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When we first started looking at inbound, it mostly applied to finding out how to turn complete strangers into website visitors. Shortly thereafter, it became about how you turn that visitor into a qualified lead. As time went on, people began applying inbound to turn qualified leads into delighted customers. And it was this step that changed everything.

Inbound means transforming how we do business to be more helpful, more human, more empathetic. It focuses on the whole process of turning a stranger into a delighted customer. Inbound is about matching the way you market and sell with the way people actually want to shop and buy.

We’ve seen a global movement grow around this philosophy of inbound -- one you may very well already be a part of. This community of like-minded marketers and salespeople constantly elevate one another, both personally and professionally, by sharing their expertise and insights.

The majority of our over 4,500 respondents are non-HubSpot customers hailing from marketing backgrounds in B2B, B2C, small, and mid-sized businesses. Half of the companies represented here generate under $1 million each year. With data collected from all corners of the map, this document represents over 132 countries. A truly global community.

But where is that movement headed? What’s not only on the horizon, but miles beyond it? We’ve always used the State of Inbound report as a barometer for current trends and where inbound is headed directionally.

**This year, we’re taking it one step further.**

We’ve taken these incredibly diverse insights to provide the current state -- and then predict the future -- of inbound. So along with the benchmark data you’ve come to expect, this year, we’ve also looked at new trends and technologies.

We may not have a crystal ball, but the 2016 State (and Future) of Inbound holds some of the most informed predictions for the future of marketing and sales you’re likely to find.

**The world is becoming more inbound. It’s growing more authentic, less interruptive. And with the findings of this year’s report, I think it’s safe to say that over the next ten years we’re going to see an even more inbound world.**

Best,

Brian Halligan
CEO, HubSpot
Which of the following best describes the principal industry of your organization?

- Marketing Agencies 17%
- IT & Services 13%
- Other 15%
- Education 7%
- Software 7%
- Consumer Products 6%
- Media & Publishing 6%
- Industrial & Manufacturing 5%
- Healthcare & Medical 4%
- Ecommerce 4%
- Financial Services 3%
- Travel & Tourism 3%
- Nonprofit/Government 3%
- Telecommunications 2%
- Research 1%
- Staffing & Recruiting 1%
- Sports & Recreation 1%
To whom does your company primarily sell?

- 33% Consumers
- 5% Nonprofit / government
- 59% Other businesses

Is your company any of the following?

- 85% Not HubSpot customer or agency partner
- 15% HubSpot customer or agency partner

Where are you based?

- 1,228 EMEA (Europe, Middle East, Africa)
- 704 North America
- 606 SEA (Southeast Asia)
- 243 Australia & New Zealand
- 1,805 LATAM (Central & South America)
What is your organization’s primary approach to marketing?

24% Outbound

3% Not sure

73% Inbound

What best describes your level in your company?

- C-level executive (CEO, CMO): 26%
- Vice president / director: 26%
- Manager: 26%
- Individual contributor: 8%
- Other: 14%

What best describes your company’s average annual revenue?

- Less than $250K: 35%
- $250K to $999,999: 15%
- $1M to $99M: 16%
- $100M to $499M: 11%
- $500M to $999M: 2%
- $1B or more: 3%

How many full-time employees does your company have?

- Fewer than 10: 45%
- 11 to 25: 17%
- 26 to 200: 21%
- 201 to 1,000: 9%
- More than 1,000: 8%
Marketers today are focused on converting the visitors they attract into leads and customers. Next is growing traffic to their website, followed by increasing revenue from existing customers (upselling).

Clearly, the mandate marketers received is: Keep the engine running with a keen eye toward monetizing their marketing activities.

What are your company’s top marketing priorities over the next 12 months?

- Converting contacts / leads to customers: 74%
- Growing traffic to website: 57%
- Increasing revenue derived from existing customers: 46%
- Proving the ROI of our marketing activities: 42%
- Sales enablement: 32%
- Reducing the cost of contacts / leads / customer acquisition: 27%
Thinking specifically about inbound marketing projects, what are your company’s top priorities?

- Growing SEO / organic presence: 66%
- Blog content creation: 60%
- Content distribution / amplification: 50%
- Marketing automation: 44%
- Interactive content creation: 41%
- Long-form (ebooks, whitepapers): 33%
- Visual content creation (infographics): 33%
- Online tools: 31%
- Product how-to videos: 28%
- Webinars: 21%

What are your company’s top sales priorities for the next year?

- Closing more deals: 70%
- Improving the efficiency of the sales funnel: 47%
- Reducing the length of the sales cycle: 31%
- Social selling: 28%
- Training the sales team: 27%
- Improving existing sales technologies: 25%
- Investing in sales enablement: 16%
- Investing in a CRM: 13%
- Other: 3%

Despite the fact that Sales is undergoing a radical transformation right now — similar to the inbound revolution that swept over Marketing a decade ago — the function’s primary directive is still the same: Sell more, better, faster. Seventy percent of respondents are dead set on closing more deals this year.

For specific inbound marketing projects, marketers focus on growing SEO, blog content creation, and content distribution. Blog content is marketers’ bread and butter, with 60% focusing on blogs in their work.

What is the average percentage of leads your company converts to sales?

- 10% or less: 23%
- 11 to 20%: 20%
- 21 to 30%: 18%
- 31 to 40%: 11%
- 41 to 50%: 8%
- Over 50%: 11%
- Don’t know: 9%

Just how many leads are salespeople closing into deals today? Currently, the average lead-to-customer conversion rate is below 20% for almost half of respondents.
Social selling is a priority for more salespeople in 2016 than ever before.
Marketers still lack access to tools that can help them track concrete results for their campaigns. What are your company’s top marketing challenges?

- Generating traffic and leads: 66%
- Proving the ROI of our marketing activities: 43%
- Securing enough budget: 28%
- Managing our website: 26%
- Identifying the right technologies for our needs: 25%
- Targeting content for an international audience: 23%
- Training our team: 19%
- Hiring top talent: 17%
- Finding an executive sponsor: 8%

Metrics driven challenges (amount of traffic and leads generated and proving ROI) are the big challenges facing marketers -- they still lack access to tools that can help them track concrete results for their campaigns.
How knowledgeable are your prospects about your company before your sales rep makes the first contact?

Prospecting is more difficult for Sales when prospects aren’t knowledgeable about their company’s services or offerings.

The part of the sales process salespeople are struggling with most is prospecting. In addition, 20% of respondents indicated that identifying the lead -- a new option in this year’s survey -- was the biggest challenge at their company. It’s clear that the beginning of the sales process is thorny for reps, more so than the middle or the end.

