Summary for policymakers of the global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services – unedited advance version

Authors¹

Sandra Díaz (Co-Chair, Argentina), Josef Settele (Co-Chair, Germany), Eduardo Brondízio (Co-Chair, Brazil/United States of America).

Hien T. Ngo (IPBES), Maximilien Guèze (IPBES); John Agard (Trinidad and Tobago), Almut Arneth (Germany), Patricia Balvanera (Mexico), Kate Brauman (United States of America), Stuart Butchart (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland/BirdLife International), Kai Chan (Canada), Lucas Garibaldi (Argentina), Kazuhito Ichii (Japan), Jianguo Liu (United States of America), Suneetha Mazhenchery Subramanian (India/United Nations University), Guy Midgley (South Africa), Patricia Miloslavich (Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela/Australia), Zsolt Molnár (Hungary), David Obura (Kenya), Alexander Pfaff (United States of America), Stephen Polasky (United States of America), Andy Purvis (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland), Jona Razzaque (Bangladesh/United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland), Belinda Reyers (South Africa), Rinku Roy Chowdhury (United States of America), Yunne-Jai Shin (France), Ingrid Visseren-Hamakers (Netherlands/United States of America), Katherine Willis (United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland).

Members of the management committee who provided guidance for the production of this assessment

Robert Watson, Ivar Baste, Anne Larigauderie, Paul Leadley, Unai Pascual, Brigitte Baptiste, Sebsebe Demissew, Luthando Dziba, Günay Erpul, Asghar Fazel, Markus Fischer, Ana María Hernández, Madhav Karki, Vinod Mathur, Tamar Pataridze, Isabel Sousa Pinto, Marie Stenseke, Katalin Török, Bibiana Vilá.

Overall review editors

Manuela Carneiro da Cunha, Georgina Mace, Harold Mooney.

Disclaimer:

The designations employed and the presentation of material on the maps used in the present report do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. These maps have been prepared for the sole purpose of facilitating the assessment of the broad biogeographical areas represented therein.

¹ Authors are listed with, in parentheses, their country or countries of citizenship, separated by a comma when they have more than one; and, following a slash, their country of affiliation, if different from that or those of their citizenship, or their organization if they belong to an international organization. The countries and organizations having nominated the experts are listed on the IPBES website.

Key messages

A.

Nature and its vital contributions to people, which together embody biodiversity and ecosystem functions and services, are deteriorating worldwide.

Nature embodies different concepts for different people, including biodiversity, ecosystems, Mother Earth, systems of life and other analogous concepts. Nature's contributions to people embody different concepts such as ecosystem goods and services, and nature's gifts. Both nature and nature's contributions to people are vital for human existence and good quality of life (human well-being, living in harmony with nature, living well in balance and harmony with Mother Earth, and other analogous concepts). While more food, energy and materials than ever before are now being supplied to people in most places, this is increasingly at the expense of nature's ability to provide such contributions in the future and frequently undermines nature's many other contributions, which range from water quality regulation to sense of place. The biosphere, upon which humanity as a whole depends, is being altered to an unparalleled degree across all spatial scales. Biodiversity – the diversity within species, between species and of ecosystems – is declining faster than at any time in human history.

Al Nature is essential for human existence and good quality of life. Most of nature's contributions to people are not fully replaceable, and some are irreplaceable. Nature plays a critical role in providing food and feed, energy, medicines and genetic resources and a variety of materials fundamental for people's physical well-being and for maintaining culture. For example, more than 2 billion people rely on wood fuel to meet their primary energy needs, an estimated 4 billion people rely primarily on natural medicines for their health care and some 70 per cent of drugs used for cancer are natural or are synthetic products inspired by nature. Nature, through its ecological and evolutionary processes, sustains the quality of the air, fresh water and soils on which humanity depends, distributes fresh water, regulates the climate, provides pollination and pest control and reduces the impact of natural hazards. For example, more than 75 per cent of global food crop types, including fruits and vegetables and some of the most important cash crops such as coffee, cocoa and almonds, rely on animal pollination. Marine and terrestrial ecosystems are the sole sinks for anthropogenic carbon emissions, with a gross sequestration of 5.6 gigatons of carbon per year (the equivalent of some 60 per cent of global anthropogenic emissions). Nature underpins all dimensions of human health and contributes to non-material aspects of quality of life - inspiration and learning, physical and psychological experiences, and supporting identities - that are central to quality of life and cultural integrity, even if their aggregated value is difficult to quantify. Most of nature's contributions are co-produced with people, but while anthropogenic assets - knowledge and institutions, technology infrastructure and financial capital - can enhance or partially replace some of those contributions, some are irreplaceable. The diversity of nature maintains humanity's ability to choose alternatives in the face of an uncertain future.

Nature's contributions to people are often distributed unequally across space and time and among different segments of society. There are often trade-offs in the production and use of nature's contributions. Benefits and burdens associated with co-production and use of nature's contributions are distributed and experienced differently among social groups, countries and regions. Giving priority to one of nature's contributions. Some of these changes may benefit some people at the expense of others, particularly the most vulnerable, as may changes in technological and institutional arrangements. For example, although food production today is sufficient to satisfy global needs, approximately 11 per cent of the world's population is undernourished, and diet-related disease drives 20 per cent of premature mortality, related both to undernourishment and to obesity. The great expansion in the production of food, feed, fibre and bioenergy has occurred at the cost of many other contributions of nature to quality of life, including regulation of air and water quality, climate regulation and habitat provision. Synergies also exist, such as sustainable agricultural practices that enhance soil quality, thereby improving productivity and other ecosystem functions and services such as carbon sequestration and water quality regulation.

A3 Since 1970, trends in agricultural production, fish harvest, bioenergy production and harvest of materials have increased, but 14 of the 18 categories of contributions of nature that were assessed, mostly regulating and non-material contributions, have declined. The value of agricultural crop production (\$2.6 trillion in 2016) has increased approximately threefold since 1970, and raw timber harvest has increased by 45 per cent, reaching some 4 billion cubic metres in 2017, with the forestry industry providing about 13.2 million jobs. However, indicators of regulating contributions, such as soil organic carbon and pollinator diversity, have declined, indicating that gains in material contributions are often not sustainable. Currently, land degradation has reduced productivity in 23 per cent of the global terrestrial area, and between \$235 billion and \$577 billion in

annual global crop output is at risk as a result of pollinator loss. Moreover, loss of coastal habitats and coral reefs reduces coastal protection, which increases the risk from floods and hurricanes to life and property for the 100 million–300 million people living within coastal 100-year flood zones.

A4 Nature across most of the globe has now been significantly altered by multiple human drivers, with the great majority of indicators of ecosystems and biodiversity showing rapid decline. Seventy-five per cent of the land surface is significantly altered, 66 per cent of the ocean area is experiencing increasing cumulative impacts, and over 85 per cent of wetlands (area) has been lost. While the rate of forest loss has slowed globally since 2000, this is distributed unequally. Across much of the highly biodiverse tropics, 32 million hectares of primary or recovering forest were lost between 2010 and 2015. The extent of tropical and subtropical forests is increasing within some countries, and the global extent of temperate and boreal forests is increasing. A range of actions - from restoration of natural forest to planting of monocultures - contribute to these increases but have very different consequences for biodiversity and its contributions to people. Approximately half the live coral cover on coral reefs has been lost since the 1870s, with accelerating losses in recent decades due to climate change exacerbating other drivers. The average abundance of native species in most major terrestrial biomes has fallen by at least 20 per cent, potentially affecting ecosystem processes and hence nature's contributions to people; this decline has mostly taken place since 1900 and may be accelerating. In areas of high endemism, native biodiversity has often been severely impacted by invasive alien species. Population sizes of wild vertebrate species have tended to decline over the last 50 years on land, in freshwater and in the sea. Global trends in insect populations are not known but rapid declines have been well documented in some places. {BG 4, 5}

A5 Human actions threaten more species with global extinction now than ever before. An average of around 25 per cent of species in assessed animal and plant groups are threatened (figure SPM.3), suggesting that around 1 million species already face extinction, many within decades, unless action is taken to reduce the intensity of drivers of biodiversity loss. Without such action there will be a further acceleration in the global rate of species extinction, which is already at least tens to hundreds of times higher than it has averaged over the past 10 million years. {Fig SPM4, BG 6}

AG Globally, local varieties and breeds of domesticated plants and animals are disappearing. This loss of diversity, including genetic diversity, poses a serious risk to global food security by undermining the resilience of many agricultural systems to threats such as pests, pathogens and climate change. Fewer and fewer varieties and breeds of plants and animals are being cultivated, raised, traded and maintained around the world, despite many local efforts, which include those by indigenous peoples and local communities. By 2016, 559 of the 6,190 domesticated breeds of mammals used for food and agriculture (over 9 per cent) had become extinct and at least 1,000 more are threatened. In addition, many crop wild relatives that are important for long-term food security lack effective protection, and the conservation status of wild relatives of domesticated mammals and birds is worsening. Reductions in the diversity of cultivated crops, crop wild relatives and domesticated breeds mean that agroecosystems are less resilient against future climate change, pests and pathogens.

A7 Biological communities are becoming more similar to each other in both managed and unmanaged systems within and across regions. This human-caused process leads to losses of local biodiversity, including endemic species, ecosystem functions and nature's contributions to people.

A8 Human-induced changes are creating conditions for fast biological evolution - so rapid that its effects can be seen in only a few years or even more quickly. The consequences can be positive or negative for biodiversity and ecosystems, but can create uncertainty about the sustainability of species, ecosystem functions and the delivery of nature's contributions to people. Understanding and monitoring these biological evolutionary changes are as important for informed policy decisions as in cases of ecological change. Sustainable management strategies then can be designed to influence evolutionary trajectories so as to protect vulnerable species and reduce the impact of unwanted species (such as weeds, pests or pathogens). The widespread declines in geographic distribution and population sizes of many species make clear that, although evolutionary adaptation to human-caused drivers can be rapid, it has often not been sufficient to mitigate them fully.

B. Direct and indirect drivers of change have accelerated during the past 50 years

The rate of global change in nature during the past 50 years is unprecedented in human history. The direct drivers of change in nature with the largest global impact have been (starting with those with most impact): changes in land and sea use; direct exploitation of organisms; climate change; pollution; and invasion of alien species. Those five direct drivers result from an array of underlying causes – the indirect drivers of change – which are in turn underpinned by societal values and behaviours that include production and consumption patterns, human population

dynamics and trends, trade, technological innovations and local through global governance. The rate of change in the direct and indirect drivers differs among regions and countries.

B For terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems, land-use change has had the largest relative negative impact on nature since 1970, followed by the direct exploitation, in particular overexploitation, of animals, plants and other organisms mainly via harvesting, logging, hunting and fishing. In marine ecosystems, direct exploitation of organisms (mainly fishing) has had the largest relative impact, followed by land/sea-use change. Agricultural expansion is the most widespread form of land-use change, with over one third of the terrestrial land surface being used for cropping or animal husbandry. This expansion, alongside a doubling of urban area since 1992 and an unprecedented expansion of infrastructure linked to growing population and consumption, has come mostly at the expense of forests (largely old-growth tropical forests), wetlands and grasslands. In freshwater ecosystems, a series of combined threats that include land-use change, including water extraction, exploitation, pollution, climate change and invasive species, are prevalent. Human activities have had a large and widespread impact on the world's oceans. These include direct exploitation, in particular overexploitation, of fish, shellfish and other organisms, land- and sea-based pollution, including from river networks, and land/sea-use change, including coastal development for infrastructure and aquaculture.

B2 Climate change is a direct driver that is increasingly exacerbating the impact of other drivers on nature and human well-being. Humans are estimated to have caused an observed warming of approximately 1.0°C by 2017 relative to pre-industrial levels, with average temperatures over the past 30 years rising by 0.2°C per decade. The frequency and intensity of extreme weather events, and the fires, floods and droughts that they can bring, have increased in the past 50 years, while the global average sea level has risen by 16 to 21 cm since 1900, and at a rate of more than 3 mm per year over the past two decades. These changes have contributed to widespread impacts in many aspects of biodiversity, including species distributions, phenology, population dynamics, community structure and ecosystem function. According to observational evidence, the effects are accelerating in marine, terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems and are already impacting agriculture, aquaculture, fisheries and nature's contributions to people. Compounding effects of drivers such as climate change, land/sea-use change, overexploitation of resources, pollution and invasive alien species are likely to exacerbate negative impacts on nature, as has been seen in different ecosystems such as coral reefs, the arctic systems and savannas.

B3 Many types of pollution, as well as invasive alien species, are increasing, with negative impacts for nature. Although global trends are mixed, air, water and soil pollution have continued to increase in some areas. Marine plastic pollution in particular has increased tenfold since 1980, affecting at least 267 species, including 86 per cent of marine turtles, 44 per cent of seabirds and 43 per cent of marine mammals. This can affect humans through food chains. Greenhouse gas emissions, untreated urban and rural waste, pollutants from industrial, mining and agricultural activities, oil spills and toxic dumping have had strong negative effects on soil, freshwater and marine water quality and the global atmosphere. Cumulative records of alien species have increased by 40 per cent since 1980, associated with increased trade and human population dynamics and trends. Nearly one fifth of the Earth's surface is at risk of plant and animal invasions, impacting native species, ecosystem functions and nature's contributions to people, as well as economies and human health. The rate of introduction of new invasive alien species seems higher than ever before and with no signs of slowing.

B4 In the past 50 years, the human population has doubled, the global economy has grown nearly 4-fold and global trade has grown 10-fold, together driving up the demands for energy and materials. A variety of economic, political and social factors, including global trade and the spatial decoupling of production from consumption, have shifted the economic and environmental gains and losses of production and consumption, contributing to new economic opportunities, but also impacts on nature and its contributions to people. Levels of consumption of material goods (food, feed, timber and fibre) vary greatly, and unequal access to material goods can be associated with inequity and may lead to social conflict. Economic exchange contributes to aggregate economic development, yet often is negotiated between actors and institutions of unequal power, which influences the distribution of benefits and long-term impacts. Countries at different levels of development have experienced different levels of deterioration of nature for any given gain in economic growth. Exclusion, scarcities and/or unequal distributions of nature's contributions to people may, and in a complex interaction with other factors, fuel social instability and conflict. Armed conflicts have an impact on ecosystems beyond destabilizing effects on societies and a range of indirect impacts, including displacement of people and activities.

Economic incentives generally have favoured expanding economic activity, and often environmental harm, over conservation or restoration. Incorporating the consideration of the multiple values of ecosystem functions and of nature's contribution to people into economic incentives has, in the economy, been shown to permit better ecological, economic and social outcomes. Local, national, regional and global governance have improved outcomes in this way by supporting policies, innovation and the elimination of environmentally harmful subsidies, introducing incentives in line with the value of nature's contribution to people, increasing sustainable land/sea-use management and enforcing regulations, among other measures. Harmful economic incentives and policies associated with unsustainable practices of fisheries, aquaculture, agriculture (including fertilizer and pesticide use), livestock, forestry, mining and energy (including fossil fuels and biofuels) are often associated with land/sea-use change and overexploitation of natural resources, as well as inefficient production and waste management. Vested interests may oppose the removal of subsidies or the introduction of other policies. Yet, policy reforms to deal with such causes of environmental harm offer the potential to both conserve nature and provide economic benefits, including when policies are based upon more and better understanding of the multiple values of nature's contributions.

B6 Nature managed by indigenous peoples and local communities is under increasing pressure. Nature is generally declining less rapidly in indigenous peoples' land than in other lands, but is nevertheless declining, as is the knowledge of how to manage it. At least a quarter of the global land area is traditionally owned, managed,² used or occupied by indigenous peoples. These areas include approximately 35 per cent of the area that is formally protected, and approximately 35 per cent of all remaining terrestrial areas with very low human intervention. In addition, a diverse array of local communities, including farmers, fishers, herders, hunters, ranchers and forest-users, manage significant areas under various property and access regimes. Among the local indicators developed and used by indigenous peoples and local communities, 72 per cent show negative trends in nature that underpin local livelihoods and well-being. The areas managed (under various types of tenure and access regimes) by indigenous peoples and local communities are facing growing resource extraction, commodity production, mining and transport and energy infrastructure, with various consequences for local livelihoods and health. Some climate change mitigation programmes have had negative impacts on indigenous peoples and local communities. The negative impacts of all these pressures include continued loss of subsistence and traditional livelihoods from ongoing deforestation, loss of wetlands, mining, the spread of unsustainable agriculture, forestry and fishing practices and impacts on health and well-being from pollution and water insecurity. These impacts also challenge traditional management, the transmission of indigenous and local knowledge, the potential for sharing of benefits arising from the use of, and the ability of indigenous peoples and local communities to conserve and sustainably manage, wild and domesticated biodiversity that are also relevant to the broader society.

