cdot i_{q} + M_{qd} \cdot i_{d} \end{split}$$

di

Input and output signal for the DoEevaluation





HyperStudy- Workflow→ Fit (Option 2)



MOTION UNDER CONTROL

Make predictions

What will be the performance if?



Fit

Input matrix for the FiT- evaluation

Active	Label	Varname	Туре	Matrix Source	Matrix Origin	
\checkmark	Fit Matrix 1	fitmatrix_1	Input 🔹	Hammersley (🔻	DoeHamme	

Output evaluation plots of the Fitting functions approximations interpolation as simulations responses



Deduced / compact regression term e.g. seventh polynomial order describing the motor behavior



0.013295202619652042+(-0.010697467277629857*var_1^1)+(-8.950545774792234e-06*var_1^2)+(1.3862731390705827e-06*var_1^3)+(9.699311592161421e-10*var_1^4)+(-7.550827489080651e-11*var_1^5)+(-2.5554708449463794e-14*var_1^6)+(1.3508958465608452e-15*var_1^7)



-0.004889274102251369+(-2.6804174143316975e-04*var_1^1)+(4.395358756482029e-06*var_1^2)+(B.575694393092441e-08*var_1^3)+(-5.872199071918761e-10*var_1^4)+(-6.56221783062804e-12*var_1^5)+(1.779321029106209e-14*var_1^6)+(1.394610857341266e-16*var_1^7)



HyperStudy- Workflow→ Optimization (Option 2)



The inner optimization loop has to be embedded in the outer loop

▼ 🖞 Inner_Loop	C	Add Output	Response 🛛 Remove Output Response	File Assistant	
▼ 🛃 Setup			1		
Define Models		Active	Label	Varname	
🤣 Define Input Variables	1	\checkmark	PSIQ	PSIQ	0.007350320827406479+(-4.5422177499868624e-05*ID^1)+(8.595321756416163e-04*IQ^1)+(-1.3313151435134255e-08*ID^2)+(-3
Specifications	2	\checkmark	PSID	PSID	0.08321641065616878+(3.455472192926523e-04*ID^1)+(-4.277845755377263e-05*IQ^1)+(6.547642721526293e-08*ID^2)+(1.102
Sevaluate	2		Torqua mag	Torque mag	(RSID * IO - RSIO * IO) * 2 / 2 * Rolzahl / 2tecolo
🤣 Define Output Responses	2	× .	Torque_mag	Torque_mag	(P3ID *1Q *P3IQ *1D) * 37 2 * P012d11 7 2*scale
Post-Processing	4	\checkmark	omega	omega	N_ist/ 60 * 2 * PI * Polzahl / 2
Report	5	\checkmark	Torque_abw	Torque_abw	(Torque_mag-Torque_eff)^2
🔻 🙀 Optimization 1	6	\checkmark	Drehz abw	Drehz abw	(N soll-N ist)^2
Select Input Variables	-				
Select Output Responses	7	\checkmark	Du	Du	Rphase * ID - omega * PSIQ
Specifications	8	\checkmark	Uq	Uq	Rphase * IQ + omega * PSID
Evaluate	9	\checkmark	Uist	Uist	sqrt(Du ^2+ Uq ^2)
Report	10	\checkmark	list	list	sqrt(ID ^2 + IQ^2)
	11	\checkmark	CopperLosses	CopperLosses	3 * Rphase * list * list / 2

🕒 Add Input Variable 🛛 🛛 Remove Input Variable

Activ	e Label	Varname	Lower Bound	Nominal	Upper Bound	Comment
1 🗹	ID	ID	-300.00000	-100.00000	0.0000000	
2 🗹	IQ	IQ	0.0000000	200.00000	300.00000	
3	Polzahl	Polzahl	0.9000000	8.0000000	1.1000000	
4	Torque_soll	Torque_soll	1.0000000	130.00000	200.00000	
5	N_soll	N_soll	0.9000000	3000.0000	25000.000	
5	Rphase	Rphase	0.0100000	0.0200000	0.0500000	
7 🗹	N_ist	N_ist	1.0000000	7000.0000	25000.000	

