STATE of INBOUND
2017
Welcome to the State of Inbound 2017.

We’re pleased to bring you this year’s report in your own words. Thanks to the thoughtful commentary of our respondents, we’re revealing the top marketing and sales challenges and priorities businesses face today, while examining new trends and channels that will soon affect the way we all do business. Inbound continues to evolve, and this year we’re seeing two major shifts take place: Marketers are making the leap into visual content creation while salespeople are slowly shifting from the hard-seller stereotype to a more trusted advisor.

Why the changes? Well, the way people do business has changed, and companies are rising to the challenge to meet consumers where they are—whether that’s on Facebook, YouTube, in a messaging app, or on a mobile device.

This year’s report will give you the data you need to benchmark your activities against our 6,000+ respondents while also giving you insight to plan your future marketing and sales strategies.

When we unpacked the data from the State of Inbound 2017, we found that the executives who set the vision for their companies have very different perspectives on the state of their business compared to individual contributors tasked with executing on that vision. Executives need a better understanding of the day-to-day challenges employees face, and employees need to communicate more clearly the roadblocks in the way of success. Without clear communication and alignment, a company will struggle to build strong and lasting relationships with its customers.

While we’re more than a decade in, the inbound journey is just getting started. The move toward the future requires forward-thinking ideas to be embraced, experimentation to figure out which channels work best for your business, and an openness to try new technologies and tools to foster better relationships with your customers. All of these changes will create complexity and potential stress, but the way forward is not always easy or smooth. We here at HubSpot will be with you to tackle the challenges the future brings.

Thank you,

Brian Halligan
CEO, HubSpot
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THE STATE OF MARKETING AND SALES
**TOP MARKETING PRIORITIES**

The majority of marketers today focus on converting leads into customers and growing traffic to their website. There’s also strong focus on upselling existing customers. Historically considered a cost center for businesses, Marketing is now measured against its ability to contribute to the business’s bottom line.

Over the years, proving marketing ROI has consistently been a challenge for marketers. A marketer surveyed wrote that planning campaigns holistically enabled them to measure ROI: “Proactive strategic planning results in measurable activities for ROI.”

What are your company’s top marketing priorities over the next 12 months?

- Converting contracts/leads to customers: 70%
- Increasing revenue derived from existing customers: 55%
- Proving the ROI of our marketing activities: 45%
- Sales enablement: 39%
- Reducing the cost of contacts/leads/customer acquisition: 29%
- Don’t know/not applicable: 2%

Growing traffic to website: 45%

Converting contracts/leads to customers: 70%
Across the globe, there are some minor differences in priorities. While all regions focus on converting leads into customers, marketers in Australia and New Zealand (ANZ) and Europe, the Middle East, and Africa (EMEA) are slightly more concerned with growing overall traffic compared to their peers. ROI is less of a sticking point for Latin American marketers, but they’re more fixated on upselling their existing customer base. More Asian marketers cite sales enablement as a priority; given that relationship-building is a priority in Asia, it makes sense that marketers want to partner with their salespeople. Likewise, Asia-based marketers focus more on reducing the cost of customer acquisition, likely due to the extra facetime tradition-ally required to close deals in region.

**Inbound Marketing Priorities**

With respect to inbound marketing projects, marketers are focused on improving their SEO presence, creating more blog content that can be found via search, and distributing and amplifying content.

A marketer highlighted their priorities as: “focusing more on online presence such as industry directories, fixing and improving our own website, interacting with potential customers or people within the industry through online forums … rather than print mags which don’t always reach our targets.”

With respect to SEO as the top overall priority, one respondent summed up their main challenge: “Google makes a lot of changes to their search algorithms, and it impacts websites and SEO.” The changing technology landscape will continue to keep marketing teams on their toes.

**WHAT ARE YOUR COMPANY’S TOP MARKETING PRIORITIES OVER THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? (BY GEOGRAPHY)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NAM</th>
<th>ANZ</th>
<th>ASIA</th>
<th>LATAM</th>
<th>EMEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Converting contracts/leads to customers</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales enablement</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing revenue derived from existing customers</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing the cost of contracts/leads/customer acquisition</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Thinking specifically about inbound marketing projects, what are your company’s top priorities?**

- Growing SEO/organic presence: 67%
- Blog content creation: 62%
- Content distribution/amplification: 46%
- Marketing automation: 37%
- Interactive content creation: 33%
- Visual content creation: 42%
- Long-form/visual content creation: 24%
- Online tools: 29%
- Product how-to videos: 20%
- Webinars: 19%
- Freemium trials: 8%
- Don’t know: 4%
Across geographies, there is much more variance on inbound priorities. Uniformly, SEO and organic growth is at the top of the list in every region, but priorities then shift among content distribution and amplification, blog content creation, interactive content creation, and marketing automation. Latin American marketers focus more on interactive and visual content compared to others. North American marketers are tinkering with ways to distribute their content.

**Thinking specifically about inbound marketing projects, what are your company’s top priorities? (by geography)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>NAM</th>
<th>ANZ</th>
<th>ASIA</th>
<th>LATAM</th>
<th>EMEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Growing SEO/organic presence 49%</td>
<td>Growing SEO/organic presence 61%</td>
<td>Growing SEO/organic presence 61%</td>
<td>Growing SEO/organic presence 56%</td>
<td>Growing SEO/organic presence 62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Content distribution/amplification 32%</td>
<td>Blog content creation 32%</td>
<td>Blog content creation 30%</td>
<td>Blog content creation 46%</td>
<td>Blog content creation 55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Blog content creation 37%</td>
<td>Content distribution/amplification 32%</td>
<td>Content distribution/amplification 44%</td>
<td>Interactive content creation 45%</td>
<td>Content distribution/amplification 50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Interactive content creation 35%</td>
<td>Marketing automation 28%</td>
<td>Interactive content creation 29%</td>
<td>Social media organic 43%</td>
<td>Marketing automation 41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Marketing automation 21%</td>
<td>Interactive content creation 24%</td>
<td>Social media organic 29%</td>
<td>Interactive content creation 39%</td>
<td>Marketing automation 30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Long-form/visual content creation 35%</td>
<td>Visual content creation 29%</td>
<td>Content distribution/amplification 26%</td>
<td>Long-form/visual content creation 30%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Visual content creation 27%</td>
<td>Visual content creation 21%</td>
<td>Online tools 20%</td>
<td>Online tools 21%</td>
<td>Online tools 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Webinars 21%</td>
<td>Product how-to videos 21%</td>
<td>Long-form visual content creation 26%</td>
<td>Product how-to videos 21%</td>
<td>Product how-to videos 24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Online tools 21%</td>
<td>Online tools 23%</td>
<td>Product how-to videos 26%</td>
<td>Long-form visual content creation 26%</td>
<td>Visual content creation 22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Product how-to videos 16%</td>
<td>Webinars 15%</td>
<td>Webinars 13%</td>
<td>Webinars 14%</td>
<td>Webinars 21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Freemium trials 4%</td>
<td>Freemium trials 4%</td>
<td>Freemium trials 11%</td>
<td>Freemium trials 7%</td>
<td>Freemium trials 7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, we see strong adoption of inbound across the globe, with room to grow investments in the methodology in Australia, New Zealand, and Asia.