Why is prospecting so painful? One reason might be buyers’ lack of knowledge about the products or services our sales respondents are selling. A full 63% of survey takers indicated that prospects are “somewhat” or “not at all” knowledgeable about their companies before a sales rep makes first contact.

Just 26% of salespeople say prospecting is hard when their lead is very knowledgeable about the salesperson’s product or services. But when a lead is clueless about what the salesperson is selling, the task of prospecting gets harder. Marketers, take note. The best way to help your sales team is to educate your audience.
What are your company’s top marketing priorities over the next 12 months?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Converting contacts / leads to customers</td>
<td>Increasing number of contacts / leads</td>
<td>Increasing number of contacts / leads</td>
<td>Reaching relevant audience*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Grow traffic to website*</td>
<td>Converting contacts / leads to customers</td>
<td>Converting contacts / leads to customers</td>
<td>Converting contacts / leads to customers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Increasing revenue derived from existing customers</td>
<td>Increasing revenue derived from existing customers</td>
<td>Reaching relevant audience*</td>
<td>Increasing total lead volume*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities</td>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities</td>
<td>Increasing revenue derived from existing customers</td>
<td>Creating quality content*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sales enablement*</td>
<td>Reducing the cost of contacts / leads / customer acquisition</td>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities</td>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Reducing the cost of contacts / leads / customer acquisition</td>
<td>Reducing the cost of contacts / leads / customer acquisition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Priorities have stayed relatively static through the years. Since leads tends to be the major metric marketers are measured by, they continue to be a top priority.

*Option only available that year
When we look at inbound-specific priorities, growing SEO has replaced blog content creation in the top spot. There’s also movement further down the list. Webinars ranked high in 2014 but dropped off in 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Growing SEO / organic presence</td>
<td>Growing SEO / organic presence</td>
<td>Blog content creation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Blog content creation</td>
<td>Blog content creation</td>
<td>Growing SEO / organic presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Content distribution / amplification</td>
<td>Content distribution / amplification</td>
<td>Content distribution / amplification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Marketing automation*</td>
<td>Long-form / visual content creation</td>
<td>Webinars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Interactive content creation</td>
<td>Interactive content creation</td>
<td>Long-form / visual content creation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Long-form (ebooks, whitepapers)</td>
<td>Online tools</td>
<td>Interactive content creation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Visual content creation infographics</td>
<td>Webinars</td>
<td>Product how-to videos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Online tools</td>
<td>Product how-to videos</td>
<td>Online tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Product how-to videos</td>
<td>Freemium trials</td>
<td>Freemium trials</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*New Option
We see some minor shifts in sales priorities year-over-year -- with the biggest gain in the social selling category (+6%).
In previous State of Inbound reports, we’ve found measuring and proving ROI impacts the success of a marketing organization and their future budget.

What is more difficult to do in sales compared to 2 to 3 years ago?

- Getting a response from prospects: 40%
- Closing deals: 34%
- Engaging multiple decision makers at a company: 27%
- Identifying / prospecting good leads: 27%
- Avoiding discounting / negotiation: 23%
- Incorporating social media into the sales: 22%
- Connecting via phone: 20%
- Using sales technologies in my day to day job: 14%
- Connecting via email: 14%
- Doing research before making initial call / writing initial email: 12%
- Sourcing referrals: 11%
- Keeping someone on the phone: 11%
- Delivering a presentation: 8%
- Other: 2%

*New Option
If we dissect the responses of inbound and outbound marketing teams, we see a few differences in how these respective teams approach marketing. Overall, outbound organizations are slightly more likely to focus on sales enablement than inbound organizations — which are more inclined to focus on leads and traffic growth.

**What are your company’s top inbound marketing priorities over the next 12 months?**

- Converting contacts / leads to customers: 77% (Inbound), 58% (Outbound)
- Grow traffic to website: 59% (Inbound), 49% (Outbound)
- Increasing revenue derived from existing customers: 46% (Inbound), 45% (Outbound)
- Proving the ROI of our marketing activities: 42% (Inbound), 43% (Outbound)
- Reducing the cost of contacts / leads / customer acquisition: 30% (Inbound), 38% (Outbound)
- Sales enablement: 27% (Inbound), 25% (Outbound)

**What are your company’s top marketing challenges?**

- Proving the ROI of our marketing activities: 67% (Inbound), 61% (Outbound)
- Securing enough budget: 49% (Inbound), 33% (Outbound)
- Training our team: 24% (Inbound), 18% (Outbound)
- Generating traffic and leads: 22% (Inbound), 25% (Outbound)
- Targeting content for an international audience: 31% (Inbound), 30% (Outbound)
- Identifying the right technologies for our needs: 26% (Inbound), 28% (Outbound)
- Managing our website: 20% (Inbound), 16% (Outbound)
- Securing enough budget: 19% (Inbound), 18% (Outbound)

Compared to outbound teams, 24% more inbound organizations will focus on content creation; 11% more inbound organizations prioritize growing organic reach.

Companies that described themselves as outbound shops also seem to have slightly more trouble with proving ROI (49% versus 42%), securing budget (33% versus 26%), and training their team (23% versus 18%) compared to their inbound marketing peers.
Turns out, 61% of marketers think their organization’s marketing strategy was effective.

Do you feel that your organization’s marketing strategy is effective?

39%  No
61%  Yes

Inbound's Role in Marketing Effectiveness

Over the past few years, we’ve established the challenges and priorities for marketing and sales teams in the State of Inbound report. This year, we introduced a new angle in our study: Do marketers believe in their organization’s marketing strategy?
When we looked into the composition of effective marketing organizations, we found that inbound organizations were 4 times as likely to rate their marketing strategy highly.

Based on our data, inbound marketers are much more likely to be satisfied with the tactics their organizations are prioritizing.
When we looked at the challenges faced by marketing teams, ineffective teams cited managing their website as a challenge 10% more often than effective teams.

81% We primarily conduct inbound marketing

43% 49% Generating traffic and leads Proving the ROI of our marketing activities
26% 30% Securing enough budget Managing our website
22% 32% Identifying the right technologies for our needs Targeting content for an international audience
23% 22% Training our team Hiring top talent
23% 22% Finding an executive sponsor

Perhaps lack of control or technical limitations to ineffective teams’ websites also impacts lead quality. In a separate question about the best sources of leads sales teams receive, ineffective marketing teams were twice as likely to admit the best leads were produced by sales compared to effective teams. Just 17% of effective marketing teams ranked self-sourced sales leads as the highest quality leads for their organization, compared to 35% of ineffective teams.