🗄 Add Objecti	ive 🗵 Rer	Identify			
Active	Label	Varname	Туре		best design
	Objective 1	obj_1	Minimize 🔻	3 Torque_abw (Torque_abw)	What is the best sol
	Objective 2	obj_2	Minimize 🔻	ử Drehz_abw (Drehz_abw)	
\checkmark	Objective 3	obj_3	Maximize 🔻	ử eta (eta)	
_				3.4	

\mathscr{J}_{x} Responses ₩ Objectives ✓ Constraints Add Constraint Remove Constraint Active Label Varname Type Apply On Bound Type Bound Value CDF Limit Evaluate From \checkmark "[+ Deterministic 💃 Torque_abw (Torque_abw) 99.000000 f() Expression Constraint 1 c_1 1.0000000 2 \checkmark + Deterministic 💃 Drehz_abw (Drehz_abw) f0 Expression Constraint 2 c_2 <= 1.0000000 99.000000 -3 4 T+ Deterministic 🖧 Uist_rms (Uist_rms) \checkmark Constraint 3 c 3 ▼ 150.00000 99.000000 f() Expression <= \checkmark 👎 Deterministic 🖧 eta (eta) Constraint 4 c 4 0.5000000 99.000000 f0 Expression >=

	What is the best solution?
_	
I	Ny T



Optimization

HyperStudy- Workflow→ Optimization (Option 2)



The inner optimization loop has to be embedded in the Identify outer loop best design By the outer loop can be varied values of the inner loop: What is the best solution? The target torque values of the torque-speed characteristic \rightarrow maximum torque and partial torque values / maximum speed and partial speed values: in order to compute intermediate values too for the interpolation algorithm Create Remove I I Previous Next Edit 743 <Param Alpha> 8</Param Alpha> 744 <Param Beta> 0.00250000000000002</Param Beta> Ø Outer loop 5 Edit Data Summary - HyperStudy (2.1 745 <Param Gamma></Param Gamma> 🕶 🖳 Setup 746 </RandomVariable> 747 </DesignVariable> Add Run Remove Run Define Models 748 <DesignVariable: 749 Define Input Variables <Label>Torque soll</Label> *I+ N vorgab "I+ lorgue vorgat 750 <Varname>Torque soll</Varname> Specifications 751 <Comment></Comment> Optimization 1 250.00000 58.000000 Evaluate 752 <State>false</State> 753 <ModelParameter>m 1.native</ModelParameter> Oefine Output Respon 2 500.00000 58.000000 754 <Category>Controlled</Category> Post-Processing 755 <Role>0</Role> 3 750.00000 58.000000 756 <Link></Link> Report 757 <FixedVariable> 758 <Tvpe>Real</Tvpe> 759 <Mode>Continuous</Mode> 760 <Levels></Levels> 761 <LowerBound> 1</LowerBound> 762 .<NominalValue> 130</NominalValue> 763 <UpperBound> 200</UpperBound> 764 </FixedVariable> 765 <RandomVariable> 766 -<StatisticalDistribution>Normal Variance</StatisticalDistribution> 767 <Param Alpha> 130/Param Alpha>



HyperStudy- Workflow→ Optimization (Option 2)