**WHAT IS YOUR ORGANIZATION’S PRIMARY APPROACH TO MARKETING?**

“We primarily conduct inbound marketing.”

We also know what is not a priority for marketers today: traditional advertising. Once again, it’s the top ranked “overrated marketing tactic”.

**IN YOUR OPINION, WHAT IS THE MOST OVERRATED MARKETING TACTIC?**

Paid advertising (print, outdoor, broadcast) 22%
Social media organic 11%
Online paid advertising (social media ads, PPC) 9%
Email Marketing 6%
PR/analyst relations 4%
SEO 3%
Marketing automation 3%
Blogging 2%
Collateral development 2%
Sales enablement 2%

In fact, one respondent wrote that they are completely abandoning broadcast to focus more on-demand content. “We are abandoning the broadcast channels era to enter an individual on-demand environment, where places and things that surround users will create more useful, relevant, and customized experiences.”
Sales Priorities

For sales professionals, closing more deals occupies the majority of their focus. This was followed by improving the efficiency of the sales funnel as a priority. Optimizing the sales process requires addressing organizational complexity and adapting to new buying habits, which require major shifts for any business. Social selling, another modern sales strategy, comes in as the third most important priority.

WHAT ARE YOUR COMPANY’S TOP SALES PRIORITIES FOR THE NEXT YEAR?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>NAM</th>
<th>ANZ</th>
<th>ASIA</th>
<th>LATAM</th>
<th>EMEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Closing more deals</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving the efficiency of the sales funnel</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social selling</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training the sales team</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing the length of sales cycle</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving existing sales technologies</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social selling</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training the sales team</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving existing sales technologies</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social selling</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some sales respondents also wrote in that they were focusing on “building stronger partnerships” and creating “thought leadership to start the conversation”. Thought leadership is an effort that marketing teams should share with their sales counterparts. Forward-thinking content can elevate the profile of the company they serve as well as sales conversations.

Across regions, more sales respondents in ANZ indicate they are dealing with inefficiency in the sales funnel and that they need to improve their existing sales technologies. More respondents in Latin America and EMEA are looking into social selling. EMEA-based sales respondents are investing slightly more in sales enablement and CRM compared to their peers.
Marketing Challenges

Echoing their priorities, marketers today find generating traffic and leads to be their biggest challenge, followed by proving ROI and securing budget for marketing programs. The write-in responses show marketers are facing a very wide swath of issues, from figuring out strategy to social conversion to analytics to staffing.

“Technology and analytics”

“Developing Content”

“Moving into a modern marketing strategy—our industry is wicked old school”

“Engaging the whole company to provide a disruptive marketing strategy; understanding our clients’ profile, potential, and goals to develop each account in their own individual pace.”

“Social Conversion”

“We’re overwhelmed by responsibilities expected per team member.”

“Having the time to do our own marketing”

WHAT ARE YOUR COMPANY’S TOP MARKETING CHALLENGES?

- Generating traffic and leads: 43%
- Proving the ROI of our marketing activities: 28%
- Securing enough budget: 26%
- Identifying the right technologies for our industry: 26%
- Managing our website: 21%
- Targeting content for an international audience: 19%
- Training our team: 16%
- Hiring top talent: 7%
- Finding an executive sponsor: 6%
What are your company’s top marketing challenges? (by geography)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>NAM</th>
<th>ANZ</th>
<th>Asia</th>
<th>LATAM</th>
<th>EMEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Generating traffic and leads 61%</td>
<td>Generating traffic and leads 62%</td>
<td>Generating traffic and leads 59%</td>
<td>Generating traffic and leads 66%</td>
<td>Generating traffic and leads 62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities 45%</td>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities 44%</td>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities 39%</td>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities 37%</td>
<td>Proving the ROI of our marketing activities 40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Securing enough budget 33%</td>
<td>Securing enough budget 28%</td>
<td>Targeting content for an international audience 37%</td>
<td>Identifying the right technologies for our needs 32%</td>
<td>Managing our website 27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Identifying the right technologies for our needs 24%</td>
<td>Managing our website 24%</td>
<td>Securing enough budget 29%</td>
<td>Securing enough budget 29%</td>
<td>Securing enough budget 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Managing our website 20%</td>
<td>Identifying the right technologies for our needs 23%</td>
<td>Identifying the right technologies for our needs 26%</td>
<td>Managing our website 26%</td>
<td>Targeting content for an international audience 23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Training our team 13%</td>
<td>Targeting content for an international audience 12%</td>
<td>Managing our website 26%</td>
<td>Training our team 26%</td>
<td>Identifying the right technologies for our needs 22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Hiring top talent 13%</td>
<td>Training our team 11%</td>
<td>Training our team 20%</td>
<td>Targeting content for an international audience 19%</td>
<td>Training our team 18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Targeting content for an international audience 11%</td>
<td>Hiring top talent 10%</td>
<td>Hiring top talent 20%</td>
<td>Hiring top talent 18%</td>
<td>Hiring top talent 14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Finding an executive sponsor 5%</td>
<td>Finding an executive sponsor 2%</td>
<td>Finding an executive sponsor 11%</td>
<td>Finding an executive sponsor 10%</td>
<td>Finding an executive sponsor 5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Globally, challenges are consistent, with Asian marketers citing targeted content for international audiences and hiring top talent as strong challenges. Latin American marketers are slightly more focused on finding the right technology solutions for their teams and training.
**Sales Challenges**

On the sales side, respondents tell us it’s getting harder to elicit a response from a prospect, close deals, and prospect for leads. 19% also say they’re struggling to incorporate social media in their sales process, and 13% think using sales technologies is now harder than it used to be.

