

Stapleton MCA Mosquito Control Program 2015 Season Report

Prepared for:



Stapleton MCA
7350 E. 29th Ave, Suite 300
Denver, CO 80238

Prepared by:



OtterTail Environmental, Inc.
10200 W. 44th Ave., Ste. 210
Wheat Ridge, CO 80033

January 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	2
2.0 WEST NILE VIRUS (AND OTHER MOSQUITO-BORNE DISEASE) UPDATE.....	3
3.0 REGIONAL 2015 CLIMATOLOGICAL DATA AND MOSQUITO ACTIVITY OVERVIEW.....	5
4.0 LARVAL MOSQUITO SURVEILLANCE AND CONTROL	7
LARVAL SURVEILLANCE METHODOLOGY	7
LARVAL CONTROL METHODOLOGY AND APPLICATION METHODS	7
LARVAL SURVEILLANCE AND CONTROL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	8
5.0 ADULT MOSQUITO SURVEILLANCE AND CONTROL	9
ADULT SURVEILLANCE METHODOLOGY	9
ADULT SURVEILLANCE RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	10
6.0 PUBLIC OUTREACH AND EDUCATION.....	11
7.0 REFERENCES.....	12

FIGURES

Figure 1	2015 Monthly Mean Air Temperature and Historical Averages.....	6
Figure 2	2015 Monthly Total Precipitation Data and Historical Averages.....	6
Figure 3	Number of Site Visits per Month, 2015.....	8
Figure 4	Season-Wide Weekly Adult Trap Count, 2015.....	11

TABLES

Table 1	WNV Incidence, 2002 - 2015	3
Table 2	Colorado WNV Cases and WNV Positive Mosquito Pools, 2015.....	4
Table 3	Larval Surveillance Summary, 2015.....	8
Table 4	Total Number of Adult Mosquitoes per Trap for the 2015 Season.....	10

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Detailed Larval Surveillance and Site Selection Methodology
Appendix B	Adult CDC-Style Mosquito Trap Description
Appendix C	Project Area Map

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

For the 2015 mosquito season, Stapleton MCA contracted OtterTail Environmental, Inc. (OtterTail) to operate an integrated mosquito management (IMM) program to protect public health from the effects of West Nile Virus (WNV) and to suppress local populations of nuisance mosquitoes. This report provides a summary of the 2015 program.

West Nile is a mosquito-transmitted virus that leads to anything from an asymptomatic infection to a neuroinvasive disease termed West Nile meningitis or encephalitis. West Nile Virus was first detected in the United States during the summer of 1999 in New York City while conducting routine St. Louis Encephalitis (SLE) and Eastern Equine Encephalitis (EEE) surveillance. The virus has since migrated across the U.S. and has been confirmed in all continental states. The bird population acts as a reservoir for the virus until a mosquito bites an infected bird. Only then can an infected mosquito pass the virus on to humans, horses and other animals through their bite. While many people who contract WNV experience mild or no symptoms, the more severe cases of West Nile meningitis or encephalitis can result in severe critical illness and even death.

There are over 50 mosquito species in Colorado, yet only species from the genus *Culex* are known to be effective transmitters of WNV. Mosquitoes and other insects that transmit disease are called vectors; mosquitoes that are not known to transmit a disease are often called nuisance mosquitoes. The most abundant mosquito in the Front Range, the *Aedes vexans*, is an aggressive nuisance type mosquito. The two primary vector mosquitoes that are most likely to spread WNV in Colorado are *Culex tarsalis* and *Culex pipiens*.

Following Integrated Pest Management principles, Stapleton MCA and OtterTail focused on controlling and reducing mosquito populations and thereby protecting public health by decreasing the likelihood of WNV transmission. Through surveillance of potential mosquito breeding sites (larval sites), areas producing mosquito larvae were identified and treated with control materials known as larvicides. Larvicides prevent the mosquitoes from developing into adults, and next to eliminating the source, is the most efficient way to reduce mosquito populations.

In addition to larval mosquito surveillance and control treatments, Stapleton MCA monitored adult mosquito activity within the area utilizing 5 adult mosquito traps. These trap collections enabled OtterTail to monitor mosquito populations and possible WNV activity.

