

TBD

Jim Keller, Intel Senior Vice President General Manager, Silicon Engineering Group

To be determined

Will complexity stop us?

Will technology pessimism win?



The biggest lesson that can be read from 70 years of AI research is that general methods that leverage computation are ultimately the most effective, and by a large margin. The ultimate reason for this is Moore's law.

Rich Sutton, The Bitter Lesson, March 2019

TWO DISTINCT ERAS OF COMPUTER USAGE IN AI



(Source: https://openai.com/blog/ai-and-compute/)

"Everything that can be invented has been invented"

Charles H. Duell

US Patent Commissioner

Intelligent Machines

The End of Moore's Law?

The current economic boom is likely due to increases in computing speed and decreases in price. Now there are some good reasons to think that the party may be ending.

by Charles C. Mann

May 1, 2000

2000

2009

1899

Report: IBM researcher says Moore's Law at end

IBM Fellow Carl Anderson says at a conference this week that Moore's Law Is hitting a celling, according to a report. Diversional continues (PLATRIC 10, 5000 foot AN FOT

Moore's Law limit hit by 2014?

The high cost of semiconductor manufacturing equipment is making continued chipmaking advancements too expensive, threatening Moore's

Law, according to iSuppli.

BY BROOKE CROTHERS ID 1 JUNE 16, 2009 12:48 PM PDT

M M 🖬 🖓

"Moore's law won't work at feature sizes less than a quarter of a micron" Erich Bloch - Head of IBM Research, later Chairman of NSF 1988

Moore Sees 'Moore's Law' Dead in a Decade

By Mark Hachman on September 18, 2007 at 5:12 pm | 1 Comment

In a "fireside chat" with NPR "Tech Nation's" Moira Gunn, Intel co-founder and chairman emeritus Gordon Moore said he sees his famous law expiring in 10 to 15 years.

1,901 views | Apr 29, 2010, 01:37pm

Life After Moore's Law

By Bill Dally

Bill Dally is the chief scientist and senior vice president of research at NVIDIA and the Willard R. and Inez Kerr Bell Professor of Engineering at Stanford University.

Theoretical physicist: Moore's Law has just 10 years to go

The age of silicon will come to a close but nobody knows when. Well, almost nobody. 2012

"There is nothing new to be discovered in physics now" Lord Kelvin 1900

MIT Technology Review

2007

Computing / Microchips

Moore's Law Is Dead. Now What?

Shrinking transistors have powered 50 years of advances in computing—but now other ways must be found to make computers more capable.

2016 May 13, 2016

by Tom Simonite

Death of Moore's Law Will Cause Economic Crisis

"Around 2020 or soon afterward, Moore's law will gradually cease to hold true and Silicon Valley may slowly turn into a rust belt unless a replacement technology is found," says Kaku in an extract published on Salon.com website. By John E Dunn 2011

Techworld.com | MARCH 21, 2011 12:28 PM PT



 \checkmark

The number of industry leaders saying Moore's Law is dead has increased at a rate of roughly a factor of two per Jim Keller talk. Certainly over the short term this rate can be expected to continue, if not to increase.

8:13 AM · Feb 14, 2020 from Cambridge, MA · Twitter for iPhone

SKEPTICS

Moore's Law is Dead

CES 2019: Jensen Huang Nvidia CEO



2018 IEEE Spectrum: David Patterson UC Berkeley / Google







MOORE'S LAW TRANSISTORS

1000X reduction in feature size



TRANSISTOR SCALING



PARADIGM SHIFT



Average number of IPs in an SOC

(Source: Semico Research)

1000 SCALARS

	1980	2020	Scalar
Transistors per core	100,000	100,000,000	1,000X
Frequency of operation	5 MHz	5 GHz	1,000X
# Processing steps	~100	~10000	100X
Wafer diameter (inch) Printed die per wafer	6 inches 1X	12 inches 4X	2X 4X
# Mask layers	~10	~100	10X
Transistors on a chip	100,000	30,000,000,000	30,000X
Minimum feature size	3 microns	< 5 nanometers	600,000X
Transistors / mm ²	1,000	100,000,000	100,000X
Cost per transistor (cents)	0.1 cents	0.00000001 cents	10, <u>000,</u> 000X
Memory Latency	4 cycles	400 cycles	100X
Fab cost	\$1M	\$10B	10,000X
Power dissipation	< 1W	> 200W	200X
Instructions per cycle	0.3	3	10X
Operating voltage	5 Volt	0.65 Volt 5X	
# Personal computing devices	< 10 million	> 10 billion	1,000X





1 GIGAWATT GENERATOR

BIG COMPUTERS ... WHY NOT?



(Image source: Cray, Inc.)

BOTH ARE MADE OF THE SAME CELLS

KEY PROBLEMS

> How to program 1 million computers ?

> Address >2⁶⁰ objects ?

> Make 1 peta look-ups into 1 petabyte of data?