Across regions, there is variance in the pressure points for salespeople. While in North America, salespeople have a tough time connecting on the phone, Australia and New Zealand-based salespeople have a hard time avoiding discounting, and Asian and Latin American salespeople struggle with closing deals.

---

**What is more difficult to do in sales compared to 2 to 3 years ago?** (by geography)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>NAM</th>
<th>ANZ</th>
<th>ASIA</th>
<th>LATAM</th>
<th>EMEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Getting a response from prospects 28%</td>
<td>Getting a response from prospects 28%</td>
<td>Closing deals 26%</td>
<td>Closing deals 26%</td>
<td>Getting a response from prospects 29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Connecting via phone 26%</td>
<td>Avoiding discounting/negotiation 27%</td>
<td>Identifying/prospecting good leads 23%</td>
<td>Avoiding discounting/negotiation 27%</td>
<td>Identifying/prospecting good leads 23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Engaging multiple decision makers at a company 23%</td>
<td>Engaging multiple decision makers at a company 26%</td>
<td>Avoiding discounting/negotiation 26%</td>
<td>Avoiding discounting/negotiation 27%</td>
<td>Closing deals 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Identifying/prospecting good leads 20%</td>
<td>Identifying/prospecting good leads 20%</td>
<td>Avoiding discounting/negotiation 26%</td>
<td>Avoiding discounting/negotiation 27%</td>
<td>Avoiding discounting/negotiation 27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Getting a response 26%</td>
<td>Getting a response 19%</td>
<td>Identifying/prospecting good leads 24%</td>
<td>Avoiding discounting/negotiation 23%</td>
<td>Connecting via phone 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Connecting via email 17%</td>
<td>Connecting via phone 17%</td>
<td>Sourcing referrals 21%</td>
<td>Incorporating social media into the sales process 22%</td>
<td>Connecting via email 23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Avoiding discounting/negotiation 18%</td>
<td>Incorporating social media into the sales process 17%</td>
<td>Incorporating social media into the sales process 18%</td>
<td>Using sales technologies 19%</td>
<td>Engaging multiple decision makers at a company 23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Keeping someone on the phone 12%</td>
<td>Sourcing referrals 17%</td>
<td>Connecting via email 17%</td>
<td>Delivering a presentation 17%</td>
<td>Incorporating social media into the sales process 17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Incorporating social media into the sales process 12%</td>
<td>Connecting via phone 15%</td>
<td>Keeping someone on the phone 13%</td>
<td>Doing research before initial call/initial email 16%</td>
<td>Keeping someone on the phone 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Using sales technologies 11%</td>
<td>Using sales technologies 8%</td>
<td>Keeping someone on the phone 12%</td>
<td>Connecting via phone 13%</td>
<td>Sourcing referrals 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Sourcing referrals 8%</td>
<td>Using sales technologies 10%</td>
<td>Using sales technologies 8%</td>
<td>Connecting via email 14%</td>
<td>Using sales technologies 9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Doing research before initial call/initial email 6%</td>
<td>Using sales technologies 2%</td>
<td>Doing research before initial call/initial email 10%</td>
<td>Keeping someone on the phone 9%</td>
<td>Delivering a presentation 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Delivering a presentation 2%</td>
<td>Delivering a presentation 2%</td>
<td>Delivering a presentation 5%</td>
<td>Sourcing referrals 8%</td>
<td>Doing research before initial call/initial email 5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Most agree that prospecting is currently the toughest part of the sales process. Sales teams need help qualifying leads, whether it’s with more robust lead scoring or for Marketing to use better lead-qualifying actions to ensure salespeople are given accounts that are most likely to convert into customers.

In your opinion, what part of the sales process do reps struggle with most?

Regionally, only Asia-based salespeople opposed the trend by indicating that closing was the more difficult part of the process.

In your opinion, what part of the sales process do reps struggle with most? (by geography)
And while most salespeople today use a CRM system to access and store customer records, data entry is the #1 challenge to using CRMs today, followed by a lack of integration. However, 18% of sales respondents didn’t know how to answer our question on the biggest challenge in using a CRM—pointing to the fact that they might not be familiar enough with their CRM to confidently discuss what’s working and what’s not.

**WHAT IS YOUR BIGGEST CHALLENGE IN USING YOUR EXISTING CRM?**

- Manual data entry 27%
- Lack of integration with other tools 8%
- Difficult to track my sales funnel 8%
- Inaccurate or incorrect data 17%
- Managers don’t use it 7%
- It’s too complicated 7%
- My sales team doesn’t use it 4%
- Other 28%
- Don’t know/not applicable 23%

When we examined the amount of time salespeople are spending on data entry, we found that 57% of respondents are spending up to an hour per day on data entry. This time inputting information—time spent not engaging with prospecting—leads to frustration and a negative view of the tools meant to make salespeople more effective and efficient.

**ON AVERAGE, HOW MUCH TIME PER DAY DOES YOUR SALES TEAM SPEND PERFORMING DATA ENTRY OR OTHER MANUAL TASKS?**

- 0 to 30 minutes 23%
- 31 to 60 minutes 27%
- 61 to 120 minutes 19%
- More than 120 minutes 13%
An interesting theme develops when we slice the data by the respondent's title. On the whole, fewer C-level respondents think that data entry takes a significant amount of time to complete, with 21% indicating it takes an hour or more to complete each day. But in contrast, 45% of individual contributors, who are usually the ones actually doing data entry, say the same.