Many components contribute to a marketing team’s failure to provide quality leads to Sales, but with a third of ineffective marketing teams saying they have trouble managing their own website, this barrier likely contributes to Marketing’s failure to provide great leads.

Which source provides the highest quality leads for your sales team?

“Yes, our marketing strategy is effective.”

36 37
So we pivoted the data and checked to see how effective organizations with SLAs are compared to those without SLAs. The results are definitive.

Marketers in companies with SLAs also have more faith in their marketing strategy. Smarketing has been a core tenet of inbound for years -- and our data shows how critical it is to Marketing’s success. Just 22% of our respondents have a formal SLA between Marketing and Sales, and a further 44% told us the two organizations were generally aligned.

**Why Marketing and Sales Alignment Is Critical**

The handshake between Sales and Marketing tends to produce the most measurable results for a marketing team.

Some typical key performance metrics are number of leads sourced by Marketing for Sales, number of marketing qualified leads (MQLs) handed to Sales, number of MQLs worked by Sales, and number of deals closed by Sales that originated from Marketing.

Inbound marketing philosophy works hand in hand with the concept of smarketing, and our data shows that when the two work in tandem, there is a higher chance for success. Of those with an SLA, 82% said their marketing strategy was effective. For those who said they were misaligned, only 20% thought their marketing strategy was effective. The less aligned Sales and Marketing are, the more negative the outlook.

**How would you characterize your company’s sales and marketing relationship?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tightly aligned (Sales and Marketing SLA)</th>
<th>Generally aligned</th>
<th>Rarely aligned</th>
<th>Misaligned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Of company’s who say their marketing strategy is effective, how aligned is their Sales and Marketing relationship?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tightly aligned (Sales and Marketing SLA)</th>
<th>Generally aligned</th>
<th>Rarely aligned</th>
<th>Misaligned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>82%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Our marketing strategy is effective.”
Based on how you characterized your company’s Marketing and Sales relationship, do you feel that your marketing strategy is effective?

For our survey respondents, the most powerful combination of characteristics for an effective marketing organization is to 1) be inbound and 2) have an SLA between Sales and Marketing. Inbound organizations with SLAs are over 5 times as likely to rate their marketing strategy as effective compared to outbound organizations with misaligned marketing and sales teams.

How does your company’s current budget for inbound marketing compare to last year’s?

Effective strategies obviously produce results, and our data shows those who feel confident in their marketing strategy are over 2 times as likely to get higher budgets for their marketing teams.
When we asked marketers where they got their best leads, the majority said inbound-sourced leads were the highest quality. However, salespeople who participated in our study rated marketing-generated leads last, behind self-sourced leads and referrals.

Marketers, which source provides the highest quality leads for your sales team?

Salespeople, which is the top source of leads for your sales organizations?

- Inbound practices: 59%
- Self-sourced leads from sales team: 24%
- Outbound practices: 17%

- Leads directly sourced by Sales: 38%
- Referrals: 36%
- Leads from Marketing: 22%

There’s always room to improve the handoff between Marketing and Sales, and our data shows marketing and sales teams need to continually communicate and refine their SLA, even if there’s already one in place.
The difference in where quality leads come from is pronounced when we compare inbound and outbound marketing teams. 73% of inbound marketers say the best leads originate from inbound practices, compared to just 18% of outbound marketers. Given the different focuses of the cohorts, their differences are expected. What is interesting is that 20% of inbound marketers and 33% of outbound marketers admit that the best leads are sourced directly by Sales.

The marketers who recognize Sales values the leads they individually source are realistic and pretty darn perceptive. When we asked sales professionals where the best leads came from, they rated the ones sourced from Marketing dead last.

When we cut the responses by seniority, we find that senior sales leaders actually value referrals the most. All other groups consistently rated Sales-sourced leads the highest.

Based on your organization’s primary marketing approach, which source provides the highest quality leads for your sales team?

We primarily conduct inbound marketing.

We primarily conduct outbound marketing.

How would you rate the quality of the leads you receive from the following sources?

Marking: 9% 23% 38% 19% 5%

Sales: 4% 10% 32% 34% 16%

Referrals: 4% 10% 22% 27% 32%

1 Very poor quality 2 3 4 5 Very high quality

Breaking down scores on a more granular level, a full 70% of sales respondents gave marketing-generated leads a 3 out of 5 or less.

Based on your company’s Marketing and Sales relationship, which source provides the highest quality leads for your sales team?

Tightly aligned (Sales and Marketing SLA)

Generally aligned

Rarely aligned

Misaligned

39% 28% 30% 49%

34% 40% 37% 40%

33% 15% 33% 48%

Clearly, the leads sourced by Marketing aren’t favored by Sales, even if Marketing is none the wiser. So we broke down Sales’ ratings of leads against Marketing and Sales alignment and found that sales organizations with SLAs were more likely to rate leads from Marketing higher.

When Sales and Marketing have agreements in place that 1) defines a good marketing lead and 2) guarantees a work rate from Sales, the two groups work better together.
At the end of the day, it comes down to communication.

There’s room for improvement on Marketing-sourced leads, but Marketing won’t know what needs to be fixed unless there’s a feedback mechanism built into the Marketing and Sales relationship. Whether it’s truly the case that Marketing is failing to deliver enough quality leads to Sales or not, marketers should be aware of salespeople’s low opinion of their work, and take action accordingly.
How does your company’s current budget for inbound marketing compare to last year’s?

- Higher
- No change
- Lower

The Undeniable Connection Between ROI and Budget

Measuring ROI has been a top challenge for marketers over the years, yet we consistently find that being able to prove ROI is crucial to a marketing team’s success. Those who can calculate ROI are 1.6x as likely to receive higher budgets.
When asked what drove their marketing budget allocation, those who calculated ROI cited past success with inbound. Those who didn’t calculate ROI said economic conditions had the most impact on budget. As marketing becomes more metrics driven, those who don’t measure ROI risk losing out on budget. Marketing’s strategic effectiveness is also more questionable when there are no numbers to justify specific tactics or campaigns.
This year’s survey data on sales technology makes one thing abundantly clear: It’s time for sales and marketing tech vendors to have an integration conversation. “Lack of integration” and “manual data entry” tied as respondents’ #1 CRM challenge. While C-level executives were primarily concerned with integration issues, managers complained of low team usage, and reps cited manual data entry.
Dissatisfaction with integration also surfaced when talking about specific CRM features. Only 21% of salespeople said they considered their CRM software’s integration capabilities -- with marketing software or other tools -- to be high quality.