The State of Colorado experienced a second consecutive year of relatively low WNV activity in 2015. The climate patterns and temperatures that occurred during the 2015 season caused mosquito populations to remain at below average levels throughout the majority of the season; consequently, there was relatively low WNV activity within the region. Stapleton MCA's IMM program coupled with education and personal protection measures, also likely continued to help reduce mosquito populations and WNV activity in the area during 2015.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Stapleton MCA contracted OtterTail Environmental, Inc. to operate an integrated mosquito management program in 2015. As in previous years of the program, the primary goal was to protect local residents from the effects of West Nile Virus (WNV) and to suppress the local populations of nuisance mosquitoes. Specific objectives for the program included (1) the identification, monitoring, and treatment of habitats with a high potential for mosquito breeding; (2) monitoring adult mosquito populations by speciation and population counts; (3) use the adult surveillance data as an early warning system for the occurrence and severity of WNV activity in the program area; and (4) to limit any adverse effects on the environment from control materials in a cost-effective manner.

This report explains the methods used in the IMM program and provides a detailed summary of the results of the 2015 IMM program.

2.0 WEST NILE VIRUS (AND OTHER MOSQUITO-BORNE DISEASE) UPDATE

As of December 8, 2015, there were 1,923 WNV human cases and 106 WNV related deaths in 44 states and the District of Columbia reported for the 2015 season (**Table 1**). Colorado ranked third in the national case count with 96 human WNV cases and 2 WNV related deaths reported as of October 16, 2015. Most WNV cases occurred in Colorado within the populous regions of the Front Range (**Table 2**). The Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) did not report any mosquito pools, horses, birds or humans as positive for St. Louis Encephalitis or Western Equine Encephalitis during the 2015 season. The decreased WNV activity and number of human infections in Colorado may be attributed to the temperature and precipitation patterns observed during the 2015 mosquito season and the affect they had on mosquito populations, as discussed further in **Section 3.0**.

Table 1 WNV Incidence, 2002 - 2015

Total WNV Human Cases	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Cases in the United States ¹	4,156	9,862	2,539	3,000	4,269	3,630	1,356	720	1,021	712	5,674	2,469	2,205	1,923
Deaths in the United States ¹	284	264	100	119	177	124	44	32	57	43	286	119	97	106
Highest State Count in United States ¹	884	2,947	779	880	996	578	445	115	167	158	1,868	379	801	641
Cases in Colorado ²	13	2,947	291	106	345	578	71	103	81	7	131	322	118	96
Deaths in Colorado ²	0	66	4	2	7	7	1	3	4	0	5	7	4	2
Cases in Denver County ²	1	162	3	5	5	27	3	1	1	1	16	12	5	10
Deaths in Denver County ²	0	9	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0
Total WNV Positive Results	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Mosquito Pools in Denver County ²	0	28	1	3	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Birds in Denver County ²	0	60	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Horses in Denver County ²	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

1. Reported by the Center for Disease and Control (CDC); 2015 data reported as of December 8, 2015.

2. Reported by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE); 2015 data reported as of October 16, 2015.

As of October 16, 2015 there were 10 WNV related illnesses and no WNV related deaths in Denver County reported by Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE). The number of Denver County human cases and WNV positive mosquito pools comprised approximately 10 percent and 5 percent of the state totals, respectively (**Table 2**). The relatively low number of human WNV cases and WNV positive mosquito pools suggests that the viral activity in Denver County was less in 2015 than during previous years with epidemic outbreaks. It is likely that Stapleton MCA's mosquito control efforts to reduce mosquito populations, coupled with public education and personal protection measures, helped reduce the exposure and disease transmission within the Stapleton area and Denver County.