However, when responses are broken down by title, CEOs and VPs underplay the success of the phone channel and overestimate the impact of social media, such as Facebook and LinkedIn. Individual contributors (sales representatives) who actually prospect see the world differently. The question is: Why is there such a large gap between senior executives and individual contributors?

**WHAT HAS BEEN THE MOST SUCCESSFUL CHANNEL FOR YOUR SALES REPRESENTATIVES TO CONNECT WITH A PROSPECT?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>C-level executive (CEO, CMO)</th>
<th>VP/Director</th>
<th>Manager</th>
<th>Individual contributor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LinkedIn</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other social media</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The same disconnect reveals itself when we ask respondents what channel provides the best results when connecting with prospects. Overall, the most successful are telephone and email.
THE STATE OF THE BUSINESS
IS MARKETING EFFECTIVE?

We asked our marketing respondents to tell us what they really think: Is their organization’s marketing strategy effective? Just 61% answered “yes” this year. One respondent wrote: “The most disruptive force to affect my job in the next 3-5 years is the lack of research my company does when it comes to marketing strategies.”

Echoing the disconnect between sales leaders and staff, more senior respondents had a rosier picture of the marketing organization. 69% of C-level respondents said their marketing strategy was effective compared to 55% of individual contributors.

This begs the question: Are senior executives seeing benefits from marketing campaigns that are not being shared with individual teams and managers? It’s possible that each individual team (consisting of a manager and any number of individual contributors) are not getting a holistic view of Marketing’s performance. So, in the absence of clear results, teams are judging their organizations harshly. Or, even worse, performance is below established goals, and senior executives, for whatever reason, are blind to it. No matter the actual reason, at the end of the day there is a disconnect between leadership’s view and employees’ attitude. This will evolve into a larger business problem if it’s not properly addressed. Clearer communication between leaders and staff is necessary in both scenarios.

Do you feel that your organization’s marketing strategy is effective?

Do you feel that your organization’s marketing strategy is effective?

YES, OUR MARKETING STRATEGY IS EFFECTIVE.

C-level executive (CEO, CMO)
VP/Director
Manager
Individual contributor

“YES, OUR MARKETING STRATEGY IS EFFECTIVE.”

Yes 61%
No 39%
Confidence in marketing strategy also wanes in Asia and Australia and New Zealand, areas with the lowest adoption of inbound marketing.

**DO YOU FEEL THAT YOUR ORGANIZATION’S MARKETING STRATEGY IS EFFECTIVE? (BY GEOGRAPHY)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAM</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANZ</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LATAM</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMEA</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on your organization’s approach to marketing, do you feel that your marketing strategy is effective?

Organizations that are inbound are more likely to state that their marketing strategy is effective. Indeed, the majority of respondents who are part of outbound organizations do not think their marketing strategy is effective.
Most respondents report a positive relationship between marketing and sales teams. 44% of respondents say Marketing and Sales are generally aligned, while 22% indicate there’s a formal SLA in place between teams. A marketer gave a specific example of how both teams work together to achieve their goals: “[We’re] getting better aligned. [We] know that when someone fills out a ‘request for quote’ form, our Sales team is following up.”

Once again, when we cut the responses by title, we see high confidence in Marketing and Sales alignment from senior executives, but not nearly as much of the same sentiment among individual contributors. 31% of C-level respondents say their organization has an SLA; just 17% of managers and individual contributors say the same.

The Sales and Marketing alignment concept (also known as smarketing) has the highest adoption in North America and is making its way around the globe. When it comes to aligning different parts of an organization, there’s always room to improve collaboration, communication, and direction.

How would you characterize your company’s Sales and Marketing relationship? (by geography)

- North America (NAM): 25% Tightly aligned, 48% Generally aligned, 8% Rarely aligned, 6% Misaligned
- Asia (ASIA): 28% Tightly aligned, 47% Generally aligned, 9% Rarely aligned, 7% Misaligned
- Europe, Middle East, and Africa (EMEA): 27% Tightly aligned, 43% Generally aligned, 13% Rarely aligned, 7% Misaligned
- Latin America (LATAM): 27% Tightly aligned, 43% Generally aligned, 27% Rarely aligned, 12% Misaligned

How would you characterize your company’s Sales and Marketing relationship? (by seniority)

- C-level executive (CEO, CMO): 40% Tightly aligned, 40% Generally aligned, 10% Rarely aligned, 8% Misaligned
- VP/Director: 35% Tightly aligned, 40% Generally aligned, 14% Rarely aligned, 6% Misaligned
- Manager: 17% Tightly aligned, 49% Generally aligned, 18% Rarely aligned, 14% Misaligned
- Individual contributor: 17% Tightly aligned, 44% Generally aligned, 14% Rarely aligned, 13% Misaligned

How would you characterize your company’s Sales and Marketing relationship?
Why focus time on Sales and Marketing alignment? Over the years, we’ve consistently found that marketing and sales organizations with tight alignment perform better. Marketing respondents whose organizations have a service level agreement (SLA) in place are 3x more likely to say their strategy is effective compared to those in misaligned organizations.

**BASED ON HOW YOU CHARACTERIZED YOUR COMPANY’S MARKETING AND SALES RELATIONSHIP, DO YOU FEEL THAT YOUR MARKETING STRATEGY IS EFFECTIVE?**

- Tightly aligned (Sales and Marketing SLA): нк
- Generally aligned: 18% нк
- Rarely aligned: 34% нк
- Misaligned: 27% нк

Likewise, sales teams benefit from SLAs with Marketing. Aligned sales organizations are more likely to grow headcount compared to misaligned organizations.

**BASED ON HOW YOU CHARACTERIZE YOUR COMPANY’S SALES AND MARKETING RELATIONSHIP, IS YOUR SALES TEAM INCREASING OR DECREASING IN SIZE?**

- Tightly aligned (Sales and Marketing SLA): 70% нк
- Generally aligned: 38% нк
- Rarely aligned: 34% нк
- Misaligned: 22% нк

Sales respondents have a very positive outlook for their teams in the next 12 months. 55% of respondents indicate their sales team will grow, with only 5% decreasing.