Less than half of salespeople in our survey reported that they stored lead and customer data in a CRM. An astounding 40% use informal means such as Microsoft Excel, and 21% use physical files and folders.

When we compare year-over-year data, there has been good progress in CRM adoption. But informal storage of lead and customer data grew in lockstep with CRM. A silver lining is that many stopped using physical files or folders or Google Docs to store customer data.

What aspects of your company’s CRM software are you confident in or consider to be high quality?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ease of use</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy of contacts’ data</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy of company’s data</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting abilities</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association between contacts &amp; companies</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption by the sales force</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales funnel management</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value to end user (sales rep or sales manager)</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness of data</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile capabilities</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall effectiveness of the system</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrations with other tools</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration with the marketing software</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social capabilities</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What tool or system does your organization use to store its lead and customer data?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool or System</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRM software</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal means such as Microsoft Excel or Outlook</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custom database</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Docs</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical files or folders</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing automation software</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know / not applicable</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You said your organization doesn’t have a CRM. What are the factors that are limiting you from using one?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budget / costs</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We don’t need it yet</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’m not sure what a CRM is</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to research</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRMs today are difficult to use</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
29% of salespeople are spending an hour or more on data entry each and every day. And the more time sellers spend on data entry, the less time they have to sell.

According to our survey, the majority of our respondents are working with $5,000 or less to spend on technology and training, respectively. Unsurprisingly, as company size increases, so does budget.

**Planned spend on sales technologies by number of employees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Employees</th>
<th>Less than $5,000</th>
<th>$5,001 to $10,000</th>
<th>$10,001 to $25,000</th>
<th>$25,001 to $100,000</th>
<th>$100,001 to $500,000</th>
<th>$500,001 to $1M</th>
<th>$1.1M+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More than 1,000</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201 to 1,000</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 to 200</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 to 25</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fewer than 0</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Planned spend on sales training by number of employees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Employees</th>
<th>Less than $5,000</th>
<th>$5,001 to $10,000</th>
<th>$10,001 to $25,000</th>
<th>$25,001 to $100,000</th>
<th>$100,001 to $500,000</th>
<th>$500,001 to $1M</th>
<th>$1.1M+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More than 1,000</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201 to 1,000</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 to 200</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 to 25</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fewer than 0</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Globally, marketers in NAM (North America) and ANZ (Australia and New Zealand) have the most faith in their organization’s marketing strategy. Just over half of respondents in SEA (Southeast Asia) and EMEA (Europe, Middle East, and Africa) expressed confidence in their strategy.
We isolated just the respondents who had confidence in their marketing strategy, and compared inbound organizations against outbound organizations. Inbound marketing organizations report being more strategically effective compared to outbound organizations. The majority of strategically effective organizations use inbound marketing.

Do you feel that your organization’s marketing strategy is effective?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAM</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANZ</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LATAM</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMEA</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **NAM**: 70% Yes, 30% No
- **ANZ**: 66% Yes, 34% No
- **SEA**: 56% Yes, 44% No
- **LATAM**: 61% Yes, 39% No
- **EMEA**: 57% Yes, 43% No

**Inbound organizations** vs. **Outbound organizations**

- **NAM**: 77% Inbound, 23% Outbound
- **ANZ + SEA**: 64% Inbound, 36% Outbound
- **LATAM**: 66% Inbound, 34% Outbound
- **EMEA**: 66% Inbound, 34% Outbound
### What are your top marketing challenges?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>NAM</th>
<th>ANZ</th>
<th>SEA</th>
<th>LATAM</th>
<th>EMEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Generating traffic and leads</td>
<td>Generating traffic and leads</td>
<td>Generating traffic and leads</td>
<td>Generating traffic and leads</td>
<td>Generating traffic and leads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities</td>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities</td>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities</td>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities</td>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Managing our website</td>
<td>Securing enough budget</td>
<td>Targeting content for an international audience</td>
<td>Securing enough budget</td>
<td>Targeting content for an international audience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Securing enough budget</td>
<td>Identifying the right technologies for my needs</td>
<td>Identifying the right technologies for my needs</td>
<td>Identifying the right technologies for my needs</td>
<td>Securing enough budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Identifying the right technologies for my needs</td>
<td>Managing our website</td>
<td>Managing our website</td>
<td>Managing our website</td>
<td>Managing our website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Training our team</td>
<td>Training our team</td>
<td>Securing enough budget</td>
<td>Training our team</td>
<td>Training our team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Hiring top talent</td>
<td>Targeting content for an international audience</td>
<td>Hiring top talent</td>
<td>Targeting content for an international audience</td>
<td>Training our team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Targeting content for an international audience</td>
<td>Finding an executive sponsor</td>
<td>Training our team</td>
<td>Hiring top talent</td>
<td>Hiring top talent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Finding an executive sponsor</td>
<td>Hiring top talent</td>
<td>Finding an executive sponsor</td>
<td>Finding an executive sponsor</td>
<td>Finding an executive sponsor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Commonalities and differences

Generating leads and proving ROI are universal challenges across geographies. Key differences relate to website management, targeting international audiences, training, and hiring.