Goals for conserving and sustainably using nature and achieving sustainability cannot be met by current trajectories, and goals for 2030 and beyond may only be achieved through transformative³ changes across economic, social, political and technological factors

С.

Past and ongoing rapid declines in biodiversity, ecosystem functions and many of nature's contributions to people mean that most international societal and environmental goals, such as those embodied in the Aichi Biodiversity Targets and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, will not be achieved based on current trajectories. These declines will also undermine other goals, such as those specified in the Paris Agreement adopted under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change and the 2050 Vision for Biodiversity. The negative trends in biodiversity and ecosystem functions are projected to continue or worsen in many future scenarios in response to indirect drivers such as rapid human population growth, unsustainable production and consumption and associated technological development. In contrast, scenarios and pathways that explore the effects of a low-to-moderate population growth, and transformative changes in production and consumption of energy, food, feed, fibre and water, sustainable use, equitable sharing of the benefits arising from use and nature-friendly climate adaptation and mitigation, will better support the achievement of future societal and environmental objectives.

CI Implementation of policy responses and actions to conserve nature and manage it more sustainably has progressed, yielding positive outcomes relative to scenarios of no intervention, but not sufficiently to stem the direct and indirect drivers of nature deterioration. It is therefore

² These data sources define land management here as the process of determining the use, development and care of land resources in a manner that fulfils material and non-material cultural needs, including livelihood activities such as hunting, fishing, gathering, resource harvesting, pastoralism and small-scale agriculture and horticulture. ³ A fundamental, system-wide reorganization across technological, economic and social factors, including paradigms, goals and values.

likely that most of the Aichi Biodiversity Targets for 2020 will be missed. Some of the Aichi Biodiversity Targets will be partially achieved, for example those related to policy responses such as the spatial extent of terrestrial and marine protected areas, identification and prioritization of invasive alien species, national biodiversity strategies and action plans and the Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from Their Utilization to the Convention on Biological Diversity. However, while protected areas now cover 15 per cent of terrestrial and freshwater environments and 7 per cent of the marine realm, they only partly cover important sites for biodiversity and are not vet fully ecologically representative and effectively or equitably managed. There has been significant growth in official development assistance in support of the Convention on Biological Diversity and funding provided by the Global Environment Facility, with biodiversity aid flows reaching \$8.7 billion annually. However, current resource mobilization from all sources is not sufficient to achieve the Aichi Biodiversity Targets. In addition, only one in five of the strategic objective and goals across six global agreements⁴ relating to nature and the protection of the global environment are demonstrably on track to be met. For nearly one third of the goals of these conventions there has been little or no progress towards them or, instead, movement away from them.

C2 Nature is essential for achieving the Sustainable Development Goals. However, taking into consideration that the Sustainable Development Goals are integrated and indivisible, as well as implemented nationally, current negative trends in biodiversity and ecosystems will undermine progress towards 80 per cent (35 out of 44) of the assessed targets of goals related to poverty, hunger, health, water, cities, climate, oceans and land (Sustainable Development Goals 1, 2, 3, 6, 11, 13, 14, and 15). Important positive synergies between nature and goals on education, gender equality, reducing inequalities and promoting peace and justice (Sustainable Development Goals 4, 5, 10 and 16) were found. Land or resource tenure insecurity, as well as declines in nature, have greater impacts on women and girls, who are most often negatively impacted. However, current focus and wording of targets in these goals obscures or omits their relationship to nature, thereby preventing their assessment here. There is a critical need for future policy targets, indicators and datasets to more explicitly account for aspects of nature and their relevance to human well-being in order to more effectively track the consequences of trends in nature on Sustainable Development Goals. Some pathways chosen to achieve the goals related to energy, economic growth, industry and infrastructure and sustainable consumption and production (Sustainable Development Goals 7, 8, 9 and 12), as well as targets related to poverty, food security and cities (Sustainable Development Goals 1, 2 and 11), could have substantial positive or negative impacts on nature and therefore on the achievement of other Sustainable Development Goals.

C: Areas of the world projected to experience significant negative effects from global changes in climate, biodiversity, ecosystem functions and nature's contributions to people are also home to large concentrations of indigenous peoples and many of the world's poorest communities. Because of their strong dependency on nature and its contributions for subsistence, livelihoods and health, those communities will be disproportionately hard hit by those negative changes. Those negative effects also influence the ability of indigenous peoples and local communities to manage and conserve wild and domesticated biodiversity and nature's contributions to people. Indigenous peoples and local communities have been proactively confronting such challenges in partnership with each other and with an array of other stakeholders, through co-management systems and local and regional monitoring networks and by revitalizing and adapting local management systems. Regional and global scenarios lack an explicit consideration of the views, perspectives and rights of indigenous peoples and local communities, their knowledge and understanding of large regions and ecosystems and their desired future development pathways.

Except in scenarios that include transformative change, negative trends in nature, ecosystem functions and in many of nature's contributions to people are projected to continue to 2050 and beyond, due to the projected impacts of increasing land/and sea-use change, exploitation of organisms and climate change. Negative impacts arising from pollution and invasive alien species will likely exacerbate these trends. There are large regional differences in the projected patterns of future biodiversity and ecosystem functions and loss and changes in nature's contributions to people. These differences arise from direct and indirect drivers of change, which are projected to impact regions in different ways. While regions worldwide face further declines in biodiversity in future

⁴ Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora, Convention concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage, International Plant Protection Convention, United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification in Those Countries Experiencing Serious Drought and/or Desertification, Particularly in Africa, and Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat.

projections, tropical regions face particular combined risks of declines due to interactions of climate change, land-use change and fisheries exploitation. Marine and terrestrial biodiversity in boreal, subpolar and polar regions is projected to decline mostly because of warming, sea ice retreat and enhanced ocean acidification. The magnitude of impacts and the differences between regions are much greater in scenarios with rapid increases in consumption or human population than in scenarios based on sustainability. Acting immediately and simultaneously on multiple indirect and direct drivers has the potential to slow, halt and even reverse some aspects of biodiversity and ecosystem loss.

C Climate change is projected to become increasingly important as a direct driver of changes in nature and its contributions to people in the next decades. Scenarios show that meeting the Sustainable Development Goals and the 2050 Vision for Biodiversity depends on taking into account climate change impacts in the definition of future goals and objectives. The future impacts of climate change are projected to become more pronounced in the next decades, with variable relative effects depending on scenario and geographic region. Scenarios project mostly adverse climate change effects on biodiversity and ecosystem functioning, which worsen, in some cases exponentially, with incremental global warming. Even for global warming of 1.5°C to 2°C, the majority of terrestrial species ranges are projected to shrink profoundly. Changes in ranges can adversely affect the capacity of terrestrial protected areas to conserve species, greatly increase local species turnover and substantially increase the risk of global extinctions. For example, a synthesis of many studies estimates that the fraction of species at risk of climate-related extinction is 5 per cent at 2°C warming, rising to 16 per cent at 4.3°C warming. Coral reefs are particularly vulnerable to climate change and are projected to decline to 10-30 per cent of former cover at 1.5°C warming and to less than 1 per cent at 2°C warming. Therefore, scenarios show that limiting global warming to well below 2°C plays a critical role in reducing adverse impacts on nature and its contributions to people.

Nature can be conserved, restored and used sustainably while simultaneously meeting other global societal goals through urgent and concerted efforts fostering transformative change

D.

Societal goals – including those for food, water, energy, health and the achievement of human well-being for all, mitigating and adapting to climate change and conserving and sustainably using nature – can be achieved in sustainable pathways through the rapid and improved deployment of existing policy instruments and new initiatives that more effectively enlist individual and collective action for transformative change. Since current structures often inhibit sustainable development and actually represent the indirect drivers of biodiversity loss, such fundamental, structural change is called for. By its very nature, transformative change can expect opposition from those with interests vested in the status quo, but such opposition can be overcome for the broader public good. If obstacles are overcome, commitment to mutually supportive international goals and targets, supporting actions by indigenous peoples and local communities at the local level, new frameworks for private sector investment and innovation, inclusive and adaptive governance approaches and arrangements, multi-sectoral planning and strategic policy mixes can help to transform the public and private sectors to achieve sustainability at the local, national and global levels.

D1 The global environment can be safeguarded through enhanced international cooperation and linked locally relevant measures. The review and renewal of agreed environment-related international goals and targets based on the best available scientific knowledge and the widespread adoption and funding of conservation, ecological restoration and sustainable use actions by all actors, including individuals, are key to this safeguarding. Such widespread adoption implies advancing and aligning local, national and international sustainability efforts and mainstreaming biodiversity and sustainability across all extractive and productive sectors, including mining, fisheries, forestry and agriculture, so that individual and collective actions together result in the reversal of deterioration of ecosystem services at the global level. Yet these bold changes to the direct drivers of nature deterioration cannot be achieved without transformative change that simultaneously addresses the indirect drivers. {D29, 30}

D2 Five main interventions ("levers") can generate transformative change by tackling the underlying indirect drivers of nature deterioration: (1) incentives and capacity-building; (2) cross-sectoral cooperation; (3) pre-emptive action; (4) decision-making in the context of resilience and uncertainty; and (5) environmental law and implementation. Employing these levers involves the following, in turn: (1) developing incentives and widespread capacity for environmental responsibility and eliminating perverse incentives; (2) reforming sectoral and segmented decision-making to promote integration across sectors and jurisdictions; (3) taking pre-emptive and precautionary actions in regulatory and management institutions and businesses to avoid, mitigate and remedy the deterioration of nature, and monitoring their outcomes; (4) managing for resilient social and ecological systems in the face of uncertainty and complexity to deliver

decisions that are robust in a wide range of scenarios; and (5) strengthening environmental laws and policies and their implementation, and the rule of law more generally. All five levers may require new resources, particularly in low-capacity contexts such as in many developing countries. {BG32}

D3 Transformations towards sustainability are more likely when efforts are directed at the following key leverage points, where efforts yield exceptionally large effects (Figure SPM.9): (1) visions of a good life; (2) total consumption and waste; (3) values and action; (4) inequalities; (5) justice and inclusion in conservation; (6) externalities and telecouplings; (7) technology, innovation and investment; and (8) education and knowledge generation and sharing. Specifically, the following changes are mutually reinforcing: (1) enabling visions of a good quality of life that do not entail ever-increasing material consumption; (2) lowering total consumption and waste, including by addressing both population growth and per capita consumption differently in different contexts; (3) unleashing existing widely held values of responsibility to effect new social norms for sustainability, especially by extending notions of responsibility to include impacts associated with consumption; (4) addressing inequalities, especially regarding income and gender, which undermine capacity for sustainability; (5) ensuring inclusive decision-making, fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from the use of and adherence to human rights in conservation decisions; (6) accounting for nature deterioration from local economic activities and socioeconomic-environmental interactions over distances (telecouplings), including, for example, international trade; (7) ensuring environmentally friendly technological and social innovation, taking into account potential rebound effects and investment regimes; and (8) promoting education, knowledge generation and maintenance of different knowledge systems, including the sciences and indigenous and local knowledge regarding nature, conservation and its sustainable use. {BG32}

D4 The character and trajectories of transformation will vary across contexts, with challenges and needs differing, among others, in developing and developed countries. Risks related to inevitable uncertainties and complexities in transformations towards sustainability can be reduced through governance approaches that are integrative, inclusive, informed and adaptive. Such approaches typically take into account the synergies and trade-offs between societal goals and alternative pathways and recognize a plurality of values, diverse economic conditions, inequity, power imbalances and vested interests in society. Risk-reducing strategies typically include learning from experience that is based on a combination of precautionary measures and existing and emerging knowledge. These approaches involve stakeholders in the coordination of policies across sectors and the creation of strategic locally relevant mixes of successful policy instruments. The private sector can play roles in partnership with other actors, including national and subnational governments and civil society; for example, public-private partnerships in the water sector have been an important vehicle for financing investments to meet the Sustainable Development Goals. Some effective policy measures include the expansion and strengthening of ecologically representative and well-connected protectedarea networks and other effective area-based conservation measures, the protection of watersheds and incentives and sanctions to reduce pollution {Table SPM1}. {BG31}

DS Recognizing the knowledge, innovations and practices, institutions and values of indigenous peoples and local communities and their inclusion and participation in environmental governance often enhances their quality of life, as well as nature conservation, restoration and sustainable use, which is relevant to broader society. Governance, including customary institutions and management systems, and co-management regimes involving indigenous peoples and local communities, can be an effective way to safeguard nature and its contributions to people, incorporating locally attuned management systems and indigenous and local knowledge. The positive contributions of indigenous peoples and local communities to sustainability can be facilitated through national recognition of land tenure, access and resource rights in accordance with national legislation, the application of free, prior and informed consent, and improved collaboration, fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from the use, and co-management arrangements with local communities. {BG31}

D6 Feeding humanity and enhancing the conservation and sustainable use of nature are complementary and closely interdependent goals that can be advanced through sustainable agricultural, aquacultural and livestock systems, the safeguarding of native species, varieties, breeds and habitats, and ecological restoration. Specific actions include promoting sustainable agricultural practices, such as good agricultural and agroecological practices, among others, multifunctional landscape planning and cross-sectoral integrated management, that support the conservation of genetic diversity and associated agricultural biodiversity. Further actions to simultaneously achieve food security, biodiversity protection and sustainable use are context-appropriate climate change mitigation and adaptation, incorporating knowledge from various systems, including the sciences and sustainable indigenous and local practices, avoiding food waste, empowering producers and consumers to transform supply chains and facilitating sustainable and healthy dietary choices. As part of integrated landscape planning and management, prompt ecological restoration emphasizing the use of native species can offset current degradation and save many endangered species but is less effective if delayed. {BG 35, 36}

D7 Sustaining and conserving fisheries and marine species and ecosystems can be achieved through a coordinated mix of interventions on land, in freshwater and in the oceans, including multilevel coordination across stakeholders on the use of open oceans. Specific actions could include, for example, ecosystem-based approaches to fisheries management, spatial planning, effective quotas, marine protected areas, protecting and managing key marine biodiversity areas, reducing run-off pollution into oceans and working closely with producers and consumers {Table SPM1}. It is important to enhance capacity-building for the adoption of best fisheries management practices; adopt measures to promote conservation financing and corporate social responsibility; develop new legal and binding instruments; implement and enforce global agreements for responsible fisheries; and urgently take all steps necessary to prevent, deter and eliminate illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing. {BG 34, 37, 38}

DS Land-based climate change mitigation activities can be effective and support conservation goals {Table SPM1}. However, the large-scale deployment of bioenergy plantations and afforestation of non-forest ecosystems can come with negative side effects for biodiversity and ecosystem functions. Nature-based solutions with safeguards are estimated to provide 37 per cent of climate change mitigation until 2030 needed to meet 2°C goals with likely co-benefits for biodiversity. Therefore, land-use actions are indispensable, in addition to strong actions to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from fossil fuel use and other industrial and agricultural activities. However, the large-scale deployment of intensive bioenergy plantations, including monocultures, replacing natural forests and subsistence farmlands, will likely have negative impacts on biodiversity and can threaten food and water security as well as local livelihoods, including by intensifying social conflict. {BG 25, 38}

Nature-based solutions can be cost-effective for meeting the Sustainable Development Goals in cities, which are crucial for global sustainability. Increased use of green infrastructure and other ecosystem-based approaches can help to advance sustainable urban development while reinforcing climate mitigation and adaptation. Urban key biodiversity areas should be safeguarded. Solutions can include retrofitting green and blue infrastructure, such as creating and maintaining green spaces and biodiversity-friendly water bodies, urban agriculture, rooftop gardens and expanded and accessible vegetation cover in existing urban and peri-urban areas and new developments. Green infrastructure in urban and their surrounding rural areas can complement large-scale "grey infrastructure" in areas such as flood protection, temperature regulation, cleaning of air and water, treating wastewater and the provision of energy, locally sourced food and the health benefits of interaction with nature. {BG 39}

D10 A key constituent of sustainable pathways is the evolution of global financial and economic systems to build a global sustainable economy, steering away from the current limited paradigm of economic growth. That implies incorporating the reduction of inequalities into development pathways, reducing overconsumption and waste and addressing environmental impacts such as externalities of economic activities, from the local to the global scales. Such an evolution could be enabled through a mix of policies and tools (such as incentive programmes, certification and performance standards) and more internationally consistent taxation, supported by multilateral agreements and enhanced environmental monitoring and evaluation. It would also entail a shift beyond standard economic indicators such as gross domestic product to include those able to capture more holistic, long-term views of economics and quality of life. {BG 33, 40}

BACKGROUND

A. Nature and its vital contributions to people, which together embody biodiversity and ecosystem functions and services, are deteriorating worldwide

1. Nature underpins quality of life by providing basic life support for humanity (regulating), as well as material goods (material) and spiritual inspiration (non-material) (*well established*) {2.3.1, 2.3.2}. Most of nature's contributions to people (NCP) are co-produced by biophysical processes and ecological interactions with anthropogenic assets such as knowledge, infrastructure, financial capital, technology and the institutions that mediate them (*well established*) {2.3.2} (Annex SPM.1). For example, marine and freshwater-based food is co-produced by the combination of fish populations, fishing gear, and access to fishing grounds {2.3.3} There is unequal access to nature's contributions and unequal impact of nature's contributions on different social groups (*established but incomplete*) {2.3.5}. Furthermore, increases in the production of some of nature's contributions cause declines in others (Figure SPM.1) {2.3.2, 2.3.5}, which also affects

people differently (*well established*). For example, clearing of forest for agriculture has increased the provision of food and feed (NCP 12) and other materials important for people (such as natural fibres, and ornamental flowers: NCP 13) but has reduced contributions as diverse as pollination (NCP 2), climate regulation (NCP 4), water quality regulation (NCP 7), opportunities for learning and inspiration (NCP 15) and the maintenance of options for the future (NCP 18). Land degradation has reduced productivity in 23% of global terrestrial area and \$235-577 billion US in annual global crop output is at risk as a result of pollinator loss {2.3.5.3} (established but incomplete). However, very few large-scale systematic studies exist on those relationships {2.3.2}.