Table 2 Colorado WNV Cases and WNV Positive Mosquito Pools, 2015

County	Human Cases ¹		Human Deaths ¹		Positive Mosquito Pools ¹	
	Number	% of State	Number	% of State	Number	% of State
Adams	5	5.2%	0	0.0%	4	2.4%
Alamosa	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Arapahoe	11	11.5%	0	0.0%	2	1.2%
Boulder	11	11.5%	0	0.0%	10	6.0%
Broomfield	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Costilla	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Crowley	1	1.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Delta	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.6%
Denver	10	10.4%	0	0.0%	9	5.4%
Douglas	2	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
El Paso	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Freemont	2	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Huerfano	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jefferson	5	5.2%	0	0.0%	2	1.2%
La Plata	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Larimer	20	20.8%	0	0.0%	102	61.1%
Logan	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mesa	3	3.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.6%
Morgan	2	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pueblo	4	4.2%	1	50.0%	7	4.2%
Rio Grande	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sedgwick	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Weld	10	10.4%	0	0.0%	29	17.4%
Colorado Totals	96		2		167	

1. Reported by CDPHE as of October 16, 2015

3.0 REGIONAL 2015 CLIMATOLOGICAL DATA AND MOSQUITO ACTIVITY OVERVIEW

The weather patterns leading into and during the mosquito breeding season are important factors that influence mosquito abundance and WNV activity. The following section describes the regional climate, the weather during the season, and how that may have affected the mosquito populations.

Stapleton is located in a semi-arid environment with an elevation of approximately 5,200 feet above sea level (CDATA). The typical mosquito season for Stapleton MCA's program area is from May to September. Current and historical climate data from the High Plains Regional Climate Center's (HPRCC) Denver International Airport weather station was used for regional temperature and precipitation patterns.

Historical records for the mean monthly temperature at the station suggest that temperatures usually have a steady increase from April to July, making July, on average, the hottest month of the year. Typically there is then a steady temperature decrease into September. In 2015, every month of the mosquito season except May and July had temperatures above normal. The months of May and September experienced the highest variations from normal during the season. May had a monthly mean temperature that was approximately 4 degrees below normal, while September's monthly mean temperature was approximately 5 degrees above normal (**Figure 1**).

The historical averages for the monthly mean precipitation indicate that April, May and July are usually the wettest months of the year (**Figure 2**). During 2015, the accumulated precipitation from January through September was higher than the historical average for the same period. During this time period in 2015, there was an accumulation of 13.7 inches. This is approximately 6 percent more than the normal amount of accumulation when compared to the historical average, which is 12.9 inches. Five of the nine months received precipitation amounts higher than their normal averages. The most significant variations during the mosquito season were the months of May and September. May received approximately 73 percent more precipitation than average, making it the wettest month of 2015, while September received approximately 8 percent of its normal precipitation, making it the driest month of 2015 (NOAA 2015).

Temperatures and precipitation amounts varied throughout the 2015 mosquito season. A wetter and cooler than average month of May was also followed by a wetter, but slightly warmer than average June. The month of July was then much drier and cooler than average. These overall cooler temperatures during the first half of the 2015 mosquito season likely caused mosquito larvae to develop at a much slower rate, and the frequent rainstorms during May and June also allowed the mosquito larvae to be repeatedly flushed from their breeding habitats. August and September were then much hotter and drier than average, causing many of the sites to quickly dry up during the second half of the season. These climate patterns, along with the Stapleton MCA's larval control program, were the likely causes of the below average abundances of nuisance and Culex mosquitoes throughout the majority of the 2015 mosquito season within the Stapleton area.

Figure 1 2015 Monthly Mean Air Temperature and Historical Averages*





Figure 2 2015 Monthly Total Precipitation Data and Historical Averages*



4.0 LARVAL MOSQUITO SURVEILLANCE AND CONTROL

LARVAL SURVEILLANCE METHODOLOGY

Ottertail staff began the season by identifying and inspecting the larval habitat sites within the Stapleton MCA project area. Many of the habitats were those with stagnant water high in nutrients and organic matter including: cattail marshes, small stagnant ponds, temporary pools, and drainage structures. Sites were generally inspected once a week. Habitat sites were added and refined throughout the field season as needed. A detailed explanation of the larval surveillance methodology used during the 2015 season can be found in **Appendix A** and a map of the larval surveillance area can be found in **Appendix C**.



LARVAL CONTROL METHODOLOGY AND APPLICATION METHODS

The primary focus for OtterTail's IMM program is to control mosquitoes while in the larval stage. Larval mosquito control methods employed by OtterTail were aimed at preventing adult mosquito emergence, which reduces the potential of the mosquito-borne disease, WNV, and minimizes the annoyance level of mosquitoes to local residents. To achieve a high level of effectiveness and efficiency of larval control efforts, OtterTail identified and inspected mosquito larval habitats on a regular basis. The threshold for larval control was presence of any mosquito larvae. Finding and documenting consistent mosquito producing sites was an important component of the program because it created a pattern that is monitored and systematically controlled to help understand mosquito populations and WNV trends.