For NAM marketing teams, wrangling the website was cited as a top-3 challenge. SEA- and EMEA-based organizations were more concerned with targeting content for their international audiences; ANZ- and LATAM-based organizations with securing budget.
All marketing teams globally prioritize converting their leads into customers. Marketers in LATAM then focus on increasing revenue from existing customers while the other regions focus on growing overall traffic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>NAM</th>
<th>ANZ</th>
<th>SEA</th>
<th>LATAM</th>
<th>EMEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Converting contacts / leads to customers</td>
<td>Converting contacts / leads to customers</td>
<td>Converting contacts / leads to customers</td>
<td>Converting contacts / leads to customers</td>
<td>Converting contacts / leads to customers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Growing website traffic</td>
<td>Growing website traffic</td>
<td>Growing website traffic</td>
<td>Increasing revenue derived from existing customers</td>
<td>Growing website traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities</td>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities</td>
<td>Increasing revenue derived from existing customers</td>
<td>Growing website traffic</td>
<td>Increasing revenue derived from existing customers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Increasing revenue derived from existing customers</td>
<td>Sales enablement</td>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities</td>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities</td>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sales enablement</td>
<td>Increasing revenue derived from existing customers</td>
<td>Sales enablement</td>
<td>Sales enablement</td>
<td>Sales enablement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Reducing the cost of contacts / leads / customer acquisition</td>
<td>Reducing the cost of contacts / leads / customer acquisition</td>
<td>Reducing the cost of contacts / leads / customer acquisition</td>
<td>Reducing the cost of contacts / leads / customer acquisition</td>
<td>Reducing the cost of contacts / leads / customer acquisition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### What are your top inbound marketing priorities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>NAM</th>
<th>ANZ</th>
<th>SEA</th>
<th>LATAM</th>
<th>EMEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Blog content creation</td>
<td>Growing SEO / organic presence</td>
<td>Growing SEO / organic presence</td>
<td>Growing SEO / organic presence</td>
<td>Growing SEO / organic presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Growing SEO / organic presence</td>
<td>Blog content creation</td>
<td>Blog content creation</td>
<td>Blog content creation</td>
<td>Blog content creation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Content distribution / amplification</td>
<td>Content distribution / amplification</td>
<td>Content distribution / amplification</td>
<td>Interactive content creation</td>
<td>Content distribution / amplification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Marketing automation</td>
<td>Marketing automation</td>
<td>Interactive content creation</td>
<td>Marketing automation</td>
<td>Marketing automation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Long-form (ebooks, whitepapers)</td>
<td>Long-form (ebooks, whitepapers)</td>
<td>Marketing automation</td>
<td>Content distribution / amplification</td>
<td>Interactive content creation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Interactive content creation</td>
<td>Interactive content creation</td>
<td>Visual content creation (infographics)</td>
<td>Visual content creation (infographics)</td>
<td>Identifying the right technologies for my needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Webinars</td>
<td>Online tools</td>
<td>Long-form (ebooks, whitepapers)</td>
<td>Online tools</td>
<td>Visual content creation (infographics)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Product how-to videos</td>
<td>Product how-to videos</td>
<td>Online tools</td>
<td>Product how-to videos</td>
<td>Online tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Visual content creation (infographics)</td>
<td>Webinars</td>
<td>Product how-to videos</td>
<td>Long-form (ebooks, whitepapers)</td>
<td>Product how-to videos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Online tools</td>
<td>Visual content creation (infographics)</td>
<td>Webinars</td>
<td>Webinars</td>
<td>Webinars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Freemium trials</td>
<td>Freemium trials</td>
<td>Freemium trials</td>
<td>Freemium trials</td>
<td>Freemium trials</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With respect to inbound marketing priorities, NAM marketers focus on blog content while other regions say growing SEO/organic presence is their top goal -- both distinct but certainly interrelated marketing projects.

As we go down the list, there’s marked difference on the inbound projects each region prioritizes. Notably, webinars are lower ranked in SEA, LATAM, and EMEA. LATAM is very interested in creating interactive content while NAM and ANZ are focusing on the tried-and-true ebook format. Visual content, like infographics, are not being pursued by many marketers in NAM or ANZ.
On the sales side, we found nearly a third of respondents in ANZ, LATAM, and EMEA were on the search for a new CRM. SEA-based respondents are looking for sales enablement and sales engagement software in the upcoming year.

Generally, NAM sales teams have the most trouble with prospecting, followed by LATAM and EMEA. ANZ sales teams have issues with qualifying. SEA-based teams have the most trouble with closing.

As for successfully connecting with a prospect, NAM respondents are split: There are no clear cut best ways to reach prospects. Telephone works best for ANZ, LATAM, and EMEA. SEA sales teams connect best through email.

When we break down how much time sales teams are spending on data entry per day, we see a staggering 37% of ANZ sales teams spend at least an hour a day manually entering data. It’s not surprising that 31% of organizations in ANZ want to find a new CRM.

Which of the following technologies or tools do you plan to evaluate or purchase by the end of 2017? (by geography)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology/Tools</th>
<th>NAM</th>
<th>ANZ</th>
<th>SEA</th>
<th>LATAM</th>
<th>EMEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRM software (e.g. Salesforce, Zoho)</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales enablement tools (e.g. Clearslide, KnowledgeTree)</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital transaction services (e.g. DocuSign)</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business data software (e.g. data.com)</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales engagement platform (e.g. ClearSlide)</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On average, how much time per day does your sales team spend performing data entry or other manual tasks? (by geography)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geography</th>
<th>0 - 30 min</th>
<th>31 - 60 min</th>
<th>61 - 120 min</th>
<th>More than 120 min</th>
<th>Don’t know / not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMEA</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LATAM</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANZ</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAM</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What has been the most successful channel for your sales representatives to connect with a prospect? (by geography)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>NAM</th>
<th>ANZ</th>
<th>SEA</th>
<th>LATAM</th>
<th>EMEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LinkedIn</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twitter</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In your opinion, what part of the sales process do reps struggle with most? (by geography)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geography</th>
<th>Identifying the lead</th>
<th>Prospecting</th>
<th>Qualifying</th>
<th>Closing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAM</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANZ</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LATAM</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMEA</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Don’t know / not applicable
There are some regional differences in how Sales gets its job done today. LATAM respondents have the most difficulty closing deals and identifying good leads. 36% of NAM sales teams have trouble connecting via phone, in contrast to just 14% of sales teams in ANZ and 15% of LATAM.

What is more difficult to do in sales compared to 2 to 3 years ago? (by geography)
Across industries we see different rates of adoption for inbound. In our survey, more ecommerce (89%), marketing agencies (84%), and software (78%) companies use inbound marketing.
What is your organization’s primary approach to marketing?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Consumer Products</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Ecommerce</th>
<th>Financial Services</th>
<th>Healthcare and Medical</th>
<th>Industrial and Manufacturing</th>
<th>IT and Services</th>
<th>Media and Publishing</th>
<th>Marketing Agencies</th>
<th>Nonprofit / Government</th>
<th>Software</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We primarily conduct inbound marketing</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We primarily conduct outbound marketing</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How would you characterize your company’s Sales and Marketing relationship?