Figure 1. Global trends in the capacity of nature to sustain contributions to good quality of life from 1970 to the present, which show a decline for 14 of the 18 categories of nature's contributions to people analysed. Data supporting global trends and regional variations come from a systematic review of over 2,000 studies {2.3.5.1}. Indicators were selected on the basis of availability of global data, prior use in assessments and alignment with 18 categories. For many categories of nature's contributions, two indicators are included that show different aspects of nature's capacity to contribute to human well-being within that category. Indicators are defined so that an increase in the indicator is associated with an improvement in nature's contributions.

2. Many of nature's contributions to people are essential for human health (well established) and their decline thus threatens a good quality of life (established but incomplete) {2.3.4}. Nature provides a broad diversity of nutritious foods, medicines and clean water (well established) {2.3.5.2, 3.3.2.1, 3.3.2.2 (Sustainable Development Goal 3)}, can help to regulate {2.3.4.2}, reduce levels of certain air pollutants (established but incomplete) {2.3.4.2, 3.3.2.2} and improve mental and physical health through exposure to natural areas (inconclusive), among other contributions {2.3.2.2, 2.3.4.2, 3.3.2.2 (Sustainable Development Goal 3)}. Nature is the origin of most infectious diseases (negative impact), but also the source of medicines and antibiotics for treatment (positive contribution) (well established). Zoonotic diseases are significant threats to human health, with vector-borne diseases accounting for approximately 17 per cent of all infectious diseases and causing an estimated 700,000 deaths globally per annum (established but incomplete) {3.3.2.2}. The deterioration of biodiversity and ecosystem functions, and the consequent disruption of benefits to people, has both direct and indirect implications for public health. Emerging infectious diseases in wildlife, domestic animals, plants or people can be exacerbated by human activities such as land clearing and habitat fragmentation (established but incomplete) or the overuse of antibiotics driving rapid evolution of antibiotic resistance in many bacterial pathogens (well established) {3.3.2.2}. The deterioration of nature and consequent disruption of benefits to people has both direct and indirect implications for public health (well established) {2.3.5.2} and can exacerbate existing inequalities in access to health care or healthy diets (established but incomplete) {2.3.4.2}. Shifting diets towards a diversity of foods, including fish, fruit, nuts and vegetables, significantly reduces the risk of certain preventable non-communicable diseases, which are currently responsible for 20% of premature mortality globally (well established) {2.3.4.2, 2.3.5.2 (NCP 2 and 12)}.

3. Most of nature's contributions are not fully replaceable, yet some contributions of nature are irreplaceable (*well established*). Loss of diversity, such as phylogenetic and functional diversity, can permanently reduce future options, such as wild species that might be domesticated as new crops and be used for genetic improvement {2.3.5.3}. People have created substitutes for some other contributions of nature, but many of them are imperfect or financially prohibitive {2.3.2.2}. For example, high-quality drinking water can be realized either through ecosystems that filter pollutants or through human-engineered water treatment facilities {2.3.5.3}. Similarly, coastal flooding from storm surges can be reduced either by coastal mangroves or by dikes and sea walls {2.3.5.3}. In both cases, however, built infrastructure can be extremely expensive, incur high future costs and fail to provide synergistic benefits such as nursery habitats for edible fish or recreational opportunities {2.3.5.2}. More generally, human-made replacements often do not provide the full range of benefits provided by nature {2.3.2.2}.

Humanity is a dominant global influence on life on earth, and has caused natural 4. terrestrial, freshwater and marine ecosystems to decline (well established) {2.2.5.2} (Figure SPM.2). Global indicators of ecosystem extent and condition have shown a decrease by an average of 47 per cent of their estimated natural baselines, with many continuing to decline by at least 4 per cent per decade (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.2.1}. On land, particularly sensitive ecosystems include old-growth forests, insular ecosystems, and wetlands; and only around 25% of land is sufficiently unimpacted that ecological and evolutionary processes still operate with minimal human intervention (established but incomplete) {2.2.3.4.1, 2.2.5.2.1}. In terrestrial "hotspots" of endemic species, natural habitats have generally undergone greater reductions to date in extent and condition, and tend to be experiencing more rapid ongoing decline, on average than other terrestrial regions {2.2.5.2.1}. Globally, the net rate of forest loss has halved since the 1990s, largely because of net increases in temperate and high latitude forests; high-biodiversity tropical forests continue to dwindle, and global forest area is now approximately 68 per cent of the estimated pre-industrial level (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.2.1}. Forests and natural mosaics sufficiently undamaged to be classed as "intact" (defined as being larger than 500 km² where satellites can detect no human pressure) were reduced by 7 per cent (919, 000 km2) between 2000 and 2013, shrinking in both developed and developing countries {2.2.5.2.1}. Inland waters and freshwater ecosystems show among the highest rates of decline. Only 13% of the wetland present in 1700 remained by 2000; recent losses have been even more rapid (0.8% per year from 1970 to 2008) (established but incomplete) {2.2.7.9}.



Figure 2. Examples of global declines in nature, emphasizing declines in biodiversity, that have been and are being caused by direct and indirect drivers of change. The direct drivers (land/sea use change; direct exploitation of organisms; climate change; pollution; and invasive alien species)⁵ result from an array of underlying societal causes⁶. These causes can be demographic (e.g. human population dynamics), sociocultural (e.g. consumption patterns), economic (e.g. trade), technological or relating to institutions, governance, conflicts and epidemics; these are called indirect drivers⁷, and are underpinned by societal values and behaviors. The colour bands represent the relative global impact of direct drivers on (from top to bottom) terrestrial, freshwater and marine nature as estimated from a global systematic review of studies published since 2005. Land and sea use change and direct exploitation account for more than 50 per cent of the global impact on land, in fresh water and in the sea, but each driver is dominant in certain contexts {2.2.6}. The circles illustrate the magnitude of the negative human impacts on a diverse selection of aspects of nature over a range of different time scales, based on a global synthesis of indicators {2.2.5, 2.2.7}.

5. Marine ecosystems, from coastal to deep sea, now show the influence of human actions, with coastal marine ecosystems showing both large historical losses of extent and condition as well as rapid ongoing declines (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.2.1, 2.2.7.15} (Figure SPM.2). Over 40% of ocean area was strongly affected by multiple drivers in 2008, and 66% was experiencing increasing cumulative impacts in 2014. Only 3% of the ocean was described as free from human pressure in 2014 (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.2.1, 3.2.1}. Seagrass meadows decreased in extent by over 10 per cent per decade from 1970-2000 (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.2.1}. Live coral cover on reefs has nearly halved in the past 150 years, the decline dramatically accelerating over the past 2-3 decades due to increased water temperature and ocean acidification interacting with and further exacerbating other drivers of loss (well established) {2.2.5.2.1}. These coastal marine ecosystems are among the most productive systems globally, and their loss and deterioration reduces their ability to protect shorelines, and the people and species that live there, from storms, as well as their ability to provide sustainable livelihoods (well established) {2.2.5.2.1, 2.3.5.2}. Severe impacts to ocean ecosystems are illustrated by 33% of fish stocks being classified as overexploited and greater than 55% of ocean area being subject to industrial fishing (established but incomplete) {2.1.11.1; 2.2.5.2.4, 2.2.7.16}.

6. The global rate of species extinction is already at least tens to hundreds of times higher than the average rate over the past 10 million years and is accelerating (*established but*

⁵ The classification of direct drivers used throughout this assessment is in $\{2.1.12 - 2.1.17\}$

⁶ The interactions among indirect and direct drivers are addressed in {2.1.11, 2.1.18}

⁷ The classification of indirect drivers used throughout this assessment is in $\{2.1.12 - 2.1.17\}$

incomplete) {2.2.5.2.4} (Figure SPM.3). Human actions have already driven at least 680 vertebrate species to extinction since 1500, including the Pinta Giant Tortoise in the Galapagos in 2012, even though successful conservation efforts have saved from extinction at least 26 bird species and 6 ungulate species including the Arabian Oryx, and the Przewalski's Horse {3.2.1}. The threat of extinction is also accelerating: in the best-studied taxonomic groups, most of the total extinction risk to species is estimated to arisen in the past 40 years (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.2.4}. The proportion of species currently threatened with extinction according to the IUCN Red List criteria averages around 25 per cent across the many terrestrial, freshwater and marine vertebrate, invertebrate and plant groups that have been studied in sufficient detail to support a robust overall estimate (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.2.4, 3.2}. More than 40 per cent of amphibian species, almost a third of reef-forming corals, sharks and shark relatives and over a third of marine mammals are currently threatened {2.2.5.2.4, 3}. The proportion of insect species threatened with extinction is a key uncertainty, but available evidence supports a tentative estimate of 10 per cent (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.2.4}. Those proportions suggest that, of an estimated 8 million animal and plant species (75% of which are insects), around 1 million are threatened with extinction (established but *incomplete)* {2.2.5.2.4}. A similar picture also emerges from an entirely separate line of evidence. Habitat loss and deterioration, largely caused by human actions, have reduced global terrestrial habitat integrity by 30 per cent relative to an unimpacted baseline; combining that with the longstanding relationship between habitat area and species numbers suggests that around 9 per cent of the world's estimated 5.9 million terrestrial species - more than 500,000 species - have insufficient habitat for long-term survival, are committed to extinction, many within decades, unless their habitats are restored (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.2.4}. Population declines often give warning that a species' risk of extinction is increasing. The Living Planet Index, which synthesises trends in vertebrate populations, has declined rapidly since 1970, falling by 40% for terrestrial species, 84% for freshwater species and 35% for marine species (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.2.4}. Local declines of insect populations such as wild bees and butterflies have often been reported, and insect abundance has declined very rapidly in some places even without large-scale land-use change, but the global extent of such declines is not known (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.2.4}. On land, wild species that are endemic (narrowly distributed) have typically seen larger-than-average changes to their habitats and shown faster-than-average declines (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.2.3, 2.2.5.2.4}.





7. The number of local varieties and breeds of domesticated plants and animals and their wild relatives has been reduced sharply as a result of land use change, knowledge loss, market preferences and large-scale trade (*well established*) {2.2.5.2.6, 2.2.5.3.1}. Domestic varieties of plants and animals s are the result of nature and human managed selection, sometimes over centuries or millennia, and tend to show a high degree of adaptation (genotypic and phenotypic) to local conditions (*well established*) {2.2.4.4}. As a result, the pool of genetic variation which underpins food security has declined (*well established*) {2.2.5.2.6}. 10 per cent of domesticated breeds of mammals were recorded as extinct, as well as some 3.5 per cent of domesticated breeds of birds (*well established*) {2.2.5.2.6} Many hotspots of agrobiodiversity and crop wild relatives are also under

threat or not formally protected. The conservation status of wild relatives of domesticated livestock has also deteriorated. These wild relatives represent critical reservoirs of genes and traits that may provide resilience against future climate change, pests and pathogens and may improve current heavily depleted gene pools of many crops and domestic animals {2.2.3.4.3}. The lands of indigenous peoples and local communities, including farmers, pastoralists and herders, are often important areas for in situ conservation of the remaining varieties and breeds (*well established*) {2.2.5.3.1}. Available data suggest that genetic diversity within wild species globally has been declining by about 1 per cent per decade since the mid-19th century; and genetic diversity within wild mammals and amphibians tends to be lower in areas where human influence is greater (*established but incomplete*) {2.2.5.2.6}.

8. Human-driven changes in species diversity within local ecological communities vary widely, depending on the net balance between species loss and the influx of alien species, disturbance-tolerant species, other human-adapted species or climate migrant species (well established) {2.2.5.2.3}. Even though human-dominated landscapes are sometimes species-rich, their species composition is markedly altered from that in natural landscapes (well established) {2.2.5.2.3, 2.2.7.10, 2.2.7.11}. As a result of human-caused changes in community composition, naturally occurring species in local terrestrial ecosystems worldwide are estimated to have lost at least 20 per cent of their original abundance on average, with hotspots of endemic species tending to have lost even more (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.2.3}. The traits of species influence whether they persist or even thrive in human-modified ecosystems (well established) {2.2.3.6, 2.2.5.2.5}. For example, species that are large, grow slowly, are habitat specialists or are carnivores - such as great apes, tropical hardwood trees, sharks and big cats - are disappearing from many areas. Many other species, including those with opposite characteristics, are becoming more abundant locally and are spreading quickly around the world; across a set of 21 countries with detailed records, the numbers of invasive alien species per country have risen by some 70 per cent since 1970 {2.2.5.2.3}. The effects of invasive alien species are often particularly severe for the native species and assemblages on islands and in other settings with high proportions of endemic species (well established) {2.2.3.4.1, 2.2.5.2.3}. Invasive alien species can have devastating effects on mainland assemblages as well: for example, a single invasive pathogen species. Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis, is a threat to nearly 400 amphibian species worldwide and has already caused a number of extinctions (well established) {2.2.5.2.3}. Many drivers add already-widespread species to ecological communities in many places; and many drivers cause endemic species to decline in many places. These two processes have contributed to the widespread erosion of differences between ecological communities in different places, a phenomenon known as biotic homogenization or the 'anthropogenic blender' (well established) {2.2.5.2.3}. The consequences of all these changes for ecosystem processes and hence on nature's contributions to people can be very significant. For example, the decline and disappearance of large herbivores and predators has dramatically affected the structure, fire regimes, seed dispersal, land surface albedo and nutrient availability within many ecosystems (well established) {2.2.5.2.1}. However, the consequences of changes often depend on details of the ecosystem, remain hard to predict and are still understudied (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.2.3}

9. Many organisms show ongoing biological evolution so rapid that it is detectable within only a few years on even more quickly - in response to anthropogenic drivers (well established) {2.2.5.2.5, 2.2.5.2.6}. Management decisions that take those evolutionary changes into account will be noticeably more effective (established but incomplete) {Box 2.5}. This human driven contemporary evolution, which has long been recognized in microbes, viruses, agricultural insect pests and weeds (well established), is now being observed in some species within all major taxonomic groups (animals, plants, fungi and microorganisms). Such changes are known to occur in response to human activities or drivers, such as hunting, fishing, harvesting, climate change, ocean acidification, soil and water pollution, invasive species, pathogens, pesticides and urbanization (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.2.5}. However, management strategies typically assume that evolutionary changes occur only over much longer time periods and thus ignore rapid evolution. These policy considerations span many spheres in which management actions designed to slow or speed evolution can dramatically change outcomes, as the following examples indicate. Insects, weeds and pathogens evolve resistance to insecticides, herbicides and other control agents, yet management strategies such as refuges, crop rotation, and crop diversity can dramatically slow that undesirable evolution (well established) {Box 2.5}. Commercial fish populations have evolved to mature earlier under intensive harvesting, which sometimes can be minimized by mandating changes in fishing gear or size limits (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.2.5}. Climate change favours the evolution of seasonally earlier reproduction in many organisms, which can in principle be facilitated through the introduction of individuals from populations already adapted to such conditions (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.2.5}. Mosquitoes rapidly evolve resistance to efforts to control them, but evolutionarily informed management actions can dramatically slow that undesirable evolution (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.2.5}. Contemporary evolution is thus relevant to many policy concerns. Understanding and working with

contemporary evolution can address important concerns surrounding pollination and dispersal, coral persistence in the face of ocean acidification, water quality, pest regulation, food production and options for the future (*established but incomplete*). The specific actions taken will typically be case-specific and therefore will require careful assessment of evolutionary potential and consequences. In many cases, the best strategy could be to simply maintain the ability of natural populations to respond evolutionarily on their own - rather than through direct human manipulation of evolution.