ABSTRACTION LAYERS



COMPUTING

 $A = (B + C) \cdot D$ $A_{[i]} = (B_{[i]} + C_{[i\cdot]}) \cdot D_{[k]}$ $A_{[i,i]} = (B_{[i,k]} + C_{[k,i]})$ $A_{[fma(i,j),f(j\cdot k)]} = (B_{[fma(i,k),f(j,k)]} + C_{[fma(k,j),f(k,j)]})$

Compute models

MEMORY

RF

EXE

RF EXE

RF

EXE

RF

EXE

RF

EXE



Spatial

Fetch Decode Issue EXE Dcache WB

Scalar

Fetch Decode Issue EXE EXE Dcache Dcache WB

Vector

Matrix

INST

MEMORY

Data models



```
HelloWorld - Notepad
                                                                                      X
File Edit Format View Help
// Simple C program to display "Hello World"
// Header file for input output functions
#include <stdio.h>
// main function -
// where the execution of program begins
int main()
{
   // prints hello world
    printf("Hello World");
   return 0;
}
<
                                          Ln 15, Col 2
                                                            100% Windows (CRLF)
                                                                                  UTF-8
```

Example RISC-V Block Diagram



4		Strong	164 .	LBB1_22: # %for_loop428.us.us
5		_	165	movl %ebp, %ebx
6	#define TILE_SIZE 64		166	vbroadcastss (%rdi,%rbx,4), %ymm9
7			1 67	cltq
8	export void SGEMM_tileNoSIMDIntrin(uniform float matrixA		168	vfmadd231ps (%rsi,%rax,4), %ymm9, %ymm8
9	uniform int M, uniform int N, uniform int K) {		169	leal 8(%rax), %ebx
10	uniform float sumTile[TILE_SIZE], oneAVal;		170	movslq %ebx, %rbx
11			171	vfmadd231ps (%rsi,%rbx,4), %ymm9, %ymm7
12	for (uniform unsigned int $m = 0; m < M; m++$)		172	leal 16(%rax), %ebx
13	{		173	movslq %ebx, %rbx
14	for (uniform unsigned int k0 = 0; k0 < K; k0 +=		174	vfmadd231ps (%rsi,%rbx,4), %vmm9, %vmm6
15	{	/	175	leal 24(%rax), %ebx
16	<pre>// SPMD "horizontally" over TILE dimension:</pre>	/	176	movsla %ebx. %rbx
17	<pre>foreach (ki = 0 TILE_SIZE)</pre>		177	vfmadd231ps (%rsi,%rbx,4), %vmm9, %vmm5
18	{		178	leal 32(%rax). %ebx
19	// No scatter required.	/		movsla %ebx. %rbx
20	<pre>sumTile[ki] = 0.0f;</pre>		180	vfmadd231ps (%rsi,%rbx,4), %vmm9, %vmm4
21	}		181	leal 40(%rax), %ebx
22			182	movsla %ebx. %rbx
23	<pre>// Loop over the the matrix N dimension:</pre>		183	vfmadd231ps (%rsi.%rbx.4), %vmm9, %vmm3
24	<pre>for (uniform unsigned int n = 0; n < N; n++)</pre>		184	leal 48(%rax), %ebx
25	{	/ /	185	movsla %ebx. %rbx
26	uniform unsigned int idx = n*K+k0;		186	vfmadd231ns (%rsi %rbx 4) %vmm9 %vmm2
27	<pre>oneAVal = matrixA[m*N + n];</pre>		187	leal 56(%rax). %ebx
28	<pre>// SPMD iterate over the TILE dimension,</pre>		189	movsla %ebx, %rbx
29	<pre>foreach (kt = 0 TILE_SIZE)</pre>		180	vfmadd231ns (%nsi %nhv 4) %vmm0 %vmm1
30	{		100	addl \$1 %ebn
31	// Pure SIMD FMAC:	/	101	addl %pd %pay
32	<pre>sumTile[kt] += oneAVal * matrixB[idx</pre>	/	102	add1 % 50, %eax
33	}		103	ine LBR1 22
34	}		193	leal (%n12 %n15) %eav
35	<pre>// SPMD "horizontally" again over TILE dimen</pre>		105	
36	<pre>foreach (ki = 0 TILE_SIZE) {</pre>		195	umouune %umm? (%ndy %nov 4)
37	// Note, no scatter required.		190	loal 9(%n12 %n15) %oox
38	<pre>matrixC[m*K + k0 + ki] = sumTile[ki];</pre>		197	clta
39	}		198	umouups %umm7 (%ndv %nov 4)
40	}		199	leal (%m12 %m15) %aav
41	}		200	alta
42	}		201	
_			702	Vmovups zvmmb (zrdy zray 4)



GigaThread Engine







(Source: https://imgflip.com)



......

SIMD Local memory no privilege model hard to program



ACCELERATORS



(Source: Peter Bannon, Tesla)

(Source: http://mashable.com/2017/05/07/pennsylvania-coal-miners/)

Cep B

HOW TO PRINT +



EUV: AN INNOVATION EXAMPLE



TRANSITION FROM 193NM TO 13.5NM EUV

15 YEARS DUE TO COMPLEXITY



HOW TO PRINT +



TO BE DETERMINED



MOORE'S LAW

COMPLEXITY LIMITS TECHNOLOGY OPTIMISM