Likewise, the same industries with high adoption of inbound marketing also have highly aligned sales and marketing teams: 28% of ecommerce companies, 31% of marketing agencies, and 20% of software companies have an SLA.
The top sales priority is closing more deals for a majority of industries. Some then prioritize making the sales funnel more efficient while others focus on reducing the length of the sales cycle or training.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What are your company’s top sales priorities for the next year?</th>
<th>Consumer Products</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Ecommerce</th>
<th>Financial Services</th>
<th>Healthcare and Medical</th>
<th>Industrial and Manufacturing</th>
<th>IT and Services</th>
<th>Media and Publishing</th>
<th>Marketing Agencies</th>
<th>Nonprofit / Government</th>
<th>Software</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Closing more deals</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving the efficiency of the sales funnel</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing the length of the sales cycle</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training the sales team</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investing in sales enablement</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving existing sales technologies</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social selling</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investing in a CRM</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Top marketing priorities vary by industry, with most focused on either converting leads to customers or growing overall traffic to their website.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top marketing priorities</th>
<th>Consumer Products</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Ecommerce</th>
<th>Financial Services</th>
<th>Healthcare and Medical</th>
<th>Industrial and Manufacturing</th>
<th>IT and Services</th>
<th>Media and Publishing</th>
<th>Marketing Agencies</th>
<th>Nonprofit / Government</th>
<th>Software</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Growing website traffic</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Converting contacts / leads to customers</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing revenue derived from existing customers</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proving the ROI of marketing activities</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing the cost of contacts / leads / customer acquisition</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales enablement</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overrated Marketing Tactics

(Hint, it’s outbound)
This datapoint has stayed consistent year-over-year: Outbound marketing (paid advertising) is considered the most overrated marketing tactic. Regardless of what kind of marketer you are or where you’re from, outbound marketing is always most overrated.

In your opinion, what’s the most overrated marketing tactic?

Outbound is reported as the most overrated marketing tactic across geographies, though respondents in SEA and LATAM were not as emphatic compared to respondents in NAM, ANZ, and EMEA.

In your opinion, what’s the most overrated marketing tactic? (by geography)
There are some interesting variations based on respondent seniority. Paid outbound advertising is still the most overrated, but managers are more skeptical about social media, while VPs are slightly more likely to dislike PR/analyst relations tactics. VPs are also least likely to think SEO, email marketing, or sales enablement is overrated.

In your opinion, what's the most overrated marketing tactic? (by seniority)

- **C-level executive**
  - Paid advertising (print, outdoor): 32%
  - SEO: 14%
  - Marketing automation: 12%
  - Email marketing: 11%
  - Social media / organic: 7%
  - Online paid advertising: 6%
  - Collateral development: 6%
  - PR / analyst relations: 5%
  - Sales enablement: 5%

- **Vice president**
  - Paid advertising (print, outdoor): 39%
  - SEO: 11%
  - Marketing automation: 11%
  - Email marketing: 11%
  - Social media / organic: 7%
  - Online paid advertising: 6%
  - Collateral development: 4%
  - PR / analyst relations: 4%
  - Sales enablement: 3%

- **Director**
  - Paid advertising (print, outdoor): 33%
  - SEO: 12%
  - Marketing automation: 10%
  - Email marketing: 7%
  - Social media / organic: 7%
  - Online paid advertising: 5%
  - Collateral development: 3%
  - PR / analyst relations: 3%
  - Sales enablement: 2%

- **Manager**
  - Paid advertising (print, outdoor): 30%
  - SEO: 14%
  - Marketing automation: 14%
  - Email marketing: 10%
  - Social media / organic: 10%
  - Online paid advertising: 5%
  - Collateral development: 6%
  - PR / analyst relations: 6%
  - Sales enablement: 5%

- **Individual contributor**
  - Paid advertising (print, outdoor): 35%
  - SEO: 15%
  - Marketing automation: 10%
  - Email marketing: 5%
  - Social media / organic: 6%
  - Online paid advertising: 6%
  - Collateral development: 6%
  - PR / analyst relations: 6%
  - Sales enablement: 3%
What will the future of marketing look like? We asked what channels marketers are planning to add to their marketing mix in the next year.

The biggest takeaway: Marketers are thinking hard about decentralized content. Many are experimenting with taking their content to new channels; this is a fairly new tactic that few have mastered, but many are working on. In our survey, marketers clearly are accounting for video content’s rising popularity among global online browsers, with 48% planning on using YouTube and 39% looking to use Facebook video. Podcasts are enjoying a resurgence in popularity, and new channels like Instagram are in the marketer’s mix as well. 8% of marketers are even looking into posting content on Medium.
What content distribution channels do you plan to add to your marketing efforts in the next 12 months?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YouTube</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook video</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instagram</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Messaging apps</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podcasts</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapchat</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slack</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vine</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of the above</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NAM marketers are the least enthusiastic about video content, with only about a third saying they’ll use YouTube as a channel, while half of their counterparts in SEA and LATAM plan on leveraging YouTube and Facebook video in the future.

What content distribution channels do you plan to add to your marketing efforts in the next 12 months? (by geography)

- **NAM**
  - YouTube: 35%
  - Facebook video: 28%
  - Instagram: 26%
  - Podcasts: 18%
  - Messaging apps: 9%
  - Snapchat: 9%
  - Medium: 6%
  - Vine: 6%
  - Slack: 4%

- **ANZ**
  - YouTube: 47%
  - Facebook video: 34%
  - Instagram: 22%
  - Podcasts: 19%
  - Messaging apps: 6%
  - Snapchat: 6%
  - Medium: 6%
  - Vine: 4%
  - Slack: 1%

- **SEA**
  - YouTube: 53%
  - Facebook video: 43%
  - Instagram: 31%
  - Podcasts: 30%
  - Messaging apps: 14%
  - Snapchat: 13%
  - Medium: 6%
  - Vine: 6%
  - Slack: 4%

- **LATAM**
  - YouTube: 56%
  - Facebook video: 50%
  - Instagram: 42%
  - Podcasts: 33%
  - Messaging apps: 15%
  - Snapchat: 18%
  - Medium: 5%
  - Vine: 5%
  - Slack: 4%

- **EMEA**
  - YouTube: 46%
  - Facebook video: 33%
  - Instagram: 30%
  - Podcasts: 16%
  - Messaging apps: 10%
  - Snapchat: 8%
  - Medium: 5%
  - Vine: 5%
  - Slack: 5%
Video dominates the agenda of C-level business leaders. By far, senior executives are embracing video content for their business, with 56% of C-levels planning to add YouTube as a content channel, 46% with Facebook video, and 17% looking into Snapchat. VP-level marketers have interest in leveraging Medium for a distributed content play, and 9% plan to leverage Slack as a content channel.

For many marketers, visual and audio content are channels they think will help win the future. We also asked respondents about the technologies or channels they believe will disrupt their industry. Of the write-ins, 41 said marketing automation, 35 respondents called out virtual reality and artificial intelligence technologies, and a handful specifically mentioned Snapchat will disrupt how they do their jobs.

What content distribution channels do you plan to add to your marketing efforts in the next 12 months? (by seniority)
And how will marketers prepare for upcoming disruptions?