B. Direct and indirect drivers of change have accelerated during the past 50 years

10. Today, humans extract more from the Earth and produce more waste than ever before (well established). Globally, land-use change is the direct driver with the largest relative impact on terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems, while direct exploitation of fish and seafood has the largest relative impact in the oceans (well established) (Figure SPM.2) {2.2.6.2}. Climate change, pollution and invasive alien species have had a lower relative impact to date but are accelerating (established but incomplete) {2.2.6.2, 3.2, 4.2}. Although the pace of agricultural expansion into intact ecosystems {2.1.13} has varied from country to country, losses of intact ecosystems have occurred primarily in the tropics, home to the highest levels of biodiversity on the planet (for example, 100 million hectares of tropical forest from 1980 to 2000), due to cattle ranching in Latin America (~42 million ha) and plantations in South-East Asia (~7.5 million hectares, 80% in oil palm) among others {2.1.13}, noting plantations also can raise total forest area. Within land-use change, urban areas have more than doubled since 1992. In terms of direct exploitation, approximately 60 billion tons⁸ of renewable and non-renewable resources $\{2.1.2\}$ are being extracted each year. That total nearly doubled since 1980, as population grew considerably while the average per capita consumption of materials (e.g., plants, animals, fossil fuels, ores, construction material) rose by 15 per cent since 1980 (established but incomplete) {2.1.6, 2.1.11, 2.1.14}. This activity has generated unprecedented impacts: since 1980, greenhouse gas emissions doubled {2.1.11, 2.1.12}, raising average global temperatures by at least 0.7 degrees Celsius $\{2.1.12\}$, while plastic pollution in oceans has increased tenfold {2.1.15}. Over 80 per cent of global wastewater is being discharged back into the environment without treatment, while 300–400 million tons of heavy metals, solvents, toxic sludge and other wastes from industrial facilities are dumped into the world's waters each year {2.1.15}. Excessive or inappropriate application of fertilizer can lead to run off from fields and enter freshwater and coastal ecosystems, producing more than 400 hypoxic zones which affect a total area of more than 245,000 km² as early as 2008{2.1.15}. In some island countries invasive alien species have a significant impact on biodiversity, with introduced species being a key driver of extinctions.

Land-use change is driven primarily by agriculture, forestry and urbanization, all of 11. which are associated with air, water and soil pollution. Over one third of the world's land surface and nearly three-quarters of available freshwater resources are devoted to crop or livestock production {2.1.11}. Crop production occurs on some 12 per cent of total ice-free land. Grazing occurs on about 25 per cent of total ice-free lands and approximately 70 per cent of drylands {2.1.11}. Approximately 25 per cent of the globe's greenhouse-gas emissions come from land clearing, crop production and fertilization, with animal-based food contributing 75 per cent of that. Intensive agriculture has increased food production at the cost of regulating and non-material contributions from nature, though environmentally beneficial practices are increasing. Small landholdings (less than 2 hectares) contribute approximately 30 per cent of global crop production and 30 per cent of the global food caloric supply, using around a quarter of agricultural land and usually maintaining rich agrobiodiversity {2.1.11}. Moving to logging, between 1990 and 2015 clearing and wood harvest contributed to a total reduction of 290 million hectares in native forest cover, while the area of planted forests grew by 110 million hectares {2.1.11}. Industrial roundwood harvest is falling within some developed countries but rising on average in developing countries {2.1.11}. Illegal timber harvests and related trade supply 10–15 per cent of global timber, and up to 50 per cent in certain areas, hurting revenues for state owners and livelihoods for the rural poor. All mining on land has increased dramatically and, while still using less than 1 per cent of the Earth's land, has had significant negative impacts on biodiversity, emissions of highly toxic pollutants, water quality and water distribution, and human health {2.1.11}. Mined products contribute more than 60 per cent of the GDP of 81 countries. There are approximately 17,000 large-scale mining sites in 171 countries, with the legal sites mostly managed by international corporations but also extensive illegal and small-scale mining that is harder to trace, and both types of sites often in locations relevant for biodiversity $\{2.1.11\}$.

12. In marine systems, fishing has had the most impact on biodiversity (target species, non-target species and habitats) in the past 50 years alongside other significant drivers (*well established*) {2.1.11, 2.2.6.2} (Figure SPM.2). Global fish catches have been sustained by

⁸ All references to "tons" are to metric tons.

expanding geographically and penetrating deeper waters (well established) {3.2.1}. An increasing proportion of marine fish stocks are overfished (33 per cent in 2015), including economically important species, while 60 per cent are maximally sustainably fished and only 7 per cent are underfished (well established) {Box 3.1}. Industrial fishing, concentrated in a few countries and corporations {2.1.11}, covers at least 55 per cent of the oceans, largely concentrated in the northeast Atlantic, the northwest Pacific and upwelling regions off South America and West Africa (established but incomplete) {2.1.11}. Small-scale fisheries account for more than 90 per cent of commercial fishers (over 30 million people), and nearly half of global fish catch (established but incomplete). In 2011, illegal, unreported or unregulated fishing represented up to one third of the world's reported catch (established but incomplete) {2.1.11}. Since 1992, regional fisheries bodies have been adopting sustainable development principles. For instance, 170 states adopted FAO's Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries while 54 countries became Parties to The Agreement on Port State Measures to Deter and Eliminate Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated Fishing in 2010, in order to address the depletion of marine fisheries (established but incomplete) {2.1.11}, reduce by-catch {3, box 3.3}, lower damage to seabeds and reefs. In addition, the set of established marine protected areas has been growing (well established) {2.1.11.1, 2.2.7.16}.

13. The direct driver with the second highest relative impact on the oceans is the many changes in the uses of the sea and coastal land (well established) (Figure SPM.2) {2.2.6.2}. Coastal habitats, including estuaries and deltas critical for marine biota and regional economies, have been severely affected by sea-use changes (coastal development, offshore aquaculture, mariculture and bottom trawling) and land-use changes (onshore land clearance and urban sprawl along coastlines, plus pollution of rivers). Pollution from land sources is already a major driver of negative environmental change. Ocean mining, while relatively small, has expanded since 1981 to ~ 6,500 offshore oil and gas installation worldwide in 53 countries (60% in the Gulf of Mexico by 2003) and likely will expand into the Arctic and Antarctic regions as the ice melts {2.1.11}. Ocean acidification, from increased carbon dioxide levels, largely affects shallow waters, with the ecosystems of the subarctic Pacific and western Arctic Ocean particularly affected. Plastic microparticles and nanoparticles are entering food webs in poorly understood ways {2.1.15.3}. Coastal waters hold the highest levels of metals and persistent organic pollutants from industrial discharges and agricultural runoff, poisoning coastal fish harvests. Severe effects from excess nutrient concentrations in certain locations include damage to fish and seabed biota. The dynamics of ocean and airborne transport of pollutants mean that the harm from inputs of plastics, persistent organic pollutants, heavy metals and ocean acidification is felt worldwide, including with consequences for human health.

14. Climate change is already having an impact on nature, from genes to ecosystems. It poses a growing risk owing to the accelerated pace of change and interactions with other direct drivers (well established) {2.1.12, 2.1.18, 2.2.6.2}. Shifts in species distribution, changes in phenology, altered population dynamics and changes in the composition of species assemblage, or the structure and function of ecosystems, are evident {2.2.5.3.2, 2.2.5.2.3, 2.2.6.2} and accelerating in marine, terrestrial and freshwater systems (well established) {2.2.3.2}. Almost half (47 per cent) of threatened terrestrial mammals, excluding bats, and one quarter (23 per cent) of threatened birds may have already been negatively affected by climate change in at least part of their distribution (birds in North America and Europe suggest effects of climate change in their population trends since the 1980s) (established but incomplete) {2.2.6.2}. Ecosystems such as tundra and taiga and regions such as Greenland, previously little affected by people directly, are increasingly experiencing impacts of climate change (well established) {2.2.7.5}. Large reductions and local extinctions of populations are widespread (well established) {2.2.6.2}. This indicates that many species are unable to cope locally with the rapid pace of climate change, through either evolutionary or behavioral processes, and that their continued existence will also depend on the extent to which they are able to disperse, to track suitable climatic conditions, and to preserve their capacity to evolve (well established) {2.2.5.2.5}. Many of these changes can have significant impacts on a number of important economic sectors and cascading effects for other components of biodiversity. Island nations - in particular those in East Asia and the Pacific region, will be most vulnerable to sea-level rise (1m) as projected by all climate change scenarios {2.1.1.7.1} displacing close to 40 million people {2.1.1.7.1; 2.2.7.1.8}.

15. Unsustainable use of the Earth's resources is underpinned by a set of demographic and economic indirect drivers that have increased and, further, interact in complex ways, including through trade (*well established*) {2.1.6}. The global human population has increased from 3.7 to 7.6 billion since 1970 unevenly across countries and regions-which has strong implications for the degradation of nature. Per capita consumption also has grown, and also is unequal, with wide variation in lifestyles and access to resources across and within regions, plus consequences for nature that are distributed globally through trade. Per capita gross domestic product is 4 times higher, and rising faster, in developed than in least developed countries. Approximately 821 million people face food

insecurity in Asia and Africa while 40 per cent of the global population lacks access to clean, safe drinking water. Generally, environmentally based health burdens such as air and water pollution are more prevalent in least developed countries {2.1.2., 2.1.15}

16. Due to expansions of infrastructure, extensive areas of the planet are being opened up to new threats (*well established*) {2.1.11}. Globally, paved road lengths are projected to increase by 25 million kilometres by 2050, with nine tenths of all road construction occurring within least developed and developing countries. The number of dams has escalated in the past 50 years. Worldwide, there are now about 50,000 large dams (higher than 15 metres) and approximately 17 million reservoirs (larger than 0.01 hectares OR 100m2) {2.1.11}. The expansions of roads, cities, hydroelectric dams, and oil and gas pipelines can come with high environmental and social costs, including deforestation, habitat fragmentation, biodiversity loss, land grabbing, population displacement, and social disruption including for indigenous peoples and local communities (*established but incomplete*). Yet infrastructure can generate positive economic effects, and even environmental gains, based on efficiency, innovation, migration, and urbanization, depending on where and how investment is implemented and governed (*well established*) {2.1.11}. Understanding this variation in impacts is critical.

17. Long-distance transportation of goods and people, including for tourism, have grown dramatically in the past 20 years with negative consequences for nature overall (*established but incomplete*). The rise in airborne and seaborne transportation of both goods and people, including a threefold increase in travel from developed and developing countries in particular, has increased pollution and significantly raised invasive alien species (*well established*) {2.1.15}. Between 2009 and 2013, the carbon footprint from tourism rose 40 per cent to 4.5 gigatons of carbon dioxide and overall 8 per cent of the total greenhouse-gas emissions are from transport and food consumption that are related to tourism {2.1.11, 2.1.15}. The demand for nature-based tourism, or ecotourism, also has risen, with mixed effects on nature and local communities, including some potential for contributions to local conservation in particular when carried out at smaller scales {2.1.11}.

Distant areas of the world are increasingly connected as consumption, production, and 18. governance decisions increasingly influence materials, waste, energy, and information flows in other countries, generating aggregate economic gains while shifting economic and environmental costs, which can link to conflicts (established but incomplete) (Figure SPM.4). As per capita consumption has risen developed countries and rapidly growing developing countries {2.1.2, 2.1.6}, and while at times efficient production supports exports, these countries often reduce water consumption and forest degradation nationally {2.1.6, 2.1.11} by importing crops, and other resources, mainly from developing countries {2.1.6}. Developing countries then see declines in nature and its contributions to people (habitat, climate, air and water quality) different from the exported food, fibre and timber products (Figures SPM.1 and 5). Reduced, declining and unequal access to nature's contributions to people can may, in a complex interaction with other factors may be a source of conflict within and among countries (established but incomplete). Least developed countries, often rich in and more dependent upon natural resources, have suffered the highest land degradation, and have also experienced more conflict, and lower economic growth, and has contributed to environmental outmigrants numbering several million {2.1.2, 2.1.4}. When indigenous peoples or local communities are expelled from or threatened upon their lands, including by mining or industrial logging for export, this too can spark contestation – often between actors with different levels as power as today a few actors can control large shares of any market or capital asset (rivalling most countries $\{2,1,6\}$, while funds channelled through tax havens support most vessels implicated in illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing More than 2,500 conflicts over fossil fuels, water, food and land are currently occurring across the planet, including with at least 1,000 environmental activists and journalists killed between 2002 and 2013 {2.1.11, 2.1.18}.



Figure 4. Contributions of indigenous peoples and local communities to the enhancement and maintenance of wild and domesticated biodiversity and landscapes. Indigenous and local knowledge systems are locally based, but regionally manifested and thus globally relevant. A wide diversity of practices actively and positively contribute to wild and domestic biodiversity through "accompanying" natural processes with anthropogenic assets (knowledge, practices and technology). Indigenous peoples often manage the land and coastal areas based on culturally specific world views, applying principles and indicators such as the health of the land, caring for the country and reciprocal responsibility. As lifestyles, values and external pressures change with globalization, however, unsustainable practices are becoming increasingly common in certain regions⁹. The central figure shows the global-scale overlaps of 1) land areas traditionally owned, managed¹⁰, used, or occupied by indigenous peoples, 2) formally designated protected areas and 3) remaining terrestrial areas with very low human intervention (areas with <4 Human Footprint Index¹¹). Circles and intersections are proportional in area. Land areas traditionally owned, managed¹⁰, used, or occupied by indigenous peoples overlap with approx. 35 per cent of the area that is formally protected, and approximately 35 per cent of all remaining terrestrial areas with very low human intervention. Topics and pictures in the figure aim to illustrate, not represent, the types and diversity of the following contributions of indigenous peoples and local communities to biodiversity: (a) domestication and maintenance of locally adapted crop and fruit varieties (potatoes, Peru) and (b) animal breeds (rider and sheep, Kyrgyzstan) {2.2.4.4}; (c) creation of species-rich habitats and high ecosystem diversity in cultural landscapes (hay meadows, Central Europe) {2.2.4.1-2}; (d) identification of useful plants and their cultivation in high-diversity ecosystems (multi-species forest garden, Indonesia) {2.2.4.3}; (e)-(f) management and monitoring of wild species, habitats and landscapes for wildlife and for increased resilience (e) - Australia, (f) - Alaska) {2.2.4.5-6}; (g) restoration of degraded lands (Niger) {3.2.4}; (h) buffers for deforestation in recognized indigenous territories (Amazon basin, Brazil) {2.2.4.7}; (i) offering alternative concepts of relations between humanity and nature (Northern Australia).

⁹ In Stephen Garnett et al., "A spatial overview of the global importance of Indigenous lands for conservation", *Nature Sustainability*, Vol. 1 (July 2018) pp. 369–374.