The application of *Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis* (*Bti*), *Bacillus sphaericus* (*Bs*), and BVA-2 mosquito larvicide oil (BVA-2) are OtterTail's primary methods used for larval mosquito control. Control materials were applied within the labeled rates, thereby minimizing any potential adverse impacts to areas being treated. Routine post-treatment checks were conducted to ensure the larval control was effective. If any larvae were found during the post-check, a second application was conducted.

In balancing environmental resources, cost effectiveness, and public health needs, *Bti* was selected as the primary treatment product. *Bti* is a naturally occurring protein that is toxic to mosquito larvae upon its ingestion. It provides a residual treatment that lasts for approximately two days. Since new mosquito larvae may hatch after the product dissipates, the sites must be inspected for mosquito larvae every one to two weeks. The presence of mosquito larvae between monitoring periods has the added benefit of allowing these larvae to continue to be part of the aquatic food web. However, larvae are eliminated before they can emerge as adults. This helps protect the public from potential WNV transmission, while still providing a food source for many aquatic animals.

Bacillus sphaericus is a larvicide very similar to *Bti* but has a longer residual time. The protein in *Bs* products is able to provide continuous treatment of mosquito larvae for up to four weeks and was typically used on sites that were found to be continuously producing mosquitoes. Although the longer residual time of this larvicide allows for fewer site checks and cost savings in labor and travel, it is only practical in certain situations because it costs substantially more than *Bti*.

It should be noted that *Bti* was the primary control material used, but this product is ineffective if pupae are found at a site. Mosquitoes do not feed during their pupal stage; therefore, the use of *Bti* and *Bs* is ineffective against mosquito pupae since they must ingest the proteins. In these instances of pupae

occurrence, BVA-2 is used. BVA-2 is a highly refined mineral oil that creates a thin film on the water surface. The film interrupts the air and water interface during the mosquito's larval and pupal development stages, causing them to drown.

LARVAL SURVEILLANCE AND CONTROL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION


The 2015 larval surveillance season started in June and lasted until late-September. During the season, a total of 413 individual larval site visits were performed within the program area. Approximately 27 pounds of *Bti*, 3 pounds of *Bs*, and 49 ounces of BVA-2 were used to treat approximately 4.5 acres of active habitat during 47 treatments (**Table 3**).

Table 3 Larval Surveillance Summary, 2015

Habitat Site Surveillance	2015 Totals
# Site Visits	413
# Site Treatments	47
Amount of Treated Acreage	4.5

As the season progressed, the sites were categorized according to larval abundance and occurrence. Low priority mosquito sites which were not producing mosquitoes had poor habitat or had the presence of aquatic predators. High priority mosquito sites typically had larvae when sampled and consistently produced mosquitoes every seven to ten days during the peak season. **Figure 3** shows the number of site visits and treatments performed each month during 2015. It is likely that Stapleton MCA's larval control program helped reduce the adult mosquito population levels in the local and surrounding areas during 2015.

Figure 3 Number of Site Visits per Month, 2015



5.0 ADULT MOSQUITO SURVEILLANCE AND CONTROL

ADULT SURVEILLANCE METHODOLOGY

Adult mosquito population surveillance is a crucial component of any successful IMM program. Adult surveillance provides information on what types of mosquito species are in an area as well as information on their abundance. Mosquitoes collected from the mosquito traps can be tested for a variety of mosquito-borne diseases and are critical for monitoring and forecasting vector threats, particularly WNV.

Most mosquito species prefer to rest during the heat of the day in areas known as harborage areas. A mosquito harborage area is usually a shaded, wind protected and moist area because adult mosquitoes can dehydrate quickly during the daylight hours if they do not have a shady area to rest and escape the heat. Relevant examples are groves of tall trees with a layer of shrubby undergrowth, tree-lined waterways and water bodies, dense bushes, tall live grasses, or in residential areas under roof eaves and inside tires. Adult mosquito trapping efforts target these harborage areas to monitor adult mosquito populations.