“SLOOOOWLY” - 19 write-in respondents
The majority of respondents have plans in place to iterate and adjust their strategy based on data, and trial and error:

“We’re thinking about where to reach consumers outside of email, i.e., Facebook, Messenger, Slack rooms.”
“We aren’t. I hope we do soon. UGH.”
“We evaluate the efficacy of our content to drive across the lead lifecycle.

What works stays; what doesn’t is abandoned.

New ideas are tried and evaluated the same.”
The Future of Sales

The door-to-door salespeople of decades past wouldn’t recognize selling today -- and that’s a good thing. As power shifts from the seller to the buyer, salespeople are incentivized to be helpful instead of pushy. Just like inbound marketing obliterated pushy outbound marketing, inbound sales will transform sellers from aggressive closers into helpful advocates.

For salespeople to remain relevant to the empowered buyer of today, making the following 7 strategic changes will be critical.

1. **Invest in social selling.**
   A full 42% of buyers in our survey said they communicate via social networks such as LinkedIn and Twitter for business purposes, and sales teams growing more than 50% were more likely than any other group to identify LinkedIn as a valuable sales connection channel. It’s clear that buyers are engaging with salespeople on social media. Make sure your company is part of the conversation.
Based on sales team growth plans, what has been the most successful channel for your salespeople to connect with prospects?

- Phone: 36%
- LinkedIn: 36%
- Email: 31%
- Other: 27%
- Facebook: 13%
- Twitter: 10%
- Other social media network: 11%

Increase by more than 50%: 40%
Increase 26 to 50%: 27%
Increase 11 to 25%: 14%
Increase up to 10%: 11%
No change: 10%
Decreasing team size: 6%
Overhaul the prospecting process.
Prospecting is becoming increasingly difficult for salespeople with each passing year, due to seismic shifts in information availability and buyer behavior. With this in mind, a band-aid won’t cut it -- it’s time for a total makeover of prospecting strategies. Align your prospecting efforts with how buyers want to purchase by tuning into signals that indicate interest, and working with Marketing to increase the flow of inbound leads with a few simple tweaks.

Stop cold calling.
To be clear, making tweaks to the standard cold calling script or cold email template is a band-aid. There are more effective, buyer-friendly ways to prospect today, but as with any major strategic shift, taking the first steps can be scary. Pledge to stop doing what no longer works -- for both buyers and sellers -- in order -- to kickstart your prospecting overhaul. While there might be some turbulence in the short term, this shift will ensure your sales team’s health (and indeed, its very existence) in the long term. Stop patching broken processes, and start future-proofing -- or you might just be out of a job.

Commit to better Sales and Marketing alignment.
As salespeople begin to move away from cold calling and mass blasting email to a more inbound and targeted approach, Sales and Marketing departments must work more closely than ever before. Work with your marketing team to clearly define a “qualified” lead so Marketing can source the best-fit companies, and salespeople can capitalize on buyer interest as soon as they see it -- not when Marketing passes them a contact record days or week after the fact.

Determine how your buyers want to communicate, and meet them where they are.
While email emerged as the preferred communication channel in our survey, buyers are individuals with unique preferences. Diversify your channels based on prospect preferences -- whether that involves prioritizing networking events, setting up a YouTube Live instead of a traditional phone call, or even writing to a buyer via messaging app (three survey respondents identified WhatsApp as their communication channel of choice).

Adopt CRM with an eye to integration capabilities.
In many organizations, CRM acts as the source of truth for prospect and customer interactions. With no system of record outside of spreadsheets or physical notes, true Sales and Marketing alignment becomes all but impossible, and companies run the risk of saying the wrong thing to prospects at the wrong time. Ensure everyone in the organization knows exactly how and when to communicate with leads, prospects, and customers by adopting a CRM that works in conjunction with other mission-critical systems. No budget? No problem. Several low-to no-cost options have emerged in recent years. Re-evaluate the market if you haven’t recently done so.

Train your sales team.
Sales is undergoing radical changes, and for salespeople to keep up, they need proper training. Investigate sales training that will equip reps with the necessary skills to serve the modern buyer, such as social selling, prospecting based on prospect interest, research frameworks, and personalized messaging. This might also help you attract and retain top-performing salespeople -- the #1 thing reps are looking for in a new job is the opportunity to grow, according to our data.
When looking for information for business purchases, our respondents trust word-of-mouth, customer references, and then media- and vendor-authored articles. Compared to last year, vendor-authored materials took a slight dip, while industry analyst recommendations dropped 12%.

What sources of information do you rely on when making purchase decisions for business software?
When targeting people by job level, VPs are slightly more likely to seek out analyst reports while C-level executives are more swayed by media coverage.

Globally, there are slight variations in the order of importance. Two elements are consistent across regions: Word-of-mouth referrals are the most important while the salesperson ranks last.

What sources of information do you rely on when making purchase decisions for business software? (by geography)

What sources of information do you rely on when making purchase decisions for business software? (by seniority)

When targeting people by job level, VPs are slightly more likely to seek out analyst reports while C-level executives are more swayed by media coverage.
How do you prefer to communicate for business purposes?

- Email: 55%
- Face-to-face: 45%
- Phone: 43%
- Social media: 38%
- Video conferencing: 37%
- Messenger apps: 24%
- Other: 19%

For business communications, respondents prefer email, face-to-face, and phone. A good portion of our respondents like using social media to communicate (42%), and 29% like using messaging apps like WhatsApp or WeChat.
Bearing in mind that decision makers far prefer email and face-to-face over phone, it might be wise for salespeople to forge the initial buyer relationship through email or at a networking event, and then schedule a phone call when it’s time to discuss the opportunity in more depth.

Globally, we see preferences for messenger apps for business communication in mobile-first regions like LATAM and SEA. Likewise, these same regions reflect a higher preference for using social media for business communication.

How do you prefer to communicate for business purposes? (by geography)

How do you prefer to communicate for business purposes? (by seniority)

What has been the most successful channel for your sales representatives to connect with a prospect?

However, salespeople aren’t necessarily accommodating these preferences. Sales respondents indicated that the telephone is the most effective channel to connect with prospects.
Messaging apps and social media as communication channels both have a surprisingly strong positive showing among more senior marketers. Messaging apps are usually seen as tools younger people use to communicate socially and not as a platform where senior leaders conduct business. Perhaps senior-level professionals curate their connections on social and messaging platforms more tightly, and so they’re more willing to converse on these networks. Messaging apps work in a completely closed network, which offers privacy to those using the app to communicate.