¹⁰ These data sources define land management here as the process of determining the use, development and care of land resources in a manner that fulfils material and non-material cultural needs, including livelihood activities such as hunting, fishing, gathering, resource harvesting, pastoralism, and small-scale agriculture and horticulture]

¹¹ Venter, O. et al. Global terrestrial Human Footprint maps for 1993 and 2009. *Sci. Data* **3**, sdata201667 (2016)

19. Governance has at many levels moved slowly to further and better incorporate into policies and incentives the values of nature's contributions to people. However, around the globe, subsidies with harmful effects on the nature have persisted-(well established) {2.1, 3, 5, 6.4. Societal incorporation of the value of NCP includes shifts in governance even within private supply chains, for instance when civil society certifies and helps to reward desired practices or when states block access to markets for undesirable practices {2.1.7}. Successful local governance supported by recognition of local rights has often incorporated knowledge of how nature contributes to human wellbeing to motivate sus behaviors $\{2.1.8\}$. National agencies also have promoted land management strategies that are more sustainable, and introduced regulations, among other policy measures $\{2.1.9.2\}$, and have coordinated with other nations on global agreements to maintain NCP (2.1.10). Economic instruments that may be harmful to nature include subsidies, financial transfers, subsidized credit, tax abatements, commodity and industrial goods prices that hide environmental and social costs, which favor unsustainable production and, as a consequence, can promote deforestation, overfishing, urban sprawl, and wasteful uses of water. In 2015, agricultural support potentially harmful to nature amounted to US\$100 billion in countries within the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, yet some subsidy reforms to reduce unsustainable pesticide uses and adjust several other consequential development practices have been introduced {2.1.9.1, 6.4.5}. Fossil fuel subsidies of US\$345 billion result in global costs of US\$5 trillion when including the reduction of nature's contributions (coal accounts for about half of these costs, petroleum for about one third and natural gas for about one tenth {2.1.9.1.2}). In fisheries, subsidies to increase and maintain capacity, which in turn often lead to degradation of nature, constitute perhaps a majority of the tens of US\$ billions spent on supports {5.3.2.5}.



Figure 5. Development pathways since 1970 for selected key indicators of human-environment interactions, which show a large increase in the scale of global economic growth and its impacts on nature, with strong contrasts across developed, developing, and least developed countries. Countries are classified according to the UN World Economic Situation and Prospects (www.un.org). Global gross domestic product has risen 4-fold in real terms with the vast majority of growth occurring in developed and developing countries (A). Extraction of living biomass (e.g. crops, fisheries) to meet the demand for domestic consumption and for export is highest in developing countries and rising rapidly (B). Material consumption per capita within each country (from imports and domestic production), however, is highest in developed countries (C). Overall protection of Key Biodiversity

Areas is rising, being highest within developed countries (D). Air pollution is highest in the least developed countries (E) while the challenges of non-point-source pollution, from use of fertilizers, are highest in developing countries (F). Data sources: A, E, F: www.data.worldbank.or; B, C : www.materialflows.net; D. www.keybiodiversityareas.org, www.protectedplanet.net

Much of the world's terrestrial wild and domesticated biodiversity lies in areas 20. traditionally managed, owned, used or occupied by indigenous peoples and local communities (well established) (Figure SPM. 4) {2.2.4}. In spite of efforts at all levels, and while nature on indigenous lands is declining less rapidly than elsewhere, still biodiversity and the knowledge associated with its management are deteriorating (established but incomplete) {2.2.4, 2.2.5.3}. Despite a long history of resource use and conservation conflicts related to colonial expansion as well as land appropriations for parks and other uses {3.2} (well established), indigenous peoples and local communities often have managed their landscapes and seascapes in ways that were adjusted to local conditions over generations. These often remain compatible with, or actively support, biodiversity conservation by "accompanying" natural processes with anthropogenic assets (established but incomplete) {2.2.4, 2.2.5.3.1} (Figure SPM.6). At least one quarter of the global land area is traditionally managed, owned, used or occupied by indigenous peoples¹². These areas include approximately 35 per cent of the area that is formally protected, and approximately 35 per cent of all remaining terrestrial areas with very low human intervention (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.3.1}.Community-based conservation institutions and local governance regimes often have been found to be effective, at times even more effective than formally established protected areas, in avoiding habitat loss (established but incomplete), with several studies highlighting contributions by indigenous peoples and local communities in limiting deforestation, as well as initiatives showing synergies between these different mechanisms (well established) {6.3.2, 2.2.5.3}. In many regions, however, the lands of indigenous peoples are becoming islands of biological and cultural diversity surrounded by areas in which nature is further deteriorated (*established but incomplete*) {2.2.5.3}. Among the local indicators developed and used by indigenous peoples and local communities, 72 per cent show negative trends in nature that underpinned local livelihoods (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.3.2}. Major trends include falling availability of resources – due in part to legal and illegal territory reductions despite expanding indigenous populations – as well as: declining health and populations of culturally important species; new pests and invasive alien species as climate changes; losses in both natural forest habitats and grazing lands; and falling productivity in remnant ecosystems. More detailed global syntheses of trends in nature observed by indigenous peoples and local communities are hindered by the lack of institutions that gather data for these locations and then synthesize them within regional and global summaries {2.2.2}.

C. Goals for conserving and sustainably using nature and achieving sustainability cannot be met by current trajectories, and goals for 2030 and beyond may only be achieved through transformative¹³ changes across economic, social, political and technological factors

21. There has been good progress towards the components of 4 of the 20 Aichi Targets under the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011–2020. Moderate progress has been achieved towards some components of another 7 targets, but for 6 targets poor progress has been made towards all components. There is insufficient information to assess progress towards some or all components of the remaining 3 targets (*established but incomplete*) {3.2}. Overall, the state of nature continues to decline (12 of 16 indicators show significantly worsening trends) (*well established*) {3.2} (Figure SPM.6). Greater progress has been made in implementing policy responses and actions to conserve biodiversity, by 2015, drivers with an impact on coral reefs and other ecosystems vulnerable to climate change; *established but incomplete*) {3.2}. Anthropogenic drivers of biodiversity loss, including habitat loss as a result of land use and sea use change (addressed by Aichi Target 5), unsustainable agriculture, aquaculture and forestry (Aichi Target 7), unsustainable fishing (Aichi Target 6), pollution (Aichi Target 8) and invasive alien species (Aichi Target 9), are increasing globally, despite national efforts to meet the Aichi Targets (*established but incomplete*) {3.2}.

¹² These data sources define land management here as the process of determining the use, development and care of land resources in a manner that fulfils material and non-material cultural needs, including livelihood activities such as hunting, fishing, gathering, resource harvesting, pastoralism, and small-scale agriculture and horticulture.
¹³ A fundamental, system-wide reorganization across technological, economic and social factors, including paradigms, goals and values

Goal	Target	Target ele	ement (abbreviated)			
Goal	larget	Target element (abbreviated)	Poor	Moderate	Good	
A. Address the underlying drivers			areness of biodiversity			
		1.2 Awa	areness of steps to conserve			
	0	2.1 Bio	diversity integrated into planning			
		2.2 Bio	diversity integrated into accounting			
	~~	2.3 Bio	diversity integrated into reporting			
			mful subsidies eliminated and reformed			
		3.2 Pos	sitive incentives developed and implemented			
ying			stainable production and consumption			
<u> </u>	4		e within safe ecological limits			
			pitat loss at least halved			
	115		gradation and fragmentation reduced			
			n stocks harvested sustainably			
			covery plans for depleted species		Unknown	
Ч			neries have no adverse impact			
fed	500		iculture is sustainable			
uce	17		uaculture is sustainable			
ġ.		AND AND A DOMESTIC	estry is sustainable			
B. Reduce direct pressures	20	100 A.S.	lution not detrimental			
Ę			cess nutrients not detrimental			
ess	-		asive alien species prioritized	_		
üre	2	A CONTRACT AND A CONTRACT AND A	asive alien pathways prioritized		Unknown	
ŭ			asive species controlled or eradicated			
			asive introduction pathways managed			
	6		ssures on coral reefs minimized			
			ssures on vulnerable ecosystems minimized	5		
		INCOMPANYAGE AVAILABLE	per cent of marine areas conserved			
0		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	per cent of terrestrial areas conserved			
Ē		100 B 200	as of importance conserved			
pro			tected areas, ecologically representative			
Ve			tected areas, effectively and equitably managed			
C. Improve biodiversity status			tected areas, well-connected and integrated			
div			inctions prevented			
ersi	<u> </u>	Sector States	nservation status of threatened species improved			
ty s			netic diversity of cultivated plants maintained			
stat	1000		netic diversity of farmed animals maintained netic diversity of wild relatives maintained			
sn	13		netic diversity of valuable species maintained		Unknown	
			netic erosion minimized		UIIKIIOWII	
			psystems providing services restored and safeguarded			
8 D	14		systems providing services restored and saleguarded ting account of women, IPLCs, and other groups		Unknown	
D. Enhance benefits to all		2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	psystem resilience enhanced		Unknown	
nha iits			per cent of degraded ecosystems restored		Unknown	
nce to a			goya Protocol in force		UIIKIIOWII	
a≞♥			goya Protocol operational			
and the second			SAPs developed and updated			
iu			SAPs adopted as policy instruments			
=			SAPs implemented			
and			and customary use respected			
E. Enhance implementation			and customary use integrated		Unknown	
			Cs participate effectively		Unknown	
	19		diversity science improved and shared		Onknown	
			diversity science applied		Unknown	
ă	20	20.1 Fina	ancial resources for Strategic Plan ^a increased			
_						

Abbreviations: ILK: indigenous and local knowledge; IPLCs: indigenous peoples and local communities; NBSAPs: national biodiversity strategies and action plans. ^a Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011–2020.

Figure 6. Summary of progress towards the Aichi Targets. Scores are based on quantitative analysis of indicators, a systematic review of the literature, fifth National Reports to the CBD, and available information on countries' stated intentions to implement additional actions by 2020. Progress towards target elements is scored as "Good" (substantial positive trends at a global scale relating to

most aspects of the element), "Moderate" (the overall global trend is positive but insubstantial or insufficient, or there may be substantial positive trends for some aspects of the element but little or no progress for others, or the trends are positive in some geographic regions but not in others), "Poor" (little or no progress towards the element or movement away from it; while there may be local, national or case-specific successes and positive trends for some aspects, the overall global trend shows little or negative progress) or "Unknown" (insufficient information to score progress).

Conservation actions, including protected areas, efforts to manage unsustainable use and 22. address illegal taking and trade of species, translocations and invasive species eradications, among others, have been successful in preventing the extinction of some species (established but incomplete). For example, conservation investment during the period between 1996 and 2008 reduced the extinction risk for mammals and birds in 109 countries by a median value of 29 per cent per country, while the rate of deterioration in extinction risk for birds, mammals and amphibians would have been at least 20 per cent higher without conservation action in recent decades. Similarly, it is likely that at least 6 species of ungulate (e.g. Arabian Oryx and Przewalski's Horse) would now be extinct or surviving only in captivity without conservation measures. At least 107 highly threatened birds, mammals and reptiles (e.g. Island Fox and Seychelles Magpie-Robin) are estimated to have benefited from invasive mammal eradication on islands {3.2.2}. Although still few and spatially localized, such cases show that with prompt and appropriate action, it is possible to reduce humaninduced extinction rates (established but incomplete) {2.2.5.2.4, 4}. There are, however, few other counterfactual studies assessing how trends in the state of nature or pressures upon nature would have been different in the absence of conservation efforts (well established) {3.2}.

23. As expressed in several of the Sustainable Development Goals, such as those on clean water, climate action, life below water and life on land (Sustainable Development Goals 6, 13, 14 and 15), biodiversity, ecosystem functions and services directly underpin their achievement (well stablished) {3.3.2.1}, nature also plays an important complex role in the Sustainable Development Goals related to poverty, hunger, health and well-being, sustainable cities (Sustainable Development Goals 1, 2, 3, 11) (established but incomplete) {3.3.2.2} (Figure SPM.7). Several examples illustrate these interdependencies between nature and the Sustainable Development Goals. For example, nature and its contributions may play an important role in reducing vulnerability to climate-related extreme events and other economic, social and environmental shocks and disasters, although anthropogenic assets are also involved (established but incomplete). Nature's underpinning of specific health targets varies across regions and ecosystems, is influenced by anthropogenic assets and remains understudied. The relationship can be positive or negative, as in the case of certain aspects of biodiversity and infectious diseases (see paragraph 2). Nature directly underpins the livelihoods of indigenous peoples and local communities and the rural and urban poor, largely through direct consumption of, or income generated by, trade in material contributions such as food (see para 2 and 36) and energy (well established). Such contributions are generally underrepresented in poverty analyses (established but incomplete). Nature and its contributions are also relevant to goals for education, gender equality, inequalities and peace, justice and strong institutions (Sustainable Development Goals 4, 5, 10 and 16), but the current focus and wording of targets obscures or omits their relationship to nature (established but incomplete).

Selected Sustainable Development Goals		Selected targets (abbreviated)	Recent status and trends in aspects of nature and nature's contributions to people that support progress towards target *		Uncertain relationship
			Poor/Declining support	Partial support	
		1.1 Eradicate extreme poverty			U
POVERTY	No poverty	1.2 Halve the proportion of people in poverty	1		U
Avtti	No poverty	1.4 Ensure that all have equal rights to economic resources			
		1.5 Build the resilience of the poor			
		2.1 End hunger and ensure access to food all year round			
2 TRO HUMBER		2.3 Double productivity and incomes of small-scale food producers			
	Zero hunger	2.4 Ensure sustainable food production systems	l t		
		2.5 Maintain genetic diversity of cultivated plants and farmed animals			
3 GOOD HEALTH AND WELL-BEING		3.2 End preventable deaths of newborns and children			U
	Good health and	3.3 End AIDS, tuberculosis, malaria and neglected tropical diseases			U
-w~	well-being	3.4 Reduce premature mortality from non-communicable diseases	Unkn	nown	
		3.9 Reduce deaths and illnesses from pollution	Unkr	nown	
6 CLEAN WATER	Clean	6.3 Improve water quality			
	water and	6.4 Increase water use and ensure sustainable withdrawals	l l		
Q	sanitation	6.5 Implement integrated water resource management			
	-	6.6 Protect and restore water-related ecosystems			
	Sector and	11.3 Enhance inclusive and sustainable urbanization			
11 AND COMMUNITIES	Sustainable	11.4 Protect and safeguard cultural and natural heritage			
	cities and	11.5 Reduce deaths and the number of people affected by disasters			
	communities	The frequee the adverse environmental impact of ones			
		11.7 Provide universal access to green and public spaces			
	<i>a</i>	13.1 Strengthen resilience to climate-related hazards			
13 ACTION	Olimata	13.2 Integrate climate change into policies, strategies and planning	Hake		
	Climate action	13.3 Improve education and capacity on mitigation and adaptation13a Mobilize US\$100 billion/year for mitigation by developing	Unkr	iown	
V	douon	countries	Unkr		
	Life below water	13b Raise capacity for climate change planning and management	Unkr	nown	
		14.1 Prevent and reduce marine pollution	, į	2	
		14.2 Sustainably manage and protect marine and coastal ecosystems			
14 BELOW MATER		14.3 Minimize and address ocean acidification			
		14.4 Regulate harvesting and end overfishing			
		14.5 Conserve at least 10 per cent of coastal and marine areas			
		14.6 Prohibit subsidies contributing to overfishing			
		14.7 Increase economic benefits from sustainable use of marine resources			
	Life on land	15.1 Ensure conservation of terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems	l i		
		15.2 Sustainably manage and restore degraded forests and halt deforestation			
		15.3 Combat desertification and restore degraded land			
		15.4 Conserve mountain ecosystems			
15 LIFE LINE		15.5 Reduce degradation of natural habitats and prevent extinctions	1		
32		15.6 Promote fair sharing of benefits from use of genetic resources			
<u> </u>		15.7 End poaching and trafficking			
		15.8 Prevent introduction and reduce impact of invasive alien species			
		15.9 Integrate biodiversity values into planning and poverty reduction			
		15a Increase financial resources to conserve and sustainably use biodiversity			
		15b Mobilize resources for sustainable forest management			

* There were no targets that were scored as good/positive status and trends

Figure 7. Summary of recent status of, and trends in, aspects of nature and nature's contributions to people that support progress towards achieving selected targets of the Sustainable Development Goals. Selected targets are those where current evidence and target wording enable assessment of the consequences for target achievement of trends in nature and nature's contribution to people. Chapter 3 Section 3.3 provides a goal-level assessment of the evidence of links between nature and all Sustainable Development Goals. Scores for targets are based on systematic assessments of the literature and quantitative analysis of indicators where possible. None of the targets scored 'Full support' (that is, good status or substantial positive trends at a global scale); consequently, it was not included in the table. 'Partial support': the overall global status and trends are good or positive but insubstantial or insufficient, or there may be substantial positive trends for some relevant aspects but negative trends for others, or the trends are positive in some geographic regions but negative in others; 'Poor/Declining support': poor status or substantial negative trends at a global scale; "Uncertain relationship": the relationship between nature and/or nature's contributions to people and achieving the target ; "Unknown": insufficient information to score the status and trends.