**IS YOUR COMPANY INCREASING OR DECREASING THE SIZE OF THE COMPANY OVER THE NEXT 12 MONTHS?**

- Increased by more than 50%: 15%
- Increase 26 to 50%: 33%
- Increase 11 to 25%: 27%
- Increase up to 10%: 24%
- No change: 34%
- Decreasing team size: 9%
Most expect to spend $10,000 or less this year on sales technologies and training. It’s also impressive that investment in training is nearly on par with spend on sales technologies. Aligning Sales and Marketing is not an easy process, especially if a company is new to inbound. Training is key in changing established behaviors and requires a great deal of effort. One marketer wrote: “Retraining the sales team in the Sales and Marketing alignment and processes is a constant challenge that disrupts the inbound marketing strategies and procedures for three to six months.”

Salespeople find that the top sources of leads are those they source directly and referrals, not those sourced by Marketing.

How much will your company spend on sales technologies and sales training for the next year?

Based on how you characterize your company’s Sales and Marketing relationship, which is the top source of leads for your sales organization?

Which is the top source of sales for your sales organization?
The Marketing Business

Marketing respondents found that inbound campaigns yielded higher ROI compared to outbound campaigns, yet 41% of respondents either could not answer the question or could not calculate ROI. The inability to measure ROI will be a hindrance for marketing teams trying to prove their value or advocate for higher budgets.

When we examine responses by title, once again we find differences in perception between senior staff and individual contributors. C-level executives rate referrals as the top source of leads for their organizations, while individual contributors point to sales-sourced leads. Everyone is in agreement that marketing-sourced leads rank third.

When we asked respondents to rank the quality of referrals, sales leads, and marketing leads, referrals were rated as the highest quality lead. Perhaps C-level executives and VPs think highly of referrals because they are high-quality leads, which are more likely to convert. The big question for organizations is scaling referral systems so that more get fed into the sales funnel.
Most respondents will spend $25,000 or less on their marketing efforts in the next year. With limited budget, getting the highest ROI possible is crucial for marketing teams to deliver oversized impact.

**HOW MUCH WILL YOUR COMPANY SPEND ON MARKETING OVER THE NEXT 12 MONTHS?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Range</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than $25,000</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,001 to $100,000</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,001 to $500,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$500,001 to $1M</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.1M to $5M</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $5M</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Budgets have either remained consistent or been increased, a good sign that businesses are growing and confident for the future.

Earlier we covered how sales teams rate leads from Marketing, with 30% rating marketing leads as poor quality. In contrast, 59% of marketers believe the leads they send to sales are very high quality.

**WHICH SOURCE PROVIDES THE HIGHEST QUALITY LEADS FOR YOUR SALES TEAM?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inbound practices</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-sourced leads from sales</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outbound practices</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Splitting the data by alignment shows a similar pattern—marketers in tightly aligned organizations are more likely to feel confident about their inbound leads, while those with less alignment express more doubt and rank sales-sourced leads as of higher quality.

**Based on how you characterize your company’s Sales and Marketing relationship, which source provides the highest quality leads for your sales team?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alignment Level</th>
<th>Inbound practices</th>
<th>Outbound practices</th>
<th>Self-sourced leads from sales team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tightly aligned (Sales and Marketing SLA)</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generally aligned</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rarely aligned</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misaligned</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the end of the day, there is still a disconnect between the leads Sales perceive as valuable and the leads Marketing generates. These two departments ultimately work together to achieve the same goal: create more customers. Working in tandem, or at least establishing better lines of communication, can only help both teams achieve their goals.

Most respondents will spend $25,000 or less on their marketing efforts in the next year. With limited budget, getting the highest ROI possible is crucial for marketing teams to deliver oversized impact.
LOOKING TO THE FUTURE
LOOKING TO THE FUTURE

The times ... they are tumultuous. When we asked respondents about future disruptors to their business, people across the globe cited the current political landscape as a source of disruption in their jobs. For the first time, we received a multitude of references to current events: Brexit, the current U.S. administration, economic crises, world politics, and even a call-out on currency fluctuations in South Africa. For business leaders, uncertainty and fear in the political and economic climate are only complicated by the speed of change in the marketing and sales industry and the speed at which new digital challenges occur.

In the past few years, we’ve witnessed a shift from marketing where the primary channels — email and website — were owned and controlled by the brand. Now, marketing across a variety of social channels and content publishing platforms is challenging businesses to redefine how they communicate with their audience and track performance. Our respondents believe that having a website and blog is no longer enough to attract the attention of their buyers. Nor is a salesperson the go-to resource for when a business needs to make a purchase. These are significant changes for multiple parts of the business. So how are people planning to address these disruptions?

In marketing, forward-thinking respondents are prioritizing research and meeting their buyers where they “live.” One respondent wrote, “As the method to target and attract customers continues to evolve and becomes more precise, it will disrupt the way in which traditional marketing operates.”

“Online is the new mainstream, so I think we will see less of ‘digital’ marketers and more just ‘marketers.’ Competition from peers will also increase as more people become trained in digital marketing.”

Because of the sheer number of channels businesses operate in today (web, mobile, social networks such as Twitter, Facebook, and LinkedIn, emerging networks such as Snapchat, WeChat, Messenger, and aggregation platforms such as Medium), marketers worry about resources and prioritization: “Effectively managing the rapidly growing and changing social media landscape ... warrants a significant resource allocation.”

“IF A COMPANY IS SLOW TO CAPTURE A NEW MODE OF COMMUNICATION, IT MIGHT AS WELL STOP THE BUSINESS.”
Main Disruptor: Video

Video is one of the top-cited disruptors in our survey. Many see video as a great channel to better connect with a prospect, while others fret that video will make their day jobs obsolete.

Here’s some marketers who are betting on video:

“We’re looking more into video content and visuals. We are also want to get more into podcasting and creating relevant content that consumers enjoy looking at.”

“We’re moving towards more live videos and video content on social media and adapting our approach to be less ‘in-your-face’ and more subtle to acquire conversions.”

“We’re responding to people’s desire to learn online through video as opposed to face to face.”

On a more personal level, content marketers who specialize in writing and editing worry about the impact video will have on their careers: "I MOSTLY WRITE CONTENT RIGHT NOW, BUT I’M AFRAID IT MAY BEGIN TO DIMINISH MORE AND MORE WITH VIDEO."