We also wanted to see if the line between social networking and professional networking is blurring. Traditionally, Facebook is known as a personal network while LinkedIn is aimed at professional networking. When asked, 73% of respondents use Facebook for professional reasons, and 56% use LinkedIn for personal reasons.

Emerging social networks like Instagram, Snapchat, and Vine are still mostly seen as personal channels. That’s likely because very few business have figured out how to successfully brand and represent themselves on these networks.
LinkedIn is the top-used social media channel for professional purposes across all geographies except LATAM. Our LATAM-based respondents prefer Facebook over LinkedIn.
Marketing teams today use a wide range of resources to write their blog content. The heavy lifting is done by staff, but executives, freelancers, and even guest posters help fill the blog queue. The participation rates across the board are higher this year, pointing to continued investment in creating blog content.

Who writes your content?

- Staff: 46% (2016), 31% (2015), 41% (2014)
- Executives: 46% (2016), 31% (2015), 41% (2014)
- Freelancers: 23% (2016), 30% (2015), 22% (2014)
- Agency partner: 19% (2016), 10% (2015), 8% (2014)
- Guests: 14% (2016), 8% (2015), 10% (2014)
- Agency partner: 13% (2016), 6% (2015), 5% (2014)
- Curation: 3% (2016), 2% (2015), 1% (2014)
- Writing panels: 1% (2016)

How long does it typically take you or someone in marketing to write a 500-word blog post?

- Under 1 hour: 13% (2016), 12% (2015)
- 1 to 2 hours: 33% (2016), 34% (2015)
- 2 to 3 hours: 23% (2016), 25% (2015)
- Over 4 hours: 16% (2016), 15% (2015)

We’ve seen only slight shifts over the past year for time spent to generate a blog post.
How long does it typically take you or someone in Marketing to write a 500-word blog post? (by marketing approach)

Inbound marketers take less time to write a blog post -- perhaps practice makes perfect.

- Inbound:
  - Under 1 hour: 13%
  - 1 to 2 hours: 36%
  - 2 to 3 hours: 26%
  - Over 4 hours: 15%
  - Don't know: 11%

- Outbound:
  - Under 1 hour: 13%
  - 1 to 2 hours: 26%
  - 2 to 3 hours: 18%
  - Over 4 hours: 18%
  - Don't know: 25%

How long is the average blog post for your organization? (by geography)

Inbound organizations also tend to write longer blog posts.

LATAM blog posts tend to be shorter, which is likely why they’re so quick to write.

- EMEA:
  - 500 words or less: 36%
  - 501 to 1,000 words: 42%
  - 1,001 to 1,500 words: 6%
  - 1,500 words or more: 14%
  - Don’t know: 6%

- LATAM:
  - 500 words or less: 53%
  - 501 to 1,000 words: 30%
  - 1,001 to 1,500 words: 4%
  - 1,500 words or more: 11%
  - Don’t know: 6%

- SEA:
  - 500 words or less: 39%
  - 501 to 1,000 words: 41%
  - 1,001 to 1,500 words: 6%
  - 1,500 words or more: 13%
  - Don’t know: 6%

- ANZ:
  - 500 words or less: 50%
  - 501 to 1,000 words: 33%
  - 1,001 to 1,500 words: 6%
  - 1,500 words or more: 10%
  - Don’t know: 6%

- NAM:
  - 500 words or less: 31%
  - 501 to 1,000 words: 45%
  - 1,001 to 1,500 words: 6%
  - 1,500 words or more: 15%
  - Don’t know: 6%

How long does it typically take you or someone in Marketing to write a 500-word blog post? (by geography)

Globally, LATAM marketers write the fastest, followed by EMEA and NAM.

- NAM:
  - Under 1 hour: 10%
  - 1 to 2 hours: 36%
  - 2 to 3 hours: 24%
  - Over 4 hours: 10%
  - Don’t know: 20%

- ANZ:
  - Under 1 hour: 6%
  - 1 to 2 hours: 39%
  - 2 to 3 hours: 27%
  - Over 4 hours: 15%
  - Don’t know: 13%

- SEA:
  - Under 1 hour: 6%
  - 1 to 2 hours: 23%
  - 2 to 3 hours: 30%
  - Over 4 hours: 26%
  - Don’t know: 15%

- LATAM:
  - Under 1 hour: 21%
  - 1 to 2 hours: 34%
  - 2 to 3 hours: 20%
  - Over 4 hours: 13%
  - Don’t know: 12%

- EMEA:
  - Under 1 hour: 11%
  - 1 to 2 hours: 32%
  - 2 to 3 hours: 23%
  - Over 4 hours: 19%
  - Don’t know: 14%
What People Look For in a New Job

Our respondents have consistently ranked opportunities for growth as the biggest deciding factor when considering a new role, followed by work/life balance, and then compensation.

What do you consider when looking for a new job?

While the top three options are consistent across sales and marketing roles, we see some differences further down the list: 11% more marketers factor in culture, while sales teams consider the quality of the sales team and overall company performance.
What do you consider when looking for a new job? (by role)

Opportunities for growth: 58% (Sales: 61%, Marketing: 58%)
Work/life balance: 45% (Sales: 49%, Marketing: 45%)
Compensation: 46% (Sales: 45%, Marketing: 45%)
Culture: 33% (Sales: 22%, Marketing: 25%)
Company performance: 20% (Sales: 19%, Marketing: 25%)
Geography/location: 17% (Sales: 12%, Marketing: 25%)
Colleagues/team: 17% (Sales: 17%, Marketing: 14%)
Industry: 7% (Sales: 15%, Marketing: 8%)
Perks (tuition, child care, etc.): 6% (Sales: 15%, Marketing: 6%)
What do you consider when looking for a new job? (by geography)

Regionally, compensation is the biggest consideration for NAM respondents. Work/life balance just edges to the top in ANZ, while respondents in SEA, LAT-AM, and EMEA focus on growth opportunities. Company culture is not a huge factor in LATAM, EMEA, or SEA -- but company performance is.

---

What do you consider when looking for a new job? (by seniority)

Finally, when we examine responses based on seniority, we find individual contributors and managers are most interested in growth opportunities and work/life balance. The strength of culture is most important to VP- and Director-level respondents. Compensation factors consistently across roles.
Methodology:
HubSpot fielded a global online survey from February 2016 through May 2016. The survey was available in English, French, German, Spanish, and Portuguese. The responses were sourced via email invitations, blog promotion, and social sharing. No personal information was collected and no incentives were offered for responses.
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