24. To meet the Sustainable Development Goals and achieve the 2050 Vision for Biodiversity, future targets are likely to be more effective if they take into account the impacts of climate

change (well established) {3.2, 3.3}. For example, climate change is projected to greatly increase the number of species under threat, with fewer species expanding their ranges or experiencing more suitable climatic conditions than the number of species experiencing range contraction or less suitable conditions (established but incomplete) {4.2, 3.2}. The impacts of climate change on the effectiveness of protected areas calls for the re-evaluation of conservation objectives, but there are currently few protected areas whose objectives and management take climate change into account (established but incomplete). The Sustainable Development Goals for poverty, health, water and food security and sustainability targets are closely linked through the impacts of multiple direct drivers, including climate change, on biodiversity and ecosystem functions and services, nature and nature's contributions to people and good quality of life. In a post-2020 global biodiversity framework, greater emphasis on the interactions between Sustainable Development Goal targets {4.6, 3.7} may provide a way forward for achieving multiple targets, as synergies (and trade-offs) can be considered. Future targets are expected to be more effective if they take into account impacts of climate change, including on biodiversity, and action to mitigate and adapt to climate change {4.6, 3.7}.

25. The adverse impacts of climate change on biodiversity are projected to increase with increasing warming, so limiting global warming to well below 2 degrees Celsius would have multiple co-benefits for nature, nature's contributions to people and quality of life; however, some large-scale land-based mitigation measures to achieve that objective are projected to have significant impacts on biodiversity (established but incomplete) {4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5}. All climate model trajectories show that limiting human-induced climate change to well below 2 degrees Celsius requires immediate, rapid reductions in greenhouse gas emissions or relying on substantial carbon dioxide removal from the atmosphere. However, the land areas required for bioenergy crops (with or without carbon capture and storage), afforestation and reforestation to achieve the targeted carbon uptake rates are projected to be very large {4.2.4.3., 4.5.3}. The biodiversity and environmental impact of large-scale afforestation and reforestation depends to a large degree on where these occur (prior vegetation cover, state of degradation), and the tree species planted (established but incomplete). Likewise, large bioenergy crop or afforested areas are expected to compete with areas set aside for conservation, including restoration, or agriculture-(established but incomplete). Consequently, large-scale land-based mitigation measures may jeopardize the achievement of other Sustainable Development Goals that depend on land resources (well established) {4.5.3}. In contrast, the benefits of avoiding and reducing deforestation and promoting restoration can be significant for biodiversity (well established) and are expected to have co-benefits for local communities (established but *incomplete*) {4.2.4.3}.

Biodiversity and regulating NCP are projected to decline further in most scenarios of 26. global changes over coming decades, while the supply and demand material NCP with current market value (food, feed, timber and bioenergy) are projected to increase (well established) {4.2, **4.3** (see for example Figure SPM.8). These changes arise from continued human population growth, increasing purchasing power, and increasing per capita consumption. The projected effects of climate change and land use change on terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity are mostly negative, increase with the degree of global warming and land use change and have an impact on marine biodiversity through increased eutrophication and deoxygenation of coastal waters (well established) {4.2.2.3.2, 4.2.3, 4.2.4. For instance, a synthesis of many studies estimates the fraction of species at climate change related risk of extinction is 5% at 2°C warming, rising to 16% at 4.3°C warming {xx}. Climate change and business-as-usual fishing scenarios are expected to worsen the status of marine biodiversity (*well established*) {4.2.2.2, 4.2.2.3.1}. Climate change alone is projected to decrease ocean net primary production by between 3 and 10 per cent and fish biomass by between 3 and 25 per cent (in low and high warming scenarios, respectively) by the end of the century (established but incomplete) {4.2.2.2.1}. Whether or not the current removal of nearly 30 per cent of anthropogenic carbon dioxide emissions by terrestrial ecosystems continues into the future varies greatly from one scenario to the next and depends heavily on how climate change, atmospheric carbon dioxide and land use change interact. Important regulating contributions, such as coastal and soil protection, crop pollination and carbon storage, are projected to decline (established but incomplete) {4.2.4, 4.3.2.1}. In contrast, food, feed, timber and bioenergy production substantially increase in most scenarios (well established) {4.2.4, 4.3.2.2}. Scenarios that include substantial shifts towards sustainable management of resource exploitation and land use, market reform, globally equitable and moderate animal protein consumption and reduction of food waste and losses result in low loss or even recovery of biodiversity (well established) {4.2.2.3.1, 4.2.4.2, 4.3.2.2, 4.5.3}.

27. The magnitude of impacts on biodiversity and ecosystem functions and services and the differences between regions are less in scenarios that focus on global or regional sustainability (*well established*) (Figure SPM.8). Sustainability scenarios that explore moderate and equitable consumption result in substantially lower negative impacts on biodiversity and ecosystems due to

food, feed and timber production (*well established*) {4.1.3, 4.2.4.2, 4.3.2, 4.5.3}. The general patterns at the global level – namely declines in biodiversity and regulating contributions versus increases in the production of food, bioenergy and materials – are evident in nearly all subregions {4.2.2, 4.2.3, 4.2.4, 4.3.3}. For terrestrial systems, most studies indicate that South America, Africa and parts of Asia will be much more significantly affected than other regions, especially in scenarios that are not based on sustainability objectives (see Figure SPM.8 as an example). That is due in part to regional climate change differences and in part to the fact that scenarios generally foresee the largest land use conversions to crops or bioenergy in those regions {4.1.5, 947 4.2.4.2}. Regions such as North America and Europe are expected to have low conversion to crops and continued reforestation {4.1.5, 4.2.4.2}.



Figure 8. Projections of impacts of land use and climate change on biodiversity and nature's material and regulating contributions to people between 2015 and 2050. This figure illustrates three main messages: i) impacts on biodiversity and regulating nature's contributions to people (NCP) are the lowest in the Global Sustainability scenario in nearly all sub-regions, ii) regional differences in impacts are high in the regional competition and economic optimism scenario, but this comes at the expense of biodiversity and regulating NCP. Projected impacts are based on a subset of the Shared Socioeconomic Pathway (SSP) scenarios and greenhouse gas emissions trajectories (RCP) developed in support of Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change assessments. This does not cover scenarios that include transformative change that are discussed in chapter 5.

- The "Global Sustainability" scenario combines proactive environmental policy and sustainable production and consumption with low greenhouse gas emissions (SSP1, RCP2.6; top rows in each panel).
- The "Regional Competition" scenario combines strong trade and other barriers and a growing gap between rich and poor with high emissions (SSP3, RCP6.0; middle rows); and
- The "Economic optimism" scenario combines rapid economic growth and low environmental regulation with very high greenhouse emissions (SSP5, RCP8.5; bottom rows).

Multiple models were used with each of the scenarios to generate the first rigorous global-scale model comparison estimating the impact on biodiversity (change in species richness across a wide range of terrestrial plant and animal species at regional scales; orange bars), material NCP (food, feed, timber and bioenergy; purple bars) and regulating NCP (nitrogen retention, soil protection, crop pollination, crop pest control and ecosystem carbon; white bars). The bars are the normalized means of multiple models and the whiskers indicate the standard errors. Global means of percent change in individual indicators can be found in Figure 4.2.14.

28. Climate change impacts also play a major role in regionally differentiated projections of biodiversity and ecosystem functioning in both marine and terrestrial systems. Novel communities, where species will co-occur in historically unknown combinations, are expected to emerge (established but incomplete) {4.2.1.2., 4.2.4.1} Substantial climate change-driven shifts of terrestrial biome boundaries, in particular in boreal, subpolar and polar regions and (semi-)arid environments, are projected for the coming decades; a warmer, drier climate will reduce productivity in many places (well established) {4.2.4.1}. In contrast, rising atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations can be beneficial for net primary productivity and enhance woody vegetation cover, especially in semi-arid regions (established but incomplete) {4.2.4.1}. For marine systems, impacts are expected to be variable geographically with many fish populations are projected to move poleward due to ocean warming, so local species extinctions are expected in the tropics (well established) {4.2.2.2.1}. However, that does not necessarily imply an increase in biodiversity in the polar seas, because of the rapid rate of sea ice retreat and the enhanced ocean acidification of cold waters (established but incomplete) {4.2.2.2.4}. Along coastlines, the upsurge in extreme climatic events, sea level rise and coastal development is expected to cause increased fragmentation and loss of habitats. Coral reefs are projected to undergo more frequent extreme warming events, with less recovery time in between, declining by a further 70-90% at global warming of 1.5°C, and by more than 99% at 2°C causing massive bleaching episodes with high mortality rates (well established) {4.2.2.2.}

D. Nature can be conserved, restored and used sustainably while simultaneously meeting other global societal goals through urgent and concerted efforts fostering transformative change

29. The Sustainable Development Goals and the 2050 Vision for Biodiversity cannot be achieved without transformative change, the conditions for which can be put in place now (*well established*) **{2, 3, 5, 6.2}** (Figure SPM.9). Increasing awareness of connectivity in the environmental crisis and new norms regarding interactions between humans and nature would support that change (*well established*) **{5.3, 5.4.3}**. In the short term (before 2030), all decision makers could contribute to sustainability transformations, including through enhanced and improved implementation and enforcement of effective existing policy instruments and regulations, and the reform and removal of harmful existing policies and subsidies (*well established*). Additional measures are necessary to enable transformative change in the long term (up to 2050) to address the indirect drivers that are the root causes of nature deterioration (*well established*), including changes in social, economic and technological structures within and across nations **{6.2, 6.3, 6.4, SPM Table 1}**.

30. Sustainability transformations call for cross-sectoral thinking and approaches (Figure SPM.9). Sectoral policies and measures can be effective in particular contexts, but often fail to account for indirect, distant and cumulative impacts, which can have adverse effects, including exacerbating inequalities (*well established*). Cross-sectoral approaches, including landscape approaches, integrated watershed and coastal zone management, marine spatial planning, bioregional scale planning for energy and new urban planning paradigms, offer opportunities to reconcile multiple

interests, values and forms of resource use, provided that these cross-sectoral approaches recognize trade-offs and uneven power relations between stakeholders (*established but incomplete*) {5.4.2, 5.4.3, 6.3, 6.4}.

Transformative change is facilitated by innovative governance approaches that 31. incorporate existing approaches such as integrative, inclusive, informed and adaptive governance. While such approaches have been extensively practised and studied separately, it is increasingly recognized that together they can contribute to transformative change (established but incomplete) [6.2]. They help to address governance challenges that are common to many sectors and policy domains and create conditions for implementing transformative change. Integrative approaches, such as mainstreaming across government sectors, are focused on the relationships between sectors and policies and help to ensure policy coherence and effectiveness (well established). Inclusive approaches help to reflect a plurality of values and ensure equity (established but incomplete), including through equitable sharing of benefits arising from their use and rights-based approaches (established but incomplete). Informed governance entails novel strategies for knowledge production and co-production that are inclusive of diverse values and knowledge systems (established but incomplete). Adaptive approaches, including learning from experience, monitoring and feedback loops, contribute to preparing for and managing the inevitable uncertainties and complexities associated with social and environmental changes (established but incomplete) {6.2, 5.4.2}.



Figure 9. Transformative change in global sustainability pathways. Collaborative implementation of priority governance interventions (levers) targeting key points of intervention (leverage points) could enable transformative change from current trends towards more sustainable ones. Most levers can be applied by a range of actors such as intergovernmental organizations, governments, non-governmental organizations, citizen and community groups, indigenous peoples and local communities, donor agencies, science and educational organizations, and the private sector, at multiple leverage points, depending on context. Implementing existing and new instruments through place-based governance interventions that are integrative, informed, inclusive and adaptive, using strategic policy mixes and learning from feedback, could enable global transformation.

32. The synthesis of evidence for key constituents of pathways to sustainability suggests a group of five overarching types of management interventions, or levers, and eight leverage points for transformative change (Figure SPM.9; D3 and D4 above) {5.4.1, 5.4.2}. The notion of levers and leverage points recognizes that complex global systems cannot be managed simply, but that in certain cases, specific interventions can be mutually reinforcing and generate larger-scale changes towards achieving shared goals (*well established*) (Table SPM.1). For example, changes in laws and policies can enable and underpin changes in resource management and consumption and, in turn, changes in individual and collective behaviour and habits can facilitate the implementation of policies and laws {5.4.3}.

33. Changes towards sustainable production and consumption and reducing and transforming residues and waste, particularly changes in consumption among the affluent, is recognized by some individuals and communities worldwide as central to-sustainable

development and reducing inequalities. While actual reductions have been limited, actions already being taken at different levels can be improved, coordinated and scaled up (well established). Those include, inter alia, introducing and improving standards and systems, including relevant regulations, aimed at internalizing the external costs of production, extraction and consumption (such as pricing wasteful or polluting practices, including through penalties), promoting resource efficiency, circular and other economic models, voluntary environmental and social certification of market chains and incentives for sustainable practices and innovation. Importantly, they also involve a change in the definition of what a good quality of life entails – decoupling the idea of a good and meaningful life from ever-increasing material consumption. All those approaches are more effective when they are mutually reinforcing. Actions that help to unleash, voluntarily, existing social values of responsibility in the form of individual, collective and organizational actions towards sustainability can have a powerful and lasting effect in shifting behaviour and cultivating stewardship as a normal social practice (*established but incomplete*) {5.4.1.2, 5.4.1.3, 6.4.2, 6.4.3}.

34. Expanding and effectively managing the current network of protected areas, including terrestrial, freshwater and marine areas, is important for safeguarding biodiversity (well established), particularly in the context of climate change. Conservation outcomes also depend on adaptive governance, strong societal engagement, effective and equitable benefit-sharing mechanisms, sustained funding, and monitoring and enforcement of rules (well established) {6.2, 5.4.2}. National Governments play a central role in supporting primary research and effective conservation and sustainable use of multi-functional landscape and seascape. The latter include planning ecologically representative networks of interconnected protected areas to cover key biodiversity areas and managing trade-offs between societal objectives that represent diverse worldviews and multiple values of nature (established but incomplete) {6.3.2.3, 6.3.3.3}. Safeguarding protected areas into the future also entails enhancing monitoring and enforcement systems, managing biodiversity-rich land and sea beyond protected areas, addressing property rights conflicts and protecting environmental legal frameworks against the pressure of powerful interest groups. In many areas, conservation depends on building capacity and enhancing stakeholder collaboration, involving non-profit groups as well as indigenous peoples and local communities to establish and manage Marine Protected Area's and Marine Protected Area networks, and proactively using instruments such as landscape-scale and seascape-scale participatory scenarios and spatial planning, including transboundary conservation planning (well established) {5.3.2.3, 6.3.2.3, 6.3.3.3}. Implementation beyond protected areas includes combating wildlife and timber trafficking through effective enforcement and ensuring the legality and sustainability of trade in wildlife. Such actions include prioritizing wildlife trafficking in criminal justice systems, using community-based social marketing to reduce demand and implementing strong measures to combat corruption at all levels (established but *incomplete*) {6.3.2.3}.

Integrated landscape governance entails a mix of policies and instruments that together 35. ensure nature conservation, ecological restoration and sustainable use, and sustainable production (including of food, materials and energy), sustainable forest management and infrastructure planning, and address the major drivers of biodiversity loss and nature deterioration (well established) {6.3.2, 6.3.6}. Policy mixes harmonized across sectors, levels of governance and jurisdictions can account for ecological and social differences across and beyond the landscape, build on existing forms of knowledge and governance and address trade-offs between tangible and non-tangible benefits in a transparent and equitable manner (established but incomplete). Managing landscapes sustainably can be better achieved through multifunctional, multi-use, multi-stakeholder and community-based approaches (well established), using a combination of measures and practices, including: (a) well managed and connected protected areas and other effective area based conservation measures; (b) reduced impact logging; forest certification; payment for ecosystem services, among other instruments and reduced emissions from deforestation and forest degradation; (c) support for ecological restoration; (d) effective monitoring including public access and participation as appropriate; (e) addressing of illegal activities; and (f) effective implementation of multilateral environmental agreements and other relevant international agreements by their parties; and (g) promoting sustainable biodiversity based food systems. (well established) {6.3.2.1, 6.3.2.3, 6.3.2, 6.3.2.4}.