OtterTail used the CDC style carbon dioxide (CO₂) light trap to monitor the adult mosquito populations within the Stapleton area. The CO₂ light trap is based on the principle that most adult mosquitoes are attracted to light, CO₂ (via respiration), and heat. The CO₂ light trap collects adult female mosquitoes that are seeking a blood meal, so that she may produce eggs. This type of trap is set overnight and on the following morning the nets are collected and returned to OtterTail's lab to be identified and counted. Once identified, the mosquitoes were then sorted and counted by species. A detailed explanation of the CO₂ light trap used during the 2015 season can be found in **Appendix B**.

Beginning in June, five light traps were set to capture adult mosquitoes on a weekly basis until mid-September. The traps were set in adult mosquito harborage locations throughout the Stapleton area (**Appendix C**). OtterTail and Stapleton MCA used the adult mosquito data collected to help determine local areas of concern for public awareness and safety as well as to monitor the local nuisance mosquito populations.

ADULT SURVEILLANCE RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Over the season, from the five traps within the Stapleton MCA project area, there was an average of 23 total adult mosquitoes per trap per night and an average of 13 adult vector mosquitoes per trap per night. The total adult mosquitoes collected during the season from all 5 traps resulted in *Culex species* (55.7 percent) being the most abundant, followed by *Aedes/Ochlerotatus species* (43.4 percent) and *Culiseta species* (0.9 percent) as shown in **Table 4**. This results in approximately 56 percent vector vs. 44 percent nonvector adults being collected over the entire season.


Culex pipiens accounted for approximately 28 percent of the vector mosquitoes captured from all 5 traps during the season. This species of mosquito is often found breeding in containers and a likely source for the high populations were man-made containers (e.g., pools, tires, buckets, eves, troughs, bird baths, and other similar containers) on private residences in the neighborhoods surrounding the traps.

Table 4 Total Number of Adult Mosquitoes per Trap for the 2015 Season¹

Trap Name	ST-01	ST-02	ST-03	ST-04	ST-05	Total
Trap Locations	Maverick Pool	Jet Stream Pool	Aviator Pool	F15 Pool	Puddle Jumper Pool	
Trap Type	Light Trap	Light Trap	Light Trap	Light Trap	Light Trap	
Species						
<i>Culex pipiens</i>	24	4	93	98	75	294
<i>Culex salinarius</i>	4	2	34	66	48	154
<i>Culex tarsalis</i>	128	65	136	137	132	598
Total Culex	156	71	263	301	255	1,046
% RA Culex	69.0%	50.4%	49.3%	60.1%	53.3%	55.7%
<i>Aedes cinereus</i>	0	0	1	0	1	2
<i>Aedes vexans</i>	43	58	258	183	214	756
<i>Oc. dorsalis</i>	16	8	2	6	3	35
<i>Oc. melanimon</i>	2	0	2	3	0	7
<i>Oc. nigromaculis</i>	8	0	0	2	1	11
<i>Oc. trivittatus</i>	1	1	0	2	1	5
Total Ae./Oc.	70	67	263	196	220	816
% RA Ae./Oc.	31.0%	47.5%	49.3%	39.1%	46.0%	43.4%
<i>Anopheles spp.</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Anopheles	0	0	0	0	0	0
% RA Anopheles	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Coquillettidia perturbans</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Coquillettidia	0	0	0	0	0	0
% RA Coquillettidia	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<i>Culiseta inornata</i>	0	3	7	4	3	17
Total Culiseta	0	3	7	4	3	17
% RA Culiseta	0.0%	2.1%	1.3%	0.8%	0.6%	0.9%
Trap Total	226	141	533	501	478	1,879

Notes: 1. Adult Surveillance season was June 3 to September 16, 2015 (80 trapnights). RA= Percent Relative

Figure 4 Season-Wide Weekly Adult Trap Count, 2015



6.0 PUBLIC OUTREACH AND EDUCATION

Public education is an important component to any mosquito control program and is vital in combating West Nile Virus. OtterTail and Stapleton MCA provided valuable educational materials to residents and the general public through local media outlets, educational materials and their internet websites. The educational materials stressed the importance of actions that residents could take to aid in the effort to combat WNV; topics included personal protection, property maintenance for source reduction, and general information related to mosquito biology and the WNV disease cycle.