Results of the maximum torque range computation

	"]+ N_vorgab	[]+ orque_vorga	🕼 ID	🕼 IQ	<i>⅓</i> x Torque_mag	<i>′</i> ⊈× eta	🕼 gamma	ẩ∗ lst_rms		🕼 cos_phi	🕼 P_iron
2	1000.0000	130.00000	-94.742222	186.41283	130.94944	0.9069835	26.941441	147.86114	44.176490	0.7710582	93.669733
3	1500.0000	130.00000	-102.62085	175.25635	129.21484	0.9367632	30.350982	143.60680	63.255570	0.7952870	133.15699
4	2000.0000	130.00000	-122.86566	158.18919	129.08495	0.9515828	37.836514	141.63296	78.544608	0.8517107	172.64425
5	2500.0000	130.00000	-93.353942	184.28631	129.24879	0.9577507	26.865413	146.07601	106.70731	0.7554021	212.13150
6	3000.0000	130.00000	-123.32193	157.70835	129.00158	0.9653576	38.024053	141.56310	116.31675	0.8496707	251.61876
7	3500.0000	130.00000	-115.22674	164.79483	129.44138	0.9692607	34.960013	142.21266	139.21098	0.8321899	291.10602
8	4000.0000	130.00000	-132.10488	151.47048	129.02657	0.9722541	41.093284	142.11793	149.99071	0.8694412	330.59327
9	4100.0000	130.00000	-139.41188	146.58636	128.99948	0.9725024	43.562993	143.04411	149.99691	0.8849342	338.49073
10	4200.0000	130.00000	-146.63986	142.17500	129.04792	0.9726205	45.885680	144.42468	149.99659	0.8980066	346.38818
11	4250.0000	130.00000	-151.10490	139.59936	129.08646	0.9725799	47.266478	145.46593	149.56010	0.9051842	350.33690
12	4500.0000	130.00000	-168.86948	129.94124	128.99950	0.9723003	52.422534	150.66789	149.07565	0.9280188	370.08053
13	5000.0000	117.00000	-171.09104	112.50906	116.07748	0.9733019	56.671175	144.79370	149.90939	0.9591385	409.56779
14	5500.0000	106.00000	-172.89542	98.498274	105.01114	0.9736447	60.329897	140.70312	149.98431	0.9810320	449.05504
15	6000.0000	98.000000	-177.43169	87.655036	96.997989	0.9734303	63.709647	139.93822	149.99998	0.9942345	488.54230
16	6500.0000	90.000000	-178.56144	78.326655	88.999472	0.9731939	66.315158	137.87540	149.99984	1.0032772	528.02956
17	7000.0000	84.000000	-184.28667	71.224644	84.037617	0.9725488	68.869066	139.70420	150.04292	1.0071185	567.51682
18	7500.0000	78.000000	-182.65046	64.079174	76.999683	0.9721702	70.667623	136.87098	150.00384	1.0098528	607.00407
19	8000.0000	73.000000	-184.24858	58.344990	72.010206	0.9715400	72.428853	136.65957	149.99736	1.0096443	646.49133
20	8500.0000	69.000000	-186.83411	53.491259	67.998416	0.9708227	74.023397	137.41961	149.99997	1.0081694	685.97859
21	9000.0000	65.000000	-188.09742	49.023222	64.000134	0.9701001	75.392152	137.44802	149.98934	1.0052782	725.46584
22	9500.0000	62.000000	-190.91596	45.316135	61.011484	0.9693398	76.647283	138.74879	150.04486	1.0026758	764.95310

Identify best design What is the best solution?

Optimization

Resulting interpolated efficiency map (therefore partial data values were computed in addition)



Comparison of both approaches (option 1 versus option 2)



MOTION UNDER CONTROL

The black marked points were computed by the method of using FLUX and HyperStudy furthermore these points were verified by measurements on a test bench / the main plot were generated by FluxMotor



Both approaches are having very similar results. Furthermore the consistency of the results are confirmed by measurements. Consequently, both solutions can be used, with each approach having its strengths.

Comparison of both approaches (option 1 versus option 2)



Summary:

The approach by using FluxMotor and HyperStudy works very fast and can easily be setup. The solution by using FLUX and HyperStudy results in the highest degree of flexibility and gives furthermore a basis for a motor model setup by using the replacement function. By the help of this model the complex behaviour of the motor can be embedded in system simulation models.

 \rightarrow FluxMotor is very well suited for a first parameter study. Further design considerations should be done with the help of FLUX, HyperStudy and further Altair software- tools.





Thank you for attention.

We look forward to working with you!



Motors made to measure!



Drive Electronics to match!



Motioneering Turn-key Systems



Embedded Motion Control



Hi-Reliability MIL & Space



Extreme Environments