Others are choosing to get trained: “I’m learning new tactics (i.e., video).”

Others see video as the connecting force between a brand and their customers. One respondent argued that the move to video is a natural evolution, and the only those resistant to the change will see it as a disruption: “Video is just going to get bigger and bigger. I think more people want raw, honest connection. They want to know you and the company in a way that feels personal. I don’t see this as a disruption, but it might be for those who aren’t willing to shift in this direction.”

For others, the struggle to adapt is caused by internal complexity: “WE ARE TRYING TO INTRODUCE NEW METHODS BUT FACING A LOT OF INTERNAL RESISTANCE. EVERYONE GETS A SAY ON EVERYTHING. IF SOMETHING WORKS FOR ONE THING, IT’S ROLLED OUT FOR EVERYTHING, EVEN WHEN IT’S NOT SUITABLE.”

A one-size-fits-all approach can be extremely difficult to pull off. Consider the channel, format, and the content topic.
Potential Disruptor: AI and VR

Artificial intelligence, augmented reality, and virtual reality are all buzzwords in the technology and marketing community. Our respondents agree it is a disrupter, but since the technologies are not pervasively available and have so many potential use cases, there’s not a lot of clarity around what impact AI will have in the near future and how marketers should prepare or adjust their approach.

In response to our open-ended question on what they see as disruptors to the marketing industry, many responded:

“AI and automation will disrupt marketing.”

“AI and machine learning”

“I think virtual reality will further disrupt the digital marketing industry and represents huge opportunity for sales and marketing leaders.”

“Artificial Intelligence and VR. Maybe even holograms!”

“Artificial intelligence and the wider adoption of big data for hyper-personalization.”

Some saw an upside to the automation potential of AI and pointed to AI’s ability to reduce the need for humans to do repetitive tasks:

“INTEGRATION OF AI INTO DAILY ROUTINES AND MONOTONOUS/REPETITIVE RESPONSIBILITIES.”

“CHATBOTS, AI, BOTS, AND AUTOMATION OF REPEATABLE WORK AND PROCESSES.”

Others point to AI’s natural language processing capabilities as a new way to generate content, though one respondent wasn’t sure if it will happen.

“AN AI SOFTWARE CREATING/CURATING HIGH-QUALITY CONTENT (DON’T REALLY THINK THAT’S GONNA HAPPEN THOUGH!).”

“AUTOMATION OF CONTENT PRODUCTION WILL BE A DISRUPTION.”

In fact, these commentators have hit on a key trend happening in the marketing technology space: content written by AI software. We are already seeing AI-generated content today. Most of today’s news articles outlining sports statistics and earning reports are written by AI programs.
Constant Disruptor: Social

For some marketers, social is a necessary evil. Billions of people “live” on these networks, so businesses have to participate to expand their reach, but every year there’s a new algorithm to deal with, a new type of content offering, or even a new social network to grow a presence on. On these platforms, marketers don’t own the experience and have very little control, making it more and more challenging to prove ROI even while they know that a presence is necessary. So it’s not surprising many respondents wrote that social is disrupting marketing.

One philosophical respondent summed up the environment: “I think in this industry, you always have to be prepared to shift as your market shifts. As technology grows and evolves, so too will the best ways to interact with our ideal clients.”

Another honestly laid out the challenges of trying to keep up: “We have a hard time sometimes because we work in the rapidly changing world of social media analytics. Social channels are constantly introducing and rolling out new features and metrics. We have to quickly wrap our minds around if it’s important to our audience, how our audience can use it, and if we should integrate some kind of functionality into our product. It’s very fast-paced and difficult to stay on top of.”

Our marketers are also keenly aware of the growth of messaging apps such as Whatsapp and the rise of Snapchat. We received many references to various apps:

“Snapchat”

“Messenger apps (WhatsApp, WeChat).”

“Look at WeChat and how it manages to retain its users within the apps for multiple purposes throughout the day: messaging, newsfeed, information about companies and products (to a much more thorough degree than what Facebook provides), and payment.”

“Whatsapp”

“The increase in apps like messenger and WhatsApp and the move away from email will disrupt the way I do my job.”

Others grapple with tracking the impact of “dark social media” and coping with “Facebook’s constant changes to how ads work on their platform and how we reach clients.” Another bemoaned the fast-paced communication requirements that force their business to quickly adapt:

“A disruptor is the influence of instant-communications … Speed, frequency, how to respond, what channels are all shifting.”
Preparing for Disruptors

Consistent with the written feedback, marketing teams will maintain or increase their presence on YouTube and Facebook video and focus on figuring out how to market on messaging apps such as WhatsApp. Snapchat is still a mystery for many businesses, and we see a dip in focus as marketers opt to spend their time on larger emerging channels.

True to their role as forward thinkers, C-level executives lead the charge, indicating a higher preference to try and expand across emerging distribution channels. Those who are on the ground, the individual contributors, are a bit more muted and pragmatic in their assessment. Facebook video is the top focus for individual contributors.

WHAT CONTENT DISTRIBUTION CHANNELS DO YOU PLAN TO ADD TO YOUR MARKETING EFFORTS IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? (BY SENIORITY)

Due to their role as forward thinkers, C-level executives lead the charge, indicating a higher preference to try and expand across emerging distribution channels. Those who are on the ground, the individual contributors, are a bit more muted and pragmatic in their assessment. Facebook video is the top focus for individual contributors.
Sales Disruptor: Changing Preferences

Many people consider sales to be a job function that will never change or evolve. Selling, as a process, has not undergone the same rapid change that marketing or IT departments have. But we are seeing inklings of change and concern from our sales respondents. Salespeople acknowledge that buyers nowadays are more independent and often bypass the salesperson completely. Some are looking to shift their sales role into that of an advisor or concierge to better service their prospects.

Here’s what some salespeople wrote in when we asked what would impact how they do their jobs in the future:

“Our industry is going through a seismic shift. Gone are the days of the salesperson being the gatekeeper for information. Our website has now become our primary mode of marketing where it used to be our brick-and-mortar locations. I think in the next three to five years we will see a shift to brand selling and concierge-like service.”