36. Feeding the world in a sustainable manner, especially in the context of climate change and population growth, entails food systems that ensure adaptive capacity, minimize environmental impacts, eliminate hunger, and contribute to human health and animal welfare (*established but incomplete*) {5.3.2.1, 6.3.2.1}. Pathways to sustainable food systems entail land use planning and sustainable management of both the supply/producer and the demand/consumer sides of food systems (*well established*) {5.3.2.1, 6.3.2.1, 6.4}. Options for sustainable agricultural production are available and developing further, with some having more impacts on biodiversity and ecosystem functions than others {6.3.2.1}. These options include integrated pest and nutrient management, organic agriculture, agroecological practices, soil and water conservation practices, conservation agriculture, agroforestry, silvopastoral systems, irrigation management, small or patch systems, and practices to improve animal welfare. These practices could be enhanced through well-structured regulations, incentives and subsidies, the removal of distorting subsidies {2.3.5.2, 5.3.2.1, 5.4.2.1, 6.3.2}, and--at landscape scales--by integrated landscape planning and watershed management. Ensuring the adaptive capacity of food production incorporates measures that conserve the diversity of genes, varieties, cultivars, breeds, landraces and species which also contribute to diversified, healthy and culturally-relevant nutrition. Some incentives and regulations may contribute to positive changes at both the production and consumption ends of supply chains, such as the creation, improvement and implementation of voluntary standards, certification and supply-chain agreements (e.g., the Soy Moratorium) and the reduction of harmful subsidies. Regulatory mechanisms could also address the risks of co-option and lobbying, where commercial or sectoral interests may work to maintain high levels of demand, monopolies and continued use of pesticides and chemical inputs {5.3.2.1}. Non-regulatory alternatives are also important and potentially include technical assistance--especially for small-holders-and appropriate economic incentive programs for example, some payment for ecosystem services programmes and other non-monetary instruments {5.4.2.1}. Options that address and engage other actors in food systems (including the public sector, civil society and consumers, grassroot movements) include participatory on-farm research, promotion of low-impact and healthy diets and localization of food systems. Such options could help reduce food waste, overconsumption, and demand for animal products from unsustainable production, which could have synergistic benefits for human health (established but *incomplete*) {5.3.2.1, 6.3.2.1}.

37. Ensuring sustainable food production from the oceans while protecting biodiversity entails policy action to apply sustainable ecosystem approaches to fisheries management, spatial planning (including the implementation and expansion of marine protected areas) and, more broadly, to address drivers such as climate change, pollution (well established) {5.3.2.5, 6.3.3}. Scenarios show that pathways to sustainable fisheries entail conserving, restoring and sustainably using marine ecosystems, rebuilding overfished stocks (including through targeted limits on catch or fishing efforts and moratoria), reducing pollution (including plastics), managing destructive extractive activities, eliminating harmful subsidies and illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing, adapting fisheries management to climate change impacts and reducing the environmental impact of aquaculture (well established) {4, 5.3.2.5, 6.3.3.3.2}. Marine protected areas have demonstrated success in both biodiversity conservation and improved local quality of life when managed effectively and can be further expanded through larger or more interconnected protected areas or new protected areas in currently under-represented regions and key biodiversity areas (established but incomplete) {5.3.2.5; 6.3.3.3.1}. Due to major pressures on coasts (including development, land reclamation and water pollution), implementing marine conservation outside protected areas, such as integrated coastal planning, is important for biodiversity conservation and sustainable use (well established) {6.3.3.} Other measures to expand multi-sectoral cooperation on coastal management include corporate social responsibility measures, standards for building and construction and eco-labelling (well established) {6.3.3.3.2, 6.3.3.3.4}. Additional tools could include economic instruments for financing conservation both non-market and market based, including for example payment for ecosystem services, biodiversity offset schemes, blue-carbon sequestration, cap-and-trade programmes, green bonds and trust funds and new legal instruments such as the proposed international legally binding instrument under the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea on the conservation and sustainable use of marine biological diversity of areas beyond national jurisdiction (established but incomplete) {6.3.3.2, 6.3.3.1.3, 5.4.2.1, 5.4.1.7}.

38. Sustaining freshwater in the context of climate change, rising demand for water extraction and increased levels of pollution involves both cross-sectoral and sector-specific interventions that improve water use efficiency, increase storage, reduce sources of pollution, improve water quality and minimize disruption and foster restoration of natural habitats and flow regimes (*well established*) {6.3.4}. Promising interventions include practising integrated water resource management and landscape planning across scales; protecting wetland biodiversity areas; guiding and limiting the expansion of unsustainable agriculture and mining; slowing and reversing de-vegetation of catchments; and mainstreaming practices that reduce erosion, sedimentation and pollution run-off and minimize the negative impact of dams (*well established*) {6.3.4.6}. Sector-specific interventions include improved water-use efficiency techniques (including in agriculture, mining and energy), decentralized (for example, household-based) rainwater collection, integrated management (e.g., 'conjunctive use') of surface and groundwater, locally developed water conservation techniques and water pricing and incentive programmes (such as water accounts and

payment for ecosystem services programmes) {6.3.4.2, 6.3.4.4}. With regard to watershed payment for ecosystem services programmes, their effectiveness and efficiency can be enhanced by acknowledging multiple values in their design, implementation and evaluation and setting up impact evaluation systems (*established but incomplete*) {6.3.4.4}. Investment in infrastructure, including green infrastructure, is important, especially in developing countries, but it can be undertaken in a way that takes into account ecological function and the careful blending of built and natural infrastructure {5.3.2.4, 6.3.4.5}.

39. Meeting the Sustainable Development Goals in cities and making cities resilient to climate change entails solutions that are sensitive to social, economic and ecological contexts. Integrated city-specific and landscape-level planning, nature-based solutions and built infrastructure as well as responsible production and consumption can all contribute to sustainable and equitable cities and make a significant contribution to the overall climate change adaptation and mitigation effort. Urban planning approaches to promote sustainability include encouraging compact communities, designing nature-sensitive road networks and creating low impact (from an emissions and land use perspective) infrastructure and transportation systems, including active, public and shared transport $\{5.3.2.6, 6.3.5\}$. However, given that most urban growth between now and 2030 will take place in the Global South, major sustainability challenges include addressing, creatively and inclusively, the lack of basic infrastructure (water, sanitation and mobility), the absence of spatial planning and limited governance capacity and financing mechanisms. Those challenges also offer opportunities for locally-developed innovation and experimentation, creating new economic opportunities. A combination of bottom-up and city-level efforts, by public and private, community and Government partnerships can be effective in promoting low-cost and locally-adapted solutions to maintaining and restoring biodiversity and ecosystem functions and services. Nature-based options include combining grey and green infrastructure (such as wetland and watershed restoration and green roofs), enhancing green spaces through restoration and expansion, promoting urban gardens, maintaining and designing for ecological connectivity and promoting accessibility for all (with benefits for human health). Additional solutions include disseminating new, low-cost technologies for decentralized wastewater treatment and energy production and creating incentives to reduce over-consumption {6.3.5}. Integrating cross-sectoral planning at the local and landscape and regional levels is important, as is involving diverse stakeholders (well established). Particularly important at the regional scale are policies and programmes that promote sustainability-minded collective action {5.4.1.3}, protect watersheds beyond city jurisdiction and ensure the connectivity of ecosystems and habitat (e.g., through green-belts). At the regional scale, cross-sectoral approaches to mitigating the impact of infrastructure and energy projects entail support for comprehensive environmental impact assessment and strategic environmental assessment of local and regional cumulative impacts {6.3.6.4, 6.3.6.6}.

Decision makers have a range of options and tools for improving the sustainability of 40. economic and financial systems (well established) {6.4}. Achieving a sustainable economy involves making fundamental reforms to economic and financial systems and tackling poverty and inequality as vital parts of sustainability (well established) {6.4}. Governments could reform subsidies and taxes to support nature and its contributions to people, removing perverse incentives, and instead promoting diverse instruments such as payments linked to social and environmental metrics, as appropriate (established but incomplete) {6.4.1}. At the international level, options for reacting to the challenges generated by displacement of the impacts of unsustainable consumption and production on nature include both rethinking established instruments and developing new instruments to account for long distance impacts. Trade agreements and derivatives markets could be reformed to promote equity and prevent deterioration of nature, although there are uncertainties associated with implementation (established but incomplete) {6.4.4}. Alternative models and measures of economic welfare (such as inclusive wealth accounting, natural capital accounting and degrowth models) are increasingly considered as possible approaches to balancing economic growth and conservation of nature and its contributions and recognizing trade-offs, value pluralism and long-term goals (established but incomplete) {6.4.5}. Structural changes to economies are also key to shifting action over long time scales, including technological and social innovation regimes and investment frameworks that internalize environmental impacts such as externalities of economic activities, including by addressing environmental impacts in socially just and appropriate ways (well established) {5.4.1.7}. Although market-based policy instruments such as payments for ecosystem services. voluntary certification and biodiversity offsetting have increased in use, their effectiveness is mixed, and they are often contested; thus, they should be designed and applied carefully to avoid perverse effects in context (established but incomplete) {5.4.2.1, 6.3.2.2, 6.3.2.5, 6.3.6.3}. The widespread internalization of environmental impacts, including externalities associated with long-distance trade is considered both an outcome and a constituent of global and national sustainable economies (well established) {5.4.1.6, 6.4}.

Table SPM.1. Approaches for sustainability and possible actions and pathways for achieving them. The appropriateness and relevance of different approaches vary according to place, system, decision-making process and scale. The list of actions and pathways in the following table is not exhaustive, but rather illustrative, using examples from the assessment report.

	Possible actions and pathways to achieve transformative change			
Approaches for sustainability	Key actors: (IG=Intergovernmental organizations; G=Governments; NGOs =Non-governmental Organizations; CG=Citizen, community groups, IPLC = Indigenous peoples and local communities; D=Donor agencies; SO= Science and educational organizations; P=Private sector)			
Enabling integrative governance to ensure policy coherence and effectiveness	 Implementing cross-sectoral approaches that consider linkages and interconnections between sectoral policies and actions (e.g. IG, G, D, IPLC) {6.2} (D1) Mainstreaming biodiversity within and across different sectors (e.g. agriculture, forestry, fisheries, mining, tourism) (e.g. IG, G, NGO, IPLC, CG, P, D) {6.2, 6.3.5.2} (D5) Encouraging integrated planning and management for sustainability at the landscape and seascape level (e.g. IG, G, D) {6.3.2} (D5) Incorporating environmental and socioeconomic impacts, including externalities into public and private decision-making (e.g. IG, G, P) {5.4.1.6} (B5) Improving existing policy instruments and use them strategically and synergistically in smart policy mixes (e.g. IG, G) {6.2; 6.3.2; 6.3.3.1; 6.3.4.6; 6.3.5.1; 6.3.6.1} (D4) 			
Promoting inclusive governance approaches through stakeholder engagement and the inclusion of indigenous peoples and local communities to ensure equity and participation	 Recognizing and enabling the expression of different value systems and diverse interests while formulating and implementing policies and actions (e.g. IG, G, IPLCs, CG, NGO, SO, D) {6.2} (B5, D5) Enabling the inclusion and participation of indigenous peoples and local communities, and women and girls, in environmental governance and recognizing and respecting the knowledge, innovations and practices, institutions and values of indigenous peoples and local communities, in accordance with national legislation {6.2; 6.2.4.4} (e.g. G, IPLC, P) (D5) Facilitating national recognition for land tenure, access and resource rights in accordance with national legislation, and the application of free, prior and informed consent and fair and equitable benefit-sharing arising from their use (e.g. G, IPLC, P) (D5) Improving collaboration and participation among indigenous peoples and local communities, other relevant stakeholders, policymakers and scientists to generate novel ways of conceptualizing and achieving transformative change towards sustainability (e.g. G, IG, D, IPLC, CG, SO) (D5) 			
Practicing informed governance for nature and nature's contributions to people	 Improving documentation of nature (e.g biodiversity and other inventories) and assessment and of the multiple values of nature, including the valuation of natural capital by both private and public entities (e.g. SO, D, G, IG, P) {6.2} (D2) Improving monitoring and enforcement of existing laws and policies through better documentation and information-sharing and regular, informed and adaptive readjustments to ensure, as appropriate, transparent and enhanced results (e.g. IG, G, IPLC, P) (D2) Advancing knowledge co-production and including and recognizing different types of knowledge, including indigenous and local knowledge and education, that enhances the legitimacy and effectiveness of environmental policies (e.g. SO, IG, G, D) (B6, D3) 			
Promoting adaptive governance and management	 Enabling locally tailored choices about conservation, restoration, sustainable use and development connectivity that account for uncertainty in environmental conditions and scenarios of climate change (e.g. G, IPLC, CG, P) (D3) Promoting public access to relevant information ass appropriating decision-making and responsiveness to assessments by improving monitoring, including setting goals and objectives with multiple relevant stakeholders, often with competing interests (e.g. IG, G) Promoting awareness raising activities around the principles of adaptive management, such as short, medium and long-term goals towards international targets that are regularly reassessed (e.g. IG, G, SO, CG, D) (D4) Piloting and testing well-designed policy innovations that experiment with scales and models (e.g. G, D, SO, CG, IPLC) (D4) Increasing the effectiveness of current and future international biodiversity targets and goals (such as those of the post-2020 global biodiversity framework and of the Sustainable Development Goals), (e.g. IG, G, D) {6.2; 6.4} 			
Managing sustainable a	nd multifunctional landscapes and seascapes and some of the actions they may entail			
Producing and consuming food sustainably	• Promoting sustainable agricultural practices, such as good agricultural practices, agroecology, among others, multifunctional landscape planning and cross-sectoral integrated management {6.3.2}			

	Possible actions and pathways to achieve transformative change		
Approaches for sustainability	Key actors: (IG=Intergovernmental organizations; G=Governments; NGOs =Non-governmental Organizations; CG=Citizen, community groups, IPLC = Indigenous peoples and local communities; D=Donor agencies; SO= Science and educational organizations; P=Private sector)		
	 Conserving sustainable using genetic resources for agricultural including diversity of genes, varieties, cultivars, breeds, landraces and species (e.g. SO, IPLC, CG) {6.3.2.1} (A6) Promoting the use of biodiversity-friendly management practices in crop and livestock production, forestry, fisheries and aquaculture, including, where relevant, traditional management practices associated with Indigenous Peoples and Local communities {6.3.2.1} (D6) Promoting areas of natural or semi-natural habitat within and around production systems, including those that are intensively managed – where necessary, restoring or reconnecting damaged or fragmented habitats. {6.3.2.1} (D6) Improving food market transparency (e.g traceability of biodiversity impacts, transparency in supply chains) through tools such as labelling and sustainability certification. Improving equity in food distribution and the localization of food systems, where appropriate and where beneficial to Nature/NCP Reducing food wastes from production to consumption. Promoting sustainable and healthy diets {6.3.2.1} (D6) 		
Integrating multiple uses for sustainable forests	 Promoting multifunctional, multi-use, multi-stakeholder and improving community-based approaches to forest governance and management to achieve sustainable forest management (e.g. IG, G, CG, IPLC, D, SO, P) {6.3.2.2} (A4) Supporting reforestation and ecological restoration of degraded forest habitats with appropriate species, giving priority to native species (e.g. G, IPLC, CG, D, SO) {6.3.2.2} (A4) Promoting and strengthening community-based management and governance, including customary institutions and management systems, and co-management regimes involving indigenous peoples and local communities (e.g. IG, G, CG, IPLC, D, SO, P) {6.3.2.2} (D5) Reducing the negative impact of unsustainable logging by improving and implementing sustainable forest management, and addressing illegal logging (e.g. IG, G, NGO, P) {6.3.2.2} (D1) Increasing efficiency in forest product use, including incentives for adding value to forest products (such as sustainability labelling or public procurement policies), as well as promoting intensive production in well managed forests so as to reduce pressures elsewhere (e.g. P, D, NGO) {6.3.2.2} (B1) 		
Conserving, effectively managing and sustainably using terrestrial landscapes	 Supporting, expanding and promoting effectively managed and ecologically representative networks of well-connected protected areas and other multifunctional conservation areas, such as Other Effective Area-Based Conservation Measures (OECMs) (e.g. IG, G, IPLC, CG, D) {3.2.1, 6.3.2.3} (C1, D7) Using extensive, proactive participatory landscape-scale spatial planning to prioritize land uses that balance and further safeguard nature and to protect and manage key biodiversity areas and other important sites for present and future biodiversity (e.g. IG, G, D) (B1, D7) Managing and restoring biodiversity beyond protected areas, (e.g. IG, G, CG, IPLC, P, NGO, D) (B1) Developing robust and inclusive decision-making processes that facilitate the positive contributions of indigenous peoples and local communities to sustainability by incorporating locally attuned management systems and indigenous and local knowledge (B6, D5) Improving and expanding the levels of financial support for conservation and sustainable use through a variety of innovative options, including through partnerships with the private sector {6.3.2.5} (D5, D7, D10) Prioritizing land-based adaptation and mitigation measures that do not have negative impacts on biodiversity (e.g. reducing deforestation, restoring land and ecosystems, improving management of agricultural systems including soil carbon, and preventing degradation of wetlands and peatlands) (D8) Monitor the effectiveness and impacts of protected areas and Other Effective Area-Based Conservation Measures (OECMs). 		
Promoting sustainable governance and management of seascapes, oceans and marine systems	 Promote shared and integrated ocean governance including biodiversity beyond national jurisdictions (e.g. IG, G, NGO, P, SO, D) {6.3.3.2} (D7) Expand, connect and effectively manage marine protected areas networks (e.g. IG, G, IPLC, CG, D7) {5.3.2.3}, including protecting and managing priority marine key biodiversity areas and other important sites for present and future biodiversity and increasing protection and connectivity Promoting the conservation and/or restoration of marine ecosystems: through rebuilding overfished stocks; preventing, deterring and eliminating illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing; encouraging ecosystem-based fisheries management; and controlling pollution through removal of derelict gear and addressing plastics (IG, G, P, IPLC, CG, SO, D) {SPM B1, D7} 		