Educating residents on the need for property maintenance, source reduction and the use of personal protection measures was crucial in the fight against WNV in 2015. The resulting actions taken by the public likely helped reduce the mosquito populations and the WNV activity levels and cases in the area during 2015.

7.0 REFERENCES

CDC 2015. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). West Nile Virus, 2015. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia. [Web page]. Accessed December 17, 2015. Located at <http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dvbid/westnile/index.htm>.

CDPHE 2015. Colorado Department of Public Health and the Environment (CDPHE). West Nile Virus, 2015. [Web page]. Accessed December 17, 2015. Located at: <http://www.colorado.gov/pacific/cdphe/west-nile-virus-data>

CDATA 2014. City-Data.com. [Web page]. Accessed January 18, 2014. Located at: <http://www.city-data.com/city/Denver-Colorado.html>

HPRCC 2015. High Plains Regional Climate Center (HPRCC). [Web page]. Accessed October 2, 2015. Located at <http://climod.unl.edu/>

APPENDIX A - DETAILED LARVAL SURVEILLANCE AND SITE SELECTION METHODOLOGY

Larval Surveillance Methodology

The following is a summary of the procedures used by OtterTail during larval surveillance. To inspect a mosquito source, a plastic dipper cup with a 3-foot wooden handle was used to collect water from the site. Each sample (dip) was closely examined for mosquito larvae presence. Many of the sites inspected had mosquito-sustaining habitat around the perimeter of the site, but the middle remained mosquito free due to water circulation and/or natural predators. At these sites, the dipping effort was completed using a *linear approach* (walking around the perimeter and sampling the margins).



In sites with widespread mosquito habitat, the entire site was methodically sampled using the *surface area approach*. With this approach, sites were dipped approximately every 10 to 20 square feet. Since each site's characteristics could vary as the season progressed (e.g., become drier, wetter, increased vegetation), there were changes made during the field season to adjust the appropriate number of dips.

Larval Surveillance Site Selection/Characterization Methods

OtterTail used a series of maps, generated with Geographic Information Systems (GIS) technology, for identifying and monitoring the larval habitat areas within the project area that could support mosquito larvae. Mosquito larvae require stagnant water and will thrive in areas where the water is high in nutrients, organic matter, or other organic pollutants. Common habitats include: wetlands, riparian groundwater sinks, non flowing irrigation ditches, flood irrigated fields, floodwater retention ponds, lake and river shores, and a wide array of man-made habitats including pools, tires, pots, buckets, eves troughs, bird baths and other similar containers. Since habitat sites can change over time, the sites were re-evaluated and classified, based upon their breeding potential, at the beginning of the season.

APPENDIX B - ADULT CDC-STYLE MOSQUITO TRAP DESCRIPTION

For the season, carbon dioxide (CO₂) baited Centers for Disease Control (CDC) Light Traps were incorporated into Stapleton MCA's adult mosquito surveillance system. The following is a detailed description of the CO₂ light trap.

CO₂ Light Trap

To capture the most representative sample of adult mosquitoes in an area, CDC Light Traps are baited with CO₂ in the form of dry ice and set overnight in adult mosquito harborage areas throughout the mosquito season. The traps are designed with the knowledge that the female mosquito species we target are attracted to light, CO₂, and heat. The number and types of mosquitoes captured in these traps can provide local officials with a valuable early indication of the threat of WNV.

The traps consist of a plastic insulated thermos filled with enough dry ice (CO₂) to last throughout the trapping cycle. Units consist of a light, fan unit, and fine mesh net which hang below the thermos. The device is placed on a tree branch with the thermos approximately five to seven feet off the ground and is suspended by a small chain or rope to allow the thermos and net to hang free. Holes at the base of the thermos allow dissipating CO₂ to be emitted as an attractant around the trap. Batteries run the small fan and light positioned above the net. The light provides further attraction and once the mosquitoes are near the light, they are pulled down into the net by the downward force of the fan.

In the morning, the mosquitoes are removed and frozen to prepare for identification. During the identification process, the mosquitoes are sorted by species and sex. Female vector mosquitoes are routinely submitted to the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) lab for WNV testing as needed.



APPENDIX C – PROJECT AREA MAP