“Clients are more interested in doing digital purchases. They don’t spend time meeting with a salesperson.”

“There’s a generational change away from personal service and direct communication fed by a growing preference for technology—not people.”

“Decentralization of decision-making units/more decision makers involved in buying decisions”

“We see increasing smartness and competency levels in our B2B buyers.”
UNDERSTANDING THE MODERN BUYER
UNDERSTANDING THE MODERN BUYER

We heard this theme loud and clear from our survey respondents when we asked how their marketing team is adapting to changing consumer behaviors. Trying to track and understand target customers across multiple channels is a complicated and challenging endeavor for many organizations.

“We’re testing the field to observe the response and if it doesn’t result effective in a determined period of time [we] find [an]other strategy.”

“We need to get closer to our customers.”

“We tap into the channels that customers mainly use to communicate.”

“The amount of research and analysis that goes in before employing a particular strategy has increased manifold and constant checks on the trends of consumer behaviour are changing as well.”

“The further diversification of media and how/where people spend their time consuming content. Being able to not only create content, but also target it for those various platforms is a constant evolution.”

“Now we’re actively reaching customers where they want to be met.”
Trusted Sources of Information

Referrals, customer references, media articles, and vendor-authored articles have consistently been the most reliable sources of information for our decision-makers. Word-of-mouth is a powerful influence in a purchase decision: A business’s most important marketing asset is their customer base, who can advocate on their behalf. Word-of-mouth and customer case studies, the top two information sources, go a long way in impacting a decision-maker’s purchase decision. Our data also shows that a business’s content goes just as far as a media article or an analyst report. So lower profile organizations can still invest in content to influence their potential buyers. It’s key to focus on middle and bottom of the funnel stories that will resonate with an organization’s buyer personas.

Lastly, remember your salespeople are at the bottom of the ladder. Savvy businesses can make the best of lowered buyer expectations by outfitting their sales staff with helpful insights that buyers cannot unearth from media articles or case studies. Transform your salespeople into trusted advisors so that they make the best impression possible when they finally connect with a buyer.

WHAT SOURCES OF INFORMATION DO YOU RELY ON WHEN MAKING A PURCHASE DECISION FOR BUSINESS SOFTWARE?

When it comes to seniority, the same information sources rank high in the purchasing decision. C-level executives strongly rely on personal recommendations and tend to be more attuned to media coverage. The word-of-mouth factor is key because on the business side, more C-level respondents rate referrals as the highest quality leads for Sales. Their personal preferences influence their idea of what a top lead is. Both the individual contributor and the C-level executive rank salespeople lowest in terms of influence.
How People Like to Communicate

When it comes to communication channels, email is the clear winner. Face-to-face and phone conversations still rank high for our respondents in comparison to relatively newer channels such as social media and video conferencing. However, adoption of new technologies can be swift. Chatting through messaging apps is now an ingrained part of business life for 31% of our respondents. We asked specifically about communicating for official business purposes to see if traditionally "personal" channels, such as social media and messaging apps, have crossed over into people’s business life. For over a third of responses, social media and messaging apps are part of how they communicate professionally.
In a breakdown by title, it’s noteworthy that C-level executives are social media and messaging power users, with 44% preferring to communicate via social media and 37% on messaging apps.

**HOW DO YOU PREFER TO COMMUNICATE FOR BUSINESS PURPOSES? (BY SENIORITY)**

For senior leaders who need to keep on top of the next big trend, it makes sense that they are more keen to try and test new technologies, especially those touted as the next big thing. Additionally, as executives tend to be on-the-go more often and in a position to dictate requests, these new channels allows them to communicate quickly and informally to members of their team.
Outside of North America, there’s higher use of newer communication channels such as social media and messaging apps. While only 13% of North America respondents use messaging apps for business purposes, 45% of Latin American and 37% of Asian respondents do. Latin American-, Asian-, and EMEA-based respondents also more actively use social media for business communications compared to North America.

The adoption of social media has further blurred the line between personal and professional. Today, the majority of our respondents use what we think of as traditionally “personal” social networks such as Facebook and Twitter for professional purposes. 74% of respondents use Facebook professionally, just shy of the 78% who use LinkedIn, a network designed for professional use. Indeed, more people are using Twitter for professional purposes over personal purposes (59% versus 56%). In contrast, many use Instagram for personal reasons, but fewer leverage the network for professional purposes.

**HOW DO YOU PREFER TO COMMUNICATE FOR BUSINESS PURPOSES? (BY GEOGRAPHY)**

**WHICH SOCIAL MEDIA CHANNELS DO YOU USE FOR PROFESSIONAL AND/OR PERSONAL USE?**
When we look across regions at social networks used for professional purposes, we see Facebook outstripping LinkedIn in Latin America. The biggest users of Twitter live in North America and EMEA. Instagram is popular in Latin America and North America, while Quora surges comparatively in Asia. Asian respondents also are more active on WeChat, the dominant messaging social network hybrid in the region.

### WHICH SOCIAL MEDIA CHANNELS DO YOU USE FOR PROFESSIONAL PURPOSES? (BY GEOGRAPHY)

Consistent with the usage data above, C-level executives are the most active on various social networks for professional purposes. Senior leaders tend to have the widest professional networks, so it’s not entirely surprising that they actively use these platforms to keep up with peers.

### WHICH SOCIAL MEDIA CHANNELS DO YOU USE FOR PROFESSIONAL PURPOSES? (BY SENIORITY)
EVOLUTION OF THE STATE OF INBOUND: TREND DATA
EVOLUTION OF THE STATE OF INBOUND

We have been tracking the goals and priorities of inbound organizations for the past nine years and have assembled trending data from previous reports in this chapter. Our data has remained remarkably constant. This is to be expected, as the driving forces for marketing and sales activities -- generating more leads, converting more customers, etc., remain the same, but there have been a couple of interesting shifts over the years on the sales side.
Sales Is Evolving

In 2015, salespeople indicated that referrals were their top source of leads. That has dropped 11% in the past two years. Today, leads directly sourced by Sales are ranked in the top position. Additionally, Sales’ assessment of marketing-sourced leads dropped 8%. This trend suggests sales thinks they can hunt much better leads than inbound marketing can bring in—this is a perception and trust issue for marketing leaders to address.