	Possible actions and pathways to achieve transformative change		
Approaches for sustainability	Key actors: (IG=Intergovernmental organizations; G=Governments; NGOs =Non-governmental Organizations; CG=Citizen, community groups, IPLC = Indigenous peoples and local communities; D=Donor agencies; SO= Science and educational organizations; P=Private sector)		
	 (IG, G, NGO, P, CG, IPLC, SO, D) {6.3.3.3.1} {SPM B1, D7} (a) Promoting ecological restoration, remediation and multifunctionality of coastal structures, including through marine spatial planning (b) Integrating ecological functionality concerns into the planning phase of coastal construction (c) Expanding multi-sectoral cooperation by increasing and improving corporate social responsibility measures and regulation in building and construction standards, and ecolabelling and best practices Encouraging effective fishery reform strategies through incentives with positive impacts on biodiversity and removal of environmentally harmful subsidies (e.g. IG, G) {6.3.3.2} {SPM D7} Reducing the environmental impacts of aquaculture by voluntary certification and best practices in fisheries and aquaculture production methods (e.g. G, IPLC, NGO, P) {6.3,3,3.5} {6.3.3.2} {e.g. SPM B1, D7} Reducing point and nonpoint source pollution, including managing marine microplastic and macroplastic pollution through effective waste management, incentives and innovations (G, P, NGO) {6.3.3.1} {SPM B1, D7} Increasing ocean conservation funding {6.3.3.1.3} {SPM D7} 		
Improving freshwater management, protection and connectivity	 Integrating water resource management and landscape planning, such as through increased protection and connectivity of freshwater ecosystems, improving transboundary water cooperation and management, addressing impacts of fragmentation due to dams and diversions, and incorporating regional analyses of the water cycle (e.g. IG, G, IPLC, CG, NGO, D, SO, P) {6.3.4.6}; {6.3.4.7} (B1) Supporting inclusive water governance e.g. through developing and implementing invasive alien species management with relevant stakeholders (e.g. IG, G, IPLC, CG, NGO, D, SO, P) {6.3.4.3} (D4) Supporting co-management regimes for collaborative water management and to foster equity between water users (while maintaining a minimum ecological flow for the aquatic ecosystems), and engaging stakeholders and using transparency to minimize environmental, economic and social conflicts (D4) Mainstreaming practices that reduce soil erosion, sedimentation and pollution run-off (e.g. G, CG, P) {6.3.4.1} Reducing the fragmentation of freshwater policies by coordinating international, national and local regulatory frameworks (e.g. G, SO) {6.3.4.7; 6.3.4.2} Increasing water storage by facilitating groundwater recharge, wetlands protection and restoration, alternative storage techniques and restriction on groundwater abstraction. (e.g. G, CG, IPLC, P, D) {6.3.4.2} (B1, B3) Promoting investment in water projects with clear sustainability criteria (e.g. G, P, D, SO) {6.3.4.5} (B1, B3) 		
Building sustainable cities that address critical needs while conserving nature, restoring biodiversity, maintaining and enhancing ecosystem services	 Engaging sustainable urban planning (e.g. G, CG, IPLC, NGO, P) {6.3.5.1} (D9) Encouraging densification for compact communities, including brownfield development and other strategies {6.3.5.3} Including biodiversity protection, biodiversity offsetting, river basin protection, and ecological restoration in regional planning {6.3.5.1} Safeguarding urban key biodiversity areas and ensuring that they do not become isolated through incompatible uses of surrounding land {6.3.5.2; SM 6.4.2} Promoting biodiversity mainstreaming through stakeholder engagement and integrative planning (e.g. G, NGO, CG, IPLC) {6.3.5.3} Encouraging alternative business models and incentives for urban conservation {6.3.2.1} Promoting sustainable production and consumption {6.3.6.4} Promoting, developing, safeguarding or retrofitting green and blue infrastructure (for water management) while improving grey (hard) infrastructure to address biodiversity outcomes, {6.3.5.2} Promoting ecosystem-based adaptation within communities {3.7; 5.4.2.2} Maintaining and designing for ecological connectivity within urban spaces, particularly with native species {6.3.5.2; SM e.g. 6.4.1} Increasing urban green spaces and improving access to them {6.3.2} Increasing access to urban services for low-income communities, with priorities for sustainable water management, integrated sustainable solid waste management and sewage systems, and safe and secure shelter and transport (G, NGO) {6.3.5.4} (D9) 		

	Possible actions and pathways to achieve transformative change		
Approaches for sustainability	Key actors: (IG=Intergovernmental organizations; G=Governments; NGOs =Non-governmental Organizations; CG=Citizen, community groups, IPLC = Indigenous peoples and local communities; D=Donor agencies; SO= Science and educational organizations; P=Private sector)		
Promoting sustainable energy and infrastructure projects and production	 Developing sustainable strategies, voluntary standards and guidelines for sustainable renewable energy and bioenergy projects (G, SO, P) {6.3.6} (e.g. SPM D8} Strengthening and promoting biodiversity-inclusive environmental impact assessments, laws and guidelines {6.3.6.2} (B1) Mitigating environmental and social impacts where possible, and promoting innovative financing and restoration when necessary (e.g. G, P, NGO, D) {6.3.6.3} (B1), including redesigning incentive programmes and policies to promote bioenergy systems that optimize trade-offs between biodiversity loss and benefits (e.g. through life cycle analysis) (D8) Supporting community-based management and decentralized sustainable energy production (e.g. G, CG, IPLC, D) {6.3.6.4} {6.3.6.5} (D9) Reducing energy demands so as to reduce demand for biodiversity-impacting infrastructure (e.g. through energy efficiency, new clean energy, reduced unsustainable consumption) (G, P) (B1) 		
Improving the sustainability of economic and financial systems	 Developing and promoting incentive structures to protect biodiversity (e.g. removing harmful incentives,) (e.g. IG, G) {6.4} (D10) Promoting sustainable production and consumption, such as through: sustainable sourcing, resource efficiency and reduced production impacts, circular and other economic models, corporate social responsibility, life-cycle assessments that include biodiversity, trade agreements and public procurement policies (e.g. G, CA, NGO, SO) {6.4.3, 6.3.2.1} (D10) Exploring alternative economic accounting such as natural capital accounting, Material and Energy Flow Accounting among others (e.g. IG, G, SO) {6.4.5} (D10) Encouraging policies that combine poverty reduction with measures to increase the provision of nature's contributions and the conservation and sustainable use of nature (e.g. IG, G, D) {3.2.1} (C2) Improving market-based instruments, such as payment for ecosystem services, voluntary certification and biodiversity offsetting) to address challenges such as equity and effectiveness (e.g. G, P, NGO, IPLC, CG, SO) (B1) Reducing consumption (e.g. encouraging consumer information to reduce overconsumption and waste; using public policies and regulations; internalizing environmental impacts) (e.g. G, P, NGO) (B4, C2) Creating and improving supply-chain models that reduce the impact on nature (D3) 		



Confidence diagram and definitions

The IPBES Conceptual Framework is a highly simplified model of the complex interactions between the natural world and human societies. The model identifies the main elements (boxes within the main panel delimited in grey), together with their interactions (arrows within the main panel), that are most relevant to the Platform's goal. "Nature", "nature's contributions to people" and "good quality of life" (indicated as black headlines and defined in the box) are inclusive categories that were identified as meaningful and relevant to all stakeholders involved in IPBES during a participatory process, including various disciplines of the natural and social sciences and the humanities, as well of other knowledge systems, such as those of indigenous peoples and local communities. Text in green denotes the concepts of science; and text in blue denotes those of other knowledge das important but are not the main focus of the Platform. The thick coloured arrows below and to the right of the central panel indicate different scales of time and space, respectively. This conceptual framework was accepted by the Plenary in decision IPBES/2/4 and the Plenary took note of an update presented in IPBES/INF/24 in decision IPBES/5/1. Further details and examples of the concepts defined in the box can be found in the Glossary and in Chapter 1

"**Nature**" in the context of the Platform refers to the natural world with an emphasis on biodiversity. Within the context of science, it includes categories such as biodiversity, ecosystems, ecosystem functioning, evolution, the biosphere,-humankind's shared evolutionary heritage, and biocultural diversity. Within the context of other knowledge systems, it includes categories such as Mother Earth and systems of life. Other components of nature, such as deep aquifers, mineral and fossil reserves, and wind, solar, geothermal and wave power, are not the focus of the Platform. Nature contributes to societies through the provision of contributions to people.

"Anthropogenic assets" refers to built-up infrastructure, health facilities, knowledge (including indigenous and local knowledge systems and technical or scientific knowledge, as well as formal and non-formal education), technology (both physical objects and procedures), and financial assets, among others. Anthropogenic assets have been highlighted to emphasize that a good life is achieved by a co-production of benefits between nature and societies.

"**Nature's contributions to people**" refers to all the benefits that humanity obtains from nature. Ecosystem goods and services, considered separately or in bundles, are included in this category. Within other knowledge systems, nature's gifts and similar concepts refer to the benefits of nature from which people derive a good quality of life. Aspects of nature that can be negative to people (detriments), such as pests, pathogens or predators, are also included in this broad category. **1. Nature's regulating contributions** to people: Functional and structural aspects of organisms and ecosystems that modify environmental conditions experienced by people, and/or sustain and/or regulate the generation of material and non-material contributions. These NCP include, for example, water purification, climate regulation, or soil erosion regulation

2. **Nature's material contributions** to people: Substances, objects or other material elements from nature that sustain people's physical existence and infrastructure (i.e. the basic physical and organizational structures and facilities, such as buildings, roads, power supplies) needed for the operation of a society or enterprise. They are typically physically consumed in the process of being experienced, such as when plants or animals are transformed into food, energy, or materials for shelter or ornamental purposes.

3. **Nature's non-material contributions** to people: Nature's contribution to people's subjective or psychological quality of life, individually and collectively. The entities that provide these intangible contributions can be physically consumed in the process (e.g. animals in recreational or ritual fishing or hunting) or not (e.g. individual trees or ecosystems as sources of inspiration).

"**Drivers of change**" refers to all those external factors that affect nature, anthropogenic assets, nature's contributions to people and a good quality of life. They include institutions and governance systems and other indirect drivers, and direct drivers (both natural and anthropogenic).

"Institutions and governance systems and other indirect drivers" are the ways in which societies organize themselves, and the resulting influences on other components. They are the underlying causes of environmental change that are exogenous to the ecosystem in question. Because of their central role, influencing all aspects of human relationships with nature, these are key levers for decisionmaking. Institutions encompass all formal and informal interactions among stakeholders and social structures that determine how decisions are taken and implemented, how power is exercised, and how responsibilities are distributed. Institutions determine, to various degrees, the access to, and the control, allocation and distribution of components of nature and anthropogenic assets and their contributions to people. Examples of institutions are systems of property and access rights to land (e.g., public, common-pool, private), legislative arrangements, treaties, informal social norms and rules, including those emerging from indigenous and local knowledge systems, and international regimes such as agreements against stratospheric ozone depletion or the protection of endangered species of wild fauna and flora. Economic policies, including macroeconomic, fiscal, monetary or agricultural policies, play a significant role in influencing people's decisions and behaviour and the way in which they relate to nature in the pursuit of benefits. Many drivers of human behaviour and preferences, however, which reflect different perspectives on a good quality of life, work largely outside the market system.

"Direct drivers", both natural and anthropogenic, affect nature directly. "Natural drivers" are those that are not the result of human activities and are beyond human control. These include earthquakes, volcanic eruptions and tsunamis, extreme weather or ocean-related events such as prolonged drought or cold periods, tropical cyclones and floods, the El Niño/La Niña Southern Oscillation and extreme tidal events. The direct anthropogenic drivers are those that are the result of human decisions, namely, of institutions and governance systems and other indirect drivers. Anthropogenic drivers include habitat conversion, e.g., degradation of land and aquatic habitats, deforestation and afforestation, exploitation of wild populations, climate change, pollution of soil, water and air and species introductions. Some of these drivers, such as pollution, can have negative impacts on nature; others, as in the case of habitat restoration, or the introduction of a natural enemy to combat invasive species, can have positive effects.

"Good quality of life" is the achievement of a fulfilled human life, a notion which varies strongly across different societies and groups within societies. It is a context-dependent state of individuals and human groups, comprising access to food, water, energy and livelihood security, and also health, good social relationships and equity, security, cultural identity, and freedom of choice and action. From virtually all standpoints, a good quality of life is multidimensional, having material as well as immaterial and spiritual components. What a good quality of life entails, however, is highly dependent on place, time and culture, with different societies espousing different views of their relationships with nature and placing different levels of importance on collective versus individual rights, the material versus the spiritual domain, intrinsic versus instrumental values, and the present time versus the past or the future. The concept of human well-being used in many western societies and its variants, together with those of living in harmony with nature and living well in balance and harmony with Mother Earth, are examples of different perspectives on a good quality of life.

Communication of the degree of confidence

In this assessment, the degree of confidence in each main finding is based on the quantity and quality of evidence and the level of agreement regarding that evidence (Figure SPM.A1). The evidence includes data, theory, models and expert judgement. Further details of the approach are documented in the note by the secretariat on the information on work related to the guide on the production of assessments (IPBES/6/INF/17).

The summary terms to describe the evidence are:

- Well established: comprehensive meta-analysis or other synthesis or multiple independent studies that agree.
- Established but incomplete: general agreement although only a limited number of studies exist; no comprehensive synthesis and/or the studies that exist address the question imprecisely.
- Unresolved: multiple independent studies exist but conclusions do not agree.
- Inconclusive: limited evidence, recognizing major knowledge gaps.

Figure SPM.A1 The four-box model for the qualitative communication of confidence. Confidence increases towards the top-right corner as suggested by the increasing strength of shading. High High Established Well established LEVEL OF AGREEMENT but incomplete CERTAINTY SCALE Unresolved Inconclusive Robust Low Low QUANTITY AND QUALITY OF EVIDENCE Source: IPBES, 2016.14

¹⁴ IPBES, Summary for policymakers of the assessment report of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services on pollinators, pollination and food production. S.G. Potts, V. L. Imperatriz-Fonseca, H. T. Ngo, J. C. Biesmeijer, T. D. Breeze, L. V. Dicks, L. A. Garibaldi, R. Hill, J. Settele, A. J. Vanbergen, M. A. Aizen, S. A. Cunningham, C. Eardley, B. M. Freitas, N. Gallai, P. G. Kevan, A. Kovács-Hostyánszki, P. K. Kwapong, J. Li, X. Li, D. J. Martins, G. Nates-Parra, J. S. Pettis, R. Rader, and B. F. Viana (eds.)., secretariat of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, Bonn, Germany, 2016. Available from

www.ipbes.net/sites/default/files/downloads/pdf/spm deliverable 3a pollination 20170222.pdf.

Appendix III

Knowledge gaps

In the course of conducting this assessment, key information needs were identified. See draft table Appendix 3

- Data, inventories and monitoring on Nature and drivers of change
- Gaps on biomes and units of analysis
- Taxonomic gaps
- NCP-related gaps
- Links between nature, nature's contributions to people and drivers with respect to targets and goals
- Integrated scenarios, and modelling studies
- Potential policy approaches
- Indigenous Peoples and local communities