We’ve also seen some interesting shifts on the biggest challenges for using a CRM. In the past, up to 16% of sales teams didn’t bother using their existing CRM. Now, adoption is much higher (only 8% reported that their team didn’t use aCRM), but as a result, manual data entry is a headache. Incorrect data is another growing concern.

Many CRM software companies have made strides to solve the integration problems that first plagued the systems, causing a 5% drop in complaints around integrations. And, true to its purpose, tracking the sales funnel is less of an issue for teams, dropping from 14% in 2015 to 9% today.

Which is the top source of leads for your sales organizations?

What is your biggest challenge using the CRM?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>My sales team does not use it</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managers don’t use it</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It’s too complicated</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficult to track my sales</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invalid or incorrect data</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of integration with other tools</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know/not applicable</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual data entry</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Priorities Remain Consistent

Priorities and challenges have seen only small shifts over the years. Converting leads into customers is a continual focus for marketers.

**What are your company’s top marketing priorities over the next 12 months?**

Likewise, salespeople continue to focus on closing more deals. Social selling has gained ground though; it’s now the third highest priority in 2017 after ranking near the bottom of our list in 2015. Teams are less focused on improving sales technologies, which may indicate maturity of these tools in enabling sales teams. We came to this conclusion based on the fact that making the sales funnel more efficient and reducing the length of the sales cycle has gone down slightly in tandem.

**What are your company’s top sales priorities over the next 12 months?**

Marketers still rate inbound marketing leads as the highest quality year over year. As we saw earlier, their contributions aren’t valued by their counterparts in Sales as these respondents consistently rate marketing-sourced leads as the lowest quality compared to referrals and sales-sourced leads.

**Which source provides the highest quality leads for your sales team?**

2015 2016 2017
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Sales challenges remain consistent year over year. Salespeople continue to face problems getting a response, closing a deal, and prospecting.

**WHAT IS MORE DIFFICULT TO DO IN SALES COMPARED TO 2 TO 3 YEARS AGO?**

For Sales, the early phases of the sales cycle prove to be the biggest challenge. Compared to 2015, closing is more manageable. Improvements in the qualification phases through better lead scoring software may be making salespeople more confident in the closing phase.

**IN YOUR OPINION WHAT PART OF THE SALES PROCESS DO REPS STRUGGLE WITH MOST?**

Concerning is that fact that, salespeople are spending more of their valuable time on data entry compared to last year.

**ON AVERAGE, HOW MUCH TIME PER DAY DOES YOUR SALES TEAM SPEND ON PERFORMING DATA ENTRY OR OTHER MANUAL TASKS?**

Phone is the best channel for sales to connect, but we see a slight dip in the success rate of email.

**WHAT HAS BEEN THE MOST SUCCESSFUL CHANNEL FOR YOUR SALES REPRESENTATIVES TO CONNECT WITH A PROSPECT?**
Year over year, we see slight decreases in people’s preference to communicate on nearly all channels. Phone stayed static and messenger apps were the only channel to grow, from 29% to 31% preferring it in 2017.

**HOW DO YOU PREFER TO COMMUNICATE FOR BUSINESS PURPOSES?**

Finally, our respondents across the globe consistently seek out opportunities for growth in a new job, followed by work-life balance, and compensation.

**WHAT DO YOU CONSIDER WHEN LOOKING FOR A NEW JOB?**
WHO WE SURVEYED
WHO WE SURVEYED

This year’s State of Inbound survey had the largest number of global respondents: 6,399 professionals in 141 countries. Here’s the breakdown of the respondents:

WHERE ARE YOU BASED?

- NAAM: 28%
- ANZ: 6%
- ASIA: 6%
- LADM: 14%
- EMEA: 30%

IS YOUR COMPANY ANY OF THE FOLLOWING?

- Not a HubSpot customer or partner agency: 12%
- HubSpot customer or partner agency: 88%

TO WHOM DOES YOUR COMPANY PRIMARILY SELL?

- Consumers: 22%
- Other businesses: 23%
- Nonprofit/government: 3%
- Don’t know/not applicable: 5%

HOW MANY FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES DOES YOUR COMPANY EMPLOY?

- More than 1,000: 8%
- 201 to 1,000: 8%
- 26 to 100: 14%
- 11 to 25: 16%
- Fewer than 10: 42%

Other
WHAT BEST DESCRIBES YOUR COMPANY’S AVERAGE ANNUAL REVENUE?

- $18 or more: 2%
- $500M to $999M: 2%
- $10M to $499M: 19%
- $1M to $9M: 38%
- $100,000 to $999,999: 14%
- Less than $250,000: 22%

WHAT BEST DESCRIBES YOUR LEVEL IN YOUR COMPANY?

- C-level executive (CEO, CMO): 29%
- Vice President: 2%
- Director: 12%
- Manager: 27%
- Individual contributor: 20%

WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING BEST DESCRIBES THE PRINCIPAL INDUSTRY OF YOUR ORGANIZATION?

- Marketing Agencies: 22%
- Information Technology and Services: 15%
- Education: 13%
- Consumer Products: 7%
- Industrial and Manufacturing: 9%
- Software: 4%
- Media and Publishing: 4%
- Nonprofit/Government: 4%
- Financial Services: 4%
- Healthcare and Medical: 4%
- Travel and Tourism: 4%
- Telecommunications: 4%
- Sports and Recreation: 4%
- Research: 4%
- Staffing and Recruiting: 4%
- Other: 1%

Looking for more data? Contact HubSpot Research at research@hubspot.com
Bylines and Methodology

ABOUT THE TEAM:
Author: Mimi An
Editor: Jami Oetting
Executive sponsor: Meghan Keaney Anderson
Survey creation, implementation, and analysis: Mimi An

METHODOLOGY:
HubSpot fielded a global online survey from December 2016 through February 2017. The survey was available in English, French, German, Spanish, and Portuguese. The responses were sourced via email invitations, blog promotion, and social sharing. No personal information was collected and no paid incentives were offered for responses.